A new discretization method for the convective terms in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

Citation for published version (APA):

Kumar, N., Thijs Boonkamp, ten, J. H. M., & Koren, B. (2014). *A new discretization method for the convective terms in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*. (CASA-report; Vol. 1407). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2014

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

CASA-Report 14-07
March 2014

A new discretization method for the convective terms
in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

by

N. Kumar, J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkamp, B. Koren

Centre for Analysis, Scientific computing and Applications
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Eindhoven University of Technology
P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
ISSN: 0926-4507

A New Discretization Method for the Convective Terms in the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

N. Kumar, J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp and B. Koren

Abstract In this contribution we present the use of local one-dimensional boundary value problems (BVPs) to compute the interface velocities in the convective terms of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. This technique provides us with a better estimate for the interface velocities than linear interpolants.

1 Introduction

We present an accurate method to compute the interface velocities needed in the convective terms of the momentum equations by solving local one-dimensional BVPs. This method can be used as an improvement to a second-order accurate finite volume method on a staggered grid, with central difference discretization for the viscous and convective terms. Such a setup gives us an energy conserving discretization method for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations [2]. The standard method for computing the interface velocities makes use of linear interpolation, i.e., by taking the average values, or alternatively, the upwind values. In this paper, we will solve a reduced form of the momentum equations, locally over a grid cell, in order to compute the interface velocities. The idea is inspired by the complete flux scheme for the advection-diffusion-reaction equation as presented in [3]. In this paper we consider the two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, the proposed method can be extended to the three-dimensional case.

In section 2 of this paper, we outline the finite volume method for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. In section 3 we describe the methods for solving the one-dimensional nonlinear BVPs. The computed interface velocities are then

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology, PO Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands.

N.Kumar e-mail: n.kumar@tue.nl · J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp e-mail: j.h.m.tenthijeboonkkamp@tue.nl · B. Koren e-mail: b.koren@tue.nl

compared with highly accurate numerical solutions in section 4. We conclude with results in section 5.

2 Finite volume method

Consider the dimensionless incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, i.e.,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (1b)$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ is the velocity of the fluid, p the pressure and Re the Reynolds number. We use the second-order finite volume method to discretize the above system of equations, as discussed in [1]. The spatial discretization is done using a staggered Cartesian grid, with the pressure and the velocity components defined at different locations, see Figure 1. The semi-discrete form of equations (1a) and (1b) then reads:

$$\begin{aligned} Du(t) &= r_1(t), \\ |\Omega| u'(t) &= -C(u, v) + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} Lu(t) - Gp(t) + r_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

where D , C , L and G represent the discrete divergence, convection, diffusion and gradient operators, respectively, and where $|\Omega|$ represents the measure of the control volumes [1]. The terms $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ give the boundary conditions for the system of equations. In two dimensions, $|\Omega|$ can be expressed as $|\Omega| = \text{diag}(|\Omega_{i,j}^u|, |\Omega_{i,j}^v|)$, with $|\Omega_{i,j}^u| = |\Omega_{i,j}^v| = \Delta x \Delta y$. Let us consider the convective discretization for the u -component, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (C^u(u, v))_{i,j} &= \Delta y (u_{i+1/2,j}^2 - u_{i-1/2,j}^2) + \\ &\quad \Delta x (v_{i+1/2,j} u_{i,j+1/2} - v_{i+1/2,j-1} u_{i,j-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For computing $(C^u(u, v))_{i,j}$, we need methods to compute the interface velocities $u_{i+1/2,j}$, $v_{i+1/2,j}$ and $u_{i,j+1/2}$. In this paper we focus on the computation of $u_{i+1/2,j}$. The velocity $u_{i+1/2,j}$ can be simply taken as the average

$$u_{i+1/2,j} = \frac{u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j}}{2}.$$

In this paper, we aim to compute $u_{i+1/2,j}$ by solving a reduced form of the u -momentum equation locally. The u -momentum equation reads

$$u_t + (uu)_x + (uv)_y = -p_x + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} (u_{xx} + u_{yy}).$$

Fig. 1 Staggered grid structure for spatial discretization.

Let us assume that the flow is locally steady and one-dimensional. Moreover, we ignore all terms involving y . Then the previous equation is reduced to

$$uu_x - \varepsilon u_{xx} = -p_x, \quad (4)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1/\text{Re}$. Thus, we are left with a nonlinear differential equation. In the following we ignore the y -dependence of u and we simply write $u = u(x)$. We denote $u(x_i)$ as u_i . In order to get the interface velocity $u_{i+1/2}$ located at x_{i+1} we solve equation (4) for $x \in (x_{i+1/2}, x_{i+3/2})$ subject to the boundary conditions

$$u(x_{i+1/2}) = u_i, \quad u(x_{i+3/2}) = u_{i+1}. \quad (5)$$

The following section details the computation of $u_{i+1/2,j}$ and briefly outlines the computation of $u_{i,j+1/2}$ and $v_{i+1/2,j}$.

3 Computing the interface velocities

The BVP (4)-(5) is difficult to solve due to the nonlinear term uu_x and the pressure gradient p_x . We simplify this by first solving a linearized problem without the pressure gradient and subsequently solving the linearized problem along with the pressure term.

Let U be in between u_i and u_{i+1} , or equal to either u_i or u_{i+1} . We linearize the nonlinear term of equation (4), to get

$$Uu_x - \varepsilon u_{xx} = -p_x.$$

In the derivation that follows, it is convenient to introduce the following notation, $a = U/\varepsilon$ and $(\cdot)' = \partial/\partial x$. We define the *local mesh Péclet number* (P) as

$$P = \frac{U \Delta x}{\varepsilon} = a \Delta x, \quad (6)$$

and the normalized coordinate by

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{x - x_{i+1/2}}{\Delta x} \quad \text{for } x \in [x_{i+1/2}, x_{i+3/2}].$$

So the linearized equation can now be written as,

$$\varepsilon(u' - au)' = p'. \quad (7)$$

The problem given by equation (7) with boundary conditions (5), can now be split in two cases, the *homogeneous case*, having $p' = 0$, and the *inhomogeneous case*, in which we assume a piecewise linear pressure. We first consider the homogeneous case.

Homogeneous case. Using $u' - au = e^{ax}(e^{-ax}u)'$ and integrating equation (7) (with the assumption $p' = 0$), from $x_{i+1/2}$ to $x \in [x_{i+1/2}, x_{i+3/2}]$, and applying the boundary condition $u(x_{i+1/2}) = u_i$, gives

$$e^{-ax}u(x) - e^{-ax_{i+1/2}}u_i = \frac{C_1}{a}(e^{-ax_{i+1/2}} - e^{-ax}), \quad (a \neq 0).$$

Formulating in terms of σ and P , and imposing the other boundary condition $u(x_{i+3/2}) = u_{i+1}$, gives

$$u(x) = \frac{e^{-P(1-\sigma(x))} - 1}{e^{-P} - 1}u_i + \frac{e^{P\sigma(x)} - 1}{e^P - 1}u_{i+1}. \quad (8)$$

We assume that the grid is equidistant. Then putting $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ in the above expression gives

$$u_{i+1/2} = A(-P/2)u_i + A(P/2)u_{i+1}, \quad (9)$$

where $A(z) = (e^z + 1)^{-1}$. Alternatively, $u_{i+1/2}$ can also be expressed as the sum of the average value and a correction term, as

$$u_{i+1/2} = \frac{(u_i + u_{i+1})}{2} + \left(A(P/2) - \frac{1}{2}\right)(u_{i+1} - u_i). \quad (10)$$

From equation (9), we see that $u_{i+1/2}$ is a weighted average of u_i and u_{i+1} . It can be observed that in the limit $P \rightarrow 0$, we recover the average value. For $P = 0$, we have $a = 0$, implying $\varepsilon u'' = 0$, which gives $u(x_{i+1}) = (u_i + u_{i+1})/2$. In the limit $|P| \rightarrow \infty$, we get $A(|P/2|) = 0$, thereby giving $u_{i+1/2} = u_i$ or u_{i+1} , depending on the direction of the flow.

We compute the velocity $u_{i+1/2}$ iteratively, by initializing $U = (u_i + u_{i+1})/2$ and P as given in equation (6) and then compute $u_{i+1/2}$ using equation (9). For the next iteration, we take U to be the computed value of $u_{i+1/2}$ and update P accordingly, and then compute a new value of $u_{i+1/2}$ using equation (9). We continue this pro-

cedure until the values of $u_{i+1/2}$ computed after each iteration have converged, i.e., when the absolute difference between the values of $u_{i+1/2}$ computed at consecutive iterations has dropped below a fixed tolerance.

Inhomogeneous case In this case we solve the linearized boundary value problem given by equation (7), under the assumption that the pressure p is *piecewise linear*. We initially proceed as we did in the homogeneous case, so we have

$$e^{ax}(e^{-ax}u)' = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}I(x) + C_1, \quad I(x) = \int_{x_{i+1}}^x p'(\xi)d\xi, \quad (11)$$

and equation (11) then becomes

$$(e^{-ax}u)' = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{-ax}I(x) + C_1e^{-ax}. \quad (12)$$

The value $I(x)$ can be calculated as

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta x}(p_{i+1} - p_i)(x - x_{i+1}) = (p_{i+1} - p_i)(\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{2}), & \text{for } 0 \leq \sigma(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \\ \frac{1}{\Delta x}(p_{i+2} - p_{i+1})(x - x_{i+1}) = (p_{i+2} - p_{i+1})(\sigma(x) - \frac{1}{2}), & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma(x) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Integrating equation (12) from $x_{i+1/2}$ to $x \in [x_{i+1/2}, x_{i+3/2}]$ and using the boundary condition $u(x_{i+1/2}) = u_i$, we get

$$u(x) - e^{P\sigma(x)}u_i = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{x_{i+1/2}}^x e^{a(x-\xi)}I(\xi)d\xi + \frac{C_1}{a}(e^{P\sigma(x)} - 1).$$

We define

$$J(x) \equiv \int_{x_{i+1/2}}^x e^{a(x-\xi)}I(\xi)d\xi,$$

and use the boundary condition $u(x_{i+3/2}) = u_{i+1}$ to get the solution

$$u(x) = \frac{e^{-P(1-\sigma(x))} - 1}{e^{-P} - 1}u_i + \frac{e^{P\sigma(x)} - 1}{e^P - 1}u_{i+1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(J(x) - \frac{e^{P\sigma(x)} - 1}{e^P - 1}J(x_{i+3/2}) \right). \quad (13)$$

We now express the velocity $u(x)$ as the sum of a homogeneous part $u^h(x)$ and an inhomogeneous part $u^i(x)$ as

$$u(x) = u^h(x) + u^i(x).$$

The homogeneous part $u^h(x)$ of the velocity, as given by equation (8), depends on the convection-diffusion operator, whereas the inhomogeneous part, $u^i(x)$, depends on the pressure gradient. Computing the values of the integrals $J(x_{i+1})$ and $J(x_{i+3/2})$, and introducing

$$F(z) \equiv \frac{e^z - 1 - z}{z^2(e^z + 1)},$$

gives us

$$u(x_{i+1}) = u^h(x_{i+1}) + u^i(x_{i+1}), \quad (14a)$$

$$u^h(x_{i+1}) = A(-P/2)u_i + A(P/2)u_{i+1}, \quad (14b)$$

$$u^i(x_{i+1}) = -\frac{(\Delta x)^2}{4\varepsilon} \left[F(-P/2) \frac{p_{i+1} - p_i}{\Delta x} + F(P/2) \frac{p_{i+2} - p_{i+1}}{\Delta x} \right]. \quad (14c)$$

In this case also the computation of $u(x_{i+1})$ is iterative, where we begin by taking $U = u^h = (u_{i,j} + u_{i+1,j})/2$ and $u^i = 0$ and compute $u(x_{i+1})$ using the above equations. Now proceed as in case of the homogeneous case, until the values converge.

Till now we have discussed the computation of the interface velocity $u_{i+1/2,j}$ but for computing the convective term as given by equation (3), we also require $u_{i,j+1/2}$ and $v_{i+1/2,j}$. These velocities can also be computed using local BVPs. The interface velocity $u_{i,j+1/2}$ is computed from the BVP

$$Vu_y - \varepsilon u_{yy} = 0, \quad y_j < y < y_{j+1}, \quad (15a)$$

$$u(y_j) = u_j, \quad u(y_{j+1}) = u_{j+1}, \quad (15b)$$

and $v_{i+1/2,j}$, from

$$Uv_x - \varepsilon v_{xx} = 0, \quad x_i < x < x_{i+1}, \quad (16a)$$

$$v(x_i) = v_i, \quad v(x_{i+1}) = v_{i+1}. \quad (16b)$$

These velocities are also computed iteratively. We begin the iterations by defining $V = (v_{i,j} + v_{i+1,j})/2$ and $P_v = V\Delta y/\varepsilon$ for BVP (15) and $U = (u_{i,j} + u_{i,j+1})/2$, $P_u = U\Delta x/\varepsilon$ for BVP (16). We then compute $u_{i,j+1/2}$ and $v_{i+1/2,j}$ using an equation analogous to (9). For the next iteration, we assign V the value of $v_{i+1/2,j}$ computed in the previous iteration and U the value of $u_{i,j+1/2}$ computed in the previous iteration. With the new values of V and U we update P_v and P_u and recompute $u_{i,j+1/2}$ and $v_{i+1/2,j}$. We continue this procedure until the values converge. Computing the interface velocities in this manner results in the coupling between u and v interface velocities. The next section gives a validation of the method presented above.

4 Numerical validation

In order to check the accuracy of the computed interface velocity $u_{i+1/2,j}$, we compare it with the value obtained by computing a very accurate numerical solution of the local boundary value problem.

For the validation of our computed interface velocities, we take $u_{i,j} = 1.125$ and $u_{i+1,j} = 1.225$. For this setup the value of $u_{i+1/2,j}$ obtained by central averaging (u_{avg}) is 1.175 and by taking the upwind value (u_{up}) it is 1.125. Let u_{num} denote the highly accurate numerically computed value of $u_{i+1/2,j}$. We next define the relative

absolute differences $du_{\text{avg}} = \frac{|u_{\text{avg}} - u_{i+1/2,j}|}{|u_{\text{avg}}|}$ and $du_{\text{num}} = \frac{|u_{\text{num}} - u_{i+1/2,j}|}{|u_{\text{num}}|}$. The convergence criterion, i.e., the difference of the computed interface velocities from two consecutive iterations, is taken to be 10^{-7} . Table 1 gives the results obtained for the homogeneous case. It can be observed that the computed interface velocity is very accurate (when compared to u_{num}) and attains the upwind value for higher Reynolds numbers. Similarly, for the inhomogeneous case, let $u(x_{i+1})$ be the velocity computed using equation (14), as the sum of $u^h(x_{i+1})$ and $u^i(x_{i+1})$. Table 2 gives the obtained results. For this case a constant pressure gradient of -0.01175 has been assumed. The inhomogeneous part of the velocity increases with increasing Reynolds number. The effect of adding a pressure gradient can be seen in Figure 2, where we have plotted the interface velocity with increasing Reynolds number. In absence of a pressure gradient the computed interface velocity is almost equal to the numerical solution see Figure 2(a). On adding the pressure gradient, the homogeneous part of the velocity remains the same, and the addition of the inhomogeneous part corrects the interface velocity $u_{i+1/2,j}$, in a physically proper way.

(a) Interface velocities in absence of a pressure gradient. (b) Interface velocities with a negative pressure gradient.

Fig. 2 Effect of adding a pressure gradient to the BVP, $u_i = 1.125$, $u_{i+1} = 1.225$, $\Delta x = 10^{-2}$

5 Conclusions

To compute interface velocities, we have proposed an iterative discretization method that depends on the local mesh Péclet number, P . For increasing P , the homogeneous part of the velocity attains the *upwind* value, and for decreasing values of P , it converges towards the average velocity. The pressure gradient plays an im-

Table 1 Validation for the homogeneous case, $u_{i+1/2,j}$ according to equation (9), ($\Delta x = 10^{-3}$).

Re	$u_{i+1/2,j}$	u_{num}	du_{avg}	du_{up}	# iterations
10	1.1749	1.1749	0.012	4.432	2
10^2	1.1735	1.1735	0.125	4.314	3
10^3	1.1609	1.1608	1.201	3.189	4
10^4	1.1254	1.1253	4.225	0.032	4
10^5	1.1250	1.1250	4.255	0	2
10^6	1.1250	1.1250	4.255	0	2

Table 2 Validation for inhomogeneous case, $u_{i+1/2,j}$ according to equation (14), ($\Delta x = 10^{-3}$).

Re	$u^h(x_{i+1})$	$u^i(x_{i+1})$	$u(x_{i+1})$	u_{num}	# iterations
10	1.1749	1.47×10^{-5}	1.1749	1.1749	2
10^2	1.1735	1.47×10^{-4}	1.1737	1.1738	3
10^3	1.1609	1.43×10^{-3}	1.1623	1.1637	4
10^4	1.1253	5.16×10^{-3}	1.1305	1.1356	4
10^5	1.1250	5.19×10^{-3}	1.1302	1.1354	4
10^6	1.1250	5.19×10^{-3}	1.1302	1.1354	4

portant role in the determination of $u_{i+1/2,j}$. For increasing pressure gradient, the difference between the approximations of $u_{i+1/2,j}$, u^h and $u^h + u^i$ and the numerical solution u_{num} increases see Figure 2(b). For a negative pressure gradient, the interface velocity increases, whereas for a positive pressure gradient it decreases. The increment/decrement grows with an increase in the absolute value of the pressure gradient.

We have applied the methods proposed in this paper to the two-dimensional flow in a lid-driven square cavity. It was observed that the difference in the velocities computed using the proposed iterative method and those computed using the average method is very small for small values of $\Delta x/\varepsilon$ but starts to increase as $\Delta x/\varepsilon$ increases. The gain of the present method is to be sought in the possibility to use much coarser grids, with the same accuracy as standard methods.

References

1. Sanderse, B.: Energy-conserving discretization methods for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations: Application to the simulation of wind-turbine wakes. Ph.D. thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology (2013)
2. Sanderse, B.: Energy-conserving Runge-Kutta methods for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. J. Comput. Phys. **233**, 100–131 (2013)
3. ten Thije Boonkkamp, J.H.M., Anthonissen, M.J.H.: The finite volume-complete flux scheme for advection-diffusion-reaction equations. Journal of Scientific Computing **46**(1), 47–70 (2011)

PREVIOUS PUBLICATIONS IN THIS SERIES:

Number	Author(s)	Title	Month
14-03	J.H.M. Evers S.C. Hille A. Muntean	Modelling with measures: Approximation of a mass- emitting object by a point source	Febr. '14
14-04	J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp M.J.H. Anthonissen	Numerical dissipation and dispersion of the homogeneous and complete flux schemes	March '14
14-05	L.M.J. Florack	Canonical coordinates for Retino-Cortical magnification	March '14
14-06	M.J.H. Anthonissen J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp	The complete flux scheme in cylindrical coordinates	March '14
14-07	N. Kumar J.H.M. ten Thije Boonkkamp B. Koren	A new discretization method for the convective terms in the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations	March '14