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For the first time it is shown that N-doped SnO_2 nanoparticles photocatalyze directly the polymerization of the C=C bonds of (meth)acrylates under visible light illumination. These radical polymerizations also occur when these particles are doped with Sb and when the surfaces of these particles are grafted with methacrylate (MPS) groups. During irradiation with visible or UV light the position and/or intensity of the plasmon band absorption of these nanoparticles are always changed, suggesting that the polymerization starts by the transfer of an electron from the conduction band of the particle to the (meth)acrylate C=C bond. By using illumination wavelengths with a very narrow band width we determined the influence of the incident wavelength of light, the Sb- and N-doping, and the methacrylate (MPS) surface grafting on the quantum efficiencies for the initiating radical formation (Φ) and on the polymer and particle network formation. The results are explained by describing the effects of Sb-doping, N-doping, and/or methacrylate surface grafting on the band gaps, energy level distributions, and surface group reactivities of these nanoparticles. N-doped (MPS grafted) SnO_2 (Sb ≥ 0%) nanoparticles are new attractive photocatalysts under visible as well as UV illumination.

1. Introduction

The photochemistry of semiconductor nanoparticles and nanomaterials is a fast growing area, both in terms of research and commercial activity [1]. These materials are used, for instance, in the treatment of pollutants, for photostabilisation, for photo-induced superhydrophilicity in solar energy to electrical power conversion and photochemical water splitting systems. Also semiconductive nanoparticles/nanostructures can act as a photocatalyst in (meth)acrylate polymerization [2–4]. Most of these applications require a large conversion efficiency under visible (solar) light illumination, but for many of these materials this efficiency is absent, because they absorb only a small fraction of the UV part of the solar spectrum. To enhance the absorption of radiation at higher wavelengths, the influence of doping of these materials is being studied, and considerable improvements in, for instance, the photocatalytic activity of TiO_2 for visible light have been reported when these materials were doped with metal ions or nitrogen [1, 5–10]. Also the incorporation of narrow-band-gap semiconductors or the formation of heterostructures using wide-band-gap semiconductors, such as ZnO_2–SnO_2, are promising [11]. However, there is still a strong need for new nanomaterials with a large photocatalytic conversion efficiency for visible (solar) light.

Recently, we showed that SnO_2 and Sb-doped SnO_2 nanoparticles without the presence of any other photoinitiator can act as photocatalysts for the radical polymerization of (meth)acrylate C=C double bonds during illumination with radiation of 315 ± 5 nm [12]. The attractiveness of SnO_2 and Sb-doped SnO_2 materials for these and other photocatalytic applications would be enlarged when they could also act as photocatalysts for UV/vis radiation well above 340 nm, that...
is, using light quanta of which the energy is too small to bridge the band gap of these particles.

Below we report the results of a study on the photocatalytic properties of highly crystalline Sb-doped and/or N-doped SnO$_2$ nanoparticles using radiation of 365, 408, 545, or 650 nm. This study shows that without the presence of any other photoinitiator these nanoparticles act as photocatalysts for the radical polymerization of C=C bonds of (meth)acrylates even when radiation of 650 nm is used. It will be shown also that the rate of this polymerization and the quantum yield for the formation of the initiating radical depend on the wavelengths of irradiation used, the amount of surface grafting of the nanoparticles with methacrylate groups, and the level of Sb-doping of these particles. The results found will be valid for the bulk and surface compositions and properties of these nanoparticles.

Further on we will, for convenience, refer to SnO$_2$ and Sb-doped SnO$_2$ nanoparticles as Sn:SbO$_2$ (0 ≤ Sb ≤ 13%) nanoparticles although sometimes this abbreviation is used only for Sb-doped SnO$_2$ particles.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and Materials Used. Polyethylene glycol diacrylate monomer (PEGDA, $M_w = 575$ g/mol) was purchased from Aldrich, 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS) from ABCR, and methanol (>99.8%) from Merck (Scheme 1). Aqueous dispersions of Sb:SnO$_2$ nanoparticles (≈10 wt%) with different Sb-doping levels from Sb/(Sb + Sn) = 0 to 13.0 at.% (after this described as % Sb) were obtained from Kriya Materials B.V. (Geleen, The Netherlands). The most important data for these spherical particles are shown in Table 1 and Figure 9 [13].

The surface of these Sb:SnO$_2$ nanoparticles was, in general, modified before use by grafting them with variable amounts of the silane coupling agent 3-methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (MPS) (Schemes 2 and 3) [2, 12, 14]. Sb-doping, N-doping, and NH$_3$ surface groups hardly influenced the amount of grafted MPS and MPS oligomers formed [12, 13].

2.2. Preparation of the Starting Dispersions and Formulations. The MPS-Sb:SnO$_2$/PEGDA 575 starting mixtures were prepared from the corresponding dispersions of the (MPS-grafted) Sb:SnO$_2$ nanoparticles by mixing them with PEGDA 575 and methanol. In both dispersions the nanoparticles were only well dispersed, when the surfaces of these particles were grafted with MPS [12, 13]. The Sb:SnO$_2$ particle content was always 10 vol.%, based on the total amount of PEGDA, Sb:SnO$_2$, and MPS present. The MPS/Sb:SnO$_2$ weight ratio of the mixtures after grafting is different from the initial ratio used in the grafting reaction. For convenience, we use in this paper often the MPS/Sb:SnO$_2$ weight ratio before grafting, but the 10 vol.% particle concentration in the starting dispersion/formulation is based on the corrected MPS/Sb:SnO$_2$ weight ratio after grafting [12].

2.3. Measurement of the Polymerization Rate. Real-time FT-IR measurements were performed using a Biorad Excalibur FT-IR spectrometer, equipped with an MCT detector. The spectra were recorded between 650 and 4000 cm$^{-1}$ using different time intervals between 0.3–30 s and the kinetic mode of the WinIR-pro software package. An Oriel Spectral Luminator connected to a light guide was used for the illumination of the formulations with wavelengths of 315, 365, 410, 545, or 650 ± 5 nm. The corresponding incident light intensities used are shown in Table 2.

Grafted MPS, MPS oligomer, and PEGDA 575 contain (meth)acrylate C=C bonds. Before the measurements started the (MPS)-Sb:SnO$_2$/PEGDA 575 dispersion was placed on the diamond crystal of the Golden Gate ATR accessory of our IR apparatus and the solvents were evaporated under a dry N$_2$ flow [12]. After solvent evaporation the layer thickness was adjusted to about 1 μm. The electronic shutter of the lamp was opened at $t = 0$, and the initial and maximum rates of polymerization of the (meth)acrylate C=C bonds ($R_{ini}$, $R_{max}$) were determined by measuring the initial, respectively, maximum changes in the absorption of the peaks at 1408, 1620, and 1637 cm$^{-1}$ over a certain time period (C=C bond absorptions of grafted MPS, MPS oligomer, and/or PEGDA 575). For the determination of the maximum slope of a specific starting formulation at least five different data points were measured. During the whole measurement the shutter of the lamp was left open and the dry nitrogen flow was kept on. The results obtained at 1408 and 1620 cm$^{-1}$ appeared to be very similar. Hence, only the results measured at 1620 and 1637 cm$^{-1}$ are shown below.

The measured decreases in absorptions of the C=C bonds over time during irradiation were plotted either as an absolute decrease in concentration over time ($R_{ini}$, $R_{max}$) (1a), (1c)) or as a relative decrease in concentration over time ($R_{ini}^m$, $R_{max}^m$ ; (1b), (1d)). Equations (1b), (1d) were used when reaction rates were compared of starting formulations, which contained different amounts of MPS C=C bonds. The concentrations of the C=C double bonds [mol m$^{-3}$] at time $t$ and time $t = 0$ are, respectively, $(c_{C=C})_t$ and $(c_{C=C})_0$. The standard deviation, $\sqrt{\sum (x-x_{av})^2/(n-1)}$, of $R$ was taken as error margin:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{ini} & = \frac{(c_{C=C(t=0)} - c_{C=C(t)})}{t} \, t^{-1}, \quad (1a) \\
R_{ini}^m & = \frac{(c_{C=C(t=0)} - c_{C=C(t)})}{(c_{C=C(t=0)})t} \, t^{-1} \, t^{-1}, \quad (1b) \\
R_{max} & = \frac{(c_{C=C(t1)} - c_{C=C(t2)})}{t_2 - t_1} \, t^{-1}, \quad (1c) \\
R_{max}^m & = \frac{(c_{C=C(t1)} - c_{C=C(t2)})}{(c_{C=C(t=0)})t} \, t_2 - t_1 \, t^{-1}. \quad (1d)
\end{align*}
\]

Each experiment was repeated at least three times. In general, an S-shaped plot was found when the change in C=C bond concentration was plotted against $t$ and always the $R_{ini}$ (mol m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) < $R_{max}$ (mol m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) and the $R_{ini}^m$ (s$^{-1}$) < $R_{max}^m$ (s$^{-1}$). When no S-shaped plot was found the value for $R_{ini}$, $R_{ini}^m$ was always the largest $R$ value.

When these experiments were performed in the presence of air, O$_2$ became a radical scavenger and the C=C polymerization rate slowed down during irradiation.
On the Photocatalyzed C=C Polymerization. In an earlier
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2.4. Measurement of the Light Absorption Spectra of the Sb:SnO₂ Dispersions and XPS Measurements. The light absorption spectra of aqueous Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticle dispersions, in which the Sb:SnO₂ particles were well dispersed, [15] were recorded with a Shimadzu UV 3102 PC Scanning Spectrophotometer, using a rectangular quartz cuvette with a diameter of 1 cm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy spectra (XPS) were measured as described before [13].

Table 1: Properties of the Sb:SnO₂ particles used [13].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sb/(Sn + Sb) mol %</th>
<th>Sb(III)/[Sb(III) + Sb(V)] mol %</th>
<th>d/nm BET</th>
<th>d/nm XRD</th>
<th>N(ª) bulk wt.%</th>
<th>N(ª) surface wt.%</th>
<th>a unit cell Å</th>
<th>c unit cell Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>4.7416</td>
<td>3.1808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>4.7432</td>
<td>3.1790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0(ª)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>4.7309</td>
<td>3.1786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.9(ª)</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>4.7435</td>
<td>3.1737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Apart from Sb 0% all the Sb:SnO₂ particles are blue powders.
(b) Present in the bulk and at the surface; at the surface as NH₃ groups.
(c) The diameter is calculated assuming that the particles were spherical, non-porous with a density of 6.99 g/cm³.
(d) The particles are (almost) crystalline. The crystallite sizes were calculated from the broadening of the XRD peaks.
(e) No Sb(III) was detected with XPS; IR data suggest that a very small amount of Sb(III)-OH surface groups is present.
(f) Measured with TEM: d = 6.3 ± 1.1 nm.

Table 2: Incident light intensities I₀ at different wavelengths.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>λ [nm]</th>
<th>315 ± 5</th>
<th>365 ± 5</th>
<th>410 ± 5</th>
<th>545 ± 5</th>
<th>650 ± 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I₀ [mW cm⁻²]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ª) Determined with an Oriel 70260 Radiant Power Meter.

2.4. Measurement of the Light Absorption Spectra of the Sb:SnO₂ Dispersions and XPS Measurements. The light absorption spectra of aqueous Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticle dispersions, in which the Sb:SnO₂ particles were well dispersed, [15] were recorded with a Shimadzu UV 3102 PC Scanning Spectrophotometer, using a rectangular quartz cuvette with a diameter of 1 cm. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy spectra (XPS) were measured as described before [13].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Influence of the Wavelength of the Incident Radiation on the Photocatalyzed C=C Polymerization. In an earlier paper the radical polymerization of PEGDA 575 under the influence of 315 ± 5 nm irradiation photocatalyzed by MPS-Sb:SnO₂ (Sb = 0%) nanoparticles was described [12] and the observed photocatalysis was explained as follows: by absorption of light quanta of 315 nm the electrons in the valence band of the Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles are excited directly into the conduction band. The activated electron in the conduction band and the hole in the valence band react in the presence of a C=C bond and a hydrogen donor under formation of a (meth)acryl radical (YH⁺). This radical initiates the polymerization of the (meth)acryl C=C groups (Scheme 4).

\[
\text{Sb:SnO}_2 \quad \text{(Sb = 0%)} \quad \text{particles/films have a band gap of 3.6 eV–3.8 eV, which corresponds with light quanta of 320–340 nm [16, 17]. Hence, when MPS-Sb:SnO}_2 \quad \text{(Sb = 0%)/PEGDA 575 formulations without any other photocatalytic molecule/particle are irradiated with light with wavelengths well above 340 nm a direct excitation of the electron from the valence band into the conduction band can no longer occur [8, 17]. Still very similar changes in the IR spectra of the formulations are observed during irradiation with 365, 408, 545, or 650 ± 5 nm to those when these formulations were irradiated with 315 nm (Figure 1(a)). These changes are also found when the nanoparticles are doped with Sb (Figure 1) [12, 18].}
\]

Using the same starting formulations, but without Sb:SnO₂ particles or any other photoinitiator, a change in the IR spectra during irradiation with light >365 nm is no longer observed. Hence, MPS-Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles (Sb ≥ 0%) photocatalyze the radical polymerization of the (meth)acryl C=C bonds according to the mechanism proposed in Scheme 4 even when the energy of the light quanta is (far) too small to transfer an electron from the valence band into the conduction band of these nanoparticles.

During irradiation the C=C bonds in the IR spectra at 1637, 1620, 1408, 986, 814, and 810 cm⁻¹ disappear [12]. At 1637 cm⁻¹ the methacrylate MPS C=C and the acrylate C=C bonds absorb IR radiation, whereas at 1620 and 1408 cm⁻¹ only the acrylate C=C bonds absorb [18]. Hence, the methacrylate as well as the acrylate C=C bonds polymerizes during irradiation (see also later on).

The energy of the light quanta of wavelengths ≥365 nm is (far) too small to transfer an electron from the valence band directly into the conduction band. Hence, energy levels in the band gap of the Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles are likely to be involved in the initiation of the radical polymerization. Our Sb:SnO₂ particles (Sb = 0%) are always doped with N and these particles, contrary to what was reported before for
non-doped SnO₂, absorb visible light (Table 1, Figure 2) [12, 13]. This suggests that these levels in the band gap are formed by N-doping. This is confirmed by a recent publication that shows that N-doped SnO₂ films can photocatalyze the oxidation of methylene blue under visible light illumination [19, 20].

During illumination of the MPS-Sb:SnO₂(Sb = 0%)/PEGDA 575 mixture with 315, 365, 408, 545, or 650 nm, always an increase in absorption and shift in intensity of the plasmon band of the particles are observed in the IR spectra (Figure 3). The plasmon band absorption is due to the reflection of the electric field of the incident IR light by the combined oscillations of the electrons in the conduction band of the Sb:SnO₂ particles [21–23]. These observed changes show that electrons are also transferred into the conduction band of our particles during irradiation with light of wavelengths ≥365 nm [24]. Hence, the occurrence of these photocatalyzed polymerizations may be explained by band gap narrowing through N-doping and/or by the involvement of N-related energy levels of these nanoparticles in the transfer of an electron into the conduction band (see also later on).

The (MPS)-Sb:SnO₂ particles (Sb >0%) are also doped with N, and these particles also absorb visible light (Table 1, Figure 2) [12, 13]. Similar changes in the plasmon absorption band of their PEGDA formulations are found during irradiation with 315, 365, 408, 545, or 650 nm in the IR spectra of their PEGDA formulations (Figure 3) [21–23]. Hence, the same conclusions can be drawn. During irradiation electrons are transferred into the conduction band and an activated electron initiates the C=C bond polymerization (Scheme 4). That these reactions occur even when the energy of the light quanta is well below 3.4 eV may be explained by band gap narrowing through N-doping and/or by the involvement of N-related energy levels of these nanoparticles in the transfer of an electron into the conduction band.

Especially at high Sb-doping levels the plasmon band may absorb also radiation at wavelengths <1200 nm. Hence, a small part of the measured absorptions at 545 and 650 nm in Figure 2 may be actually contributed to this plasmon band. Lack of information in the literature and limitations of our equipment made it impossible to determine the contribution of the plasmon band to the absorption below 1200 nm, and therefore we neglect this aspect in the discussion below.

3.2. Quantum Yields at Different Wavelengths of Irradiation. It is well known that the rate of a reaction which is photocatalyzed by a semiconductive inorganic particle/layer depends on the surface area and crystallinity of these activators [5]. Hence, in this work well-characterized Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles of varying composition, but with similar nanoparticle sizes and crystallinities, are used (Table 1). To be certain that these particles are well dispersed before and during irradiation in the acrylate monomer their surfaces were grafted in advance with MPS [13, 14, 25]. PEGDA 575 was chosen as acrylate monomer to minimize the influence of viscosity variations on the rate of the acrylate polymerization during irradiation.
To determine the rates of the photocatalyzed polymerizations of the (meth)acrylate C=C bonds with 315, 365, 408, 545, or 650 ± 5 nm we used real-time FT-1R spectroscopy (Figure 1). For MPS-Sb:SnO₂ (Sb ≥ 2%) nanoparticle/PEGDA mixtures always an S-shape relation was found between the decrease in C=C bond concentration and irradiation time (Figure 1). Hence, for these particles the initial rate of polymerization \( R_{\text{ini}} \) appears to be always lower than the maximum rate of polymerization \( R_{\text{max}} \), and therefore both were determined independently using (1a)–(4) (Scheme 4):

\[
R_{\text{ini}} = k_{\text{ini}} \left( \frac{[c_{\text{c=c}}(t=0) - [c_{\text{c=c}}(t)]}{d} \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
R_{\text{max}} = k_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{[c_{\text{c=c}}(t=1) - [c_{\text{c=c}}(t)]}{d} \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
R_{\text{ini}/\text{max}} = k_{p(\text{ini/\text{max})}} \Phi \left( \frac{k_{p(\text{ini/\text{max})}}}{d} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

In these relations the \( [c_{\text{c=c}}(t=0)] \) [mol m⁻³] is the initial concentration of the C=C bonds just before the irradiation starts and the \( [c_{\text{c=c}}(t)] \) [mol m⁻³] is the C=C bond concentration at time \( t \) [s]. The rate \( R_{\text{ini/\text{max}}}[\text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}] \) is a function of the propagating \( k_p \) and terminating polymerization rate constants \( k_t \) [mol⁻¹ m⁻³ s⁻¹], the quantum yield for the formation of the initiating radical \( \Phi \) [mol J⁻¹], the incident radiation intensity \( I_0 \) [J m⁻² s⁻¹], the \( \epsilon \) of the absorbing \( \text{Sb:SnO}_2 \) particles at a certain wavelength, the \( \text{Sb:SnO}_2 \) particle concentration \( c_{\text{particle}} \) [mol m⁻³], the thickness of the irradiated film \( d \) [m], and the relative quantum efficiency at the initial stage of the reaction \( (k_{p(\text{ini/\text{max})}} m^3 s^{-1/2} J^{-1/2}) \) or at the maximum rate of the reaction \( (k_{p(\text{ini/\text{max})}} m^3 s^{-1/2} J^{-1/2}) \). A similar approach was used for the determination of the polymerization rates of (meth)acrylate C=C bonds photocatalyzed by an organic photoinitiator or inorganic particle [2, 3, 12, 26–28].

Recently, we showed that the polymerizations of the (meth)acrylate C=C bonds photocatalyzed by MPS-Sb:SnO₂ (Sb ≥ 0%) nanoparticles using radiation of 315 nm can be explained by (1a)–(4). To test whether these equations also explain our results for illuminations with wavelengths of light above 340 nm, experiments with 365 nm were done with two different light intensities, one nine times lower than the other using for both the same starting MPS-Sb:SnO₂/PEGDA dispersion. The ratio of these \( R_{\text{ini/\text{max}}} \) values appeared to be 3, in agreement with the proportionality of \( \sqrt{I_0} \) of (3).

From the decrease in the C=C bond absorptions at 1637 or 1620 cm⁻¹ over time, the initial and maximum relative quantum efficiencies \( K \) are calculated (for Sb = 7% initial and
Figure 2: (a) UV/Vis absorbance spectra of Sb : SnO$_2$ nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion. The Sb : SnO$_2$ particle concentration is 0.23 wt%. (b) Molar extinction coefficients, $\varepsilon$, of Sb : SnO$_2$ nanoparticles as a function of wavelength for different Sb-doping levels, determined from (a).

Figure 3: Infrared absorption spectra before and during irradiation of Sb : SnO$_2$ (Sb $\geq$ 0%) /PEGDA 575 formulations (Sb = 0% or Sb = 7%). MPS/Sb : SnO$_2$ = 0.19 g/g. Incident wavelength of radiation: 315 or 545 nm. The results obtained fusing incident radiation of 365, 408 or 650 nm are similar.
maximum; for Sb = 0% only initial) (Table 3). Because the incident radiation intensities used were sometimes different, the measured R and calculated K values were also corrected for these differences using (2) and (3) \((R^*, K^*)\). Table 3 and Figure 4 show that the \(K^*\) values depend on the incident wavelength of light used. The C=C acrylate bonds of the monomer (PEGDA 575) absorb at 1637 and 1620 cm\(^{-1}\) and methacrylate C=C bonds (grafted MPS and MPS oligomer) absorb at 1637 cm\(^{-1}\) [18]. A comparison between the rates measured at these wavenumbers will give more insight into the polymer networks formed.

First the discussion is focused on the MPS-Sb:SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles doped with Sb 7% (Figure 4(b); Tables 3(a) and 3(b)). For these particles the measured \(R^*_{\text{max}}\) \(1637\) values for radiation of 365, 408, 545, and 650 nm are within the experimental error equal to the \(R^*_{\text{max}}\) \(1620\). Hence, at this moment in time the propagation step of the C=C bond polymerization at time \(t = t_{\text{max}}\) can be described as the reaction between a polymer fragment with an acrylate end group radical and an acrylate monomer. This means that the differences found in the relative quantum yield \(K^*_{\text{max}}\) \(1637\) for the different incident light wavelengths in Table 3b are caused by a difference in the quantum yield \(\Phi_{\text{Sb,7%}}\) for the formation of the initiating radical (4).

For the Sb = 7% particles the value of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) is always about 40% lower than the \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) value (Tables 3(a), 3(b) and Figure 4b). These lower values were also reported earlier for similar formulations when radiation of 315 nm was used and can be explained by the large preference of a methacrylate radical end group to react with a methacrylate C=C double bond [12]. This phenomenon is well known for radical initiated polymerizations of mixtures of acrylate and methacrylate C=C bonds [12, 14, 29]. Hence, the C=C propagation step at the initial part of the polymerization reaction can be partly described as a reaction between a polymer fragment with a methacrylate radical end group and a methacrylate MPS C=C bond. Moreover, the ratios of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) and \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) and the ratios of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) and \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) are similar for the different wavelengths of radiation used (Table 3). This confirms that the dependency of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) and \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) on the incident wavelength of radiation is mainly caused by the dependency of the quantum yield \(\Phi_{\text{Sb,7%}}\) on this wavelength and that the lowering of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) and of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) with respect to \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) is caused by the preference of the methacrylate radical end group to react with a methacrylate double bond or, in other words, by a decrease in the contribution of \(k_p k_t r_{\text{ini}}^{-1/2}\) to \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) (4).

For Sb:SnO\(_2\) particles without Sb-doping no S-shape relation between the decrease in the C=C bond absorption and irradiation time is found and the rate at the initial stage of the reaction is the largest rate measured. For convenience we still call these rates initial.

As has been discussed before acrylate C=C bonds absorb at 1620 and 1637 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas methacrylate C=C bonds absorb only at 1637 cm\(^{-1}\) [18]. The methacrylate C=C bond concentration is about 10% of the acrylate C=C bond concentration in the starting formulations of Table 3 [12]. Still for formulations containing particles without Sb-doping the value of \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) is about 45% lower than \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) (Table 3(c), Figure 4(a)). Hence, for these formulations too, the propagation step at the initial part of the polymerization reaction is mainly a reaction between a polymer fragment with a methacrylate radical end group and a methacrylate C=C bond, and the differences in these two \(K^*\) values for each wavelength of irradiation can be explained by the differences in \(k_p k_t r_{\text{ini}}^{-1/2}\) for methacrylates versus acrylates C=C bonds.

Table 3(c) also shows that the \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\)/\(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) ratios for particles without Sb-doping are very similar and independent of the wavelength of irradiation used. This suggests that the large variations reported for \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) in this table can be explained also by a strong dependency of \(\Phi_{\text{Sb,0%}}\) on the incident radiation wavelength used. The \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1657\) values are always larger when particles without Sb-doping are used in respect to the \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) values for particles with Sb-doping of 7%. This can be explained by a strong influence of Sb-doping on the quantum yield of YH radicals (Figure 4).

When we compare the results of the particles with Sb = 0% and Sb = 7% it is important to realize that the surfaces of both particles are grafted with a monolayer MPS and the amount of MPS oligomer present in both dispersions is very similar (Scheme 3). Moreover, these particles have a similar crystallinity, they are well dispersed in the starting formulation, and their surface areas in contact with the liquid is similar too (Table 1). Hence, the differences in MPS grafting, MPS oligomer concentration, particle crystallinity, and surface areas between these particles are small and cannot explain that the \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) and \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1620\) values are always larger for particles with Sb = 0% than for particles with Sb = 7% (Figure 4). These differences can be explained by a strong influence of Sb-doping on the quantum yield of YH radicals (Scheme 1) for particles with Sb = 0%. We cannot directly measure for particle formulations with Sb = 0% the rates of the reactions between a polymer fragment with an acrylate end group and an acrylate C=C bond, but we can estimate the corresponding \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) values (\(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\)) \(\text{corr}\) using the corresponding \(k_p k_t r_{\text{ini}}^{-1/2}\) values of particles with Sb = 7% (Figure 4(a)). The \(K^*_{\text{ini}}\) \(1637\) \(\text{corr}\) confirms that the particle is also dependent on Sb-doping.

When the Sb:SnO\(_2\) particles are not doped with Sb, deep impurity (donor) energy levels, which are efficient hole scavengers, are likely to be present in the crystals [30, 31]. The much higher \(\Phi_{\text{Sb,0%}}\) than \(\Phi_{\text{Sb,7%}}\) may be explained also by the presence of these energy levels (for more details see below).

### 3.2.1. Influence of MPS Grafting and MPS Oligomers

Sb:SnO\(_2\) nanoparticles can be kept well dispersed over a longer period of time in acrylate monomer dispersions when the surfaces of these particles are grafted with MPS before adding them to the PEGDA monomer. Without this surface modification the particles in the acrylate monomer agglomerate before, during, and after processing [2, 12, 15, 22] and this agglomeration becomes visible by the naked eye during irradiation in our experiments. This agglomeration influences the surface area of the particles in contact with the
 containing MPS grafted Sb : SnO2 particles were used.

Therefore, in general, starting formulations (Scheme 3).

0.08 g/g). The influence of MPS oligomer seems to be small
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R
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grafting reaction (Schemes 2 and 3)[2, 12, 22, 25]. It has

been shown that these amounts are hardly influenced by the

surface and bulk composition of our Sb : SnO2 nanoparticles

(Scheme 3). The results for starting mixtures containing

Sb : SnO2 (Sb = 7%) particles which were grafted in advance with variable MPS/Sb : SnO2 ratios (MPS/Sb : SnO2 ≥ 0) are shown in Figure 5(a). The largest influence is found when a monolayer of MPS is present (MPS/Sb : SnO2 ≥ 0.08 g/g). The influence of MPS oligomer seems to be small (Scheme 3).

To calculate the rate constants for the different starting mixtures of Figure 5(a), a variation in the (meth)acrylate C–C bond concentration at the start of the reaction has to be taken into account. As the molecular weight and the number of C=C double bonds of these monomers/moieties are different, a change in the MPS/PEGDA ratio will change

the interpretation of these results (2)–(4) were modified into

(5)–(7) using (8):

\[
R_{\text{ini}}^{\lambda} = \left\{ \frac{K_{\text{ini}}^{\lambda} \left( c_{c=c} \right)_{t=0} - \left( c_{c=c} \right)_{t=0}}{\left( c_{c=c} \right)_{t=0} - \left( c_{c-c} \right)_{t=0}} \right\}^{-1} \times \left\{ I_0 \left( 1 - 10^{-\epsilon(\lambda) c_{\text{particle}} \cdot d} \right) \right\}^{1/2},
\]

(5)

\[
R_{\text{max}}^{\lambda} = \frac{K_{\text{ini}}^{\lambda} \left( c_{c=c} \right)_{t=0} - \left( c_{c-c} \right)_{t=0}}{\left( c_{c-c} \right)_{t=0} - \left( c_{c-c} \right)_{t=0}}^{-1} \times \left\{ I_0 \left( 1 - 10^{-\epsilon(\lambda) c_{\text{particle}} \cdot d} \right) \right\}^{1/2},
\]

(6)

\[
\Phi_{x}/\Phi_{315} = \frac{\left( R_{\text{ini}}^{\lambda} \left[ \text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \right] \right)_{\lambda = 1637}}{\left( R_{\text{ini}}^{\lambda} \left[ \text{mol m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \right] \right)_{\lambda = 315}},
\]

(7)
The influence of the wavelength of illumination on the $K^*$ values for starting MPS-Sb : SnO$_2$/PEGDA formulations against the wavelength of radiation $\lambda$ (nm). (a) Sb = 0%; (b) Sb = 7%.

\[
K^{\lambda,m}_{\text{ini}} = K^{\lambda}_{\text{ini} / \text{max}} \{(c_{c-c})_{t=0}\}^{-1}
\]

\[
= k^{\lambda}_{p(\text{ini} / \text{max})} \Phi^{k}(k^{i(\text{ini} / \text{max})})^{-1} \{(c_{c-c})_{t=0}\}^{-1},
\]

\[
R^{k,\lambda}_{\text{ini} / \text{max}} = \frac{k^{\lambda}_{ini}}{k^{\lambda}_{ini / max}} \{(c_{c-c})_{t=0}\}^{-1}.
\]

This means that the presented $R^{m}_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values in Table 4 using incident radiation of 365 nm depend not only on the concentration of the C=C double bonds at time $t$ or $t_{\text{max}}$, $t_{\text{max}} = (t_1 - t_2)/2$ but also on the C=C bond concentration at time $t = 0$. The corrected $K^{m}_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values are shown in Table 4 and Figure 5(b) as $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$.

Figure 5(b) shows that the $K^*_{\text{ini}}$ values determined at 1637 cm$^{-1}$ are, within experimental error, identical to those determined at 1620 cm$^{-1}$. The acrylate C=C bonds absorb at 1620 cm$^{-1}$ and both methacrylate C=C and acrylate C=C bonds at 1637 cm$^{-1}$. Hence, these $K^*_{\text{ini}}$ values are the relative quantum yields for the reaction between a polymer fragment with an acrylate radical end group and an acrylate C=C bond.

The $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values are hardly influenced when the particles are grafted with a monolayer of MPS (MPS/Sb : SnO$_2$ ratios $\geq$ 0.08). Variable amounts of MPS oligomer are present in these starting formulations, but they seem not to influence these $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values. When the surface of the particles is covered with less than a monolayer MPS (MPS/Sb : SnO$_2$ ratio < 0.08), the rate is increased showing that the amount of grafted MPS influences these $K^*_{\text{ini}}$ values. Figure 5(b) also shows that the $K^*_{\text{ini}}$ values are always lower than the $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values. These lower values were also reported earlier for similar formulations when radiation of 315 nm was used and can be explained by the large preference of a methacrylate radical end group to react with a methacrylate C=C double bond. This results into lower $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ values and a preferred consumption of the methacrylate C=C bonds (different $k^{p}_p / k^i_1$ values) at the initial stage of the reaction. This preference, which is discussed above in more detail, is well known for radical polymerizations in methacryl/acryl C=C bond mixtures [12, 14, 32]. The influence of the $k^{p}_p / k^i_1$ values at the initial stage of the reaction becomes larger when the amount of methacrylate MPS C=C bonds present is larger (Figure 5(b), Table 4). The similarity found for the ratios of $K^*_{\text{ini} / \text{max}}$ can only be explained by a lowering of the $\Phi_{365}^{\text{SB}}$ when increasing amounts of MPS/Sb : SnO$_2$ ratios are used in the grafting reaction of the particles.

When the particles are not grafted with MPS the reproducibility in the measured C=C rates is much lower, because of the variation in the agglomeration of the nanoparticles before and during the reaction. Still the $K^*_{\text{ini}}$ values calculated for the nongrafted particles are always much larger than those for the nanoparticles grafted with MPS. This confirms that the grafting of the surface of the Sb : SnO$_2$ particles lowers $\Phi_{365}^{\text{SB}}$. Similar influences of the MPS/Sb : SnO$_2$ ratios on the rate of C=C bond disappearance were reported when the formulations containing Sb : SnO$_2$ (Sb = 0%) particles were irradiated with 315 ± 5 nm [12]. Hence, it is likely that MPS grafting of the surface of the Sb : SnO$_2$ (Sb $\geq$ 0%) nanoparticles lowers the quantum efficiency of the formation of the initiating radical for C=C polymerization for all irradiating wavelengths of light between 300 and 650 nm.

After irradiation with 315, 365, 408, 545, or 650 nm, we always obtained a hard transparent thin layer (trans-
The presented conversions in Figure 6 show that for all Sb-doping levels the rates of the C=C bond polymerization are always much faster for irradiation with 315 nm than those with 365 nm. This is confirmed by the calculated K* values shown in Figure 7. For both incident wavelengths of radiation used the K* and K* values for particles with Sb ≥ 2% are, within experimental error, identical. Hence, all these K* values are the relative quantum efficiencies of the propagation and termination reaction of a polymer fragment with an acrylate radical end group and a C=C acrylate monomer. This suggests that the observed dependence of K* on Sb-doping should be explained by the dependency of Φ on Sb-doping.

Figure 7 also shows that the K* values measured at 1637 cm−1 are always much larger than the K* values measured at 1620 cm−1. Hence, at the initial stage of the polymerization reaction methacrylate radicals and C=C bonds as well as acrylate radicals and C=C bonds are involved in the formation of the polymer. Although the methacrylate C=C bond concentration is only about one tenth of the total C=C bond concentration in these mixtures, the reaction between a methacryl radical and a methacryl C=C bond initially present in the formulation.

3.3. Influence of Sb-Doping. In this section the influence of Sb-doping (0–13 vol.%) on the photocatalytic properties of the Sb:SnO2 nanoparticles is discussed. All the Sb:SnO2/PEGDA formulations discussed in this chapter contain Sn:SnO2 particles which are covered with a monolayer of coated MPS and contain very similar amounts of MPS oligomer. For all these formulations the (C_C)z=0 is the same. These formulations are irradiated with light of 315 or 365 nm, and the measured relative conversions of the C=C bonds over time at 1637 cm−1 are shown in Figures 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. The corresponding R and K data are shown in Figure 7 after correcting them for differences in incident radiation intensities and in absorption coefficients of the Sb:SnO2 particles (R*, Rmax*, K*, and Kmax) (Table 2, Figure 2).

Table 4: The influence of the MPS/Sb:SnO2 grafting ratio on the Kini, Kini/Kini, and Kini/Kini for Sb:SnO2/PEGDA 575 formulations.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>(0.020)b</td>
<td>(0.023)b</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sb = 7%, incident wavelength of radiation 365 ± 5 nm. bExperimental error above 40%.

* To cite this article, please use the DOI: 10.1016/j.nanotech.2023.09.001.
Figure 5: (a) Influence of the amount of MPS present in the starting formulation on the conversion % of the C=C bonds over time; \( \lambda = 365 \text{ nm}, \text{Sb} = 7\% \), and \( c_{\text{particle}} = 10 \text{ vol}\% \). (b) \( K^{*} \) values calculated from (a).

Figure 6: Influence of the Sb-doping level of the nanoparticles on the conversion % of the C=C bonds over time measured at 1637 cm\(^{-1}\). (a) \( \lambda = 315 \text{ nm} \); (b) \( \lambda = 365 \text{ nm} \).

Table 5: Influence of Sb-doping on \( K^{* \text{ini}}_{1637}/K^{* \text{max}}_{1637} \) and \( K^{* \text{ini}}_{1637}/K^{* \text{ini}}_{1620} \), \( \lambda = 315 \) or 365 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \lambda [\text{nm}] )</th>
<th>Sb %</th>
<th>( K^{* \text{ini}}<em>{1637}/K^{* \text{max}}</em>{1637} )</th>
<th>( K^{* \text{ini}}<em>{1637}/K^{* \text{ini}}</em>{1620} )</th>
<th>( \Phi_{\text{Sb:2%}}/\Phi_{\text{Sb:0%}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}K^{*} \) values corrected for differences in \( k_{p}(k_{t})^{-1/2} \).
with Sb:SnO₂ (Sb ≥2%). These data also suggest that further optimization of the amount of Sb-doping and of N-doping (see also later on) used may enlarge the Φ for visible light of Sb:SnO₂ (Sb >0%) nanoparticles considerably.

3.4. Why Is Φ Dependent on the Wavelength of Irradiation, MPS Grafting and Sb Doping? We showed above that the Φ's for the formation of the radical, which initiates the (meth)acrylate C=C bond polymerization, are dependent on the wavelength of illumination used, the amount of the methacrylate group grafted on the particle surface, and the Sb-doping level of the particles. The MPS-Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles used are (almost) monocrystalline, have a similar surface area and are well dispersed in the formulations before and during processing (Table 1) [12, 13]. Hence, the observed differences in quantum yields are mainly related to differences in surface and/or bulk group composition of these nanoparticles.

Undoped SnO₂ particles/films are, in general, n-type semiconductive materials due to native oxygen vacancies. They have a band gap of 3.6 eV–3.8 eV and a Fermi level of about 0.35–0.5 eV below the conduction band [16, 17]. This band gap difference corresponds with light quanta energies between 320 and 340 nm. When the Sb:SnO₂(Sb-0%)/PEGDA formulations are irradiated with light of 315 nm, the photocatalytic properties are explained by a direct transfer of an electron from the valence band into the conduction band. However, for irradiation wavelengths ≥365 nm, the energy of the light quant is too small to initiate this transition and the observed photocatalytic properties of the Sb:SnO₂ particles at or above 365 nm have to be explained differently.

Recently it has been shown that N-doping can shift the band gap of crystalline SnO₂ films to 624 nm and that these N-doped films photocatalyze the oxidation of methylene blue in water during irradiation with visible light [19]. The authors detected in the XPS spectra of these films three N 1s related peaks, namely, at 396, 399, and 402 eV. They suggest that the energy level related to the peak at 396 eV is the one responsible for the observed photocatalysis under visible illumination. All our Sb:SnO₂ nanoparticles are doped with N (Table 1), but in their XPS spectra only one broad peak at about 400 eV and no signal at 396 eV is observed (Figure 9). The peak at 400 eV is likely to be a combination of two peaks (399 and 402 eV). The maximum of this broad peak...
gradually shifts to 399 eV when the Sb-doping level of the nanoparticles is increased, which can be explained by a dependency of the intensities of the 399 and 402 eV peaks and of the concentrations of the two N forms in the bulk on Sb-doping level. Hence, it is likely that for our nanoparticles the energy levels related to the 399 and/or 402 eV peaks initiate the photocatalyzed C=C bond polymerizations for radiation wavelengths ≥ 365 nm. XPS is a surface technique, and its penetration depth of a few nanometers will not probe the whole volume of our particles (diameter about 7 nm) or the crystalline SnO2 films mentioned above, and this may explain the discrepancies in energy levels from which the photocatalysis occurs in both materials.

Density functional theory calculations on different N-doped SnO2 structures suggest that N-doping raises the top level of the valence band, forms states in the gap between valence and conduction band, and may lower the bottom of the conduction band [32]. Hence, all these changes facilitate the visible light photocatalytic activities of the MPS-Sb : SnO2 (Sb = 0%) particles discussed here. Because after irradiation the number of electrons in the conduction band of our nanoparticles increased for all the wavelengths used (Figure 3), it is likely that the polymerization starts with a reaction with the activated electron in the conduction band.

As was reported earlier [13], our Sb : SnO2 nanoparticles are semiconductive and contain only Sb(V) ions at lower Sb-doping levels. At higher doping level also Sb(III) is present, probably mainly near/at the surface of the particles (Table 1) [12, 16]. The Sb(V) ions have probably replaced some Sn ions in the original crystal structure and have donated an extra electron to the conduction band upon substitutional replacement [16]. UPS EELS and photoemission measurements confirm the filling of the conduction band by Sbdoping and suggest a shift of the Fermi level into the conduction band and a band gap narrowing. The increase in number of electrons in the conduction band by Sb-doping has been confirmed for our nanoparticles by an increase in intensity/shift of the peak maximum of the plasmon band (Figure 3).

Band structure calculations at high Sb-doping levels in SnO2(Sb doping about 25%) suggest the formation of a Sb 5s-like band in the SnO2 gap with a free electron character at the Γ-point. This could be a half filled metallic band below the conduction band. Hence, it is likely that the Sb-doping levels of our nanoparticles result into energy levels at or close to the conduction band [16, 33]. These Sb(V) energy levels may be expected to be about 0.03 eV to 0.15 eV below the minimum of the conduction band [30, 34]. Moreover, we found that Sb-doping always lowers the Φ (Table 5, Figure 8). This suggests that Sb-doping levels function as scavengers of the activated electrons in the conduction band. However, Sb-doping lowers also the number of oxygen vacancies in SnO2 and seems to influence the ratio between the two N-doping energy levels observed in our Sb : SnO2 nanoparticles (Figure 9). These changes in bulk composition may be responsible for the lowering of Φ with Sb-doping, and then it is likely that a half filled band close to the conduction band or the lowering of the bottom of the conduction band is involved in shifting the absorption of the radiation to at least 650 nm and the occurrence of radical C=C bond polymerization photocatalyzed by our Sb : SnO2 (Sb ≥ 2%) nanoparticles for illuminations with light between 365 and 650 nm. This half filled band may not be present at Sbdoping levels lower than 2%.

Grafting the surface of the Sb : SnO2 particles with MPS lowers Φ. The lowest values are obtained when the surface is grafted with a monolayer of MPS. Still photocatalysis continues although at a lower rate. This may be explained by the initial formation of an MPS radical on the surface of the particle, which reacts further with a much slower rate with a C=C double bond outside the particle as compared to a direct transfer of an electron from the particle surface to a C=C bond outside the particle, even when almost all the methacrylate C=C bonds are disappeared. Another explanation may be that the initially formed grafted MPS radical reacts first preferably with the other grafted MPS molecules forming a more or less closed chemically connected apolar acrylate shield, which limits later on the
transfer of another electron after absorption of a new light quantum to the outside of the particle.

4. Conclusions

(1) By absorption of radiation of 365, 408, 545, or 650 ± 5 nm Sb:SnO2 (Sb ≥ 0%) nanoparticles photocatalyze the (meth)acrylate C=C bond radical polymerization present in MPS-Sb:SnO2/acrylate monomer starting formulations.

(2) Although the energy of the light quanta of these wavelengths is too small to directly activate an electron from the valence band into the conduction band, the shift in peak position and/or the increase in the absorption the plasmon band during illumination of these formulations suggest that still this polymerization starts with a transfer of an activated electron present in the conduction band of the particle to a C=C double bond outside or at the surface of the MPS-Sb:SnO2 nanoparticle. All the nanoparticles studied are always doped with N in the bulk, in which energy levels may be responsible for these photocatalyzed C=C bond polymerizations.

(3) The relative quantum efficiencies for the polymerization of the C=C bonds can be determined quantitatively and depend on the quantum yields (Φs) for the formation of the radical which initiates the polymerization and the rate constants of the propagating and terminating polymerization reactions.

(4) The Φ appears to be dependent on the wavelength of irradiation, the amount of Sb-doping, and the amount of MPS grafting of the particle surface.

(5) It is likely that methacrylate grafting of the surface of the Sb:SnO2 (Sb ≥ 0%) nanoparticles lowers Φ for all irradiating wavelengths of light between 300 and 650 nm. This may be explained by the scavenger role of the grafted MPS moieties on the surfaces of the nanoparticles for the activated electrons in the conduction band. MPS grafting of these particles is essential for obtaining transparent (>98%) hard layers with a low haze (<1%).

(6) Sb-doping always lowers Φ. The decrease in Φ may be caused by a scavenging of the activated electrons by Sb-doping energy levels. Sb-doping lowers also the number of oxygen vacancies in the Sb:SnO2 (Sb > 0%) nanoparticles. These oxygen vacancies may initiate the formation of other radicals, which can initiate also the C=C bond polymerization reaction.

(7) MPS-Sb:SnO2 nanoparticles are attractive new photocatalysts under visible light to initiate the polymerization of (meth)acrylate monomers.
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