

Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity of WO₃/TiO₂ for NO removal under UV and visible light irradiation

Citation for published version (APA):

Luevano Hipolito, E., Martínez-de la Cruz, A., López-Cuellar, E., Yu, Q. L., & Brouwers, H. J. H. (2014). Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity of WO₃/TiO₂ for NO removal under UV and visible light irradiation. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 148(1-2), 208-213.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.07.034>

DOI:

[10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.07.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.07.034)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2014

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:


www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.



Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity of WO₃/TiO₂ for NO removal under UV and visible light irradiation



E. Luévano-Hipólito^a, A. Martínez-de la Cruz^{a,*}, E. López-Cuellar^a, Q.L. Yu^b, H.J.H. Brouwers^b


^a CIIDIT, Facultad de Ingeniería Mecánica y Eléctrica, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Ciudad Universitaria, C.P. 66451 San Nicolás de los Garza, N.L., Mexico

^b Department of the Built Environment, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

HIGHLIGHTS

- WO₃/TiO₂ prepared in simple way show high photocatalytic activity for NO removal.
- The concrete was the best substrate to the performance of WO₃/TiO₂ with UV radiation.
- The glass was the best substrate to the performance of WO₃/TiO₂ with visible radiation.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 July 2013

Received in revised form

19 July 2014

Accepted 22 July 2014

Available online 15 August 2014

Keywords:

Oxides

Precipitation

Powder diffraction

Heterostructures

ABSTRACT

Samples with different proportions WO₃/TiO₂ were prepared by co-precipitation method followed by a heat treatment. The samples were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS), and adsorption–desorption N₂ isotherms (BET). The photocatalytic properties of WO₃/TiO₂ samples were evaluated in the photo-oxidation reaction of nitric oxide (NO) under UV and visible light irradiation. The highest photocatalytic activity was observed in the WO₃/TiO₂ sample with a composition of 80% mole of TiO₂. Among the different substrates used for supporting the photocatalyst, the best results were reached over concrete and glass when it was exposed to UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. In overall, the photocatalytic efficiency of the synthesized materials was higher under UV than visible light irradiation.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NO_x, NO + NO₂) emitted from stationary and mobile sources are the origin of various health and environmental

problems, such as irritated eyes, headache, pulmonary emphysema, acid rain, acidification of aquatic systems, ozone depletion, and photochemical smog [1]. Due to high NO_x concentration usually observed along highways, efficient techniques for NO_x removal from the ambient environment are required. For this purpose, heterogeneous photocatalysis is a clean and non energy intensive technology to eliminate pollutants from the air [2–5].

When a semiconductor oxide is irradiated with an appropriate electromagnetic radiation, it is possible to induce a charge

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +52 (81) 83 29 40 20; fax: +52 (81) 83 32 09 04.

E-mail addresses: azael.martinezdl@uanl.edu.mx, azael70@yahoo.com.mx (A. Martínez-de la Cruz).

separation in the semiconductor that produces free electrons (e^-) and holes (h^+) in its conduction (CB) and valence (CV) bands, respectively. If the charge pair is not recombined, both species can migrate separately to the semiconductor surface and then participate in oxidation (h^+) or reduction (e^-) reactions with chemical pollutants adsorbed on the surface of the semiconductor. Titanium dioxide (TiO_2) is one of the most important semiconductor photocatalysts used for such applications, owing to its chemical stability, cheapness, non toxicity, and structural stability [6,7]. However, TiO_2 has two main disadvantages. The first one is its wide energy band gap (3.2 eV for the anatase and 3.0 eV for the rutile) which limits the use of visible radiation from the solar spectrum. The second disadvantage is the rapid recombination of the photo-generated electrons and holes [8]. In this sense, several authors proposed different methods to avoid the electron–hole recombination and therefore enhance the efficiency of the photocatalytic process [9–11]. Among these methods, the combination of TiO_2 with other narrow band gap semiconductors provides an effective way to extend the lifetime of the photogenerated pair, leading to an increase in the efficiency of the photocatalyst.

Recently some novel photocatalysts based on heterostructures have been developed. For example, coupling TiO_2 with binary and ternary oxides such as $InVO_4$, $BiVO_4$, $FeVO_4$, Ag_3VO_4 [12], Bi_2O_3 [13], Bi_2MoO_6 [14] and WO_3 [15–17] have been reported. In the present work, the coupling of WO_3 ($E_g = 2.6$ eV) with TiO_2 ($E_g = 3.2$ eV) will be tested as photocatalyst in the NO photooxidation reaction. In addition, the influence of the nature of substrate, relative humidity and gas concentration on the photo-oxidation reaction performance will be investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of WO_3/TiO_2 samples

All chemicals reagents were analytical grade and were used without further purification. Commercial TiO_2 , AEROXIDE® P25 (80%anatase-20%rutile composition, specific surface area $50\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$) was chosen as the reference photocatalyst. WO_3/TiO_2 samples were prepared using a coupling method. In a typical procedure, stoichiometric amounts of TiO_2 to get different molar percentages in $(1-x)WO_3/xTiO_2$ ($x = 5\%$, 15% , 50% , 70% , 80% , and 87%) were dispersed under continuous stirring in 100 mL of HNO_3 (10% v/v). Then 0.00107 mol of ammonium tungstate hydrate ($H_4N_{10}O_{42}W_{12}\cdot H_2O$) (Aldrich, 99%) were added into the corresponding TiO_2 dispersion. The resulting suspension in each case was maintained under vigorous stirring for 1 h. Afterward, the suspension was put in repose for 1 day at room temperature

($20\text{ }^\circ\text{C} \pm 1\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$). The aqueous solution was removed and the precipitate was dried at $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in a hot plate for 1 day. The precipitate obtained for each composition was heated at $500\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h in order to promote the formation of WO_3/TiO_2 samples with different molar percentages. The heat treatment was accompanied from the release of gases such as NH_3 and H_2O which come from by-products of reaction and from tungsten precursor decomposition. These samples will be identified hereafter as WTi5, WTi15, WTi50, WTi70, WTi80, and WTi87 where the number denotes the molar percentage of TiO_2 in the sample. For comparative purposes, WO_3 oxide was obtained by the same experimental procedure but without the addition of TiO_2 .

2.2. Characterization

The structural characterization of WO_3/TiO_2 samples was carried out by X-ray powder diffraction using a D8 Advance diffractometer with $Cu\ K_\alpha$ radiation. Diffraction experiments were performed with a step size of 0.05° and a dwell time of 0.5 s. The morphology and particle size of the samples were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (FEI Nova NanoSEM 200 with an accelerating voltage of 30 kV) and by transmission electron microscopy (JEOL 2010 instrument with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV), respectively. For SEM image analysis, 50 particles were used to estimate the particle size. The UV–Vis diffuse reflectance absorption spectra of the samples were obtained by using an UV–Vis spectrophotometer Perkin–Elmer Lambda 35 equipped with an integrating sphere. The BET surface area measurements were carried out by adsorption–desorption N_2 isotherms by means of a Bel–Japan Minisorp II surface area and pore size analyzer. The isotherms were evaluated at $-196\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ after a pretreatment of the samples at $150\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h.

2.3. Photocatalytic experiments

The photocatalytic activity of WO_3/TiO_2 samples was evaluated by the removal of nitric oxide from air under UV and visible light irradiation. The photocatalytic experiments were carried out in a laboratory test set-up designed according with the standard ISO 22197-1:2007 as shown in Fig. 1 [18]. The experimental setup consisted of a planar reactor cell, an UV or visible light source, a chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer, and a gas supply. Table 1 shows the main characteristics, dimensions and operating conditions of the system employed in the photocatalytic experiments. Three different building materials were selected as substrates of the photocatalysts tested: glass, concrete and wallpaper. The photocatalyst (0.4 g) was coated on the substrate in an area of 200 cm^2




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the photocatalytic degradation set-up: 1. NO_x gas supply; 2. Synthetic air; 3. Mass controller meter; 4. Humidifier; 5. Humidity controller; 6. Temperature and relative humidity sensor; 7. Light source; 8. Reactor; 9–10. Valve; 11. NO_x analyzer; 12. Computer; 13. Vent.

Table 1
Experimental set-up main characteristics and operating conditions.

Description	Operating conditions
<i>Reactor</i>	
Length (cm)	20
Width (cm)	10
Height (cm)	0.3
Volume (cm ³)	60
<i>Substrate</i>	
Length (cm)	20
Width (cm)	10
<i>Light source</i>	
Emission wavelength UV (nm)	300–400
Emission wavelength Vis (nm)	400–700
Flow rate (L min ⁻¹)	1
Irradiance flux (W m ⁻²)	10
Relative humidity (%)	10–70
NO inlet concentration (ppm)	0.5–1


using colloidal silica as a binder. The coated materials were pre-treated at 100 °C in a ventilated oven to remove the free water from the suspension. Once the reactor was closed, the gas supply was started and the lamps were turned on in order to stabilize the radiation. After a short period (10 min), the adsorption–desorption equilibrium between gases and photocatalyst was achieved and the photocatalytic reaction was started. The NO_x concentration was continuously measured by a chemiluminescence NO_x analyzer (HORIBA APNA-370) with a sampling rate of 0.8 L min⁻¹. More detailed information about the test set-up and experimental procedure can be found elsewhere [19–21].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization

WO₃/TiO₂ samples were prepared by an aqueous method that involves the co-precipitation of chemical species containing Ti and W. The concentration of residual Ti ions in the solution removed from precipitates was analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy in order to determine an approximate final composition of TiO₂ in the samples. For all samples prepared, the analysis revealed a Ti ion concentration in solution less than 0.06 mg L⁻¹ which represents, in general, a value near to 0.02% of the initial Ti added. On the basis of these results, it is possible to conclude that almost the total Ti added remained in the precipitate.

The nature of precipitate was not determined, because the material obtained was amorphous to XRD. In this point, the precipitate contains a mixture of phases coming from the TiO₂ dispersion and the paratungstate solution. The co-precipitation of both metal ions was carried out by different process. In the first case, when TiO₂ was dispersed in HNO₃, a semi-stable suspension was formed with the help of a continuous stirring. When the mechanical stirring was suppressed, a slow precipitation of TiO₂ took place. Due to this mechanical process, the size of particle decreases, producing an amorphous material. By the other hand, ammonium paratungstate was dissolved in acidic medium. Previous works have reported the behavior of the tungstate salt in aqueous media in presence of HNO₃ [22] and its precipitation, as is described by the follow equation:



where the tungsten oxide hydrate is separated from solution by precipitation after keeping the solution at rest for a day. According with the XRD, WO₃·H₂O was also amorphous. Then, the precipitate




Fig. 2. XRD patterns of the WO₃/TiO₂ samples with different mole ratios of TiO₂. (■) TiO₂ anatase.

was formed by a contribution of TiO₂ and WO₃·H₂O amorphous materials, which required an additional thermal treatment (500 °C) to crystallize.

Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of samples with different WO₃/TiO₂ mole ratios. All diffraction lines were indexed with the monoclinic structure of WO₃ according to the JCPDS Card No. 01-083-0950, while diffraction lines of TiO₂ corresponding to anatase (JCPDS Card No. 00-021-1272) were observed only in samples WTi50, WTi70, WTi80 and WTi87. On the contrary, when the mole ratio of TiO₂ was low (5 and 15%), diffraction lines corresponding with TiO₂ were absent possibly due to the high dispersion of TiO₂ and its low concentration in these samples. Additional XRD experiments were performed in slower conditions (0.02 of step size with 1.5 s of dwell time) in order to detect the presence of diffraction lines of TiO₂ in samples with low concentration of this oxide (5 and 15%). Nevertheless the results were similar, and TiO₂ diffraction lines were no detected, as is showed in the supplementary figure. In addition, shift of the main diffraction lines of WO₃ was not observed after incorporating TiO₂, suggesting that the TiO₂ is located outside of the crystal structure of WO₃, without formation of solution solid between both phases.

The morphology of WO₃/TiO₂ samples with different TiO₂ mole ratios was examined by SEM. In general, as is shown in Fig. 3, TiO₂ particles were deposited on the surface of WO₃ (Fig. 3h). An elemental analysis by EDX revealed the identity of the TiO₂ particles dispersed on the WO₃ surface. As is shown in Fig. 3a, WO₃ in pure form is composed of particles with flake shape, but without the spherical aggregates on its surface associated with the presence of TiO₂ in the other samples. According to the images, TiO₂ particles appear gradually on the WO₃ surface as increasing its concentration in the WO₃/TiO₂ samples (Fig. 3b–h). Regardless of the TiO₂ mole ratio, flake-like particles were observed in all samples, which was consistent with the XRD results, indicating that TiO₂ is not incorporated in WO₃ structure. Table 2 shows the particle size of WO₃ estimated by SEM image analysis. With the increase of the TiO₂ mole ratio until 80%, the average particle size decreased from 320 to 180 nm. Nevertheless, at 87% some large particles of WO₃ were found, resulting in an increase of the particle size of the sample (see Fig. 3g).




Fig. 3. SEM images of the as-prepared samples. a. 0% b. 5%, c. 15%, d. 50%, e. 70%, f. 80%, g. 87% TiO₂ mole and h. identification of WO₃ and TiO₂ particles in samples.

The micro-structure of WO₃/TiO₂ samples was analyzed by TEM and HRTEM. A typical TEM image at low magnification (Fig. 4a and b) of the sample WTi80 shows a flake-like morphology of the main phase with TiO₂ nanoparticles deposited on its surface, consistent with the SEM results. Further observation of the prepared sample by HRTEM (Fig. 4c) revealed the interface of the anatase structure of TiO₂ and monoclinic WO₃. Fig. 4c shows two types of clear lattice fringes. One set of fringes spacing is $d = 0.352$ nm, corresponding to the (101) crystallographic plane of anatase structure of TiO₂. Another set of fringes is $d = 0.364$ nm which corresponds to the (002) crystallographic plane of the monoclinic WO₃. In spite of the thermal treatment at 500 °C, the interface between WO₃ and TiO₂ particles was observed. This result suggests a heterojunction formed from these two oxides, which can be beneficial for improving the photocatalytic activity.

The BET surface areas of WO₃/TiO₂ samples are shown in Table 2. Specific surface areas of the pure oxides are 2.9 and 50 m² g⁻¹ for WO₃ and TiO₂, respectively. When the TiO₂ mole ratio was increased, a slight increase in the surface area of WO₃/TiO₂ samples was observed from WTi5 to WTi50, and remained almost constant until WTi87. The UV–Vis diffuse-reflectance spectra of WO₃/TiO₂ samples showed that pure WO₃ oxide has an absorption edge of 485 nm with an estimated energy band gap of 2.5 eV, which is consistent with the literature [23,24]. As can be noticed in Table 2, WO₃/TiO₂ samples present similar energy band gaps values to WO₃. These values were ranging between 2.5 and 2.6 eV which corresponds with the typical value of WO₃. The absorption edge for the WO₃/TiO₂ samples indicated that TiO₂ can be activated in the visible region by combination with WO₃.

Table 2
Physical properties of the as-prepared samples with different TiO₂ mole ratio.

Sample	%mol TiO ₂	Surface area BET (m ² g ⁻¹)	Band gap (eV)	Particle size (nm)
WO ₃	0	2.9	2.6	320
WTi5	5	5.5	2.5	320
WTi15	15	9.7	2.6	275
WTi50	50	12.3	2.6	270
WTi70	70	12.4	2.5	250
WTi80	80	13.3	2.6	180
WTi87	87	12.8	2.5	530

3.2. Photocatalytic activity

3.2.1. Effect of TiO₂ mole ratio on NO_x conversion

The photocatalytic activity of WO₃/TiO₂ samples with different TiO₂ mole ratios was evaluated by the removal of nitric oxide (NO) in gas phase under both UV and visible light irradiation. Fig. 5 shows the NO conversion (%) as a function of TiO₂ mole ratio supported over glass. Compared with WO₃ pure, the WO₃/TiO₂ samples showed higher photocatalytic activity towards the NO conversion under both UV and visible light irradiation. However, at low TiO₂ mole ratio (5%) the NO conversion was only 30% and 8% compared with observed for higher TiO₂ mole ratios (>80%) under UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. These results indicate that the introduction of TiO₂ on the WO₃ surface enhances the photocatalytic activity of WO₃, possibly by promoting the separation of photogenerated electrons and holes, as a result of the heterostructure formation. The samples WTi70 and WTi80 exhibited the highest NO conversion under UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. At the highest TiO₂ mole ratio (WTi87), the large particle size observed could have a negative effect on the photocatalytic process. For this sample, there could be a high concentration of recombination charge centers in the travel followed by holes and electrons from its generation point to the photocatalyst surface. In the latter process, the photogenerated electrons and holes will recombine, decreasing the photocatalytic activity.

3.2.2. Effect of substrate on NO_x conversion

Different substrates typically used as building materials such as concrete, glass and wallpaper were chosen to investigate the effect of substrate on NO conversion. As is shown in Fig. 6, when WTi80 was used as photocatalyst, the highest NO conversion was reached when concrete and glass were used as substrates under UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. Less satisfactory results were obtained for the wallpaper sample, where only 36% (UV) and 6% (Vis) of NO was removed. As can be seen from Fig. 6, when concrete was used as substrate under UV light irradiation, NO₂ was not observed as a product of the reaction, suggesting that NO was fully oxidized to NO₃⁻ [25,26]. These generated nitrate ions are deposited on concrete surface but due to its high surface area, the deactivation of the photocatalyst was not observed. In the case of wallpaper, with the lowest NO conversion, <2% of the NO removed was oxidized to NO₂ under both UV and Visible light irradiation.




Fig. 4. TEM and HRTEM images of WO_3/TiO_2 sample: a, b. TEM and c. HRTEM image.

Assuming a complete oxidation from NO to NO_3^- ion, deposition of nitrate ions over the surface of wallpaper could occupy the adsorptive sites and prevent the contact between the NO molecules and WO_3/TiO_2 surface, leading to the deactivation of the photocatalyst. Finally, when glass was used as substrate the NO_2 formation was around 20% of the NO removed. The photocatalyst deactivation under both UV and Visible light irradiation as reported in the literature for similar materials and experimental conditions [27,28]. The NO conversion (%) using different substrates under UV irradiation increased in the following order: Concrete > Glass > Wallpaper, and with visible light irradiation it follows the order: Glass > Concrete > Wallpaper.

3.2.3. Effect of relative humidity on NO_x conversion

The effect of relative humidity on the photocatalytic removal of NO is observed in Fig. 7. The experiments were carried out with the sample WTi80 supported over concrete under UV light irradiation. The results show a high NO removal from air with the different samples used as photocatalyst. In fact, NO conversion (%) was almost constant and decreased only slightly with increasing the relative humidity from 10 to 70%. These data revealed that the degradation of NO to NO_2 is not conditioned by the presence of water vapor in the air. Nevertheless, in this range of relative humidity, final NO_2 concentration showed important differences in

relation with the relative humidity, i.e., 0, 11, 23, and 26% for 10, 30, 50, and 70% of RH. This situation can be associated with the different rates of reactions for NO_2 formation and NO_2 degradation in each sample by the presence of humidity. The formation of NO_2 seems not be affected by the humidity level (assuming a direct reaction from NO to NO_2), but humidity level can be the factor that rules the rate conversion of NO_2 to NO_3^- ions. An analysis of NO_x seems to confirm this point. As can be seen in Fig. 7, the optimal value of relative humidity is 10%. At this value, the highest NO_x conversion was reached and NO_2 gas as intermediate product was not observed as product of the photocatalytic reaction. From this result is possible to conclude that under these experimental conditions, 94% of NO_x ($\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) was oxidized into NO_3^- as the final product. The decrease in the photocatalytic efficiency as the relative humidity increases from 10 to 70% can be explained by the competition of adsorption sites of the WO_3/TiO_2 surface between NO_2 and H_2O molecules.

3.2.4. Effect of inlet NO concentration on NO_x conversion

Additional experiments were done in order to investigate the effect of inlet NO concentration in the NO_x ($\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) conversion using the sample WTi80 supported over concrete at 10% RH under UV light irradiation. Fig. 8 shows that NO conversion remains constant (94%) as inlet concentration increases from 0.5 to 1 ppm. The NO_2 formation (%) from NO removed slightly increases until 5% when 1 ppm was used as inlet NO concentration. The NO_x ($\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) conversion was 94%, 92% and 89% for 0.5, 0.7, and 1 ppm, respectively of the initial concentration of NO .




Fig. 5. NO conversion (%) as a function of TiO_2 mole ratio using WO_3/TiO_2 heterostructures as photocatalysts supported over glass under UV and Visible light irradiation. ($\tau_R = 3.6$ s, $\text{HR} = 50\%$, $E = 10$ W m^{-2} , 1% of error in measurements).




Fig. 6. Substrate effect in NO_x conversion (%) using WO_3/TiO_2 as photocatalyst under UV and visible light irradiation. ($Q = 1$ L min^{-1} , $\text{RH} = 10\%$, $E = 10$ W m^{-2} , 1% of error in measurements).




Fig. 7. Humidity effect in NO_x conversion (%) using WO₃/TiO₂ as photocatalyst supported over concrete under UV light irradiation. ($Q = 1 \text{ L min}^{-1}$, $E = 10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, 1% of error in measurements).

Based on the above results, WO₃/TiO₂ samples exhibited enhanced photocatalytic activity under UV and visible light irradiation. This situation can be explained due to the interaction between WO₃ and TiO₂, which involves an electron transfer. Since the conduction band (CB) of WO₃ has a potential more positive than the CB of the TiO₂, the photogenerated electrons from TiO₂ can transfer to the CB of WO₃ under UV light. This electron transfer leads to an increase in the lifetime of the photogenerated electrons and holes and as a consequence to a promotion in the efficiency of the photocatalytic oxidation of NO. On the other hand, under visible light irradiation only WO₃ is excited (2.6 eV). The increase in the photocatalytic activity of WO₃/TiO₂ samples under visible light irradiation can be attributed to the synergistic effect between both oxides, in which NO molecules are adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface to be able to react with the photogenerated electrons and holes formed in WO₃. In this sense, the sample with the highest photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation (WTi80) also has the lowest particle size, thereby allowing the transport of photogenerated electrons and holes to the surface to be able to react with NO adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface. From these results, WO₃/TiO₂




Fig. 8. Inlet NO concentration in NO_x conversion (%) using WO₃/TiO₂ as photocatalyst supported over concrete under UV light irradiation. ($Q = 1 \text{ L min}^{-1}$, $E = 10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, 10% RH, 1% of error in measurements).

sample with 80% mole of TiO₂ in its composition can be considered as an efficient photocatalyst to remove NO from air under UV and visible light irradiation.

4. Conclusions

WO₃/TiO₂ heterostructures consisting of monoclinic WO₃ and anatase TiO₂ crystalline phases were prepared by a co-precipitation and calcination method. It was found that the optimal content of TiO₂ in WO₃/TiO₂ heterostructures in NO conversion was 70 and 80% mole for UV and visible light irradiation, respectively. On the other hand, when concrete was used as substrate an increase in NO conversion was observed under UV light irradiation due to its higher surface area to absorb more NO molecules compared to glass and wallpaper. The efficiency in NO conversion is affected by increasing the relative humidity in terms of the NO₂ formed, the optimal level was 10% with a minimum of NO₂ generated using concrete as substrate under UV light irradiation. The inlet NO concentration has not a significant effect in NO conversion under the same conditions.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2014.07.034>.

References

- [1] C.J. Pereira, M.D. Amidiris, ACS Symp. Ser. 587 (1995) 1–13.
- [2] J. Zhang, Y. Hu, M. Matsuoka, H. Yamashita, M. Minagawa, H. Hidaka, M. Anpo, J. Phys. Chem. B 105 (2001) 8395–8398.
- [3] K. Demeestre, J. Dewulf, B. De Witte, A. Beeldens, H. Van Langenhove, Build. Environ. 43 (2008) 406–414.
- [4] R. Portela, B. Sánchez, J.M. Coronado, R. Candal, S. Suárez, Catal. Today 129 (2007) 223–230.
- [5] C.H. Ao, S.C. Lee, J.C. Yu, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 156 (2003) 171–177.
- [6] A.L. Linsebigler, G. Lu, J.T. Yates, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 735–758.
- [7] A. Fujishima, T.N. Rao, D.A. Tryk, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev. 1 (2000) 1–21.
- [8] M. Ni, M.K.H. Leung, D.Y.C. Leung, K. Sumathy, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 11 (2007) 401–425.
- [9] D.K. Lee, I. Cho, S. Lee, S. Bae, J.H. Noh, D.W. Kim, K.S. Hong, Mater. Chem. Phys. 119 (2010) 106–111.
- [10] T.T. Le, M.S. Akhtar, D.M. Park, J.C. Lee, O. Yang, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 111–112 (2012) 397–401.
- [11] Z.L. Zhang, M. Wan, Y.L. Mao, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 233 (2012) 15–19.
- [12] Y. Min, K. Zhang, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J. 175 (2011) 76–83.
- [13] J. Zhu, S. Wang, J. Wang, D. Zhang, H. Li, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 102 (2011) 120–125.
- [14] N. Li, L. Zhu, W. Zhang, Y. Yu, W. Zhang, M. Hou, J. Alloys Compd. 509 (2011) 9770–9775.
- [15] V. Iliev, D. Tomova, S. Rakovsky, A. Eliyas, G. Li Puma, J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 327 (2010) 51–57.
- [16] Y. Li, L. Chen, Y. Guo, X. Sun, Y. Wei, Chem. Eng. J. 181–182 (2012) 734–739.
- [17] F. Wang, X. Chen, X. Hu, K.S. Wong, J.C. Yu, Sep. Purif. Technol. 91 (2012) 67–72.
- [18] Q.L. Yu, PhD Thesis, University of Technology, Eindhoven, The Netherlands (2012), pp. 111–143.
- [19] Q.L. Yu, H.J.H. Brouwers, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 92 (2009) 454–461.
- [20] G. Hüsken, M. Hunger, H.J.H. Brouwers, Build. Environ. 44 (2009) 2463–2474.
- [21] M.M. Ballari, M. Hunger, G. Hüsken, H.J.H. Brouwers, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 95 (2010) 245–254.
- [22] S. Supothina, P. Seeharaj, S. Yoriya, M. Sriyudthsak, Ceram. Int. 33 (2007) 931–936.
- [23] A. Martínez-de la Cruz, D. Sánchez Martínez, E. López Cuellar, Solid State Sci. 12 (2010) 88–94.
- [24] D. Chen, H. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Gao, Y. Sugahara, A. Yasumori, J. Ceram. Process. Res. 9 (2008) 596–600.
- [25] J.C. Jung, H. Lee, H. Kim, Y. Chung, T.J. Kim, S.J. Lee, S. Oh, Y.S. Kim, I.K. Song, J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 271 (2007) 261–265.
- [26] T. Ibusuki, K. Takeuchi, J. Mol. Catal. 88 (1994) 93–102.
- [27] C.L. Bianchi, C. Pirola, E. Selli, S. Biella, J. Hazard. Mater. 211 (2012) 203–207.
- [28] T. Martínez, A. Bertron, E. Ringot, G. Escadeillas, Build. Environ. 46 (2011) 1808–1816.