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Abstract
This paper reports the preparation, characterization and stability tests of Pd-based thin membranes for fluidized bed membrane reactor applications. Various thin membranes have been prepared by simultaneous Pd–Ag electroless plating. A simple technique for sealing of the produced membranes is reported and discussed. The membranes have been characterized for single gas permeation, and afterwards used for permeation of mixtures of gases and under fluidization conditions. The membranes have shown very high permeation rates and perm-selectivity when used as permeators. When applied in fluidized bed reactors it has been found that the membranes are stable as long as no interaction between the fluidization catalyst and the membrane surface occurs. For some catalysts a strong chemical interaction between the catalyst and the membrane surface has been observed which caused a drastic decrease in the membrane flux.

Introduction
High purity hydrogen is required in many processes, such as semiconductor manufacturing, fuel cells applications, chemical industry, analytical instruments, computer and aerospace industries, recovery of radioisotopes of hydrogen in nuclear reactors [1]. On the other hand, hydrogen production and separation can be also exploited for pre-combustion (decarbonization) route for carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) from fossil-fuel fired power stations [2]. Most of the hydrogen (>80%) is currently produced by steam reforming of natural gas in large multi-tubular fixed-bed reactors. In small-scale applications, partial oxidation reactions and auto-thermal reforming (combination of partial oxidation (exothermic) and steam reforming (endothermic))
are stronger against hydrogen embrittlement. In comparison to Pd, it is well known that Pd-packed bed reactors because of their excellent gas contact and heat and mass transfer characteristics [4,5]. The required reactor volume [3]. New noble metal catalysts have been developed and have been tested in packed-bed membrane reactors. Results have shown that improving membrane characteristics enhances the reactor performance, but it would be more beneficial to develop a more active catalyst with higher activity to facilitate the use of thinner catalyst beds with a higher specific membrane area and lower concentration polarization across the catalyst bed. Most recently, fluidized bed membrane reactors have been introduced to overcome the mass transfer limitations prevailing in packed bed reactors because of their excellent gas-solid contact and heat and mass transfer characteristics [4,5]. However, these reactors have not been exploited yet for the WGS reaction.

Among the membranes for H₂ separation, the Pd-based membrane shows the highest permeability and exclusive selectivity for H₂ due to the unique permeation mechanism.

In order to achieve the hydrogen separation targets defined by the Department of Energy of the United States (DOE) [6], very thin Pd membranes (less than 5 μm) are required. In comparison to Pd, it is well known that Pd–Ag alloy membranes have higher H₂ permeability up to 70% (Pd77Ag23) and are stronger against hydrogen embrittlement (PdH α-β transition at low temperature) [1]. Common dense metal layer deposition technologies include physical vapor deposition (PVD, including magnetron sputtering, thermal evaporation or pulsed laser evaporation), chemical vapor deposition (CVD or MOCVD) and electroless plating (ELP) [7]. The electroless plating (ELP) method is the most used method for the preparation of thin Pd-based membranes particularly with respect to operational flexibility, simple equipment, cost performance and applicability to non-conductive materials of any shape. Pacheco Tanaka et al. developed a method for the simultaneous plating of Pd–Ag with the desired composition of metals [8]. This was achieved by uniform deposition of nanoparticles of Pd nuclei on the surface of the substrate and careful control of the composition of the plating solution; various Pd–Ag ratios were prepared by this method and the H₂ permeation properties observed were studied and related to the embrittlement phenomenon [9]; recently, the method was improved and a synchronized deposition of Pd/Ag was obtained [10].

Thin Pd-based membranes are generally supported on porous substrates including stainless steel and ceramic materials of planar or tubular configuration [2]. Porous ceramics are the most common substrate material, owing to their excellent chemical stability. However, Pd-based membranes deposited on a ceramic support showed gas leak problems after some thermal cycles, due to the detachment of the Pd membrane layer that is deposited on the glass used to join ceramic dense and porous parts. The presence of leaks causes a decline in the hydrogen selectivity. The most promising sealing approach is based on using graphite. In some works, graphite gaskets were applied onto the faces of the two ends of the membrane tube [11,12], but the sealing is poorly gas-tight and the membrane can be easily broken by mechanical stress induced by the sealing compression. The membrane tube can be also sealed to a metal tube with connectors. The design of the connector is critical, because it should limit the pressing force and avoid damage to the thin membrane layer during operation [13,14].

In this paper we will present results on Pd–Ag alloy supported on alumina tubes. The Pd–Ag membranes were prepared by simultaneous electroless plating. A membrane sealing procedure based on graphite ferrules and stainless steel connector was optimized to be able to connect the ceramic supported Pd-based membrane to dense metal tubes. These sealed membranes showed high hydrogen permeance and selectivity, in the order of the targets for DOE 2015. In addition, the resistance of the membranes to catalyst fluidization in novel fluidized bed WGS membrane reactors is investigated and discussed.

Experimental

Membrane preparation

Tubular alumina supports with an outside pore size of 100 nm were provided by Rauschert Kloster Veilsdorf. The supports have an external diameter of 10.0–10.5 mm and an internal diameter of 7 mm. For proper handling during membrane preparation, the alumina porous tubes were cut and connected to dense alumina tubes (provided by OMEGA with 6 mm OD and 4 mm I.D.), one end was closed, using an enameled glass (ASF1761, Asahi Glass Co., Ltd.) as shown in Fig. 1. The glass was applied in the junction point between the porous and dense support and cures at 1000 °C in air for 30 min (heating rates of 4 °C/min from room temperature to 200 °C and then of 12 °C/min to 1000 °C).

Pd–Ag thin layers were deposited on the alumina tubes by using a simultaneous (Pd and Ag) electroless plating technique. Firstly, the surface of the alumina tube was activated by seedling it with Pd nuclei prior to electroless plating following the procedure reported by Pacheco et al. [8]. The surface completely turned black due to uniform covering of palladium nuclei. By this procedure, a large number of fine palladium particles were deposited on the surface.

CO + H₂O ⇌ H₂ + CO₂ ΔH = −41.1 kJ/mol

1. Common dense metal layer deposition technologies include physical vapor deposition (PVD, including magnetron sputtering, thermal evaporation or pulsed laser evaporation), chemical vapor deposition (CVD or MOCVD) and electroless plating (ELP) [7]. The electroless plating (ELP) method is the most used method for the preparation of thin Pd-based membranes particularly with respect to operational flexibility, simple equipment, cost performance and applicability to non-conductive materials of any shape. Pacheco Tanaka et al. developed a method for the simultaneous plating of Pd–Ag with the desired composition of metals [8]. This was achieved by uniform deposition of nanoparticles of Pd nuclei on the surface of the substrate and careful control of the composition of the plating solution; various Pd–Ag ratios were prepared by this method and the H₂ permeation properties observed were studied and related to the embrittlement phenomenon [9]; recently, the method was improved and a synchronized deposition of Pd/Ag was obtained [10].
Pd and Ag were deposited by the simultaneous electroless plating method reported by Suzuki and Pacheco Tanaka [10]. The base plating process starts with the immersion of the activated alumina tube into a well-stirred plating bath containing palladium acetate (11.70 mM), silver nitrate (0.64 mM), EDTA (0.15 M), ammonia (5 M) and hydrazine (15 mM). The whole system was placed in a thermostat bath maintained at 62–64 °C. After 90 min of plating, a silver nitrate solution (12.5 mM) was added at 0.117 ml/min in order to increase the Ag content of the membrane. The total time of the plating was 210 min.

For some membranes, a second Pd–Ag sequential plating step was carried out in order to have a thicker final membrane to prevent any possible membrane failure due to catalyst fluidization in contact with the membrane surface. In this case the plating bath was composed of palladium acetate (10 mM), silver nitrate (0.5 mM), EDTA (0.15 M), ammonia (5 M) and hydrazine (15 mM) (no additional adding of silver) and the plating deposition time was 30 min.

The characteristics of the membranes produced and tested are shown in Table 1. The membrane designated with E15 has undergone only the base plating process and both E54 and E56 membranes have had two plating steps (the base plating and the second plating).

After each plating step, the membrane layers were annealed at 550 °C for 2 h; this temperature should be above the maximum operating temperature, which is around 500 °C for the WGS membrane reactor, exposed to a 10% H2/90% N2 gas mixture. The Pd–Ag deposited alumina tubes were introduced into a quartz tube that was placed in a cylindrical furnace (from Termolab with a homogeneous heating length of 80 cm). The temperature was increased at 3 °C min⁻¹ under nitrogen to the desired temperature and then heated at constant temperature. At 550 °C, a hydrogen flow of 50 cm³ min⁻¹ and a nitrogen flow of 450 cm³ min⁻¹ were fed into the furnace. Nitrogen was used for the cooling step.

Physico-chemical characterization of membranes

The membrane E62 (Pd–Ag) prepared with the same procedure as for E54 and E56 was used for as-prepared physico-chemical characterization. The Pd and Ag contents of the membrane layer were determined by a Varian Vista MPX inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES). The cross-section images to analyze the morphology of the membrane layer and measure the membrane thickness were taken with an Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM) (FEI Quanta 250 FEG). XRD of the membrane tube was measured with a Bruker D8 Advance to determine the alloying condition.

Membrane sealing by graphite gaskets

Sealing of Pd-based membrane layers deposited on ceramic tubular supports represents a challenging task: preliminary tests showed gas leak problems after some thermal cycles, due to the detachment of the Pd membrane layer that is deposited on the glass used to connect the ceramic dense and porous parts (see Fig. 2).

An extensive literature review was carried out in order to look for different options to solve this problem. Chen et al. [14] described a method using graphite ferrules instead of using standard metal ferrules. The authors have shown that these graphite ferrules could successfully seal the membrane with Swagelok® connectors. Even at very high pressures up to 50 bar, the nitrogen leakage rate over the connectors was only about 0.35 ml/min.

To seal the membranes with Swagelok® fittings of 10 mm I.D. (code: SS-10M0-6), graphite ferrules of 10 mm I.D. (purchased from CHROMalytic TECH(nology) Pty Ltd) were used instead of the standard metal ones. Ferrules were opened from inside with a rotating cutter with sharp edges to increase the inner diameter of the ferrules in order to make them fit to the membranes (with an O.D. between 10 and 10.4 mm).

Before sealing the membrane with the opened ferrules, the ferrules were first conditioned in the Swagelok® fitting with a dummy Stainless steel (316L) rod to form the ferrules to the right shape. The diameter of the dummy is equal to the diameter of the membrane to be sealed. The connectors were tightened with about 20–30 Nm (torque wrench) to form them with the right shape and to get a smooth inner surface (Fig. 3).

Table 1 – Prepared Pd–Ag supported membranes (Al₂O₃ porous support of 10 mm outside diameter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane code</th>
<th>Manufacturing method</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E15</td>
<td>ELP (1 plating)</td>
<td>36.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E54</td>
<td>ELP (2 platings)</td>
<td>68.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E56</td>
<td>ELP (2 platings)</td>
<td>74.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E62</td>
<td>ELP (2 platings)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Membrane length after graphite attachment.
After shaping the ferrules, the membrane was fitted to the connectors. The connectors were carefully tightened to the membrane with a torque wrench. First a torque of 5 Nm was applied followed by increasing the force in two steps to 7 Nm. To verify whether the ferrules worked properly, Helium was fed from the inner part of the membrane up to a maximum pressure of 1 bar and introduced in a water bath. The formation of bubbles indicates that the connectors were not well-tightened and should be tightened more in order to avoid leaks. If no bubbles were formed, it indicated that the connected membrane was well sealed (confirmed later by permeation tests) and could be implemented later in the test reactor.

A disadvantage of this sealing method is the loss of membrane area. For a membrane with nearly 137 mm length, the usable length of hydrogen permeation is about 105 mm. The lost length because of the connectors is about 32 mm (in total for both sides). A typical membrane before and after connecting it to the Swagelok® connectors can be seen in Fig. 4.

To further investigate the performance of the membrane sealing, a break test was carried out after the previous tests to determine the maximum tolerable torque on the membrane. The membrane was implemented in a new Swagelok® connector with a new graphite sealing. The graphite ferrules were treated as described before. The used torque for the sealing of the membrane was increased in steps from 1 Nm to 15 Nm to determine the breaking point of the membrane. At 14 Nm the membrane support was broken.

As can be seen in Fig. 5, although the membrane broke at the exit of the Swagelok® connector, the cracks in the porous support actually started at the maximum load point of the graphite ferrule due to the excessive torque. For a proper sealing it is thus suggested to use the graphite ferrules and Swagelok® with a maximum torque up to 8 Nm to assure a very low level of leakage and prevent rupture of the ceramic support.

Permeation characterization of membranes and sealing performance

To test the applied sealing method, a membrane permeation setup was designed and constructed. Fig. 6 illustrates details of the piping and instrumentation of the setup. The setup consists of three main sections: a feed section, a membrane module section and an analysis section. At the feed section mass flow controllers from BROOKS b.v. were used to set the desired gas composition (N₂, H₂, CO and CO₂) and flow rate.

The membrane module section consists of a stainless steel tube (3 cm i.d.) where the membrane is integrated from the top flange. The feed gas can be supplied to the module from the bottom part through a gas distributor. Thus, the module can also be used for fluidization tests. The whole membrane module (reactor) is placed in an electric furnace to be able to set the desired temperature inside the reactor (Fig. 7). Three temperature indicators were placed at different positions in
the module to measure and monitor the temperature. A back-pressure regulator was placed at the outlet of the module (retentate side) to set the required trans-membrane pressure difference (two pressure indicators were placed at permeate and the retentate side). Both retentate and permeate sections were connected to the analysis section (independently). The analysis section consists of an HORIBA film flow meter (minimum 0.2 ml/min) to measure the flow rate at the permeate side. At the retentate side a mass flow meter from BROOKS b.v. is placed. The outlet gases from both retentate and permeate sides can be analyzed with a \( \mu \)-GC (CP-4900 series from Varian b.v.) or directed to the vent.

First, permeation tests were carried out. Pure nitrogen (500 ml/min), pure hydrogen (1000–1500 ml/min) and mixtures of hydrogen and nitrogen were fed at different temperatures and pressures to the outside of the membrane and the permeation to the inner part of the membrane was quantified, while the inner part of the membrane was open to atmosphere and no sweep gas was used. For the permeation (leakage) of nitrogen, the Horiba Liquid Film Flow meter was used (0.2–10 ml/min). For the permeation of hydrogen two different Definer 550 (50–500 ml/min or 300–30,000 ml/min) were used depending on the hydrogen partial pressure.

The range of temperatures for the nitrogen permeation tests was between 20 °C and 550 °C and between 300 °C–500 °C for hydrogen. The permeance of hydrogen was measured at temperatures above 300 °C in order to prevent possible membrane embrittlement.

The stability of the sealing and the permeance performance were tested for these different cases:

- Long-time measurement for \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) (48 h test at 380–400 °C and 2 bar of pressure difference) to ensure the stability of the membrane and the sealing performance over a longer times.
- Thermal cycling test for \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) (heating up and cooling down of minimum 7 cycles from room temperature to 400 °C) to ensure that the sealing is not affected by thermal cycling possibly because of different expansion coefficients for the different materials (connectors, ferrules, membrane).
- Flow rate measurements for pure gas (\( \text{N}_2, \text{H}_2 \)) at different pressures and temperatures to determine the membrane permeation parameters.
- WGS feed mixture gas (\( \text{H}_2, \text{N}_2, \text{CO}, \text{CO}_2 \)) at 400 °C to ensure that the sealing is not affected by chemical reactions with some of the used gases at reaction conditions.
- Implementation of the membrane in a fluidized bed (at room temperature and at 400 °C) to ensure that the membrane works properly under fluidization conditions (using pure \( \text{N}_2 \), mixture of \( \text{N}_2/\text{H}_2 \) and dry WGS mixtures).
- Because it was observed during experiments with membrane E15 that mass transfer limitations and or \( \text{CO} \) poisoning effect can be important for this type of membranes, experiments under different feed gas compositions of \( \text{H}_2 \) to \( \text{N}_2 \) ratios and also the addition of different amounts of \( \text{CO} \) were done for membrane E56.
- Post characterization of membrane surface.

A typical composition of a reformate gas fed to a WGS reactor is reported in Table 2 and used as reference case in this work. Because steam and methane were not available in the permeation setup and are also not necessary for the experiments, the feed composition for the WGS permeation tests in this work was changed to the composition listed in the third column. The total feed flow rate was about 1000 ml/min.
For the fluidization experiments, the gas flow rate was changed in such way that the bubbling fluidization conditions were maintained at different pressures and temperatures. All tested membranes and the tests carried out on each membrane have been summarized in Table 3.

Because a strong performance loss (in terms of hydrogen permeance) was observed for Membrane E15 during the first fluidization tests, a more structured test campaign has been carried out on the membranes E54 and E56 to further investigate the cause of this loss in performance. E54 was tested with pure gas and in fluidized conditions only to assess the effect of fluidization. The other membrane were tested with the complete test protocol.

### Results and discussions

**Physico-chemical characterization results of as-prepared Pd–Ag supported membranes**

**ICP**
The Pd and Ag composition of two pieces of the same membrane layer (E62) was analyzed by ICP-OES. First, the two samples were dissolved using concentrated nitric acid and then diluted to be able to analyze in a proper way. The Ag content of the E62 membrane layer is 13.4 ± 0.2%.

**SEM-EDX**
The cross-section SEM image of the E62 membrane consisting of the Pd–Ag layer (dark grey) on alumina porous support is shown in Fig. 8. The Pd–Ag membrane thickness is around 3.6 μm. We assume that E54 and E56 membranes are also 3.6 μm, since they were prepared with the same procedure as E62.

In addition, an EDX analysis was performed at the points marked in Fig. 8 and the results have been summarized in Table 4.

**XRD**
Two samples of the E62 membrane were analyzed by XRD and the resulting spectra are presented in Fig. 9. It can be seen that

---

**Table 2 – WGS gas feed composition.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Molar frac. (%) in industry</th>
<th>Molar frac. (%) used for the permeation tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 – Tests performed on different sealed membranes.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane code</th>
<th>Pure gas exp.</th>
<th>H₂/N₂ Fluidization</th>
<th>WGS mixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E15</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E54</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E56</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Fig. 7 – Details of the membrane reactor module including temperature and pressure indicators.](image)

![Fig. 8 – Pd–Ag layer on alumina 100 nm pore size (E62 membrane).](image)
Pd and Ag were well alloyed, confirming that the annealing process performed is suitable. The crystalline structure of Pd–Ag alloy was face-centered cubic (FCC) and its lattice constant was 3.903 Å ("a").

**Results on membranes performance and sealing stability**

Long-time permeation measurements of E15 membrane

Long-time measurements for 48 h at 380–400 °C and 2 bar pressure difference were carried out to ensure stable membrane performance before carrying out the experiments to determine the membrane permeation parameters (the pre-exponential factor and activation energy). The hydrogen/nitrogen ideal perm-selectivity of the E15 membrane is shown in Fig. 10.

The hydrogen permeance increases for the E15 membrane during the first few hours until it reaches a stable value, which is also reported in literature for Pd-membranes [15]. It is reported in literature, that mainly two effects can responsible for the observed activation of the membranes [16]. First, there is the effect of surface contamination which can block the active sites on Pd layers for hydrogen transport. A second effect is considered as the induction period, which is related to the lattice rearrangements of Pd during hydrogen transport through the membrane.

**Thermal cycling experiments for E15 membrane**

To ensure that heating up and cooling down has no influence on the performance of the sealing and the membrane permeance, the E15 membrane performance was evaluated for several thermal cycles (Fig. 11) after previous long term permeation tests and found to be quite stable.

For the E15 membrane, some small fluctuations in the nitrogen permeance can be discerned, related to the very low nitrogen flow rates (less or close to 0.2 ml/min), where the film flow meter could not be used for all measurements because the minimal detectable flow rate is 0.2 ml/min.

**Determination of membrane parameters**

After the long-term measurements and the cycling experiments, the membranes were tested at different temperatures and pressures under N\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}. Table 5 shows the N\textsubscript{2} permeance for the E15 membrane as a function of temperature and partial pressure difference across the membrane. Because the N\textsubscript{2} flow rate is very low for E15, it could only be detected at pressure differences above about 4 bar, which is the maximum pressure difference available in the test setup...

Results for the hydrogen permeation experiments are shown in Fig. 12. The permeation of hydrogen is increasing with increasing transmembrane partial pressure difference. The E15 membrane exhibits an almost perfect linear behavior.
for the exponential factor $n = 0.5$ ($R^2 > 0.999$), which is typical for Pd–Ag membranes at low pressures if bulk-diffusion through the membrane is the rate limiting step [17]. The activation energy of the membrane ($E_a_{	ext{Pd}}$) was determined at 7.8 kJ/mol.

Table 6 compares permeation parameters for different membranes reported in the literature with the membrane used in this work.

Tests at WGS conditions
The E15 membrane performance was also investigated at WGS conditions (see Table 2) measuring the H$_2$ permeance of the membranes before and after exposing the membrane to the WGS gas mixture at different pressure differences (see Fig. 13).

In contrast with the pure gas experiments, the flux does not show a linear dependency on the partial pressure difference and indicates a concentration polarization effect (i.e. external mass transfer resistances). At higher pressure differences the flux through the membranes is higher and the effect of concentration polarization will more pronounced.

The H$_2$ and N$_2$ permeances of the E15 membrane before and after exposure to the WGS gas mixture is presented in Table 7, and the results confirm that the performance of the membrane (and the sealing) is not affected under WGS conditions.

Tests under fluidization conditions
To check the performance of the membranes under fluidization conditions the membranes were tested for long time in the bubbling fluidization regime. The characteristics of the materials used for the fluidization tests have been listed in Table 8:

Firstly, the E15 membrane was tested in a fluidized bed (#1) and the results showed a sharp drop in the performance of the membrane after several minutes. The nitrogen and hydrogen permeance was measured during 26 h until the membrane showed nearly constant flow for both, hydrogen and nitrogen (Fig. 14). The fluidization seems to affect the performance of the membrane significantly. The Nitrogen permeance increased and the hydrogen permeance decreased. After 20 h of fluidization, the membrane showed stable behavior. Flushing the reactor with air (to remove possible contamination on the membrane surface), the hydrogen permeance could be increased, but only for a few minutes. After the fluidization tests, the membrane showed the same low permeance as before flushing with air.

The permeate flux as a function of the partial pressure difference is shown in Fig. 15a for the empty reactor and fluidized bed cases. The permeance under fluidization conditions with bed #1 (after 30 h of fluidization conditions) has decreased a factor 14 compared to an empty reactor (tube) as shown in Fig. 15b.

There are different phenomena that could have caused the observed loss of performance. First of all, as described by Dang et al. [23], when small particles are used in the fluidized bed

Table 5 – N$_2$ permeance for the E15 membrane at different temperatures and transmembrane pressure differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$P_{\text{ret}}$ (bar)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Permeance ($10^{-13}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12 – H$_2$ flux versus H$_2$ pressure difference at different temperatures for the E15 membrane.

### Table 6 – Comparison of different Pd based membranes reported in literature with the E15 membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane material</th>
<th>Preparation method</th>
<th>Selective layer thickness</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{ret}}$ $P_{\text{perm}}$ ($\text{Pa}^{0.5}$)</th>
<th>Permeance $H_2$ ($10^{-8}$ mol/m$^2$ s Pa)$^a$</th>
<th>Permeability $H_2$ ($10^{-13}$ mol/m$^2$ s Pa)</th>
<th>Ideal selectivity</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pd–Au/YSZ/PSS</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>82,000 (H$_2$/N$_2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd–Au/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1400 (H$_2$/N$_2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd–Ag/PSS$^b$</td>
<td>PVD-MS</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>2900 (H$_2$/N$_2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd–Au–Pt$^b$</td>
<td>PVD-MS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/Inconel</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4500 (H$_2$/He)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd–Ag/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>ELP</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8000–10,000 (H$_2$/N$_2$) This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Permeance values have been calculated for a H$_2$ partial pressure of 1 bar.

$^b$ Self-supported membranes.
membrane reactor, the extraction of a large amount of gas may lead to the formation of densified zones close to the membranes. It is possible that these densified zones have created additional mass transfer limitations for hydrogen permeation. However, as the strong decrease in flux is observed also when using pure hydrogen flow, the densification of the bed around the membranes cannot be the only cause for the loss of performance described above. Another possible phenomenon occurring in the fluidized bed membrane reactor is a possible erosion of the palladium layer, which would be detrimental for the performance of the membranes [1]. However, erosion of the Pd layer should lead to an increase in hydrogen flux rather than a (strong) decrease.

A possible phenomenon that could explain the decrease in hydrogen flux is a possible chemical interaction between the palladium and (some components of) the catalyst used in the tests. In fact, previous tests with Pd membranes on alumina, have shown strong chemical interaction (and eventually alloying) of Pd with alumina at higher temperatures [24]. Most probably, a similar kind of interaction may have occurred with the catalyst used in these tests but at lower temperatures.

To demonstrate this, new tests have been carried out at lower pressure to minimize the flux of hydrogen through the

Table 7 – Permeation of H\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} before and after exposure to a WGS gas mixture (400 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>(P_{\text{ret}} - P_{\text{perm}}) (bar)</th>
<th>Permeance (mol m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1} Pa\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Before WGS mixture</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>2.21 \times 10\textsuperscript{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After WGS mixture</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>2.32 \times 10\textsuperscript{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Before WGS mixture</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.58 \times 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After WGS mixture</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.48 \times 10\textsuperscript{-4}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 – Characteristics of the particle beds used in the fluidization tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0.16%Re0.34%Pt6%H2O2</td>
<td>75–106</td>
<td>TiO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>100–125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Noble based CPO cat.*</td>
<td>50–75</td>
<td>Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Provided by Shell Global Solutions.
membrane and thus rule out the formation of densified zones as a possible cause [23].

Fig. 16a shows the results of the long term permeation experiment of membrane E54 under relatively low hydrogen flux. As can be seen, the hydrogen permeance decreases with a sharp slope during the first 20 h of the tests also for this membrane under low hydrogen flux conditions. After this time a stable membrane performance could be achieved. A comparison of the performance of membrane E54 after different tests is reported in Fig. 16b. The membrane performance loss is about 10 times at stable fluidization conditions in comparison to the tests before the fluidization (permeation tests with empty tube). These tests clearly indicate that the possible densification of particles around the membrane cannot be the cause and thus further tests were carried out to elucidate the reason for this behavior.

Fig. 17 shows the E54 membrane before and after the fluidization tests. As can be seen the membrane surface has changed due to the fluidization tests and the interaction with the catalyst and the inert particles. The membrane was cleaned with pressurized air and demineralized water in order to clean the surface without damaging it. However, no changes in the performances are observed after cleaning of the surface. This suggests that there is a strong interaction on the membrane surface with (components of) the catalyst.

Additional fluidization tests were carried out with the new membrane E56. Firstly, this membrane was protected with a thin layer of glass wool before the fluidization tests. This kind of tests were performed to understand whether the interaction between the particles and the membranes are enhanced by attrition. Additionally, before testing the WGS catalyst with the new membrane, another catalyst has been used (bed #2) for fluidization tests, as this material was already been used for steam methane reforming in fluidized bed membrane
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**Fig. 15** - (a) H₂ Flux at different pressure differences and (b) H₂ permeance under fluidization conditions (bed #1) in comparison with the case in an empty reactor for the E15 membrane (400 °C).
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**Fig. 16** - (a) H₂ flow evolution of E54 membrane in bed #1 fluidization and at low pressure difference and (b) comparison with results before fluidization (400 °C). H₂ flow rate in the feed up to 1100 ml/min.
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**Fig. 17** - E54 membrane before and after fluidization tests with bed #1.
reactors without detrimental effects to the membrane performance [25]. After a successful test with the glass wool, the membrane E56 was tested without the glass wool protection (thus in direct contact with bed material #2). In the final test E56 was tested with a protective layer of glass wool together with bed #1 under fluidization conditions (bubbling fluidization regime).

Fig. 18 shows the results of the performance of the E56 membrane for different test conditions:

a) Without bed before fluidization
b) With glass wool
c) Empty reactor after fluidization test
d) With Bed #2 & glass wool
e) With Bed #2
f) With Bed #1 & glass wool

The figure shows that the membrane permeance increased between the first test (empty reactor case) and the test with glass-wool protection. No decrease of permeance has been detected as the porous glass wool does not induce any additional mass transfer limitation. The reason for the increase of permeance during the tests is the activation of the membrane being exposed to hydrogen at high temperature.

The test with bed material #2 and the protective layer of glass wool shows no decrease in permeance. During the almost 6 h of test it can be seen that the permeance of the membrane was even slightly increasing over time, again related to the activation of the membrane. Wang et al. [16] reported a reactivation time of about 800 min of H₂ exposure before the membrane reached its original value for hydrogen permeance again. After this test, the protective layer of glass wool was removed and the membrane was tested during 48 h under fluidization conditions with direct contact between the bed material and the membrane. The results obtained show that the membrane performance is not affected by fluidization when bed material #2 was used, in contrast to the tests performed with E54 using bed material #1. This confirms the assumption that the catalyst particles or the filler particles from bed #1 are the cause of the performance loss of the membranes under fluidization conditions. Mechanical stresses due to the fluidization regime has been found not to be responsible for any performance loss of the membrane.

To finalize the study, membrane E56 was protected with glass wool and used under fluidization conditions with bed material #1. It can be observed that the hydrogen permeance was lower than for any of the other cases. After exposing the membrane to air (to remove possible contaminations) the permeance starts to increase. After 6.5 h of test under fluidization conditions, however, the hydrogen permeance was not yet at the same level as before this last test. So, most probably there is an interaction with the particles even if the membrane is protected by glass wool. After removing the glass wool, it was indeed observed that some particles had reached the membrane surface and probably interacted with the membrane, thereby decreasing its permeance. These tests indicate that in case of reactive particles, a better protection of the membrane should be adopted, while for inert particles, the membrane can be safely used in fluidized beds without any protection.

Fig. 19a shows the nitrogen permeance of membrane E56 measured over time during the tests with bed material #2 (without glass wool). As can be observed, the permeance of...
nitrogen increases during the time of fluidization, which leads to a loss of selectivity (see Fig. 19b). However, after the fluidization tests the selectivity was still above 10,000. A more detailed study is required to assess the influence of fluidization on the sealing material.

**Mass transfer limitations and CO poisoning effect**

It is well known that the higher the membrane permeation flux, the more important other mass transfer limitations may become. In this section external mass transfer limitations (concentration polarization) and mass transfer limitations due to possible CO poisoning of the membrane surface are further investigated. Different tests were carried out at different H₂/N₂ ratios. The results for the hydrogen flux at different H₂/N₂ mixtures are shown in Fig. 20.

The hydrogen flux through the membrane is plotted as a function of the partial pressure difference of hydrogen (inlet conditions). At the same partial pressure difference the driving force for the permeation for all experiments is the same. The results show that the hydrogen flux decreases when the concentration of hydrogen decreases in the feed gas stream (at the same driving force over the membrane). This behavior confirms that external mass transfer limitations (concentration polarization) are prevailing using gas mixtures, as was anticipated for highly permeable membranes.

These external mass transfer limitations (due to gas phase diffusion) could be decreased in case of fluidization, as the additional mixing induced by the solids circulation patterns in the bubbling (and turbulent) fluidization regime increases the local driving force for permeation. Indeed, comparing the experiments with fluidized particles in the reactor and the experiments with an empty reactor it could be observed that the hydrogen permeance was higher for the fluidized bed cases. This effect can be clearly seen from Fig. 21, showing a comparison between two experiments carried out at the same conditions (temperature, feed flow rate and composition and transmembrane pressure difference), for an empty membrane reactor and for the fluidized bed membrane reactor. These results confirm once more that the fluidized bed membrane reactor is a preferred reactor concept for highly permeable membranes.

As far as the membrane poisoning is concerned, it is well known that CO can block the active sites of the membrane (CO poisoning effect) and deteriorate the performance of the membranes [26]. This effect can be more pronounced for the highly permeable membranes. The CO poisoning effect was measured in a gas mixture containing 80% of hydrogen and increasing the amount of CO from 0 to 15% in steps of 5%. The results obtained can be seen in Fig. 22.

The effect of CO poisoning detected for a maximum amount of 15% CO in the feed gas mixture, is quite low (as expected considering the relatively high temperature). However, this effect is also not negligible, as the permeance of
hydrogen decreased about 7% for 15% of CO in the feed gas stream. At higher temperatures this effect should be less significant, since the poisoning effect of CO is dependent on temperature and it is higher at lower temperatures [27].

**Post-mortem characterization results of Pd-Ag supported membranes**

**XPS analysis of membrane E54**

Experimental observations on the membranes E54 and E56 confirmed that either the filler (TiO₂) or the catalyst (0.16% Re0.34%Pt6%Ce/TiO₂) from bed material #1 were responsible for the loss of the hydrogen permeance during the fluidization tests. Therefore, XPS analysis was carried out on membrane E54 to elucidate the reason for the loss of permeance.

Fig. 23 shows the sample of the E54 membrane that was used for the XPS analysis, which represents approximately half of the membrane. As a reference, one piece of E54 was cut before the test, which has also been analyzed with XPS. The XPS measurements were carried out without etching, which makes the results being representative for the first 10 nm of the membrane surface. The area of each measurement was about 400 μm in diameter.

The results of the XPS analysis have been summarized in Table 9.

As can be seen in the results of Table 9, Ti and O are found in high amounts on the membrane surface (1,3), where the effect of fluidization was more pronounced. Comparing these results to the stagnant zone (5), it is evident that this zone is nearly free of Ti and completely free of O which implies that the interaction between the membrane and the solids occurs where there is more contact between the two. In the part where the Swagelok® fitting is close to the membrane a significant amount of sulfur was found, which could possibly have been released by the Swagelok® fitting due to reaction with gases under high temperature, since sulfur is present in the stainless steel parts of Swagelok® [28].

The results for the measurements for the reference membrane show that there is no Ti and no O before the fluidization tests, as expected. It is interesting to mention that no Ag was detected on the surface of the membrane in the XPS analysis, which is probably related to the measuring method. XPS with etching would lead to a correct detection of Ag and Pd, but would lead to an incorrect detection of Ti and O that are the components leading to a decrease of permeance according to our previous observations.

A reason for the high amount of carbon found in the sealing part is the graphite ferrule attached to the membrane surface. Nevertheless, a significant amount of carbon is also detected for the samples under fluidization regime due to the contamination by the paper used for cleaning the surface before the tests. In general, it can be concluded that the catalyst active species seems not to be the reason for the decrease in permeance, since no Re, Ce or Pt could be found on the membrane surface, pointing towards the support material as the cause for the decrease in membrane permeance while the main responsible seems to be the interaction of Ti with the palladium layer. Interaction of TiO₂ and Pd was already observed by Dittmeyer and co-workers [29]. It is thus suggested to use a catalyst support based on a material that does not have interaction with palladium like alumina or zirconia-based supports. In a future work we will further investigate the interaction of the Pd-based membranes with different catalysts/supports at different operating conditions.

### Conclusions

In this paper a series of thin membranes have been produced with sequential electroless plating and tested as permeators and in fluidized bed conditions. A simple technique for membrane sealing has been implemented and discussed in the paper based on standard connectors and graphite ferrules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample identifier</th>
<th>Ag 3d %</th>
<th>Ce 3d %</th>
<th>O 1s %</th>
<th>Pd 3d %</th>
<th>S 2p %</th>
<th>Si 2p %</th>
<th>C 1s %</th>
<th>Ti 2p %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Cleaned part</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Swagelok® part</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fluidized part</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sealing part</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Stagnant zone part</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Ref membrane1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Ref membrane2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Ref membrane3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The membranes have shown very high and stable permeation rates (with high selectivities), such that external mass transfer limitations start to be a limiting factor for the hydrogen permeation. It has been demonstrated that a fluidized bed reactor can decrease the extent of external mass transfer limitations as a result of increased gas mixing.

However, the tests under fluidization conditions show that there may be an interaction between some bed materials and the membranes, which may result in a decrease in the membrane permeation. The fluidized bed reactor should thus be operated with catalyst/supports that have no interaction with the membrane such as alumina (as demonstrated in this paper) or zirconia-based supports.
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