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Abstract
In this work we present Thomson scattering measurements on a nanosecond pulsed high voltage dielectric barrier discharge (DBD)-like helium plasma jet, operated in ambient air. With the low detection limit offered by a triple grating spectrograph equipped with a high quantum efficiency intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera, temporally and spatially resolved electron densities and mean energies have been mapped. 7 kV peak with 250 ns width pulses at 20 kHz are applied to the inner cylindrical shaped electrode of a DBD. This results in a peculiar hollow electron density profile in the vicinity of the jet nozzle with maximum values of \( n_e = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{m}^{-3} \) and mean energies of up to 2.5 eV. Further downstream, the profile collapses radially and contracts. A much higher electron density is found (\( 2 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3} \)) while the mean energy is lower (0.5 eV).
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electron densities determined from Stark broadening of hydrogen lines were always higher than several $10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$, those estimated from current measurements were always lower than a few $10^{18} \text{m}^{-3}$. The different geometry has certainly an influence on the true value, for instance the position and distance of the electrodes determines strongly the current density in the plasma. However, even for the same jet, differences of an order of magnitude are found (Hofmann et al 2011).

Actually, we have also obtained such incongruous results in our microplasma jet. The estimated electron density was several $10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ by Stark broadening and up to few $10^{18} \text{m}^{-3}$ from current measurements (Douat 2013).

In fact, both methods suffer from the indirect determination of the electron density and from volume averaging effects. More precisely, the different issues concerning the Stark broadening of the hydrogen emission lines are (1) the width of hydrogen lines characterize the surrounding of the emitting atom, which, a priori, is not correlated to the plasma density. This is particularly the case when H atoms from incoming air are produced in the periphery of the plasma by ion–ion or electron–ion recombination (Verreycken 2012); (2) emission spectroscopy measurements rely on line of sight observation of the plasma emission. When dealing with an inhomogeneous medium, as it is the case for the microplasma jet, the recorded line profile results from the addition of an infinite number of different line profiles, and complicated inversion processing of the data, with often not always justified hypothesis, is necessary for the determination of the local Stark widths; (3) due to the atmospheric pressure operation of these jets, the van der Waals broadening is often comparable, if not larger than the Stark broadening. Thus, the accuracy of the electron density deduced from the Stark width strongly depends on the accurate knowledge of the gas temperature, $T_g$. In fact, $T_g$ influences both the Doppler and van der Waals width of the Voigt profile of the line. Consequently, its independent determination is necessary (Belostotskiy et al 2010); (4) As was specified by Gigosos et al (2003), the Hamiltonian of H atoms used by these authors to develop the Stark model for the Balmer series does not include the fine structure effect, and only permit to correlate correctly the line width to the electron density when it is higher than about $1 \times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3}$. As so, in our opinion, electron densities resulting from Stark width measurements that have been so far published for He plasma jet cannot be considered to be fully reliable. The electron density determination based on current measurements has also several drawbacks. On one hand, the current probe can be intrusive and may change the characteristics of the plasma jet. Thus, a correct determination of the plasma jet current is rather challenging. On the other hand, even if the plasma jet current is well determined, one has to estimate the electron velocity, the plasma jet diameter, and the radial shape of the electron distribution. Similar difficulties occur in other methods used to determine the electron density. Microwave scattering and laser interferometry (Choi et al 2009, Shashurin et al 2010) generate always volume or line of sight averaged data.

Therefore, in order to obtain reliable values for the electron properties of our microplasma jet, we have used the Thomson scattering technique which is free from the above mentioned artifacts. Moreover, this method provides high spatially (100 $\mu$m) and temporally (2 ns) resolved measurements of the electron density and temperature, with negligible perturbation of the plasma. Finally, similar to the published experimental data, the numerical models that can be found in the literature also propose contradictory values and trends for the electron density of helium microplasma jets propagating in ambient air (Breden et al 2012, Naidis 2012, Bouef et al 2013). It is thus the aim of this work to clarify that issue and help to improve the numerical models that can play a crucial role in fully analyzing and understanding the physics and the chemistry behind such microplasma jets.

The device used in this work is basically the same as the one described in (Douat et al 2011). It consists of a quartz tube (inner diameter 2.1 mm, outer diameter 7.1 mm) with a coaxial inner capillary high voltage (HV) electrode that is glued inside at a distance of 7 mm from the tip. A 1 cm wide grounded electrode ring is wrapped around the dielectric tube at a distance of 5 mm from its tip. Helium gas flow of 4.5 slm goes directly through the capillary electrode and emanates into open air. The whole setup is mounted on an $x$–$y$ stepper-motor stage. The discharge is driven at 20 kHz by high voltage pulses produced by a homemade power supply. The rise time of the 7 kV peak HV pulses is 150 ns and their duration at half maximum is about 250 ns.

We use a second harmonic Nd:YAG laser at 532 nm with a repetition frequency of 5 kHz, a pulse width (FWHM) of 8 ns and a pulse energy of 4 mJ. A 1 m focal length lens is mounted on a moveable stage and provides a smaller than 100 $\mu$m laser beam diameter at the jet. Because of the small focal spot, low pulse energies are needed in order to prevent plasma perturbations by the laser.

The scattered photons are detected under 90° by a triple grating spectrograph equipped with an intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera (van de Sande and van der Mullen 2002). HV and laser pulses and the gate of the ICCD are synchronized relative to each other. A 2D image is obtained, one axis corresponding to the spatial dimension along the laser beam and the other axis resolving the spectral information. The spatial resolution depends not only on the laser beam diameter and the enlargement factor of the imaging but also on the noise level of the detected signal, which demands a spatial binning of the CCD pixels, leading to at least 100 $\mu$m. The detected scattered photon signal intensity is proportional to the electron density, while the spectral distribution is related to the electron energy distribution function (EEDF). To obtain absolute values in wavelength and amplitude, a calibration of the signal is provided by Raman spectra of ambient air by fitting the $N_2$ and $O_2$ rotational peaks (Van Gessel et al 2012). With this system, electron densities as low as $5 \times 10^{17} \text{m}^{-3}$ and electrons with energies in the range of 0.1–8 eV can be detected. Note, however, that the upper energy limit depends strongly on the electron density, as will be shown later.

The standard experimental procedure involves taking three spectra that are accumulated over 1.5 $\times 10^9$ laser shots.
scattering spectra from different axial positions (top: \(z = 11\) mm; bottom: \(z = 2\) mm). The wavelength scale is roughly from 528 to 536 nm (±4 nm from the laser). The scattered photons with a Gaussian distribution around the laser wavelength are the TS signal. Not fully suppressed Raman scattering at the edges of the jet and a spectrally Gaussian distributed signal is found around the laser wavelength at two outer radial positions, while at \(z = 2\) mm, densities are for the radial rim of the jet but further downstream they are for the jet axis. The spatial distribution will be discussed later on. The electron density shows only little variation during the (current) pulse and drops steeply at its termination. That is in contrast to (Huëbner et al 2013) where in an argon needle–jet configuration without second electrode a steep decrease of \(n_e\) after an initial maximum was found. Note that the density and energy values are averaged over the laser beam diameter and over the laser pulse duration (8 ns), so that locally and temporally higher maxima might occur. However, the temporal and spatial averaging should not affect significantly either the temporal or spatial evolution of the mean energy and the electron density, nor their values shown in this paper.

We assume that in our case an initial electron energy maximum, or ionization front, is present but the \(\text{S/N}\) level of the TS signal is too low to permit the detection. As seen in figure 3, only the energy range 0.1–2 eV of the measured EEDF, corresponding to the bulk electrons, can be exploited for the mean energy determination. Consequently, the density of high energy electrons of the streamer head remains below the detection limit.

The radial profiles of the electron density and energy for different axial positions at a fixed time delay of 120 ns with respect to the plasma emission are shown in figure 4. The evolution shows a transition from a hollow plasma tube for \(z < 9\) mm to a centred channel and subsequently to an again broader, if not slightly hollow, profile for \(z > 13\) mm. For \(z > 29\) mm, the broader channel is decaying and the air entrainment creates a Raman signal that becomes too strong and overlaps the TS signal. At \(z = 2\) mm, the electron density in the center is below the detection limit. The slight asymmetry in the results is due to a horizontal gas flow in the jet (note that \(r = 0\) is taken in respect to the tube, not the plasma). A rotation of 180 degrees of the tube and its electrodes flips the results radially. As a matter of fact, a similar asymmetry can be found in the plasma emission intensity, originating mostly from He and \(\text{N}_2^+(B)\), as shown in figure 5.

To summarize, in this paper we showed the first spatially and temporally resolved direct measurements of electron density and mean energy in a helium microplasma jet. In order to obtain reliable values for the electron properties of our microplasma jet, we used the Thomson scattering technique. We found that the profile of the electron density along the \(z\)-axis generally evolves from hollow to axially centred. In the vicinity of the electrode the plasma retains the hollow shape of the HV electrode in the He channel. As the necessary breakdown field in the ambient air is higher than in He, the discharge remains confined inside the jet. This general trend was found already for the spatial distribution of the plasma light emission (Algwari and O’Connell 2011) and the density

\(\text{Figure 1.}\) Photograph of the jet operating with a He flow and crossing laser beam. Shown are also two representative laser scattering spectra from different axial positions (top: \(z = 11\) mm; bottom: \(z = 2\) mm). The wavelength scale is roughly from 528 to 536 nm (±4 nm from the laser). The scattered photons with a Gaussian distribution around the laser wavelength are the TS signal. Not fully suppressed Raman scattering at the edges of the jet and a spectrally Gaussian distributed signal is found around the laser wavelength at two outer radial positions, while at \(z = 2\) mm, densities are for the radial rim of the jet but further downstream they are for the jet axis. The spatial distribution will be discussed later on. The electron density shows only little variation during the (current) pulse and drops steeply at its termination. That is in contrast to (Huëbner et al 2013) where in an argon needle–jet configuration without second electrode a steep decrease of \(n_e\) after an initial maximum was found. Note that the density and energy values are averaged over the laser beam diameter and over the laser pulse duration (8 ns), so that locally and temporally higher maxima might occur. However, the temporal and spatial averaging should not affect significantly either the temporal or spatial evolution of the mean energy and the electron density, nor their values shown in this paper.

We assume that in our case an initial electron energy maximum, or ionization front, is present but the \(\text{S/N}\) level of the TS signal is too low to permit the detection. As seen in figure 3, only the energy range 0.1–2 eV of the measured EEDF, corresponding to the bulk electrons, can be exploited for the mean energy determination. Consequently, the density of high energy electrons of the streamer head remains below the detection limit.

The radial profiles of the electron density and energy for different axial positions at a fixed time delay of 120 ns with respect to the plasma emission are shown in figure 4. The evolution shows a transition from a hollow plasma tube for \(z < 9\) mm to a centred channel and subsequently to an again broader, if not slightly hollow, profile for \(z > 13\) mm. For \(z > 29\) mm, the broader channel is decaying and the air entrainment creates a Raman signal that becomes too strong and overlaps the TS signal. At \(z = 2\) mm, the electron density in the center is below the detection limit. The slight asymmetry in the results is due to a horizontal gas flow in the jet (note that \(r = 0\) is taken in respect to the tube, not the plasma). A rotation of 180 degrees of the tube and its electrodes flips the results radially. As a matter of fact, a similar asymmetry can be found in the plasma emission intensity, originating mostly from He and \(\text{N}_2^+(B)\), as shown in figure 5.

To summarize, in this paper we showed the first spatially and temporally resolved direct measurements of electron density and mean energy in a helium microplasma jet. In order to obtain reliable values for the electron properties of our microplasma jet, we used the Thomson scattering technique. We found that the profile of the electron density along the \(z\)-axis generally evolves from hollow to axially centred. In the vicinity of the electrode the plasma retains the hollow shape of the HV electrode in the He channel. As the necessary breakdown field in the ambient air is higher than in He, the discharge remains confined inside the jet. This general trend was found already for the spatial distribution of the plasma light emission (Algwari and O’Connell 2011) and the density
of He atoms in metastable states (Urabe et al. 2010). Choi et al. (2009) also observed by laser heterodyne interferometry a hollow electron density profile collapsing to axially centred along the z-axis in a pulsed DC jet. But, contrary to us, they found the electron density decreasing as the jet propagates. However, their jet is operated in an inverted polarity and their electrode configuration is quite different from ours (facing electrodes).

While our measurements agree quite well with most of the published models (Naidis 2011, Breden et al. 2012) with respect to the general evolution of the radial distribution of the electron density, with a shape progressively evolving from an annular distribution to a closed one on-axis centred (note that the different models propose different values and axial collapsing points of the electron density), it must be pointed out that our measurements clearly indicate that the maximum electron density is reached after a propagation of several cm. This trend strongly disagrees with the prediction of almost all models (Breden et al. 2012, Boeuf et al. 2013) in which the electron density has its maximum value just at the tip of the dielectric tube and progressively decreases.

Figure 2. Temporal evolution of the electron parameters at three different axial positions. For \( z = 2 \text{ mm} \) the visible plasma emission intensity in arbitrary units is shown as full curve, while dashed lines indicate the time of the respective maxima. After about 400 ns the current goes to zero.

Figure 3. EEDF from Thomson scattered photon spectra for \( n_e = 8 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3} \) (\( z = 11 \text{ mm} \) and time span between the detection of plasma emission and measurement of 100 ns). A Gaussian fit for the electron energy with a single standard deviation interval is shown. The horizontal dashed line indicates the noise level. Note that negative values are plotted as one.
as the plasma jet propagates. The best agreement between model and our experiment, not only in plasma shape but also in absolute electron density, can be found with the work of Naidis (2012).

It is worth mentioning that the measured trend for the longitudinal distribution of the electron density is in agreement with the recent measurements of the distribution of helium atoms in the metastable state by Cadot et al (2014) who found, for the same device, a maximum density of the He(2\textsuperscript{3}S) atoms 13 mm downstream the dielectric tip, while here again models predict a maximum metastable density just at the tip. Such differences are still unresolved, and perhaps the measured axial distribution of the metastable and electron densities and of the electron temperature can now be used to improve the accuracy of the model predictions, and, ultimately, lead to a better understanding of the physics and chemistry behind the microplasma jets.
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