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We investigate the mechanism of charge transport in indium gallium zinc oxide (a-IGZO), an amorphous metal-oxide semiconductor. We measured the field-effect mobility and the Seebeck coefficient \( (S = \Delta V / \Delta T) \) of a-IGZO in thin-film transistors as a function of charge-carrier density for different temperatures. Using these transistors, we further employed a scanning Kelvin probe-based technique to determine the density of states of a-IGZO that is used as the basis for the modeling. After comparing two commonly used models, the band transport percolation model and a mobility edge model, we find that both cannot describe the full properties of the charge transport in the a-IGZO semiconductor. We, therefore, propose a model that extends the mobility edge model to allow for variable range hopping below the mobility edge. The extended mobility edge model gives a superior description of the experimental results. We show that the charge transport is dominated by variable range hopping below, rather than by bandlike transport above the mobility edge.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Amorphous oxide semiconductors (AOSs) are of interest for their use as transparent and flexible backplanes and electrodes in, among others, displays and photovoltaic cells. Consequently, the charge transport in AOSs received considerable attention. In recent literature, two types of charge transport models can be found for AOSs: a band transport percolation model and the mobility edge (ME) model, also known as the multiple trapping and release model. This situation is particularly unsatisfactory as these models are based on fundamentally different physical assumptions regarding the nature of the charge transport in AOSs. In this paper, we confront the two types of models with an extensive set of thermoelectric measurements obtained on amorphous InGaZnO (a-IGZO) field-effect transistors. a-IGZO was introduced by Nomura et al. in 2004 and can reach charge-carrier mobilities in excess of \( 10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). In the last decade, several papers were published on the nature of the charge transport in a-IGZO; a recent review can be found in Ref. 7.

The percolation model assumes charge transport to take place in a conduction band with a square-root energy dependence of the densities of states (DOS). Furthermore, the presence of a Gaussian distribution of potential barriers is assumed that limit the contribution of low-energy band states to the conductivity. The charge transport is then described in a manner that is similar to the standard Boltzmann transport formalism but with the addition of the barriers. For the remainder of this paper, we refer to this model as the Kamiya-Nomura model.

By investigating the optical response in capacitance-voltage characteristics, Park et al. extracted a different DOS for a-IGZO, consisting of an exponential tail. When the exponential tails are assumed to be trap states that do not contribute to the conduction, this gives rise to a charge transport description in terms of a ME model. In a ME model, the Fermi level lies in a tail of localized states, and transport is assumed to take place by charges that are thermally excited over a mobility edge that separates localized and delocalized or bandlike states. However, at low temperatures, the charge transport in such systems is expected to occur via hopping-type processes. Only at sufficiently high temperatures is transport dominated by the mobility edge. So far, hopping has been assumed to be irrelevant in a-IGZO because of the observation of a well-developed Hall effect, which implies the occupation of bandlike states. Therefore, we extend the ME model to allow for variable range hopping (VRH) in the localized states in addition to the bandlike transport above the mobility edge.

Here, we compare the Kamiya-Nomura model to the extended mobility edge (eME) model. Both the Kamiya-Nomura and the eME model were used to simultaneously describe measured charge-carrier mobilities and Seebeck coefficients \( (S = \Delta V / \Delta T) \) as a function of charge-carrier density and temperature. In order to constrain the degrees of freedom in the fitting procedure, we used a scanning Kelvin probe microscopy (SKPM)-based technique to determine the density of states on the same type of device. We find that, in our devices, the charge transport can only be consistently described by the eME model and that, over the entire investigated temperature and density range, the transport is dominated by hopping processes.

In the next section, we give a description of the device fabrication, followed by a description of the thermoelectric measurement procedures in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we describe the theoretical framework used to interpret the experimental results in Sec. V. Section VI summarizes our findings.

II. DEVICE PREPARATION

Thin-film transistors (TFTs) were prepared using a highly doped Si substrate as the gate electrode and 200 nm of thermally grown SiO\(_2\) as the gate dielectric. This bottom contact blanket gate approach allows for excellent heat transfer through the substrate and the device. Source and drain electrodes consisted of a 5-nm titanium adhesion layer followed by 25 nm of gold and were defined using UV lithography. The channel length and width of the used devices were 500 and 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. A 10-nm-thick a-IGZO layer was then
deposited via rf sputtering from an Advanced Nano Products IGZO target (2:2:1 In:Ga:Zn metal ratio, leading to a 1:1 Ga$_2$O$_3$:In$_2$O$_3$:ZnO oxide ratio) at $10^{-3}$ mbar pressure and room temperature. A partial pressure of oxygen in argon of 2% was maintained throughout the deposition in the sputter chamber. This is the same procedure as followed in Ref. 12, apart from the annealing step, which was performed at 400 °C for 20 min in air.

III. THERMOELECTRIC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

When a temperature difference $\Delta T$ is applied to a material, it, in general, causes a thermovoltage $\Delta V$. The Seebeck coefficient is equal to the ratio between the thermovoltage and the temperature $S = \Delta V/\Delta T$. We will use Seebeck coefficient measurements to investigate different charge transport models.

The thermovoltage is measured using a Keithley 4200 SCS. The measurements are performed in a Janis ST-500 probe station where, under high-vacuum conditions ($<10^{-5}$ mbar), the temperature of the sample holder and the TFT can be varied. Two Peltier elements are placed on the sample holder to apply a temperature gradient across the TFT channel. Figure 1(a) schematically shows the sample holder. On top of the sample, above each Peltier element, a Si-diode temperature sensor is placed. The TFT is positioned between the two Peltier elements. The high thermal conductivity of the Si common gate electrode determines the shape of the temperature profile of the whole sample. The temperature gradient is assumed to be constant between the Peltier elements as depicted in Fig. 1(b). The temperature difference can then be calculated from the temperature difference between the Peltier elements $\Delta T_P$, the distance between the Peltier elements $x_P$, and the distance between the source ($S$) and the drain ($D$) electrodes $x_{SD}$. $\Delta T = \Delta T_P \times x_{SD}/x_P$. From finite-element calculations, we determined the range of temperature differences between the Peltier elements at which the assumed constant temperature gradient in Fig 1(b) was still a good approximation, and we found that, for a $\Delta T_P$ equal to 35 K over a distance of $x_P = 4$ mm, the error in $\Delta T$ is smaller than 10%. The validity of the assumption is further verified by measuring the thermovoltage $\Delta V$ for different $\Delta T$’s as shown in Fig. 1(c). The Seebeck coefficient has been determined from the gradient of a linear fit through these measurement points. By using the gradient, we reduce both systematic and random errors.

The above procedure is followed for each different gate bias and temperature.

By switching off the Peltier elements, the same setup can be used to measure transfer and output curves just before or after a Seebeck measurement. From the transfer curves in the linear regime ($V_D = 2$ V), the temperature- and gate-voltage-dependent mobilities are calculated as

$$\mu = \frac{L}{C W V_D} \frac{\partial I_{SD}}{\partial V_G},$$

with $L$ as the channel length, $W$ as the channel width, and $C$ as the capacitance per unit area.

IV. THEORY

A. The Kamiya-Nomura model

The Kamiya-Nomura model is very similar to the standard Boltzmann transport formalism, which can be found in, for example, Ref. 13. In addition to the usual description of band transport, the model assumes a Gaussian distribution of potential barriers in the band. These barriers are attributed to the Ga$^{3+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ ions. The potential barriers can hinder conduction and, thereby, can reduce the contribution of charge carriers in low-energy states. A cartoon to visualize the model is given in Fig. 2. A typical conduction band is shown in

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A drawing representing the sample and sample holder, with P being the Peltier elements and S (D) being the source (drain) electrodes. (b) The typical temperature vs position for the sample in (a). (c) Representation of measured thermovoltage vs temperature difference. The derivative of the fitted line equals the Seebeck coefficient $S$.

FIG. 2. (a) The conduction band as a function of energy. (b) The Gaussian represents the position of the distribution of potential barriers in the conduction band. The cartoon at the right shows a low-energy electron in the conduction band that is “trapped” between two potential barriers.
Fig. 2(a). The Gaussian in Fig. 2(b) represents a distribution of potential barriers. To the right of the Gaussian, a low-energy electron in the conduction band is shown between the barriers; at higher energies, electrons become increasingly less hindered by the barriers. The mathematical description of the model is based on the paper by Adler et al.\textsuperscript{14} An extra term \( p(E) \) is added to the transport equation, representing a Gaussian distribution of barriers. The conductivity is given by

\[
\sigma_{KN} = -\frac{e^2}{m_e} \int_{E_C}^{\infty} p(E) \tau \frac{\partial f_{FD}}{\partial \varepsilon} D_C(E) dE, \tag{2}
\]

with \( e \) as the elementary charge, \( m^* \) as the effective mass, \( E_C \) as the conduction-band onset, \( \varepsilon \) as the relaxation time, \( \tau \) as the electron velocity, \( f_{FD} \) as the Fermi-Dirac distribution function, the conduction band DOS \( D_C(E) = D_{C0}\sqrt{(E - E_C)} \), and

\[
p(E) = (2\pi \sigma_\theta^2)^{-1/2} \int_{E_C}^{E} \exp \left[-(E' - \phi_0)^2/(2\sigma_\theta^2)\right] dE', \tag{3}
\]

as the “percolation factor” running from zero to unity, describing the barrier effect. Furthermore, \( \phi_0 \) is the top of the Gaussian distribution of barriers, and \( \sigma_\theta \) is its width. It should be noted that, in Ref. 14, the conductivity is taken to be proportional to \( (p - p_\gamma)^2 \) with \( p_\gamma \) as the percolation threshold and 2 as the critical exponent, instead of just to \( p(E) \) as in Eq. (2). A more detailed description of the Kamiya-Nomura model is given in Ref. 1 and its supplementary information.

B. Extended mobility edge model

The extended mobility edge model, meanwhile, assumes that, with the presence of sufficiently strong energetic disorder, a tail of localized states can develop below a mobility edge \( E_C \).\textsuperscript{15} In order to contribute to the transport, charge carriers need to be thermally excited over the mobility edge. For transistor measurements, the details of these tail states are important as they determine which portion of the induced accumulation of charge carriers participates in the transport. In a ME model, the charge carriers in the localized tail states are typically assumed to be trapped and immobile. However, Mott showed that transport between localized states is possible by hopping.\textsuperscript{10,16} Hopping requires thermal activation to go from low- to high-energy localized states. Whether hopping and/or bandlike transport have a significant contribution to the total current depends on the shape of the DOS, the temperature, and the charge-carrier density.\textsuperscript{17} So far, VRH was not considered to be relevant in a-IGZO because of the observation of a well-developed Hall effect.\textsuperscript{3,7} However, having bandlike transport above the mobility edge does not exclude VRH below the mobility edge to exist simultaneously.

The eME model applied in this paper allows VRH below \( E_C \) to coexist next to the bandlike transport above \( E_C \). The DOS is defined as

\[
G(E) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{n_0}{k_B T_0} \exp \left(-\frac{E}{k_B T_0}\right) & \text{for } E < E_C \\ \frac{n_0}{\pi \alpha^2} & \text{for } E \geq E_C \end{cases} \quad (E_C = 0), \tag{4}
\]

with \( n_0 \) as the density of localized states, \( T_0 \) as the width of the exponential tail of localized states, and \( k_B \) as the Boltzmann constant. The DOS above \( E_C \) is chosen such that the DOS is a continuous function with as few fitting parameters as possible.

Due to the sharp decrease in the Fermi-Dirac distribution above the Fermi level \( E_F \), the conduction is mainly determined by the value of the DOS at \( E_C \). The exact shape of the DOS above \( E_C \) is expected to be of minor importance when the Fermi level is below \( E_C \).

The conductivity above the mobility edge is calculated by

\[
\sigma_{ME} = e \mu_{free} \int_{E_C}^{\infty} f_{FD}(E) G(E) dE, \tag{5}
\]

with the integral being the number of particles above \( E_C \) and \( \mu_{free} \) being the band mobility.

The VRH contribution to the conductivity is described by the Mott-Martens model.\textsuperscript{18,19} This model uses a percolation argument with a critical number of hops \( B_C \) within a four-dimensional sphere of the typical hopping distance \( R^* \) and energy difference between the \( E_F \) and an effective transport level \( E^* \),

\[
B_C = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^*^3 \int_{E_F}^{E^*} G(E) dE, \tag{6}
\]

with \( B_C = 2.8 \). Figure 3 shows a representation of the DOS and the transport level \( E^* \). The model is based on the assumption that charge transport is limited by a critical hop over an energy \( E^* - E_F \) and distance \( R^* \) such that the charge carriers have a sufficient number of unoccupied states \( (B_C) \) available within the four-dimensional volume set by \( E^* \) and \( R^* \). Therefore, \( E^* \) and \( R^* \) both depend on the temperature and the DOS. With increasing temperature, the increased thermal activation will increase \( E^* \) and will decrease \( R^* \); an increased DOS will decrease both \( E^* \) and \( R^* \). In the hybrid model presented here, there is the extra constraint that \( E^* \) should be smaller than \( E_C \). The VRH conductivity is, subsequently, calculated by optimizing a Miller-Abrahams-type expression,\textsuperscript{10,18}

\[
\sigma_{VRH} = \sigma_0 \exp[-2\alpha R^* \cdot (E^* - E_F)/(k_B T)], \tag{7}
\]

with \( \sigma_0 \) being a conductivity prefactor and \( \alpha \) being the inverse decay length of the localized state.

The total conductivity of the extended mobility edge model is a superposition of the VRH conductivity and the band conductivity, viz.,

\[
\sigma_{ME} = \sigma_{ME} + \sigma_{VRH}. \tag{8}
\]
C. The Seebeck coefficient

In order to calculate the Seebeck coefficient, the energy and the relative contribution to the charge transport of all relevant states have to be known. For the two charge transport models described above, a fit to the transfer curves is sufficient to have all necessary parameters.

From the empirical charge current and heat current relations, and the Onsager reciprocity relations, it follows that the Seebeck coefficient can be written as the Peltier coefficient divided by the temperature.\textsuperscript{20–22} The Peltier coefficient equals the heat per unit charge that is transported by a charge current. From this, the Seebeck coefficient follows as

\[ S = \frac{\Pi}{T} = \int \frac{(E - E_F)\sigma(E)dE}{eT \int \sigma(E)dE}, \]  

which is the heat carried by a charge carrier \( E - E_F \), weighed by \( \sigma(E)/[\int \sigma(E)dE] \), the contribution to the conductivity by the states at energy \( E \).

For the Kamiya-Nomura model, the calculation of \( S \) is quite straightforward. Using Eq. (2), \( S(E) \) can be calculated as

\[ S_{KN} = \int (E - E_F)\rho(E)\tau(E)\nu_{z}^{\delta f_{\rho}} D_C(E) dE \int (E - E_F)\rho(E)\tau(E)\nu_{z}^{\delta f_{\rho}} D_C(E) dE. \]  

The Seebeck coefficient for the extended mobility edge model is calculated from a contribution from the VRH \( S_{VRH} \) and a contribution from the transport above \( E_C S_{ME} \). For \( S_{ME} \), the states below the mobility edge can be ignored, and Eq. (9) reduces to\textsuperscript{23}

\[ S_{ME} = \frac{(E_C - E_F)}{eT} + A, \]

with

\[ A = \int_{E_c}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{ME}(\varepsilon)}{eT}d\varepsilon \int_{E_c}^{\infty} \sigma_{ME}(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon, \]

where \( A \) is the contribution from states above the mobility edge and \( \sigma_{ME}(E) = e\nu_{free}f_{\rho}(E)\rho(E) \). In practice, \( A \) is relatively small, although it cannot always be ignored altogether.

For the VRH part, where the transport is dominated by the critical hops from the Fermi energy to a narrow region around \( E^* \), the Seebeck coefficient is written as

\[ S_{VRH} = \frac{(E^* - E_F)}{eT}. \]

For the total Seebeck coefficient of the extended mobility edge model, Eq. (9) is again used to come to

\[ S_{ME} = \frac{S_{ME} \sigma_{ME} + S_{VRH} \sigma_{VRH}}{\sigma_{ME} + \sigma_{VRH}}, \]

i.e., \( S_{ME} \) is the conductivity-weighted average of the contributions from localized and delocalized states.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimentally determined charge-carrier mobility and Seebeck coefficient of the a-IGZO transistors are plotted in Fig. 4 (symbols) as a function of gate bias for temperatures between 150 and 310 K. The experiments show that mobility increases with temperature and gate bias [Fig. 4(a)]. The Seebeck coefficient reduces with gate bias and is weakly temperature dependent [Fig. 4(b)]. In the following sections, we test the validity of the Kamiya-Nomura and extended mobility edge models for giving a consistent description of these experimental results on a-IGZO.

A. The Kamiya-Nomura model

To obtain all the device parameters for the Kamiya-Nomura model, we first extract mobilities from the transfer curves using Eq. (1) and then fit these mobilities with Eq. (2) where we derive the associated mobility by dividing the conductivity by the gate-bias-induced charge-carrier density and the elementary charge. The calculated mobility vs \( V_G \) results are shown in Fig. 4(a) together with the experimental results. The curves are calculated using the parameters in Table I. For a TFT with a SiO\textsubscript{2} dielectric, additional trapping states can be present in the SiO\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{24,25} which could increase the subgap DOS. Nevertheless, the fitting parameters are very much comparable to those found for bulk a-IGZO in Ref. 1.

Without further fitting, the parameters in Table I enable the calculation of the Seebeck coefficient using Eq. (10). The calculated Seebeck coefficients are shown as a function of gate bias and temperature in Fig. 4(b). Compared to the measured
TABLE I. The values of the fit parameters used for the calculations of the Kamiya-Nomura model displayed in Fig. 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_{C0}$</td>
<td>$18 \times 10^{30}$ m$^{-3}$ eV$^{-3/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>$1.02 \times 10^{-13}$ s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m^*$</td>
<td>$0.35 \times m_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_0$</td>
<td>0.05 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi_0$</td>
<td>0.15 eV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

data, the calculated values for $S$ are too high, but the curves do show the correct gate bias and temperature dependence and can be regarded as a reasonable prediction of the experiment. The correct gate-bias dependence follows from the increase in $E_F$ with increasing gate bias. With the Fermi level increasing, it approaches the energy of the high-energy states, reducing $E - E_F$ in Eq. (10). Moreover, it should be noted that the determined parameters imply that, for most gate-bias and temperature values, the Fermi level lies in the conduction band $(E_F > E_C)$ as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(b).

Predicting the correct temperature dependence from Eq. (10) is not straightforward. When $E_F$ is below a band or in an increasing DOS, $E_F$ increases with decreasing $T$ in order to maintain a constant charge-carrier density. This decreases the term $E - E_F$. However, the denominator in Eq. (10) contains $T$, which decreases as well. A decrease in $S$ with decreasing $T$ can, therefore, not be intuitively explained on the basis of the above arguments.

Summarizing, the Kamiya-Nomura model gives a good fit to the mobility data with representative fitting parameters. These give rise to a reasonable prediction of the Seebeck coefficient. The calculations give a Fermi level that lies in the band of mobile states, which is chosen to have a square-root dependence on the energy. In the next section, we will use a SKPM technique to measure the DOS shape at the Fermi level.

B. Determining the DOS using a Kelvin probe

In any transport model, the DOS is a key ingredient. Consequently, when a transport model is assumed, information regarding the DOS can be extracted by fitting to transport measurements as in the previous section where the characteristic parameters of a fixed DOS shape are fitted. For some transport models, it is possible to extract the entire DOS from transport data without any a priori assumptions regarding the DOS shape. This was, for instance, performed by Chen et al. for a-IGZO.36 However, for the present purposes of finding the actual transport mechanism, this method cannot be used, and the DOS should be determined by independent means.

Previously, Park et al. used capacitance-voltage- (C-V)-based methods to extract the DOS in different a-IGZO samples and found either a combination of an exponential and a Gaussian9 or, from a remarkably similar dataset, a tail of two exponentials.9,10 Such exponential tails seem reasonable in view of the Anderson localization model for disordered materials.15

In this section, we measure the DOS of an a-IGZO TFT, using the scanning Kelvin probe microscopy technique described in Refs. 11 and 27. The technique uses a metallic atomic force microscopy (AFM) probe tip to analyze the potential at the a-IGZO surface and is explained in Fig. 5, which displays the band and energy levels for the different layers in the sample. With no electric field present between the gate and the a-IGZO, no charges are accumulated, and the energy levels are represented by the solid black lines. The electric field between the AFM tip and the a-IGZO surface is nullified by applying a potential $V_{SKPM}$ to the tip.

Applying a gate bias $V_G$ with respect to the source and drain electrodes forms an accumulation layer in the a-IGZO. This fills the conduction band, and with the source and drain electrodes fixed at 0 V, $E_F$ does not move and, consequently, the conduction band needs to shift to a lower energy. The shift in the band $\Delta E_{band}$ at the sample surface equals $\Delta V_{SKPM}$. Assuming a homogeneous DOS and permeability throughout the IGZO layer, a DOS can be calculated.

For a homogeneous charge-carrier density throughout the semiconductor layer with thickness $d_{IGZO}$, i.e., in the absence of band bending, the DOS at $E_F$ can be calculated from27

$$G(E_F) = \frac{\Delta n(E_F)}{\Delta E_{band}(E_F)} = \left[\frac{dV_{SKPM}}{dV_G}\right]^{-1} - 1 \frac{C}{d_{IGZO}q^2},$$

(15)

where $C$ is the areal gate capacitance.

However, the accumulation layer is mainly formed at the SiO$_2$/a-IGZO interface, and consequently, the band bending displayed in Fig. 5 needs to be taken into account. Unfortunately, no analytical equivalent of Eq. (15) exists, which does account for band bending. We, therefore, use the following procedure to extract $G$ from $V_{SKPM}(V_G)$.

Starting from a trial DOS $G_{trial}$, one can numerically calculate the change in surface potential vs gate voltage, i.e., $V_{SKPM}(V_G)$. First, the gate voltage sets the total charge density in the channels as $N = \int_{d_{IGZO}} n(z) dz = CV_G$. Then, the charge-density distribution $n(z)$ in the channel follows from Poisson’s law,

$$\frac{d^2V}{dz^2} = \frac{en(z)}{\varepsilon},$$

(16)
stabilize this procedure, the band-bending-affected SKPM measurement. In order to exponentially.

can sufficiently be accurately approximated with a single expression. For the present purposes, it turned out that the line is an exponential fit through the circles. The obtained exponential DOS is inconsistent with the fitting result of the Kamiya-Nomura model in the previous subsection, which yielded $T_0 \approx 800 \text{ K}$. The obtained exponential DOS is inconsistent with the fitting result of the Kamiya-Nomura model in the previous subsection, where the fitting parameters imply that $E_F$ lies in a band with an algebraic (square-root) energy dependence. A tail of localized states was also shown by Kamiya et al. but was assumed to be very small. In principle, incorporating the measured exponential tail states in the Kamiya-Nomura model is possible. However, this would lead to a model that is essentially equivalent to the eME model but with a far more complicated contribution of the band states due to the additional Gaussian distribution of barriers. Moreover, the largely increased number of free parameters would make such a model underdetermined. In the following section, the obtained DOS is, therefore, used in the extended mobility edge model.

TABLE II. Extracted values of the characteristic temperature of the exponential DOS of a-IGZO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>$T_0$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurements of the surface potentials as a function of gate bias are shown in Fig. 6(a) for five different locations in the channel of an a-IGZO TFT; an example of the derived DOS is shown in Fig. 6(b). The black squares are calculated directly with Eq. (15), i.e., by ignoring band bending, the circles show the final result of the iterative procedure described above, i.e., including band bending, and the line is an exponential fit. The characteristic temperature $T_0$ of the exponential DOS,

$$G(E) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{E}{k_B T_0}\right)$$

is given in Table II. From these measurements, we conclude that, under the operational conditions employed here, the Fermi level $E_F$ lies in an exponential tail of the a-IGZO DOS with $T_0 \approx 800 \text{ K}$.

C. Extended mobility edge model

For the eME model, we use the DOS measurements in the previous subsection, which yielded $T_0 = 800 \text{ K}$ for the exponential tail of the DOS in Eq. (4). For consistency with the DOS measurement analysis, band bending was included in the eME model by treating the channel as parallel quasi-two-dimensional sheets of which the densities are calculated as described at Eqs. (16) and (17). The measured and the fitted mobility data are shown in Fig. 7(a); the corresponding parameters are given in Table III. The fit does not reflect the strong onset of the high-temperature mobility as clearly as the Kamiya-Nomura model. The overall curvature by the Kamiya-Nomura model seems somewhat better, but the calculated eME model values still provide a rather accurate description of the measured values. Using the parameters obtained in the mobility fitting, the Seebeck coefficient is calculated from Eqs. (11)–(14). Both measurements and calculations.
are shown in Fig. 7(b). The Seebeck coefficient calculations show very good agreement with the experiments. They show the correct gate-bias dependence, and the calculated small temperature dependence of the Seebeck coefficient agrees very well with the experiments. Only for low temperatures, do the experimental values show somewhat stronger temperature dependences than the calculated curves. The origin of the gate bias and temperature dependence follows from the same line of argument as in the discussion in Sec. IV A on the Kamiya-Nomura model.

Comparing the results from the Kamiya-Nomura model with the extended mobility edge model shows that the eME model gives a better description of the experimental Seebeck results. Furthermore, the eME is consistent with the DOS measurement, which shows that $E_F$ lies in an exponential DOS.

The value obtained for the localization length $\alpha^{-1} = 4.8 \text{ nm}$ may appear surprisingly large, given that vacuum tunneling typically leads to values around 0.5 nm. However, it seems likely that the tunneling electrons use virtual states in the nearby conduction band ($E_F - E_C \approx 0.3 - 0.6 \text{ eV}$ for the parameters used), which leads to a significant enhancement in $\alpha^{-1}$.

On further inspection of the calculated results, the contribution of hopping to the conductivity appears to be much larger than the contribution of bandlike transport above the mobility edge. In Fig. 8, the ratio between the two conductivities is shown for three temperatures. The contribution by bandlike transport is on the order of 1%, at most, but usually is much less. However, we were reluctant to use a hopping-only model in view of the observed Hall effect.\textsuperscript{1,3} We should note that the values used are the best (mobility) fitting values, but there is definitely room to increase the contribution of the bandlike conduction above $E_C$ while still having a description of the experiments within the error margins. Furthermore, Ref. 1 mentioned the presence of localized states as an explanation for odd charge-carrier density results obtained from Hall measurements. In that paper, the coexistence of hopping and bandlike transport was not considered, and hopping transport was discarded. However, when disallowing hopping conduction in the eME model by setting $\sigma_0 = 0 S/\text{m}$, the constraint of $T_0 \approx 800 \text{ K}$ results in extremely poor fits to the mobility curves—actually, an opposite curvature of the gate-voltage dependence is found. Note that this constraint turns the extended ME model into a pure ME model.

Finally, we should note that it is likely that the SiO$_2$ dielectric causes additional trap states in a-IGZO TFTs, which are absent in bulk a-IGZO devices. These states would lead to an increased contribution of hopping-type transport relative to the bandlike transport in TFT devices. However, our results show that hopping transport cannot up front be ruled out when interpreting the charge transport in amorphous oxide semiconductors.

### VI. CONCLUSIONS

We combined three different experiments to investigate the charge transport mechanism in a-IGZO TFTs: an SKPM-based technique to measure the DOS, transfer curve measurements, and Seebeck coefficient measurements. We found that an extended mobility edge model that includes variable range
hopping below the mobility edge gives a consistent and accurate description of the temperature- and gate-voltage-dependent mobility and Seebeck coefficient. The extended mobility edge model is consistent with the Fermi level lying in an exponential DOS with a width of $T_0 = 800$ K, which follows from the DOS measurements.

The calculations show that the contribution of the variable range hopping to the overall charge transport is larger than that of the bandlike transport above the mobility edge.
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