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Abstract An ultrasound velocity assessment technique was validated, which allows the estimation of velocity components perpendicular to the ultrasound beam, using a commercially available ultrasound scanner equipped with a linear array probe. This enables the simultaneous measurement of axial blood velocity and vessel wall position, rendering a viable and accurate flow assessment. The validation was performed by comparing axial velocity profiles as measured in an experimental setup to analytical and computational fluid dynamics calculations. Physiologically relevant pulsating flows were considered, employing a blood analog fluid, which mimics both the acoustic and rheological properties of blood. In the core region ($r/a < 0.9$), an accuracy of $3 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ was reached. For an accurate estimation of flow, no averaging in time was required, making a beat to beat analysis of pulsating flows possible.

1 Introduction

In clinical practice, ultrasound is often used as a non-invasive method to assess blood velocity and vessel wall motion (Brands et al. 1999). These parameters are related to local blood volume flow and local pressure, respectively, which play a major role in cardiovascular (dys)function. Simultaneous assessment of pressure and flow facilitates accurate vascular impedance estimation, which is a valuable tool in determining the condition of the vascular tree.

Doppler ultrasound allows an accurate assessment of the component of the blood velocity along the ultrasound beam. To assess the axial blood velocity component, the ultrasound beam has to be positioned at an angle with respect to the blood vessel. For a reliable velocity assessment, it is necessary that this angle is accurately known, since small deviations already result in large velocity errors (Fillinger and Schwartz 1993; Gill 1985). To relate the velocity measurement to volume flow, assumptions have to be made on the axial velocity distribution across the vessel. The position of the vessel walls needs to be accurately known in order to perform the integration from velocity to flow. Furthermore, an accurate assessment of vessel wall motion is required for local pressure estimation from the flow/area relation (Rabben et al. 2004). However, the position of the vessel walls can only be assessed accurately with the ultrasound beam in perpendicular orientation with respect to the vessel. This renders a simultaneous measurement of velocity by Doppler ultrasound and wall position impossible, hampering an accurate flow assessment. Furthermore, most arteries are tapered, curved and bifurcating, causing the axial velocity distribution to be altered by transversal velocities, resulting in asymmetrical axial velocity profiles and consequently in inaccurate flow estimations (Krams et al. 2005). For volume flow estimation in curved vessels, the reader is referred to part B of this article (Beulen et al. 2010).

Several blood velocity measurement techniques based on ultrasound have been reported to overcome the angle dependency of Doppler ultrasound and to allow 2D velocity estimation. Vector Doppler methods were introduced, in which ultrasound beams, angled with respect to
Ultrasound speckle velocimetry (USV) allows flow imaging with a high spatial resolution and a negligible angle dependency (Bohs et al. 1993, 1995; Sandrin et al. 2001; Trahey et al. 1987). The USV technique enables assessment of 2D velocity vectors by analyzing the acoustic speckle pattern of the flow field. However, for an accurate, low noise velocity assessment, this technique requires specially modified ultrasound systems, custom scanning sequences and custom-developed ultrasound transducers (Bohs et al. 2000; Sandrin et al. 2001). To induce a large amount of scattering, high concentrations of scattering particles are applied. However, due to the requirement of very high particle concentrations (Kim et al. 2004a), the application of USV for in vivo applications is limited. Additionally, the presence of velocity gradients seriously affects the USV performance (Adrian 1991).

Jensen and Munk (1998) introduced the transverse oscillation (TO) method, which is based on the principle of applying a transverse spatial modulation to enable the assessment of motion transverse to the ultrasound beam. Both experiments in an experimental setup and in vivo (Udesen and Jensen 2003, 2004) have shown that the TO method allows an accurate assessment of blood velocity for transverse flow. Although at this point, experimental ultrasound systems are used to implement the TO method, this method may have the potential of being implemented in a commercial scanner for real-time estimation.

The application of particle image velocimetry (PIV) techniques (Adrian 2005) to ultrasound was first reported by Crapper et al. (2000). PIV algorithms were applied to B-mode video images of a sediment-laden flow, resulting in estimated velocities up to 6 cm s⁻¹. Kim et al. (2004a, b) introduced Echo PIV: advanced PIV algorithms were applied on second harmonic images enhanced by an ultrasound contrast agent (UCA), generated by a commercially available ultrasound scanner equipped with a phased array sensor transducer. This allowed the assessment of the 2D velocity field, with a spatial resolution of 1.2 × 1.7 mm at the image center. For steady flow, a dynamic range of 1–60 cm s⁻¹ was reported, obtained at a temporal resolution of 3.8 ms. For pulsating flow, 18 cycles were ensemble averaged to obtain axial velocity profiles with a peak velocity up to 50 cm s⁻¹ at a temporal resolution of 2 ms. Liu et al. (2008) developed a custom-designed ultrasound system equipped with a linear array probe to ensure a more uniform axial and lateral resolution compared to the phased array transducer. The custom-designed system allowed flexible control of the Echo PIV parameters. A comparison between Doppler and echo PIV-based measured peak velocity on high speed non-laminar flow up to 140 cm s⁻¹ showed a maximum deviation of 6.6%. For the measured flow rates, echo PIV imaging parameters such as frame rate, beam line density and spatial resolution were adjusted such that the maximum velocity was accurately captured.

In this study, an ultrasound velocity assessment technique similar to echo PIV, which focuses on the assessment of the velocity component perpendicular to the ultrasound beam, is applied. This makes a simultaneous assessment of axial velocity profile and vessel wall position possible, thereby enabling an accurate assessment of volume flow and facilitating a simultaneous pressure estimation. Contrary to the previously summarized velocity assessment techniques, the validation is performed in an experimental setup, in which physiological flows are generated using a fluid, which mimics both the acoustic and rheological properties of blood. Velocity profile measurements are performed by applying PIV-based analysis techniques to raw RF-data acquired with a commercially available, clinically approved ultrasound system, equipped with a linear array transducer. Resulting velocity profiles are compared to analytical and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) calculations. Finally, the velocity profiles are integrated to volume flow and compared to reference flow measurements.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental setup

In the experimental setup (Fig. 1), a fluid, mimicking the acoustic and rheological properties of blood, is pumped from a reservoir through a compliant tube, which mimics the blood vessel. A polyurethane tube (HemoLab, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) with a length of about 1.5 m, a radius of 4 mm and a wall thickness of 0.1 mm is applied to mimic the common carotid artery (CCA). The tube is fully submerged in a reservoir of water to prevent deformation under influence of gravity. Additionally, the water acts as an excellent conductor of sound. The tube is terminated by an impedance from which the fluid flows back, through a reservoir, to the inlet of the pump. For the terminal impedance, a Windkessel model is applied. The viscous dissipation in the distal vessel, \( R \), and the viscous dissipation in the distal capillary bed \( R_p \), are modeled by local narrowing, the compliance of the arterial system, \( C \), is modeled by an air-chamber.

The flow is generated by combining a steady pump and a servo-actuator operated piston pump (indicated in Fig. 1 by a single symbol). The steady pump (Pacific Scientific, IL,
In general, scattering particles used in BMF are chosen to be naturally buoyant (Ramnarine et al. 1998) with respect to the fluid base. This implies an accurate control of the fluid density to prevent the particles to sediment or float. The shear thinning properties of the developed BMF reduce sedimentation and floating of particles since slow moving particles experience a relatively high drag force, due to high viscosity at low shear. This facilitates the application of the BMF in the phantom setup.

The velocity distribution across the vessel cannot be described by Poiseuille (steady flow) or Womersley (unsteady flow) theory, since these were derived for Newtonian fluids. Mathematically, the BMF can be modelled as a generalized, non-Newtonian shear thinning liquid. Application of a power-law model (Bird 1987) enables an analytic approach for calculating the velocity distribution. The power-law model is given by

\[ \eta = \eta_0 (\dot{\gamma})^{n-1}, \]

with \( \eta_0 \) the viscosity at \( \dot{\gamma} = 1/\lambda \), a time constant \( \lambda \) and \( n \) the power-law constant. The power-law model can only be applied to describe the viscosity at intermediate shear rates, since very low and very high shear rates result in physically unrealistic values for the viscosity.

Assuming that the non-Newtonian properties of a fully developed, steady flow can be characterized by a power-law model at characteristic shear rate, the velocity distribution for fully developed flow in a straight circular tube is given by Bird (1987):

\[ v(r) = \frac{Q}{\pi a^2} \frac{1}{r^{1/n}} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^{3/n} \right)^{1/n}, \]

in which \( n = n(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}}) \). The characteristic shear rate \( \dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}} \) is defined as (Gijse et al. 1999):

\[ \dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}} = \frac{2Q}{\pi a^3}, \]

in which \( Q \) is volume flow rate through the vessel and \( a \), the radius of the vessel. Consequently, for shear thinning fluids, this results in velocity profiles that are flattened (\( n < 1 \)) compared to the Poiseuille profiles for Newtonian flow (\( n = 1 \)).

A more realistic viscosity model, which can be applied in CFD analysis, is given by the Carreau-Yasuda model (Bird 1987):

\[ \frac{\eta - \eta_\infty}{\eta_0 - \eta_\infty} = [1 + (\dot{\gamma}/\dot{\gamma}_b)^{\alpha} - \eta_\infty]}, \]

with \( \eta_0 \) the viscosity at low shear rate, \( \eta_\infty \) the viscosity at high shear rate, \( \dot{\gamma} \) a time constant and \( n \) the power-law constant. The parameter \( b \) determines the transition between the low-shear-rate region and the power-law region.
2.3 Data acquisition

In ultrasound imaging, an ultrasonic pulse is transmitted into a test medium. Subsequently, the echo (RF) signal, consisting of contributions due to scattering and reflections, is received. By time of flight analysis, the depth information corresponding to the RF-signal is obtained. Analysis of the scattering and reflection contributions in the RF-signal allows to estimate tissue and blood flow properties.

In this study, the commercially available Picus Art.Lab ultrasound system (ESAOTE Europe, Maastricht, The Netherlands) is used to collect the raw RF-data for offline processing. The system is equipped with a 7.5 MHz linear array transducer of 40 mm, consisting of 128 transducer elements. The RF-data are sampled at 33 MHz \( f_s \) and have an approximate center frequency of 6.8 MHz and a quality factor (the center frequency divided by the bandwidth) of 2.

For the PIV measurements, the ultrasound system is operated in fast B-mode (high frame rate B-mode), also called multiple M-line mode. Each frame is composed of 14 adjacent M-mode lines generated at a pitch of 0.315 mm. To maximize the signal level at the focal point, the electrical focus is set equal to the mechanical focus, which is fixed at 2 cm from the transducer surface. The frame rate of the ultrasound system is determined by the number of M-lines and the pulse repetition frequency \( f_{pr} \), the frequency at which individual M-mode lines are acquired, which depends on the maximum depth setting of the ultrasound system. For the PIV measurements the depth is set to 50 mm, which results in a frame rate, \( f \), of 730 s\(^{-1}\). The maximum measurement time is hardware limited to about 3.8 s. The RF-data matrix obtained from the system is a 3D function of depth \( r \), time \( t \) and position along the probe \( z \) (Fig. 3).

2.4 Data processing

The RF-data were processed on a PC using Matlab (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). After removal of the DC component of the RF signals, a 4th order Butterworth band pass filter (4.2 and 12.5 MHz) according to the quality factor of the ultrasound beam is applied. A 4th order Butterworth high pass filter with a cutoff frequency of 20 Hz is applied in the temporal direction to suppress static and slow moving objects (e.g. wall reverberations).

A cross-correlation-based technique similar as in PIV (Adrian 2005) is applied to determine the axial velocity distribution of the flow through the tube. In optical PIV, the spatial resolution is determined by the number of pixels in the image sensor in combination with the interrogation area size. In the case of a square data area, this results in an equal resolution for both spatial directions. For the ultrasound data, the field of view (FOV) is rectangularly shaped (Fig. 2). The width of the FOV is determined by the transducer element width, \( W_{ch} \), which is equal to \( 0.315 \times 10^{-3} \) m, and the number of active transducer elements. For 14 adjacent M-mode lines and an imaging depth set to 50 mm this results in a FOV of \( 4.4 \times 50.0 \) mm.

The spatial resolution along the ultrasound beam, determined by the ultrasound wavelength, is much higher than the resolution in perpendicular direction, which depends on the overall size of the aperture, the degree of focusing, the ultrasound frequency and the imaging depth. Because of this, data windows applied to the RF-data have a small width of 0.2 mm in radial direction and are rather large width of 4.4 mm (14 M-mode lines) in axial direction. Taking into account, the sample rate of 33 MHz and the velocity of the ultrasound wave in water (1,510 m s\(^{-1}\)), 0.2 mm in radial direction corresponds to eight samples. The axially stretched data windows are consistent with the objective to accurately assess axial velocity profile and flow through vessels: the small radial dimension of the data window allows the estimation of axial velocity in the presence of large radial gradients, additionally, the axial gradients in axial velocity are presumed to be small compared to the radial gradients, justifying the large axial dimension of the data window.

The anterior \( \xi_{an} \) and posterior wall positions \( \xi_{wp} \) have been identified by means of a sustain attack filter (Meinders et al. 2001). The sustain attack filter is an automatic edge detector based on constructing a reference signal, decaying as a function of depth. The filter works on the envelope of the RF-data. For the cross-correlation, 50% overlapping data windows are applied to the lumen of the vessel. Positions along the coordinate axis \( \xi \) are expressed in ultrasound sample points. The data windows are indicated by their respective center coordinate \( \xi_{uw} \), in which \( 1 \leq i \leq N \), with \( N \) the total number of applied data windows in a single frame. The position of the data windows, expressed in meters, \( r_{uw}^i \), with respect to the centerline is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_{uw}^i &= \xi_{uw}^f + r_{uw}^i,
\end{align*}
\]

![Fig. 2 B-mode image of the RF-data with a typical velocity profile (left) and a schematic overview of the field of view (right). The anterior \( \xi_{an} \) and posterior wall position \( \xi_{wp} \) of the vessel is indicated as well as a rectangular data window \( \xi_{uw}^f \) used for cross-correlation. It should be noted that individual particles are not visible](image)
in which \( c \) is the velocity of sound for the BMF and \( f_s \) the ultrasound sampling frequency. The vessel radius is determined by
\[
a = \frac{c}{f_s} (\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_w).
\]

Like in optical PIV analysis, for each data window in the acquired frames, the shift between two corresponding data windows from subsequent frames was calculated by performing a 2D cross-correlation in the time domain on the raw RF-data and determination of the peak position in the cross-correlation plane (Fig. 3).

The position of the peak, \( \delta \mathbf{x}(r_w^i) = (\delta \xi(r_w^i), \delta \eta(r_w^i)) \), is determined by finding the location of the maximum in the cross-correlation plane after application of a Hilbert transformation, which is applied to calculate the envelope. According to the central limit theory (Evans et al. 2000), the backscattered echo is Gaussian distributed since it is composed of signal contributions due to many independent scatters (Cloutier et al. 2004). Consequently, to gather subpixel information for the peak position, a three point Gaussian-fit estimator (Westerweel 1993) is applied for determining the fractional displacement \( \epsilon(r_w^i) = (\epsilon_x(r_w^i), \epsilon_y(r_w^i)) \).

\[
\epsilon_x(r_w^i) = \frac{\ln(\delta \psi(r_w^i) - 1) - \ln(\delta \psi(r_w^i) + 1)}{2[\ln(\delta \psi(r_w^i) - 1) + \ln(\delta \psi(r_w^i) + 1) - 2\ln(\delta \psi(r_w^i))]}
\]

for \( \psi = \xi, \eta \).

For each data window, this resulted in the sub-pixel peak position, with respect to the center of the cross-correlation plane, \( \delta \mathbf{x}_s = \delta \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} + \delta \mathbf{z} \). The position of the peak with respect to the center of the cross-correlation plane, \( \delta \mathbf{x}_s \), provides an estimate of both the average radial and average axial velocity of the fluid inside the data window. Regarding the fact that a single frame is composed from multiple M-mode lines acquired at the \( 2f_{pr} \) (ultrasound B-mode imaging is a swept process), the axial velocity was calculated from the axial shift, \( \delta \xi(r_w^i) \), by

\[
v_z(r_w^i) = \Delta z = \frac{W_{s \xi} \delta \xi(r_w^i)}{f^{-1} f_{pr}^{-1} \delta \zeta(r_w^i)},
\]

in which \( \Delta z \) is the axial shift expressed in meters and \( \Delta t \) the actual time difference in seconds corresponding to the axial shift. It should be remarked that \( f_{pr}^{-1} \delta \zeta(r_w^i) \) corrects for the time needed to sweep to the M-mode line corresponding to the axial shift \( \delta \zeta(r_w^i) \). The + sign indicates that the sweeping direction of the scanner and the direction of the flow are the same. The radial velocity can be calculated from the radial shift, \( \delta \zeta(r_w^i) \), by

\[
v_r(r_w^i) = \Delta r = \frac{2c \delta \zeta(r_w^i)}{f_{pr}^{-1}},
\]

in which \( \Delta r \) is the axial shift expressed in meters and \( \Delta t \) the actual time difference in seconds corresponding to the radial shift. In this study, only the axial velocity was assessed, since no radial velocity components are present for developed flow in a straight vessel.

2.5 Validation

The cross-correlation-based velocity estimation method was applied to measure axial velocity profiles for both fully developed steady and unsteady flows. The assessed profiles were compared to analytical solutions and CFD computations for the axial velocity profile based on the blood volume flow as estimated by means of the flow probe. The kinematic viscosity of the BMF was measured as function of shear rate with a Couette rheometer (RFs 2, Rheometrics Scientific) in order to calculate axial velocity profiles. A least squares fit of Eq. (4) was performed to estimate the parameters in the Carreau-Yasuda model.

The steady flow was generated with a constant head system positioned between the steady pump and the inlet of the phantom vessel to attenuate possible flow oscillations
caused by the steady pump. By varying the resistance, \( R \), at the outlet of the phantom vessel, steady flow rates ranging from 0.23 to 1.23 \( \text{l min}^{-1} \) were generated, corresponding to characteristic shear rates (3) of 38 and 204 \( \text{s}^{-1} \), respectively. Using these values, the Reynolds number, \( Re \) defined as:

\[
Re = \frac{2\bar{v}\rho}{\eta(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}})},
\]

in which \( \bar{v} \) is the average axial velocity and \( \rho \) the BMF density, ranges from 75 to 800. The Womersley number, \( \alpha \) is defined by:

\[
\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{v}\rho}{\eta}},
\]

and ranges from 8 to 12.

Flow rates were measured by means of the flow probe, which was calibrated before each measurement using a stopwatch and a measuring beaker. For each flow rate an ultrasound measurement was performed. The RF-data were filtered as described in the previous section. Application of the cross-correlation algorithm resulted in 2,816 instantaneous velocity profile estimations, sampled at 730 Hz. After the filter as described in the previous section. Application of the cross-correlation algorithm resulted in 2,816 instantaneous velocity profile estimations, sampled at 730 Hz. A median filter with a temporal and spatial window size of, respectively, 4 and 9, was applied to remove outliers. The average velocity profile measurement was compared with the analytic approximation of the characteristic shear rate was based on the mean flow estimate of

\[
\dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}} = \frac{3}{2}\dot{\gamma} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{q}{\pi r^4}
\]

and are presented in Table 1. The calculated correlation coefficients between the measured values and the fitted squares fit of (4) on the viscosity data presented in Fig. 4 and are presented in Table 1. The calculated correlation coefficient between the measured values and the fitted values was 0.9987.

The speed of sound was assessed by a time of flight measurement using a single ultrasound transducer in a well-known geometry. From the measurement of time differences \( \Delta t \) and the known distances \( \Delta x \) a speed of sound equal to 1,510 \( \text{m s}^{-1} \) was calculated at room temperature.

A finite-element CFD model of a rigid walled straight tube (Beulen et al. 2009; van de Vosse et al. 2003) was applied to calculate the time-dependent velocity distribution across the vessel. The shear rate dependency of the viscosity was incorporated by implementing the Carreau-Yasuda model (4) in the CFD model. For the boundary conditions, at the inlet, the flow as assessed in the experiments was prescribed, at the walls, the no-slip condition was applied. The results of the instantaneous velocity profile measurement were compared with the CFD computations.

Subsequently, the flow measurements were compared to flow estimates based on the integration of the measured axial velocity profiles.

3 Results

3.1 Blood mimicking fluid

The viscosity was determined for shear rates between 0.1 \( \text{s}^{-1} \) and 1,000 \( \text{s}^{-1} \) with ten measurements per decade (Fig. 4).

The parameters \( \eta_0, \eta_\infty, \dot{\gamma}, \alpha \) and \( n \), of the Carreau-Yasuda model describing the BMF were determined with a least squares fit of (4) on the viscosity data presented in Fig. 4 and are presented in Table 1. The calculated correlation coefficient between the measured values and the fitted values was 0.9987.

The speed of sound was assessed by a time of flight measurement using a single ultrasound transducer in a well-known geometry. From the measurement of time differences \( \Delta t \) and the known distances \( \Delta x \) a speed of sound equal to 1,510 \( \text{m s}^{-1} \) was calculated at room temperature.

\[
\eta \quad \text{(kg m s}^{-1})
\]

\[
\dot{\gamma} \quad \text{(s}^{-1})\n\]

Fig. 4 Shear thinning behavior of the BMF, filled circle indicates measurements, the solid line indicates the fit.
The density of the BMF was calculated by measuring the mass of a known volume of BMF (volumetric pipette) by means of a Mettler balance and was found to be equal to 1,100 kg m\(^{-3}\).

### 3.2 Steady flow

For each measurement, the flow rate, the Reynolds number, the corresponding characteristic shear rate and the resulting power-law constant are presented in Table 2. A comparison between the mean velocity profiles for the ultrasound measurement and the analytic solution of the velocity profile is shown in Fig. 5. The results were non-dimensionalized by the radius \(a\), of the vessel. The ultrasound transducer was located at \(r/a \approx -5\).

Excellent agreement was found between the analytic solution and the ultrasound measurements. The root mean square value of the deviation between the measured and calculated velocity profiles ranges from 1 cm s\(^{-1}\), for the lowest flow rate, to 4 cm s\(^{-1}\), for the higher flow rates. For the two highest flow rates (1.10 and 1.23 l min\(^{-1}\)), the measured flow profiles appear to be more flat than the calculated velocity profiles.

### 3.3 Unsteady flow

A comparison between the instantaneous velocity profile measurement and the CFD solution of the velocity profile is presented in Fig. 6. The velocity profiles are shown at 8 distinct phases in the period. The results were non-dimensionalized by the radius, \(a\), of the vessel. Again the ultrasound transducer was located at \(r/a \approx -5\).

#### Table 2 Overview of the flow rate, Reynolds number and the characteristic shear rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Q) (l min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(Re)</th>
<th>(\dot{\gamma}_{\text{char}}) (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the measurements agree very well with the calculated velocity profiles, although minor deviations occur in the near wall region. Especially, during the systolic peak deviations between calculation and measurement occur. Near \(r/a = -0.5\), significant fluctuations of the assessed velocity occur due to an ill-suppressed reverberation.

Integration of the velocity profile over the cross sectional area of the vessel results in a flow estimate. A comparison of the ultrasound-based flow estimate and the direct volume flow measurement is presented in Fig. 7. To both flow waveforms a low pass, zero-phase Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 40 Hz was applied to suppress high frequency noise.

Both flow waveforms agree very well, no significant deviations occurred.

### 4 Discussion

The steady flow measurements indicate that the deviation between the time averaged ultrasound velocity measurement and the analytic solution in the core region is at most about 3 cm s\(^{-1}\). For the highest flow rate, the measured velocity profile appears to be more flat than the calculated velocity profile. Here, the velocity limit of the PIV correlation method is reached, resulting in false-positive correlations giving rise to lower velocities in the middle of the vessel. For the measurements at lower flow rates, there is a good agreement between the measured values and the CFD values. For both the steady and unsteady flow, the deviation between calculated and measured velocity profile increases in the near wall region, 0.9 < \(|r/a| < 1.0\). This can be caused by the fact that the signal of the wall dominates the scattering signal in this region, which results in errors in the velocity estimation.
For the unsteady flow, at peak systole, a drop of signal occurs at $r/a = -0.7$, which is approximately the position at which the reverberation of the anterior wall appears. For steady flow, the reverberation is static, allowing the high pass filter to suppress the reverberation. However, for unsteady flow, the reverberation is slightly moving. As a result, the applied high pass filter is not able to adequately suppress the reverberations. Furthermore, the velocity approximation is found to be systematically high from $r = -0.5$ to $r = 0.9$ for $t_2$ and $t_3$. The small deviations observed are probably caused by measurement errors caused by the flow probe, which result in errors in the CFD-derived velocity profiles.

Kim et al. (2004b) have shown that the accuracy and resolution of EchoPIV are improved by application of iterative schemes (Hart 2000) and smart window offsetting (Westerweel et al. 1997). In principle, these techniques could be applied to the RF-data acquired in this study. Although the resolution in radial direction is sufficient, in axial direction, some improvement is desired. However, in axial direction only 14 samples are available, limiting the application of the earlier mentioned techniques.

Before a practical in vivo application of the velocity estimation technique is possible, a few issues need to be considered.

First, the ultrasonic backscatter from flowing blood is found to be dependent on the local hemodynamics (Yuan and Shung 1989). The resulting variation in echogenicity is probably caused by the combined effect of shear rate and acceleration on red blood cell aggregation (Paeng et al. 2004a, b). A drop in echogenicity could have an adverse effect on the accuracy of velocity estimation. To study these consequences, actual blood should be applied in the phantom setup, since for the BMF no aggregation of the scatterers occurs, resulting in a constant echogenicity.

Secondly, in vivo most arteries are curved, resulting in secondary velocity components and an asymmetric axial velocity distribution. The secondary velocity components

Fig. 6 Comparison of the ultrasound measurement and the calculated velocity profile (open circle ultrasound measurement; solid line CFD calculation)

Fig. 7 Comparison of the ultrasound-based flow estimate and the flow probe estimate

For the unsteady flow, at peak systole, a drop of signal occurs at $r/a \approx -0.7$, which is approximately the position at which the reverberation of the anterior wall appears. For steady flow, the reverberation is static, allowing the high pass filter to suppress the reverberation. However, for unsteady flow, the reverberation is slightly moving. As a result, the applied high pass filter is not able to adequately suppress the reverberations. Furthermore, the velocity approximation is found to be systematically high from $r = -0.5$ to $r = 0.9$ for $t_2$ and $t_3$. The small deviations observed are probably caused by measurement errors caused by the flow probe, which result in errors in the CFD-derived velocity profiles.

Kim et al. (2004b) have shown that the accuracy and resolution of EchoPIV are improved by application of iterative schemes (Hart 2000) and smart window offsetting (Westerweel et al. 1997). In principle, these techniques could be applied to the RF-data acquired in this study. Although the resolution in radial direction is sufficient, in axial direction, some improvement is desired. However, in axial direction only 14 samples are available, limiting the application of the earlier mentioned techniques.
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First, the ultrasonic backscatter from flowing blood is found to be dependent on the local hemodynamics (Yuan and Shung 1989). The resulting variation in echogenicity is probably caused by the combined effect of shear rate and acceleration on red blood cell aggregation (Paeng et al. 2004a, b). A drop in echogenicity could have an adverse effect on the accuracy of velocity estimation. To study these consequences, actual blood should be applied in the phantom setup, since for the BMF no aggregation of the scatterers occurs, resulting in a constant echogenicity.

Secondly, in vivo most arteries are curved, resulting in secondary velocity components and an asymmetric axial velocity distribution. The secondary velocity components

FIG. 6 Comparison of the ultrasound measurement and the calculated velocity profile (open circle ultrasound measurement; solid line CFD calculation)

FIG. 7 Comparison of the ultrasound-based flow estimate and the flow probe estimate
will result in a motion of the scatterers, transverse to the measurement plane. This may have an adverse effect on the accuracy of velocity estimation. Furthermore, measurements of the axial velocity distribution will result in asymmetric velocity profiles, for which the integration to obtain flow is not trivial. Both consequences will be looked into in upcoming studies.

Finally, probably the biggest challenge for implementation of the velocity estimation technique for in vivo application is the development of proper clutter removal filters. As in vivo, the relative strength of the wall signal with respect to the blood signal is about 60 dB higher, signifying the importance of these filters. The unsteady flow measurements have shown that reverberations can cause significant errors in the velocity estimation and that adequate filtering is required to suppress these wall signals. In the phantom setup, the reverberation occurs at a discrete position because of the uniform vessel wall thickness and properties. As a result, the velocity estimation is disrupted only locally. However, in vivo, reverberations are present throughout the lumen, further complicating the velocity estimation.

Under the assumption that an adequate anti-clutter filter can be developed, this technique allows a beat to beat analysis of the velocity distribution(flow using a commercially available and clinically approved ultrasound system. In the future, a real-time assessment might be possible, since no averaging is required. Furthermore, in combination with pulse wave velocity and distension measurements, a simultaneous assessment of pressure and flow will be enabled. This will allow ultrasound to be extended from a local property to a global properties assessment method in clinical practice.

5 Conclusion

An ultrasound velocity assessment technique is introduced, which allows the estimation of velocity components perpendicularly to the ultrasound beam, using a commercially available ultrasound scanner equipped with a linear array probe. Validation measurements in a phantom setup, with the linear array probe in perpendicular orientation with respect to the vessel have shown that a beat to beat assessment of the axial velocity profile is feasible. In the core region ($r/a < 0.9$), an accuracy of $3 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ is achieved; in the near wall region ($0.9 < r/a < 1$), the accuracy decreases due to the presence of the wall. For a physiologically relevant flow, a successful integration from velocity profile to flow has been performed indicating that this technique can be a valuable asset for an accurate flow estimation in vessels in clinical applications.
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