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Small pore size and hydrophobic nature of DD3R make this material a unique zeolite with high potential in industrial separation applications. However, the reproducible rapid synthesis of this zeolite is still a problem. In this work, a thorough assessment of different synthetic methods revealed that synthesis reproducibility relies on two main pillars: the use of properly cleaned autoclave liners and the synthesis composition. High quality DD3R crystals are obtained when KOH is used as a cleaning agent, eliminating memory effects, and when KF is used in the synthesis as a mineralizing agent. The effect of fluoride addition is investigated by use of several characterization techniques (1H, 19F and 29Si MAS-NMR and (2D) 29Si–1H correlation spectra), while monitoring the temporal crystallization of DD3R. 29Si–1H NMR reveals that template molecules accommodated within the cages are sticking to these 8-ring windows through their amine group. High quality DD3R crystals are applied in the adsorptive separation of buta-1,3-diene and but-2-ene isomers, one of the most energy intensive separations in chemical industry. Mixture separation experiments revealed that the 8-ring apertures of the DD3R cages are only accessible to trans-but-2-ene and buta-1,3-diene, while excluding but-1-ene and cis-but-2-ene molecules, resulting in shape-selective separation in the presence of C4 mixtures.

1 Introduction

Zeolites are microporous aluminasilicates widely employed in chemical industry as molecular sieves and catalysts. The combination of high surface area, high adsorption capacity and pores of molecular dimensions in highly stable crystalline structures makes this special class of aluminosilicates (zeolites) the solid of choice in many catalytic and separation processes.

Decadodecasil 3R (DDR) is formed by combining 10 (deca) hedron [45561] with 12 (dodeca) hedron [51] cages yielding the only accessible cage, 19 hedron [451268]. DDR belongs to the porosils (SiO2 polymorphs), and further to zeolits (the expression “zeolite” has nowadays a broader meaning, to include all microporous silica-based solids presenting crystalline walls, including those materials where a fraction of Si atoms has been substituted by another element, T, in the case of zeolits, Si/T is larger than 500; these compounds are essentially Si-based, but, contrary to clathrasils, the porosity of these materials is accessible) as a consequence of its channel-like pore geometry and 8-membered ring (8R) windows. These 8R pore openings enable only small molecules to adsorb, which makes DDR a very attractive size selective adsorbent.

Several materials with the DDR topology have been reported: Sigma-1, DD3R* and ZSM-58. Sigma-1 is the aluminium-containing version of DD3R, requiring adamantylamine as the structure directing agent (SDA), whereas ZSM-58 is synthesized using a different SDA, methyltripropiniumiodide. ZSM-58 can be synthesized with Si/Al ratios varying between 30 and ∞. The challenge in the synthesis of DD3R is its poor reproducibility. Formation of competitive phases like D1H (DOH) and Sigma-2 (SGT) under similar synthesis composition might also occur. These competitive crystalline products do not only decrease the purity of the desired phase, but they can also stick to the surface of the synthesis containers, acting as seed crystals and hindering future syntheses of DD3R, resulting in the so-called ‘memory effect’.

In the last decade we have demonstrated that materials with the DDR topology show a great potential in the fields of separation and catalysis. Regarding adsorptive based separations, the selective adsorption of propylene over propane and trans-but-2-ene and buta-1,3-diene over other butane and but-2-ene isomers, together with the high uptakes of ethylene on the DD3R, was firstly reported by our group when studying single component adsorption using a Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance (TEOM). Later, the separation of propane/propylene mixtures was studied in detail by breakthrough
experiments, which demonstrated the shape selective adsorption behaviour of DD3R for propylene.13

As a result of the close boiling points of unsaturated C4 isomers, their separation is among the most energy intensive processes in chemical industry. Usually a combination of separation methods is applied. The most difficult steps in the whole process are the extractive separation of buta-1,3-diene from buta-1-ene and the consecutive separation of buta-1-ene from buta-2-enes, as high-purity buta-1-ene is needed in the production of polymers.24 In addition, the possible separation of both cis and trans buta-2-enes isomers is of importance for the production of high performance polymers.

The synthesis of DD3R was firstly reported by Gies et al. in 1986 and later optimized and scaled up by den Exter et al.,25 enabling a better characterization of the material. However, this synthesis required long synthesis times, typically from 25 to 42 days. Gascon et al.13 reduced the synthesis time to 2 days by the addition of DD3R seeds. Along the same line, Yajima and Nakayama26 decreased the amount of template and the amount of seed crystals in the synthesis of DD3R based membranes. Recently, Yang et al.27 reported the synthesis of DD3R in fluoro medium. Instead of the originally applied mobilizing agent ethylenediamine, KF was reported to drive the formation of pure phase DD3R. In the latter, the addition of seeds to the synthesis mixture reduced the synthesis time from 10 to 1 day.

The benefits of fluoride addition in the form of HF or NH4F have been very often reported.28-41 Fluoride may act as a structure directing agent (SDA) and/or as a mineralizing agent (MA). When fluoride acts as a structure directing agent,29,30 it is proposed that fluoride tends to be placed at the smallest interstices available in the zeolite structure. The fluoride may yield negatively charged fluoro-silicate moieties that need to be compensated by the positively charged SDA molecules, like protonated alkylamines. Regarding the second, fluoride is thought to accelerate silanol condensation and thereby prevent the formation of Si–O–Si connection defects.30,31,34 Until the work of Yang et al.27 the synthesis of DD3R, normally carried out with a non-cationic template, in the presence of fluoride had not been reported before, while the actual role of the fluoride is not known yet.

In this work, special attention is paid to the reproducible and rapid synthesis of DD3R. Three synthesis methods were chosen for this assessment: Yajima and Nakayama,26 Gascon et al.13 and Yang et al.27 with a special emphasis on cleaning procedures to overcome the memory effects. To unravel the specific role of the addition of fluoride to the synthesis and to optimize synthesis procedures, three cleaning methods are practised to avoid memory effects. These cleaning procedures include aqueous solutions of NaOH, HF and KOH with the molarities shown in Table 2 and each step is applied once overnight. Cleaning with NaOH is only carried out at high temperatures while with KOH both room temperature (RT) and high temperature (HT) conditions are practised. Cleaning with HF at high temperatures is not desired in view of the high toxicity of the acid, and thus only cleaning at low temperature is studied. In addition, due to adverse effects of HF to the synthesis,27 after cleaning at room temperature with HF, the next day the polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) liner is also cleaned with water under the same conditions. Apart from the memory effect experiments, after every synthesis the PTFE liners used were cleaned with 1 M KOH at a temperature higher than the synthesis temperature (≥10 °C) under rotation.

2 Characterization

The crystalline structure of the powders was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker AXS D5005 with CuKα radiation.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed by means of a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA851e, on 10 mg samples in an air flow of 100 ml min⁻¹ at a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹ up to 1173 K. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was measured in a JEOL JSM 6500F setup coupled to an Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (EDS) for micro-analysis.

Nitrogen adsorption at 77 K in a Quantachrome Autosorb-6B unit gas adsorption analyzer was used to determine the textural properties at the BET surface area between 0.05 and 0.15 relative pressures and pore volume at 0.95 relative pressure.

¹³C (MAS) NMR spectroscopy for the determination of the protonation state of adamantylamine was performed on a Bruker Avance-400 with a 5 mm zirconia rotor and a spinning frequency of 11 kHz. Rest of the MAS NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DMX 500 spectrometer operating at ¹H, ¹⁹F and ²⁹Si NMR frequencies of 500, 471 and 99 MHz, respectively. For ¹⁹F MAS NMR the proton channel of a 2.5 mm MAS probe head was tuned to the ¹⁹F NMR frequency. Single-pulse
excitation with a 90° pulse of 2.5 μs was applied and the samples were rotated at a rate of 15 kHz. The relaxation delay between subsequent NMR scans was 600 s, which is 1.3 times the spin-lattice relaxation time $T_1 \approx 450$ s of crystalline KF. Because of the long $T_1$ time of KF, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) was used as an external reference for pulse and chemical-shift calibration ($\delta = -124$ ppm with respect to CFCl$_3$ (ref. 44)). Proton decoupled $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra were recorded by use of a 4 mm MAS probe head and a sample-rotation rate of 5 kHz. To suppress baseline artefacts arising from probe ringing, direct-excitation \(^{29}\)Si NMR spectra were recorded using a Hahn-echo pulse sequence $90°/C_14-\tau-180°/C_14-\tau$, two pulse sequence with a 90° pulse of 5 μs, and a delay $\tau = 2.5$ μs. One-dimensional \(^1\)H-\(^{29}\)Si cross-polarization spectra were recorded with a ramp-shaped proton pulse combined with a rectangular $^{29}$Si pulse of 10 ms and an interscan delay of 5 s. Two-dimensional \(^1\)H-\(^{29}\)Si correlation spectra were recorded with a rectangular pulse of 3 ms with carefully matched amplitudes on both channels. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was employed as an external reference for the chemical shift for \(^1\)H and \(^{29}\)Si NMR.

### 2.4 Adsorptive separation

Breakthrough experiments were carried out using a column with a length of 5 cm and an outer diameter of 1/4 in, packed with 320 mg of pelletized (5 ton cm$^{-2}$) sample (particle size between 500 and 710 μm). More details about the experimental setup can be found elsewhere.\textsuperscript{45} In situ activation of the adsorbent was performed in a pure He flow of 0.616 mmol min$^{-1}$ at 473 K for 10 h.

Gases were provided by HoekLoos (minimum purity 99.95%). Gas mixtures were prepared via mass flow controllers. The residence time of the empty volume in the system (tubing, interparticle space) is calculated by a separate experiment using both but-1-ene and helium as the reference components and used to correct the breakthrough time and mass balance calculations.

The outlet of the column was analyzed by means of a gas chromatograph (GC). Hydrogen breakthrough experiments were carried out to determine the system volume. In this case the outlet flows were analyzed using a mass spectrometer (MS). Since

### Table 1
Comparison of the three methods used with respect to synthesis conditions, compositions and reactants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Silica source</th>
<th>Mineralizing agent (MA) source</th>
<th>Synthesis molar composition</th>
<th>MA/ADA</th>
<th>ADA/SiO$_2$</th>
<th>H$_2$O/SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Synthesis temperature/K</th>
<th>Synthesis time/h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yajima et al. Method</td>
<td>Snowtex-30</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine (EDA)</td>
<td>2.68 : 100 : 1600 : 2400</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gascon et al. Method</td>
<td>Aerosil 200</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine (EDA)</td>
<td>47 : 100 : 404 : 11 240</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. Method</td>
<td>Ludox-30</td>
<td>Potassium fluoride dihydrate (KF $\cdot $H$_2$O)</td>
<td>47 : 100 : 100 : 8000</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Details of the cleaning techniques used (+ or − represents whether it is applied or not)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cleaning at room temperature</th>
<th>Cleaning at high temperature (190 °C)</th>
<th>Cleaning with water at room temperature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HF</td>
<td>KOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molarity of the solution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning at room temperature</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning at high temperature (190 °C)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning with water at room temperature</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1 Synthesis procedure used for the three different methods\textsuperscript{13,26,27} in this study.
during the experiments pure hydrocarbon mixtures are fed to the column, throughout the adsorption process the exit flow rate of the column may change from zero (complete adsorption) to the inlet flow rate. Hence, a constant flow of helium (4.10 mmol min\(^{-1}\)) in excess of the inlet flow was added immediately at the outlet of the column. In view of this excess the measured GC intensities are proportional to the molar flows of the components leaving the column.

Breakthrough experiments were performed at different temperatures with 0.178 mmol min\(^{-1}\) total flow of hydrocarbons. In the figures, breakthrough curves are presented in the form of component exit molar flow rates normalized by their inlet molar flow rate. The adsorbed amounts, \(q_i\) and \(q_j\), were calculated from a mass balance over the flow versus time data.

After every breakthrough experiment, a temperature programmed desorption (TPD) was monitored in order to assess the regenerability of the adsorbent. In such an experiment the concentration of the adsorbates at the exit of the column in a purge flow of pure helium (4.46 mmol min\(^{-1}\)) is followed.

3 Results

3.1 Assessment of different synthesis methods

The three synthesis methods investigated in detail in this study will be referred to as Methods 1, 2, 3. Reproducibility is defined as the possibility of obtaining DD3R several consecutive syntheses in the same PTFE vessel. At least three different batch syntheses are carried out for each method to check the reproducibility. In Fig. 2, representative XRD patterns of the powders obtained from these three different methods are given and compared to that of the DDR and SGT topologies. From the batches synthesized using Method 1, two out of three syntheses resulted in Sigma-2, while the other resulted in a mixture of amorphous material and Sigma-2. Method 2 yielded varying batches with either DD3R or Sigma-2. In contrast, every synthesis using Method 3 always resulted in pure DD3R.

3.2 Memory effects

Memory effects arise when the synthesis vessels are reused. If some product residue from previous synthesis stays on or within the autoclave liners’ walls, it can act as seed and drive the synthesis towards the formation of undesired products. Although cleaning methods are not extensively reported in the literature, in the case of DD3R synthesis it became an essential aspect. In this section Method 3 is used to outline the main problems found during this research.

One of the autoclave liners used for the synthesis of DD3R with Method 1 yielded Sigma-2 crystals during the following syntheses using Method 3. Thus, the autoclave liner is considered as contaminated. This PTFE liner was then cleaned following the various procedures and used in the synthesis of DD3R with Method 3 after every cleaning method. Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of the powders obtained according to Method 3 for 10 h, after different cleaning methods of the PTFE liners used after a first synthesis. SGT (sigma-2) and DDR (DD3R) patterns are included for comparison.

Fig. 2 XRD patterns of the powders synthesized by using three different methods, compared with the SGT and DDR powder patterns.

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of the powders obtained according to Method 3 for 10 h, after different cleaning methods of the PTFE liners used after a first synthesis. SGT (sigma-2) and DDR (DD3R) patterns are included for comparison.
patterns of the products that are formed in the PTFE liner after 24 hours of synthesis, in comparison to the first synthesis that yielded Sigma-2 crystals using Method 1. Synthesis carried out after cleaning with NaOH at high temperature showed the same SGT pattern as the one without cleaning, indicating no apparent cleaning effect. In the case of HF, on the other hand, the following synthesis caused most of the product to be amorphous and only when the autoclave liner is treated with KOH under autogenous pressure at elevated temperature pure phase DD3R is formed.

To show the destructive effect of KOH, a synthesis was carried out with a molar composition of ADA : SiO₂ : KF : KOH : H₂O = 47 : 100 : 100 : 100 : 8000 using Sigma-2 as seed (3 wt% of the solution prepared) following Method 3. SEM pictures of the crystals obtained after the synthesis are given in Fig. 4. The crystals contained holes over the surface and most of them had lost their integrity.

3.3 Advances in the fluoride route

Fluoride addition is mostly studied for zeolite syntheses where cationic templates are involved. In order to check whether addition of fluoride to the synthesis causes protonation of the organic template, 13C (MAS) NMR spectroscopy has been applied to characterize the protonation state of the adamantylamine template on the as synthesized DD3R sample. The 13C NMR spectrum (Fig. 5) shows only the free amine: the signal of the carbon atom 13C carrying the amine group gives a shift located at -43 ppm (peak A in Fig. 5), whereas the protonated ammonium state would give rise to a signal at approximately 55 ppm.

19F NMR spectra were collected from both as synthesized and calcined DD3R samples as given in Fig. 6. The observed chemical shift, -134 ppm, is close to the values -132 and -133 ppm, previously reported shifts of KF.47,48 Neither by recording 192 19F NMR scans of as-prepared DD3R with an interscan delay of 600 s during 32 hours, nor by accumulating 1024 scans with an interscan delay of 30 s, any 19F NMR signal was detected. No signal from, e.g., residual KF at -134 ppm, nor from F⁻ anions within the cages around -180 ppm⁴⁹ have been observed.

In order to proof differences in the local chemical environment of silicon,⁵⁰ 29Si (MAS) NMR spectra of the DD3R as synthesized and calcined crystals were recorded (Fig. 7). In the spectrum of calcined DD3R (Fig. 7d) seven signal components of NMR-distinct silicon sites can be recognized (Fig. 7c). The different chemical shifts of these Q⁴ signals arise from O–Si–O bond-angle variations. There are no signals in the typical Q⁴ (corresponding with Si–(OSi)₃OH) range (90–100 ppm), as expected for a defect-free silicate structure. At room temperature the 29Si NMR signals of the as-prepared DD3R still containing the adamantylamine (Fig. 7b and c) are broader than those of the calcined zeolite (Fig. 7d). The signals between -110 and -115 ppm do not only broaden, but also shift further downfield. This reflects chemical-exchange broadening resulting from fluctuating template–zeolite interactions, because at 233 K it splits into a signal at -111 and -113 ppm (Fig. 7a). The latter position practically coincides with that of the four overlapping down-field signals of the calcined zeolite without template. Similar to the major signal on the downfield side, the minor signal on the upfield side shows signs of exchange broadening at room temperature.
temperature. At 233 K a narrow signal appears at $-123.5$ ppm, which is about 1 ppm shifted relative to the corresponding signal of calcined DD3R at $-122.3$ ppm.

To investigate the template–zeolite interaction in more detail, two-dimensional (2D) $^{29}$Si–$^1$H correlation spectra of the as-synthesized DD3R crystal were recorded (Fig. 8). This experiment is based on the dipole coupling between the $^1$H spins in adamantylamine and the $^{29}$Si spins in the DD3R lattice, which is a measure of their distance ($<5 \text{Å}$). This coupling is weak, and cross-polarization is only feasible at moderate sample-rotation rates $\leq 5$ kHz. At such low MAS rate the $^1$H NMR resolution is limited compared to $^1$H NMR spectra at MAS rates $\geq 10$ kHz (Fig. 8b). At 10 kHz MAS the $^1$H NMR spectrum shows three signals at 2.1, 1.6 and 0.8 ppm, which can be respectively assigned to methine (CH), methylene (CH$_2$) and amino (NH$_2$) moieties in adamantylamine. Methine protons are relatively underrepresented in the 2D correlation spectrum (Fig. 8b and c) and are probably relatively distant from the nearest silicon atom in the DD3R lattice. The major $^{29}$Si NMR signal with its effective maximum at $-112.3$ ppm correlates mainly with methylene protons (Fig. 8f). Interestingly, the $^{29}$Si NMR signals at $-120.9$ and $-118.8$ ppm show a correlation with the amino signal at 0.8 ppm (Fig. 8d and e). A particularly clear correlation is observed for the $-120.9$ ppm signal, which is assigned to silicon sites in the 8-membered rings connecting the cages, the windows.

In addition to the correlation with amino moieties, there is also a correlation between the $^{29}$Si NMR signal at $-120.9$ ppm and the methylene signal at 1.6 ppm. Thus, the overall picture from NMR is that the adamantylamine template molecules are accommodated inside the DD3R cages with the amino moieties sticking into the 8-membered rings of the windows. The template mobility reflected by the exchange broadening of the major signal at $-112.3$ ppm could be rotated about the axis perpendicular to the ring.

Zeolite synthesis usually proceeds through an S-shaped crystallization curve and such curve yields valuable information on the kinetics of formation. Therefore, the crystallization process was monitored by quenching various syntheses at different times. XRD patterns of samples collected after 8, 16 and 24 hours of synthesis are shown in Fig. 9.

The product collected from the autoclaves after 8 h synthesis is mostly amorphous, with already some crystalline DD3R. The picture changes after 16 h; a mixture of both Sigma-2 and DD3R

---

**Fig. 7** $^{29}$Si MAS NMR spectra of (a–c) as-prepared and (d) calcined DD3R. (a and b) Cross-polarization spectrum at (a) 233 K and (b) 296 K; (c and d) direct-excitation spectra at 296 K; (e) decomposition of the calcined DD3R spectrum (d) into seven lineshape components.

**Fig. 8** (a) Two-dimensional $^1$H–$^{29}$Si correlation spectrum with projections on the direct $^{29}$Si NMR axis (vertical) and indirect $^1$H NMR axis (horizontal). (b) $^1$H MAS NMR spectrum at double sample rotation rate, 10 kHz; (c) projection on the $^1$H NMR axis; $^1$H NMR cross-sections at constant $^{29}$Si NMR shifts (d) $-120.9$ ppm, (e) $-118.8$ and (f) $-112.3$ ppm. The 2D spectrum was recorded at a MAS rate of 5 kHz.

**Fig. 9** XRD patterns of samples prepared by Method 3 for different times, compared with the SGT and DDR powder patterns.
crystals is found. Interestingly, Sigma-2 crystals are not found after 24 h. By increasing the synthesis time up to 48 h, no substantial change in the pattern can be observed.

Crystal size and morphology were also monitored by SEM until the 24th hour (Fig. 10). Apparently, under the studied synthesis conditions, the formation of both DD3R and Sigma-2 phases is promoted (Fig. 10a and b). However, the crystals of Sigma-2 (10 μm) are much larger than those of DD3R (2 μm), indicating a shorter induction period. By the 16th hour, DD3R crystals cover a size range of 5–20 μm, while intergrowths with some of the Sigma-2 crystals covering sizes of 20–30 μm are observed (Fig. 10c and d). Details of these intergrown crystals (Fig. 11) reveal the formation of DD3R on top of them. After 24 h synthesis (Fig. 10e and f), the product looks more homogeneous. DD3R particles of 15–20 μm and a few 20–25 μm agglomerates composed of ~2 μm DD3R crystals are observed. In agreement with the XRD results, hardly any Sigma-2 particle is observed after 24 hours of synthesis.

Different syntheses varying KF/ADA and KF/SiO₂ ratios were carried out in order to find the compositional boundaries of formation for DD3R. Results are presented in a ternary diagram (Fig. 12). Apparently, if insufficient KF is present in the synthesis medium, pure DD3R is not formed.

To complete the full characterization of the samples, in Table 3 N₂ adsorption and TGA results together with yield calculations from 3 different samples synthesized for 24 hours are given. Yield is calculated as grams of zeolite obtained per gram of silica source in the synthesis, while taking into account the amount of seed and template within the structure (eqn (1)). An average BET surface of 330 m² g⁻¹ and a yield of 80% with respect to the silica source are obtained.

\[
Y_{\text{DDR}} = \frac{(m_{\text{product}} - m_{\text{seed}})(\% \text{ Relative Weight}_{\text{TGA}})}{m_{\text{SiO}_2\text{fed}}}/100 \\
\]

(1)

3.4 Separation performance

The separation of mixtures containing but-1-ene, buta-1,3-diene, cis-but-2-ene and trans-but-2-ene on the synthesized DD3R has been investigated by means of multicomponent breakthrough experiments.

Fig. 13 shows the breakthrough profiles of but-1-ene, cis-but-2-ene and trans-but-2-ene in a ternary mixture (1 : 1 : 1) at 120 kPa and two different temperatures: 303 K (a) and 373 K (b). Both but-1-ene and cis-but-2-ene do not adsorb significantly in DD3R and leave the bed first. Their molar fractions increase temporarily to 0.5, which corresponds with their molar fraction in the feed after removal of trans-but-2-ene. In every case, but-1-ene seems to break through slightly earlier than cis-but-2-ene. The absence of any roll-up implies that adsorption of these two components and displacement by trans-but-2-ene do not take place. After about 100 s at 303 K, trans-but-2-ene breaks through. As concluded for the separation of propane and propylene,¹¹ internal diffusion in the DD3R crystallites is clearly rate determining, resulting only in a gradual increase of the trans-but-2-ene concentration at the exit gas after breakthrough. The determined mixture adsorption selectivities for trans-but-2-ene/cis-but-2-ene and trans-but-2-ene/but-1-ene are 3.5 and 3.7 (±0.5) at 303 K, whereas they decrease to 3.0 and 2.8 (±0.5) at 373 K, respectively.
Similar experiments were performed with an equimolar buta-1,3-diene/but-1-ene mixture (Fig. 14a and b). At every temperature, a clear selectivity towards buta-1,3-diene is observed. The breakthrough of buta-1,3-diene starts almost 150 seconds after the breakthrough of the but-1-ene with only slight increase with temperature. The steeper breakthrough with increasing temperature points to a faster equilibration. Selectivities in the adsorbed phase for this buta-1,3-diene/but-1-ene mixture are 7 to 4 \((/C_6^{1.5})\) at temperatures of 303 and 423 K, respectively.

In order to fully assess the separation performance of the DD3R adsorbent, desorption from a column saturated with cis- or trans-but-2-ene was monitored as shown in Fig. 15. But-2-enes only desorb at temperatures above 380 K. Once this temperature is reached, full regeneration of the column is achieved.

### 4 Discussion

HF is usually the acid of choice for dissolving zeolites\(^{54,55}\) while NaOH is well known for selective leaching of Si.\(^{56-58}\) Although KOH is not commonly reported as a cleaning agent or for the dissolution of silica, it has been applied in the dissolution of silicate clays.\(^{56-59}\) The rate of silica dissolution decreases in the order of K\(^+\) > Na\(^+\) > Li\(^+\).\(^{58-60}\) This is the result of the lower solvation diameter of K\(^+\) in basic media,\(^{61}\) which enhances the adsorption of these cations on the SiO\(_2\) as compared to Na\(^+\) (ref. 58) and results in a better cleaning effect. The destructive effectiveness of KOH is indicated by the use of Sigma-2 as seeds in a DDR synthesis mixture (Fig. 4), where otherwise only Sigma-2 would have been obtained. Although in the presence of KOH the synthesis pH is obviously higher than that of the standard synthesis, it is apparent that addition of KOH results in partial dissolution and corrosion of these Sigma-2 seeding crystals.

The experimental results strongly suggest that the addition of KF instead of the traditionally applied ethylenediamine has a positive influence on the formation of pure DD3R. When Method 1 is used, Sigma-2 is obtained in every case. Method 2 yielded pure DD3R crystals in only one out of the three syntheses. In every case also DD3R is formed in this method, but the ratio DD3R/Sigma 2 varies from synthesis to synthesis in such a way that the pattern of the DD3R is hardly visible. This behaviour was also observed by Gascon\(^{13}\) and explained as the possible segregation of EDA from the water phase. In contrast, by using Method 3 (KF) high purity DD3R is always obtained.

Characterizing the as-synthesized DD3R crystals of Method 3, \(^{13}\)C (MAS) NMR studies revealed that adamantylamine is not protonated, in line with previous studies.\(^{28}\) At the same time, \(^{19}\)F MAS NMR revealed the absence of fluoride species in the as-synthesized as well as in the calcined DD3R crystals. This indicates that fluoride does not act as structure directing agent in the

---

**Table 3** Specific surface area and pore volume from nitrogen adsorption at 77 K, detemplation weight loss from TG analysis in air, and DD3R yields for three syntheses according to Method 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET surface area/m(^2) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>Micropore volume/cm(^3) g(^{-1})</th>
<th>Relative weight loss (%)</th>
<th>DD3R yield (g product per g SiO(_2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>331 ± 17</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>81 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
final stage of the zeolite synthesis, since they would probably have ended up in the small cages. Furthermore, $^{29}$Si MAS NMR analysis revealed the absence of structural defects in the DD3R samples, also suggested by Fyfe et al. However, we cannot discard that the absence of Si–O defects promotes the formation of the DD3R framework. Indeed, it has been suggested that $\text{F}^-$ ions adsorb at the surface of particles under hydrothermal conditions and catalyze the formation of Si-O-Si bonds. Jon et al. showed that addition of Na cations to the synthesis medium increased the nucleation time in the case of all-silica BEA synthesis, where NH$_4$F is used as fluoride source. This was correlated to the earlier formation of Na$^+$ containing pentacoordinated silicon species rather than the TEA$^+$ containing pentacoordinated silicon species, which are instead needed for the zeolite beta formation. This pentacoordinated silicon species arises from hexafluorinated silicon, which is hydrolyzed to yield silica bridges and form TEAF species in basic medium. Formation of pentacoordinated species is mentioned by many other researchers as well. Although a similar mechanism could be proposed in the case of DD3R, it has to be considered that in this case the template is not cationic and therefore charge compensation is not needed.

Fig. 13 Normalised molar flows as a function of time of but-1-ene, cis-but-2-ene and trans-but-2-ene in a ternary mixture (1 : 1 : 1) at 120 kPa: (a) 303 K and (b) 373 K.

Fig. 14 Normalised molar flows as a function of time of buta-1,3-diene and but-1-ene mixture in a binary mixture of (1 : 1) at 120 kPa: (a) 303 K, (b) 373 K and (c) 423 K.

Fig. 15 Temperature programmed desorption in a helium flow of cis (black) and trans-but-2-ene (grey) from a saturated column (single component) at 120 kPa.
did not result in pure DD3R. Instead, rotation was introduced to overcome phase segregation of ADA from the solution phase. Apparently, KF is able to assist the solubilisation of ADA, even at the high synthesis temperatures.

These facts, together with the well known structure stabilization properties of K+ (32,66) bring us to the conclusion that the better reproducibility and high quality crystals obtained in the fluoride route are two-fold: KF suppresses the formation of lattice defects and helps solubilizing the ADA template.

Monitoring the crystallinity by XRD revealed also a phase transformation from SIGMA-2 to DD3R. This fact can be due either to the dissolution of such crystals or to a decrease in their velocity of formation. SEM pictures revealed an intergrowth over the SIGMA-2 crystals (Fig. 11) and even the growth of DD3R crystals over these intergrown particles, which clearly points to a solution mediated transformation.67,68 We speculate that the presence of KF in the synthesis solution has an important influence on this transformation. Burton et al.69 estimated the defect energy penalties of all-silica frameworks by making a correlation between the energetic penalty and defect concentration. Estimated defect energy penalties according to this correlation for SGT and DD3R phases are 7.2 and 5.8 kJ mol−1, respectively. High defect density of the SGT phase and the similarity of the ion radii for O2− (1.4) and F− (1.36) allow us to suggest that highly active fluoride replaces oxygen ions at these defects and fill anion vacancies (healing effect).34,39 Formation of this fluorinated structure would lead to a fast consumption of the SGT phase. During the synthesis KF/SiO2 ratio will increase in the synthesis solution due to formation of crystals, which also explains why these metastable phases are formed after certain synthesis time. This is further supported by the positive effect of an increase in the KF/SiO2 ratio, which results in a faster dissolution of SGT crystals and hence a faster production of DDR (see Fig. 12).

TGA analysis in air of the as-synthesized and dried samples yielded a relative weight loss of 11%, the theoretical weight loss for DD3R, indicating the purity of the product. The 80% yield of DD3R in the synthesis with the fluoride addition is also quite high, which is another important aspect of the synthesis method. The specific BET surface areas and pore volumes obtained are in good agreement with the literature.

As to the separation results, Fig. 13 demonstrates that DD3R is a powerful shape selective adsorbent for the separation of trans-but-2-ene from both cis-but-ene and but-1-ene. Although somehow similar selectivities have been claimed for other zeolites like Na/CaA (LTA), Erionite, A IPO-17 (ERI), all-silica chabazite (CHA) or ITQ-32 (ITW),24 this was all based on single component uptake data. The presented results are a prime example of an adsorbent for which this selectivity has been demonstrated for gas mixtures, apart from the reported liquid phase but-1-ene/cis-but-2-ene and but-1-ene/trans-but-2-ene separation on RUB-41 type zeolite.24 Moreover, not many examples exist in the literature of zeolitic adsorbents able to efficiently separate cis/trans isomers.71,72

The kinetic diameter of trans-but-2-ene and buta-1,3-diene (0.431 nm) is slightly smaller than the free cross diameter of the 8-membered (0.44 × 0.36 nm) ring allowing the trans isomer and buta-1,3-diene to enter the 19-hedron cavities. In contrast, the kinetic diameter of cis-but-2-ene is larger than the window size of the 8-membered ring while the critical diameter of but-1-ene is comparable to the 8-ring aperture. The adsorption of cis-but-2-ene at both temperatures obtained was also recorded by Zhu et al.22 and two possibilities were considered: adsorption on the external surface of the DD3R crystals or the possible presence of defects in the DD3R structure. Considering the high quality structure of the DD3R crystals, these results should be attributed to the adsorption on the external surfaces. Zhu et al.22 based on single component adsorption, reported trans-but-2-ene saturation loadings on DD3R of approximately 0.82 mol kg−1 (less than 1 molecule per 19-hedron cavity). In the dynamic competitive breakthrough experiments the obtained trans-but-2-ene loading is slightly lower, although the total loading on the crystal is higher, probably the consequence of cis-but-2-ene adsorption at the outer crystal surface.

In the case of binary adsorption experiments of but-1-ene and buta-1,3-diene, selective uptake of buta-1,3-diene is achieved. Increasing the temperature from 303 K to 423 K had almost no effect on the breakthrough times whereas a faster equilibration is achieved. Apart from the high purity of but-1-ene obtained in the breakthrough, the adsorption selectivities for buta-1,3-diene are fairly high, in the order of 4–7.

As mentioned earlier, in the current C4 isomers separation scheme, a combination of different methods is applied. Extractive distillation has been shown to discriminate between most of the isomers except for the but-1-ene/buta-1,3-diene couple. In the latter case, the solubility of both olefins is very similar in most organic solvents. The excellent separation performance shown by DD3R for this mixture might represent an attractive alternative to extractive distillation and finally pave the way for an economically feasible adsorptive separation of the but-1-ene and buta-1,3-diene mixture. The high temperature regeneration to remove the adsorbed components suggests that for the application of this separation the combination of temperature and pressure swing will be the best choice. In combination with the high selectivity for propene over propane the all-silica DD3R is among the most promising adsorbents for shape selective separations of small hydrocarbons.

5 Conclusions

In spite of the number of publications dealing with the synthesis of DD3R, reproducibility is still an issue. In this work we have shown that successful synthesis relies on two main pillars: the use of properly cleaned autoclave liners and the use of a proper DD3R synthesis composition. High DD3R purities are obtained when KOH is used as a cleaning agent of the PTFE autoclave linings, and when KF is added to the synthesis mixture. By means of 13C, 19F and 29Si (MAS) NMR it is shown that the crystals produced are defect free, and that fluoride addition induces neither a templating effect nor protonation of the template. The presence of KF in the synthesis medium enables better solubilization of ADA, eliminating the need of autoclave rotation to induce mixing, and suppresses the formation of lattice defects.

DD3R is a powerful adsorbent for the separation of but-2-ene isomers. The performed breakthrough experiments reveal that the 8-ring apertures are accessible to trans-but-2-ene and buta-1,3-diene, while they exclude but-1-ene and cis-but-2-ene molecules, as shown by ternary and binary adsorption profiles. This shape-selective adsorption is purely the result of differences in the kinetic diameters of the different adsorbates.
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