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Abstract We show that there is a unique graph with spectrum as in the title. It is a subgraph of the McLaughlin graph. The proof uses a strong form of the eigenvalue interlacing theorem to reduce the problem to one about root lattices.
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1 The graph $\Delta$

It was shown in [5] that there is a unique graph $Z$ with spectrum $30^1 2^{90} (-10)^{21}$ (with multiplicities written as exponents), namely the collinearity graph of the unique generalized quadrangle with parameters $GQ(3, 9)$. It is strongly regular with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu) = (112, 30, 2, 10)$. Its automorphism group is $U_4(3) \cong PGO_6^* (3)$ (of order $2^{10} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$), where the * denotes that the form may be multiplied by a constant.

It was shown in [1] that there is a unique graph $Y$ with spectrum $20^1 2^{60} (-7)^{20}$. It is strongly regular with parameters $(v, k, \lambda, \mu) = (81, 20, 1, 6)$, and is the second subconstituent of $Z$, the subgraph induced on the set of vertices at distance 2 from a fixed
vertex $a$ of $Z$. Its automorphism group is $3^4 : ((2 \times S_6) \cdot 2)$ acting rank 3, the point stabilizer in $\text{Aut}(Z)$. One construction of $Y$ is found by taking $1^4 / \{1\}$ (where $1$ denotes the all-1 vector) inside $F_3^6$, where two cosets are adjacent when they differ by a weight-3 vector.

Let $\Delta$ be the second subconstituent of $Y$, the subgraph induced on the set of vertices at distance 2 from a fixed vertex $b$ of $Y$. Then $\Delta$ has spectrum $14^1 2^{40} (-4)^{10} (-6)^9$ (apply Theorem 5.1 of [5]) and automorphism group $(2^2 \times S_6) \cdot 2$, the stabilizer of the unordered pair $\{a, b\}$ in $\text{Aut}(Z)$, twice as large as the point stabilizer of $\text{Aut}(Y)$. The above description of $Y$ leads to a description of $\Delta$ as the graph on the cosets in $F_3^6$ with coordinates (up to permutation) either $000012 + \{1\}$ or $001122 + \{1\}$, where two cosets are adjacent when they differ by a weight 3 vector.

In this note we show that the graph $\Delta$ is determined by its spectrum. This is an interesting case. The uniqueness proof is elegant and quite different from the methods found in the literature (cf. [3,4]).

2 Interlacing

An important tool is the following lemma on interlacing eigenvalues ([6], Theorem 2.1 (i), (ii); see also [2], Theorem 3.3.1).

Lemma 2.1 Let $\Gamma$ be a graph on $n$ vertices with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$, and let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ be a partition of the vertex set of $\Gamma$ into nonempty parts. Let $r_{ij}$ be the average number of neighbours in $X_j$ of a vertex in $X_i$. Then the matrix $R = (r_{ij})$ has real eigenvalues $\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m$, which satisfy

(i) (interlacing) $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{n-m+i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$;

(ii) if $\mu_i = \lambda_i$, or $\mu_i = \lambda_{n-m+i}$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, then $R$ has a $\mu_i$-eigenvector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)^T$, such that the vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ whose entries are equal to $v_j$ for all vertices in $X_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, m$) is a $\mu_i$-eigenvector of $\Gamma$.

For example if $m = 1$ it follows that the average valency $\bar{k}$ of $\Gamma$ is at most equal to $\lambda_1$, and equality implies that the all-1 vector is a $\lambda_1$-eigenvector of $\Gamma$. Since $n\bar{k} = \sum \lambda_i^2$ it follows that $\Gamma$ is regular of valency $\lambda_1$ if $n\lambda_1 = \sum \lambda_i^2$.

3 Graphs cospectral to $\Delta$

Let $\Gamma$ be a graph with the same spectrum $14^1 2^{40} (-4)^{10} (-6)^9$ as $\Delta$.

We shall write $x \sim y$ ($x \not\sim y$) when $x$ is a (non)neighbour of $y$ in $\Gamma$, and denote the number of common neighbours of $x$ and $y$ by $\lambda(x, y)$ ($\mu(x, y)$).

(i) By Lemma 2.1 we know that $\Gamma$ is regular of valency 14. Moreover $\Gamma$ is connected, because the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 14 equals 1.

If $\Gamma$ has adjacency matrix $A$, then $(A - 2I)(A + 4I)(A + 6I) = 72J$ so that $(A^3)_{xx} = 8$, and it follows that each vertex is in four triangles.

(ii) For a vertex $x$, let $T_x$ be a set of eight neighbours of $x$ such that $\{x\} \cup T_x$ contains the four triangles on $x$. Let $S_x$ be the set of the remaining six neighbours of $x$, and let $N_x$ be the set of 45 nonneighbours of $x$. The matrix of average row sums of $A$, partitioned according to $\{\{x\}, T_x, S_x, N_x\}$ is
with eigenvalues 14, 2, 0.40, −5.27. The 2-eigenspace is \((15, 3, 1, -1)\). By Lemma 2.1 it follows that the vector that is constant 15, 3, 1, −1 on \(\{x\}, T_x, S_x, N_x\), respectively, is 2-eigenvector of \(A\). Therefore each vertex in \(T_x\) has precisely one neighbour in \(T_x\), that is, two triangles on \(x\) have only one \(x\). It also follows that if \(z\) is a non-neighbour of \(x\) with \(a\) neighbours in \(T_x\) and \(b\) neighbours in \(S_x\), then \(2a + b = 6\) while \(a + b = \mu(x, z)\), so that \(a = 6 - \mu(x, z)\). In particular, \(\mu(x, z) = 3\) implies that \(z\) has no neighbours in \(S_x\).

(iii) The rank 10 matrix \(B = 4J - (A - 2I)(A + 6I)\) is positive semi-definite and hence can be written \(B = N^T N\) for a 10 \times 60 matrix \(N\).

Let \(\bar{x}\) be column \(x\) of \(N\). Then \(x \mapsto \bar{x}\) is a representation of \(\Gamma\) in Euclidean 10-space, with

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
-\lambda(x, y) & 1 & 1 \\
4 - \mu(x, y) & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

It follows that for nonadjacent vertices \(x, y\) one has \(2 \leq \mu(x, y) \leq 6\).

If \(\{x, y, z\}\) is a triangle, then \(\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z} = 0\) (since this sum has squared norm 0).

The matrix \(B\) satisfies \(JB = 0\) and \(AB = -4B\) and \(B^2 = 12B\) so that the rows of \(B\) are integral vectors with sum 0 and squared norm 24.

Row \(x\) of \(B\) has a 2 at the \(x\)-position, and a \(-1\) at the 8 positions \(z \in T_x\) (with \(\lambda(x, z) = 1\)).

If \(\bar{x} = \bar{y}\), so that rows \(x\) and \(y\) of \(B\) are identical, then \(\mu(x, y) = 2\) and we see two 2’s and at least fourteen \(-1\)’s in each row, and since there can be at most two more nonzero entries, the row sum is nonzero, contradiction. It follows that the representation is injective.

If \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = -2\), then \(\bar{y} = -\bar{x}\). Given \(x\), this happens for at most one \(y\). It follows that a row of \(B\) has entries either \(2^1 1^8 0^{42} (-1)^8 (-2)^1\) or \(2^1 1^9 0^{39} (-1)^1\) (with multiplicities written as exponents).

(iv) Let us call a triangle a line. If \(\mu(x, y) = 3\) then each of the six edges connecting \(x\) and \(y\) with their common neighbours are in a line. Now there are 24 lines not on \(x\) meeting \(T_x\), and each \(y\) with \(\mu(x, y) = 3\) determines three such lines, so if there are \(9\) such points \(y\) then some line is seen twice. We find a line \(\{y, y’, z\}\) with \(x \sim z\). Now \(0 = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + (\bar{x}, \bar{y’}) + (\bar{x}, \bar{z}) = 1 + 1 + (-1) = 1\), contradiction. It follows that no row of \(B\) has pattern \(2^1 1^9 0^{39} (-1)^1\).

(v) A set of roots (vectors of squared norm 2) with integral inner products spans a root lattice ([2], §3.10), so \(\Lambda = \langle \bar{x} | x \in V \Gamma \rangle\) is a 10-dimensional root lattice, orthogonal direct sum of summands of the form \(A_n\) \((n \geq 1)\), \(D_n\) \((n \geq 4)\), \(E_6\), \(E_7\), or \(E_8\).

(vi) The roots of the orthogonal direct sum of root lattices are the roots of the summands, so that an orthogonal direct sum decomposition of \(\Lambda\) gives a partition of \(V \Gamma\) such that \((\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = 0\) if \(y, z\) are vertices from different parts. It follows that the three vertices of a triangle belong to the same part.

Consider the graph \(T\) with vertex set \(V \Gamma\) where two vertices \(x, y\) are adjacent when \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = -1\), i.e., when \(xy\) is an edge in a triangle of \(\Gamma\). Given \(x\), consider the five subsets \(S_i = \{u \in V \Gamma | (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = i\}\) for \(i = 2, 1, 0, -1, -2\). We have \(|S_2| = |S_{-2}| = 1, |S_{-1}| = |S_1| = 8, |S_0| = 42\). The graph \(T\) is regular of valency 8. In \(T\), any vertex \(y \in S_{-1}\) has 1 neighbour \(x\), 1 neighbour in \(S_{-1}\), 3 neighbours in \(S_1\), and hence 3 neighbours in \(S_0\). A vertex \(z \in S_0\) has 0 or 2 \(\Gamma\)-neighbours in \(S_{-1}\), so at most 2 \(\Gamma\)-neighbours. We see that the connected component of \(T\) containing \(x\) has at least \(1 + 8 + 8 + 1 + (8 \cdot 3)/2 = 30\) vertices.
It follows that either the root lattice $\Lambda$ is indecomposable, i.e., is $A_{10}$ or $D_{10}$, or has precisely two summands. Since $A_n$ has $n(n + 1)$ roots, and $D_n$ has $2n(n - 1)$ roots, the possibilities in the latter case are $A_5 + A_5$, $A_5 + D_5$, $D_5 + D_5$.

(vii) Suppose $\Lambda$ has a direct summand $D_5$. The root system $D_5$ has 40 roots, and 30 occur as images of vertices in the corresponding connected component $C$ of $T$. Let $\Phi$ be the graph on the 40 roots of $D_5$, adjacent when they have inner product $-1$, and consider $C$ a subset of the vertex set of $\Phi$. Let $D$ be the set of 10 roots not in $C$. The graph $\Phi$ is regular of valency 12. The valency inside $C$ is 8, so each vertex in $C$ has 4 neighbours in $D$. This gives 120 edges meeting $D$, so there are no internal edges in $D$ and no two roots of $D$ have inner product $-1$. Both $\Phi$ and $C$ are closed under $u \mapsto -u$, so also $D$ is, and no two roots of $D$ have inner product 1. Consequently, $D$ has only inner products 2, 0, $-2$ and consists of five mutually orthogonal pairs of opposite roots. But $D_5$ does not contain 5 mutually orthogonal roots. Contradiction.

(viii) Consider the graph $\Pi$ with as vertices the 30 pairs $\pm \bar{x}$, adjacent when they have non-zero inner product. Then $\Pi$ has valency 8 and $\lambda = 4$. Using a Weetman argument (cf. [7]) we see that a connected component of $\Pi$ has fewer than 30 vertices. It will follow that $\Lambda \simeq A_5 + A_5$.

As follows. For geodesics $x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \ldots$ we find lower bounds $n_i$ for the number of common neighbours of $x_i$ and $x_{i+1}$ at distance $i$ from $x_0$. We can take $n_1 = 2$ since two nonadjacent vertices in a 4-regular graph on 8 vertices must have at least 2 common neighbours. We can take $n_2 = 3$ since the set of common neighbours of $x_2$ and $x_0$ has valency at least $n_1 = 2$, and hence size at least 3 (and an 8-vertex graph of degree 4 cannot have a cut set of size 2). Now the local graph at $x_3$ has at least 4 vertices at distance 2 from $x_0$, and hence cannot have any at distance 4 from $x_0$ and a connected component of $\Pi$ has diameter at most 3 and size at most $1 + 8 + (8 \cdot 3)/3 + (8 \cdot 2)/4 = 21$, as desired.

(ix) Thus far, we identified the 60 vertices of $\Gamma$ with the 60 roots of $A_5 + A_5$, and can recognize the triangles of $\Gamma$. It remains to find the edges of $\Gamma$ that are not in a triangle.

Let $C$ and $D$ be the two sets of vertices belonging to the two systems $A_5$. Given $x \in C$, the 12 vertices $y \in C$ with $\langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle = 0$ have common $T$-neighbours with $x$, so are nonadjacent to $x$ in $\Gamma$. That determines the induced subgraph on $C$ and on $D$, and we have to find the edges between $C$ and $D$.

Suppose $x \in C$. If $\bar{y} = -\bar{x}$, then $\mu(x, y) = 6$, and the six common neighbours of $x$ and $y$ live in $D$, and form all neighbours of $x$ in $D$. If $u$ is a common neighbour of $x$ and $y$, and $\bar{v} = -\bar{u}$, then also $v$ is a common neighbour of $x$ and $y$. This means that for the edges across we can identify pairs of opposite roots, and have a geometry with 15 points and 15 lines, where each point is on 3 lines and each line has 3 points. The points can be identified with the pairs from a 6-set. Then subgraph on the set of points is $T(6)$. The lines consist of three mutually disjoint pairs. This is the unique generalized quadrangle of order 2.

This proves that $\Gamma$ is uniquely determined by its spectrum, and hence must be isomorphic to $\Delta$.
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