Block-based detection systems for visual artifact location
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Abstract—The core of many video coding standards is formed by the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) for de-correlating spatial video data. When quantizing DCT sub-bands, artifacts may appear such as mosquito noise and ringing. Spatial artifact reduction requires artifact location information, to control the filter process, thereby avoiding unnecessary blurring of artifact-free regions. This location information can be derived, either in the time- or frequency domain. As coding artifacts are most annoying in flat or low-frequency regions, the objective of the detector is to localize these artifact-sensitive locations. The detection accuracy, coverage and sensitivity differ between the two possible detection domains. The time-domain solution has a 10-97% location detection performance, whereas the frequency domain results in 70-100% detection performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Image and video communication have been benefiting from advances in compression techniques achieved in the last decades. Many of the popular compression techniques deploy a 2D-DCT to decorrelate a block-based spatial region prior to quantization. Although this is an efficient method for removing irrelevant information from a video signal, there is also a strong drawback. In order to achieve sufficient compression ratio, also relevant information in the form of high-frequency information is removed by means of quantization. Removal of high-frequency information not only results in lack of sharpness, but also introduces coding artifacts. Examples of typical coding artifacts are blockiness, ringing and mosquito noise. Modern digital televisions perform Temporal Noise Reduction (TNR), which not only removes Gaussian noise, but to a certain extent also reduces coding artifacts, provided that these artifacts are not static [1]. However, for the situation that the artifact is static, spatial filtering has to be applied to attenuate the disturbance [2]. Visual artifact-location information is crucial for controlling the spatial filtering strength, in order to avoid loss of detail.

This paper describes two block-based visual artifact-location detection systems, suitable to detect regions in a DTV decoded image, which have a high probability to be contaminated with coding artifacts. Although the detection criteria are equal, two experimental detectors each operating in a different domain, are compared regarding the detection performance.

II. DETECTION OF CODING ARTIFACT-PRONE LOCATIONS

Transform coding introduces artifacts, which are clearly noticeable around the transition between texture/edges and flat/low-frequency regions [3], see Fig. 1. This observation is a key feature for locating mosquito/ringing prone locations. The detection of such artifact-contaminated locations requires an activity measurement, revealing the presence of edges within a bounded region, followed by a spatial reasoning step, which results in a binary decision: contaminated versus not-contaminated. We investigate two different approaches.

A. Detection system in time domain

The activity metric in the time domain is based on a simple 2D high-pass filter, implemented as a 2D SAD according to

\[
SAD = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} |P(x,y) - P(x+1,y)| + \sum_{y} \sum_{x} |P(x,y) - P(x,y+1)|
\]

(1)

For each pixel in the image, located at the centre of block H, the block-based metric is calculated using overlapped blocks, constructing a spatial kernel aperture, see Fig. 2(a). Basically, the spatial kernel aperture size depends on the size of block H, which contains the center pixel and therefore consists of an odd number of pixels, e.g. size 3x3 or 5x5 pixels. The surrounding blocks in vertical direction automatically obtain the same width, whereas the blocks in horizontal direction have equal height. The remaining blocks may have different sizes to create a 2D-filter, with a behavior other than a basic box-filter. The 2D-SAD value of each sub-region is compared, see Fig. 2(b), against a threshold Th and Tl, except sub-region H, which is compared against threshold Th_mos and Tl_mos. For the centre block H, the SAD classification is either ‘flat’, ‘texture’ or ‘mosquito’, while for

Fig. 1. Examples of mosquito and ringing artifacts due to MPEG-2 compression. (a) Image fragment with artifacts for Q=40. (b) Static image region containing logo with artifacts.

Fig. 2. Time-domain activity measurement. (a) Detection kernel deploying overlapped blocks. (b) Positioning of thresholds in SAD range.
maximal five video features. The final classification is based on feature ranking, whereby the uniform feature has the highest position and remaining features follow the order of Fig. 3(a-d). On the basis of spatial reasoning, the transform-domain activity filter-kernel reduces this to a binary signal, indicating if the center block $H$ is contaminated.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We have tested the detection systems for a broad range of TV images and specific test images for which the detection performance can be carefully evaluated. Due to space limitations, we present here some results on the basis of a few test images. For the test image in Fig. 1(a), the artifact locations are determined using a block grid of 4x4, using the definition that artifacts are most visible in the vicinity of the transition 'flat' to 'texture', or visa versa. Hereby the pixels contained by the 4x4 blocks, form the reference pixels for validating the time-domain-based detector. On the basis of this reference set, the performances of the two artifact-location systems are validated. The coverage results are depicted in Table 1 and visualized in Fig. 4. Figure 4(c-d) indicate the detection performance on a region containing a static logo.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied two block-based visual artifact-location detection systems, suitable to detect static regions in a DTV image. Visual artifact-location detection is successfully achieved, with a detection performance of 70-100% for the frequency domain and 10-97% for the time domain. The frequency-domain detector shows a higher selectivity and consistency, due to knowledge of the underlying video features. The time-domain detector locates on the average, the majority of the artifact-prone locations, but lacks selectivity.
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