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Metal oxide-polymer diodes require electroforming before they act as nonvolatile resistive switching memory diodes. Here we investigate the early stages of the electroforming process in Al/Al2O3/poly(spirofluorene)/Ba/Al diodes using quasistatic capacitance-voltage measurements. In the initial stage, electrons are injected into the polymer and then deeply trapped near the poly(spirofluorene)-Al2O3 interface. For bias voltages below 6 V, the number of trapped electrons is found to be \( C_{\text{oxide}} V/q \) with \( C_{\text{oxide}} \) as the geometrical capacitance of the oxide layer. This implies a density of traps for the electrons at the polymer-metal oxide interface larger than \( 3 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-2} \).

Metal-insulator-semiconductor-metal diodes incorporating a metal-oxide layer as an insulator show nonvolatile resistive switching1–5 and can be used to store information.6–8 Switching is an intrinsic property of metal oxides,1,4,9 the semiconductor acts as a current limiting series resistance.10,11 Currently such bistable diodes are being considered as possible replacement for standard NAND flash solid state memories.12,13 For the memory functionality to become active, usually an electroforming step is required. In this step, the diode is subjected to a high bias voltage,13 leading to soft breakdown of the oxide.

The microscopic mechanism of this electroforming process is still unknown. In a previous study, we have shown that the J-V characteristic shows hysteresis before forming, indicating deep trapping of charges.10 Here we investigate this trapping further using the voltage step quasistatic capacitance-voltage (QSCV) method.14,15 In QSCV, the differential charge (\( \Delta Q \)) required to change the capacitor voltage by a step \( \Delta V \) is measured and the capacitance \( C \) is calculated according to \( C = \Delta Q / \Delta V \). The QSCV method is ideally suited to investigate traps that fill quickly but empty slowly because it does not rely on steady-state alternating currents. Also the transient current associated with irreversible charging of a capacitor with empty deep traps can be analyzed. The method has been used to investigate metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) capacitors,15 and here we use it to derive the location of traps and their density in metal-oxide polymer diodes.

The diodes consist of an aluminum bottom electrode on which a thin layer of aluminum oxide is sputtered. On top, a thin film of the organic semiconductor poly(spirofluorene)16 is spin coated. The top electrode is made by vacuum sublimation of barium followed by aluminum. The nominally electron-only diodes with an active area of 9 mm² are encapsulated with a getter in order to maintain an oxygen and water free atmosphere. Previously, we have shown that the diodes can be converted into bistable resistive switches in high yield after an electroforming process.10,17,18 Electrical characterization was performed using an Agilent 4155C semiconductor analyzer. Positive bias is defined as the Ba/Al top electrode being charged negative. J-V sweeps were recorded with 10 mV step and 40 ms integration time. In QSCV measurements, an integration time of 4 s and a step of 100 mV were used.

The electroforming process for a pristine Al/Al2O3/poly(spirofluorene)/Ba/Al diode is presented in Fig. 1(a). Here we show cyclic J-V scans where the maximum bias is increased stepwise. The arrows indicate the direction of the voltage scans starting at 0 V, scanning forward and then backward to 0 V.10

We discern three stages in the electroforming process. In the first stage, for voltages in the range between 0 and 8 V, we observe a pronounced hysteresis in the current-voltage characteristics. In the forward scan, the current increases with bias. However, in the backward scan the current is negligible. Actually the current level on the return scan is approximately equal to the displacement current associated with

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Sequential current density-voltage characteristics of a pristine Al/Al2O3 (20 nm)/polymer (50 nm)/Ba/Al diode. (b) Current density as a function of time upon application of a voltage step from 0 to 6 V at time \( t \) equal to zero.](image)
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with the device geometrical capacitance \( C_0 \), assuming that both oxide and polymer layer act as insulators. For positive bias, electrons are injected into the polymer and trapped near the oxide-polymer interface.

In the second stage of electroforming, for biases from 8 to 14 V, the amount of hysteresis decreases with increasing bias. The magnitude and voltage dependence of the current can be tentatively modeled as being due to Fowler–Nordheim tunneling through the oxide using a barrier height of approximately 1 eV and assuming a potential drop over the oxide layer equal to the applied bias. In the third stage of electroforming, occurring at biases larger than 14 V, a sharp and irreversible increase of the current density is observed, indicative of soft breakdown. The resistance of the diode is now bistable and can be switched reversibly between a high and a low level.

The hysteresis observed in the first stage of forming can be studied in more detail using the QSCV method. Figure 2(b) shows cyclic C-V scans under the same bias conditions as for the J-V scans. Scanning in the reverse bias (\( V < 0 \)), a practically constant capacitance of 2.7 nF is recorded which we interpret as the geometrical capacitance \( C_0 \). The hysteresis is due to a small leakage current.

In forward bias, we observe capacitances exceeding geometrical capacitance by an order of magnitude when scanning over a bias voltage range to which the diode has not been subjected before. In the first cyclic scan (0 V → 2 V), scanning forward to 2 V, the capacitance reaches up to 17.5 nF. Scanning back from 2 to 0 V, we again find the geometrical capacitance \( C_0 \). In the next scan to higher bias, we first observe \( C_0 \) up to 2 V, but at higher bias up to 4 V, the capacitance again rises sharply to 18 nF as before. The capacitance in the backward scan is again similar to \( C_0 \). In the third cyclic scan up to 6 V, a maximum in the capacitance of 18.5 nF is recorded at 6 V. The reciprocal value of this high capacitance, \( C_{\text{high}} \), is plotted in Fig. 3(b). For voltages exceeding 6 V, leakage currents significantly affect the capacitance measurement. Systematic variation of the oxide and polymer thickness yields a set of \( C_0 \) and \( C_{\text{high}} \) values whose reciprocal values are plotted in Fig. 3.

We consider the diode as a double-layer structure comprised of an oxide layer (with capacitance \( C_{\text{oxide}} \)) in series with a polymer layer (\( C_{\text{polymer}} \)). As expected, the experimental \( C_0 \) for diodes, as obtained from QSCV near zero bias [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], can be modeled accurately by the relation \( 1/C_0 = 1/C_{\text{oxide}} + 1/C_{\text{polymer}} \), using relative dielectric constants \( \varepsilon_{\text{Al2O3}} = 9 \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{polymer}} = 3.2 \). \( 1/C_0 \) is weakly dependent on the oxide thickness [Fig. 3(a)], but strongly varies with polymer thickness [Fig. 3(b)], because \( C_{\text{oxide}} \) is much higher than \( C_{\text{polymer}} \). In contrast, the high capacitance from QSCV, \( C_{\text{high}} \), varies strongly with oxide thickness [Fig. 3(c)] but is virtually independent of polymer thickness [Fig. 3(d)]. Moreover, \( C_{\text{high}} \) is essentially the same as the capacitance measured with QSCV on devices without polymer layer, and
matches with the calculated $C_{oxide}$ [Fig. 3(c)].

The equality $C_{high}$ and $C_{oxide}$ can be explained as follows. Under sufficient forward bias, electrons are injected via the Ba/Al electrode, drift through the polymer, and get trapped at the polymer/oxide interface. Representing the bilayer by the equivalent circuit in Fig. 2(b), injection of mobile electrons lowers $R_{polymer}$ sufficiently to shunt $C_{polymer}$, and raises the bilayer capacitance to $C_{oxide}$. Irreversible trapping of electrons at the interface, symbolized by the diode, explains why $C_{oxide}$ is only observed with the QSCV method in the first instance that a particular forward bias is applied. For instance, in the return path of the cyclic QSCV scan, there is no discharging current because of the trapping and the two layers behave again as pure insulators with overall device capacitance $C_0$. In a second scan, new electrons can only be injected into the polymer when the bias voltage applied exceeds the built-in voltage resulting from the trapped electrons. Hence, $C_{oxide}$ is observed only if the applied bias voltage exceeds the maximum voltage of the previous scan. Under negative bias, a high injection barrier prevents injection of holes into the polymer and the capacitance equals $C_0$.

From the observation that $C_{high}$ matches with $C_{oxide}$ for bias voltages up to 6 V [Fig. 3(c)], we conclude that the number of electrons stored at the oxide-polymer interface is determined by the capacitance of the oxide layer and the applied bias $V_{appl}$. This implies a density of trap states for electrons at the interface exceeding $C_{oxide}V_{appl}/(Aq_e)=3\times 10^{17}$ m$^{-2}$ with $A$ as the surface area of the capacitor, and $q_e$ as the electron charge using $C_{oxide}=70$ nF as determined at 6 V for 10 nm oxide thickness. For very thick polymer layers, $C_{high}$ is slightly smaller than $C_{oxide}$ [Fig. 3(d)]. A possible explanation is that the carrier trapping becomes slow with respect to the integration time because of the long transit time of electrons across the thick polymer layer with low electron mobility. In a diode with a layer of insulating polysiloxane instead of semiconducting poly(spirofluorene), we do not observe any hysteresis loops in either $J$-$V$ or $C$-$V$ scans, indicating that electron injection into this insulating polymer is not possible.

We conclude that in Al/Al$_2$O$_3$/poly(spirofluorene)/Ba/Al diodes, electrons injected through the Ba/Al electrode are trapped at the internal oxide-polymer interface in the first stage of forming. The chemical nature of the traps is still unknown, yet, phenomenologically, they behave as border traps known from MOS devices. The trapping leads to a maximization of the potential drop over the oxide layer. This enhances tunneling currents through the oxide, stage two of the electroforming. Trapping of electrons also brings the electric field in the oxide closer to the threshold for dielectric breakdown at relatively low applied bias voltage, thus promoting the final stage of electroforming.
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