A multiscale analytical approach for bone remodeling simulations: linking scales from collagen to trabeculae

Citation for published version (APA):
Colloca, M., Blanchard, R., Hellmich, C., Ito, K., & Rietbergen, van, B. (2014). A multiscale analytical approach for bone remodeling simulations: linking scales from collagen to trabeculae. Bone, 64, 303-313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2014.03.050

DOI:
10.1016/j.bone.2014.03.050

Document status and date:
Published: 01/01/2014

Document Version:
Accepted manuscript including changes made at the peer-review stage

Please check the document version of this publication:
• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher’s website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at: openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.
A multiscale analytical approach for bone remodeling simulations: Linking scales from collagen to trabeculae
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ABSTRACT

Bone is a dynamic and hierarchical porous material whose spatial and temporal mechanical properties can vary considerably due to differences in its microstructure and due to remodeling. Hence, a multiscale analytical approach, which combines bone structural information at multiple scales to the remodeling cellular activities, could form an efficient, accurate and beneficial framework for the prognosis of changes in bone properties due to, e.g., bone diseases. In this study, an analytical formulation of bone remodeling integrated with multiscale micromechanical models is proposed to investigate the effects of structural changes at the nanometer level (collagen scale) on those at higher levels (tissue scale). Specific goals of this study are to derive a mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes using a multiscale framework, to test the accuracy of the multiscale model for the prediction of bone density, and to demonstrate its multiscale capabilities by predicting changes in bone density due to changes occurring at the molecular level.

At each different level, the bone composition was modeled as a two-phase material which made it possible to: 1) find a closed-form solution for the energy-based mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes and 2) describe the anisotropic elastic properties at higher levels as a function of the stiffness of the elementary components (collagen, hydroxyapatite and water) at lower levels. The accuracy of the proposed multiscale model of bone remodeling was tested first by comparing the analytical bone volume fraction predictions to those obtained from the corresponding μFE-based computational model. Differences between analytical and numerical predictions were less than 1% while the computational time was drastically reduced, namely by a factor of 1 million.

In a further analysis, the effects of changes in collagen and hydroxyapatite volume fractions on the bone remodeling process were simulated, and it was found that such changes considerably affect the bone density at the millimeter scale. In fact, smaller tissue density induces remodeling activities leading to finally higher overall bone density. The multiscale analytical model proposed in this study potentially provides an accurate and efficient tool for simulating patient-specific bone remodeling, which might be of importance in particular for the hip and spine, where an accurate assessment of bone micro-architecture is not possible.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Bone is a dynamic porous material which is continuously resorbed and subsequently formed in a process called bone remodeling influenced by both mechanical and biological factors [1–5]. Moreover, it is a hierarchical material whose architecture differs at each level of hierarchy and whose mechanical properties can vary considerably, even on the same specimen, due to bone heterogeneity [6–8]. The density of bone is modulated by two groups of cells: the osteoclasts which resorb bone and osteoblasts which deposit new bone [9]. The actions of these actor cells are thought to be mediated by osteocytes which are the most numerous cells in the bone. It has been hypothesized that the osteocytes can sense the local mechanical stimulus, in turn controlling the activity of osteoblasts and osteoclasts within a basic multicellular unit (BMU) [10–12].

Several mathematical models have been proposed in an attempt to elucidate the features of bone adaptation at the different scales, though at the organ, tissue and cell level, these models merely exist in isolation [13]. By integrating numerical equations into finite element models, it was shown that the load-driven bone remodeling algorithm based on mechanosensory theory can explain many features of bone adaptation at the tissue- and cell-level [14,15], e.g., the formation of load-adapted microstructures, as well as the loss of bone mass and microstructural integrity after disuse or increased osteoclast activity (associated with decreased estrogen levels). However, using such analyses for patient-
specific predictions of bone remodeling is difficult because of the limited resolution of in-vivo imaging techniques and the huge computational cost involved for such detailed bone remodeling analyses.

A method to reduce the computational time in the abovementioned analyses and to deal with the fact that at most sites (e.g. hip and spine) no patient bone microarchitecture can be measured would be to implement the bone remodeling theory in a multi-scale framework that can translate structural changes at the cell-level to changes in bone density at the organ level. By using an analytical formulation of the bone remodeling equation integrated with multiscale micromechanical models, that use generalized structural models at each level of organization [16], such multi-step homogenization schemes can provide a very flexible framework to derive mechanical properties at any level. By integrating such models with bone remodeling equations, it will be possible to predict bone remodeling at these different levels in a very efficient manner.

The concept of integrating multi-scale modeling and bone remodeling has been introduced in earlier studies. Coelho et al. [17] presented a multiscale model for bone tissue adaptation that considered two levels, whole bone and trabecular architecture. The bone density distribution predictions were evaluated at the macroscale level, taking into account mechanical properties as well as surface density and permeability of the trabecular structure at the microscale level. Hamblin et al. [18] developed a multiscale approach for bone remodeling simulation integrating finite element models at the macro level and 3D neural network computation techniques at the mesoscale. The authors did not, however, include in their bone remodeling formulation cellular activities and biological factors that affect bone apposition and resorption. In their investigation, Podshivalov et al. [19] presented a new 3D multiscale FE method based on domain-based multisresolution hierarchical geometric modeling and multiscale material properties of trabecular bone. The goal was to design a computational tool as infrastructure for computerized systems aiming at interactively analyzing bone structures. None of these models can explicitly account at the nanoscale for collagen and hydroxyapatite contributions on stiffness and volume fraction of the bone tissue at higher levels. Also, most of these studies rely on computational tools to solve equations at each included level, whereas a true multiscale approach would benefit from an analytical description that spans multiple levels.

Going in the direction to integrate bone structural information at different scales and the remodeling process, in recent works [20,21] we proposed an analytical model in which the bone remodeling process was studied on the basis of two connected scales, tissue and cell levels respectively, with the dependency on osteoblast and osteoclast activities in terms of bone apposition and resorption rates and on the estimation of bone structural organization (Fig. 1). Using this model it is possible to derive, as mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes, the micromechanics-derived SED based on an Eshelby matrix-inclusion problem in order to accurately and efficiently predict the stress distributions in a representative volume element of trabecular bone; 2) to test the accuracy of the multiscale analytical model by comparing the bone volume fraction predictions to those obtained from the earlier computational models that represent the full bone microstructure; and 3) to demonstrate its multiscale capabilities by investigating in children bones the effects of age-dependent changes in collagen and hydroxyapatite content that are defined at the nanometer scale, on the bone volume fraction at the micrometer scale.

### Methods

#### Analytical approach for bone remodeling simulations

In the bone remodeling theory adopted for this study, it is assumed that the osteocytes inside the bone tissue sense mechanical loading and transmit a signal to the osteoblasts on the bone matrix surface to form bone, while the osteoclasts are assumed to be attracted by effects of local micro-damage [22]. The formulation of this theory as implemented in a validated analytical model [21] is expressed in terms of net linear rate $\frac{dl_{BM}(x, t)}{dt}$ of bone apposition or resorption of bone matrix at a particular trabecular surface location $x$ at time $t$ determined by

$$\frac{dl_{BM}}{dt} = \frac{dl_{OB}(x, t)}{dt} - \frac{dl_{OC}(x, t)}{dt}$$

(1)

where $\frac{dl_{OB}(x, t)}{dt}$ and $\frac{dl_{OC}(x, t)}{dt}$ are the linear bone formation rate (µm/day) and linear bone resorption rate (µm/day), respectively.

Bone remodeling is assumed to occur on the internal surfaces of the bone matrix or on the walls of the voids and the rate of change of bone volume fraction is influenced by the amount of internal surface available for cellular activity as experimentally evidenced [9,10].

In the multiscale analytical framework proposed in this study, remodeling equations at the tissue level, that can account for bone tissue properties as determined by lower levels (cell and collagen levels) and that can represent the bone density evolution at higher levels (e.g. organ level), are developed (Fig. 1). As a starting point, we consider the analytical expression of the rate of change of bone volume fraction in the RVE of trabecular bone at the tissue level, when modulated by mechanobiological and geometric feedback as given in [23].

$$\frac{d(BV/TV)}{dt} = \left(\frac{dl_{OB}(x, t)}{dt} - \frac{dl_{OC}(x, t)}{dt}\right) \cdot \alpha \cdot BS/TV$$

(2)

![Block-diagram of the proposed multiscale analytical model. Volume fraction of hydroxyapatite ($f_{HAp}$), collagen ($f_{col}$) and water ($f_{H2O}$) at the nano scale affect the stiffness of the bone matrix ($C_{BM}$) at the micrometer scale, which in turn affect the strain energy density and bone volume fraction change over time ($t$) at the millimeter scale.](image-url)
with \( \alpha \) as a fraction of the bone specific surface \( BS/TV \) (\( \mu m^2/\mu m^3 \)) that is available for the cellular activities and expressed as a function of the bone volume fraction (\( BV/TV \)) by adopting the relationship of Martin [24]:

\[
\frac{BS}{TV} = 0.0323 \left( 1 - \frac{BV}{TV} \right) - 0.0939 \left( 1 - \frac{BV}{TV} \right)^2 + 0.134 \left( 1 - \frac{BV}{TV} \right)^3 - 0.101 \left( 1 - \frac{BV}{TV} \right)^4 + 0.0288 \left( 1 - \frac{BV}{TV} \right)^5.
\]

(3)

For the bone apposition activity through osteoblasts (\( dL_{OBL}/dt \)), we adopt the following expression

\[
\frac{dL_{OBL}}{dt} = \tau \cdot \mu \cdot \psi_{BM}
\]

(4)

where \( \tau \) is the bone formation time constant (\( \mu m^2/(nmol \cdot day) \)), \( \mu \) the osteocyte mechanosensitivity (\( nmol/(MPa \cdot \mu m^2) \)) and \( \psi_{BM} \) (MPa) is the “microscopic” strain energy density as “felt” by the osteocytes being uniformly dispersed into the bone matrix. It is derived from a continuum micromechanics model based on Eshelby's matrix-inclusion problem, as described in the following section in more detail.

For the bone resorption activity through osteoclasts, we adopt the following relationship

\[
\frac{dL_{OCL}}{dt} = A_{res}
\]

(5)

with a constant resorption rate \( A_{res} (\mu m/day) \).

Eq. (2) represents a nonlinear differential equation of the first order that, in addition to the initial condition \( BV/TV \) (\( t = 0 \)), forms an initial value problem to be solved numerically.

Micromechanics-derived strain energy density as mechanobiological stimulus

The microscopic strain energy density in the trabecular bone RVE (Fig. 2) is assumed as the mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes regulating the bone remodeling process. It is defined by means of an Eshelby-problem micromechanics model [25], similar to that having undergone extensive experimental validation in Ref. [7] and to that used for micromechanics-supported finite element models of human mandibles to simulate the effects of atrophy on the bone density distribution [26].

Moreover, it is assumed that the macroscopic stress states act on the boundary of a trabecular bone RVE with their magnitudes equivalent to the maximum strain energy density rate [14], and that the direction of principal stresses \( \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 \) (with stress tensors \( \Sigma = \Sigma_1 \epsilon_1 \oplus \epsilon_1 + \Sigma_2 \epsilon_2 \oplus \epsilon_2 + \Sigma_3 \epsilon_3 \oplus \epsilon_3 \) coincide with the material directions of the transversely isotropic material. For this specific case, the “microscopic” strain energy density at the level of the solid bone matrix reads as

\[
\psi_{BM} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{BM} : C_{BM} : \epsilon_{BM}
\]

(6)

where \( \epsilon_{BM} \) is the average (microscopic) strain tensor in the solid bone matrix, which is related to the macroscopic strain tensor (\( E \)) through the fourth-order concentration tensors \( \lambda_{BM} \)

\[
\epsilon_{BM} = \lambda_{BM} : E
\]

(7)

where

\[
\lambda_{BM} = \left\{ \psi \left[ I + \epsilon_{BM} : (C_p-C_{BM}) \right]^{-1} + (1-\psi)I \right\}^{-1}
\]

(8)

being a function of the porosity \( \psi \), the stiffness tensor of the bone matrix \( C_{BM} \), the stiffness tensor of the porosity \( C_p \) and of Hill’s morphology tensor expressed by

\[
\psi_{BM} = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1122}} \right) = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1222}} \right) = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1111}} \right) = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1111}} \right) = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1111}} \right) = \left( \frac{P_{BM}^{1111}}{P_{BM}^{1111}} \right)
\]

(9)

with the components of \( \psi_{BM} \) according to Eq. (76) to (81) of Ref. [27]:

\[
P_{BM}^{1111} = P_{BM}^{1122} = \frac{1}{8} \left( 3C_{1111} - 3C_{1122} \right)
\]

(10)

\[
P_{BM}^{1122} = \frac{1}{8} \left( C_{1111} - C_{1122} \right)
\]

(11)

\[
P_{BM}^{1222} = \frac{1}{8} \left( C_{1111} - C_{1122} \right)
\]

(12)

\[
P_{BM}^{1111} = \frac{1}{8} \left( 3C_{1111} - C_{1122} \right)
\]

(13)

Choosing the base frame to coincide with the aforementioned material directions, the stiffness of the bone matrix reads as

\[
C_{BM} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
C_{1111} & C_{1122} & C_{1133} \\
C_{1122} & C_{1111} & C_{1133} \\
C_{1133} & C_{1133} & C_{3333}
\end{array} \right) \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3
\]

(14)

In fact, the bone matrix is characterized by a transversely isotropic elasticity tensor and modeled as mineral foam of hydroxyapatite which is “reinforced” predominantly in the longitudinal direction by collagen fibrils, while the transverse stiffness is mainly governed by the mineral concentration. Relevant values for this tensor will be introduced in the section entitled Micromechanics-derived bone matrix stiffness in adults and children.

![Fig. 2. Trabecular bone RVE modeled as a two-phase material: bone matrix and cylindrical inclusions. In the base frame, 1 is the radial direction, 2 the circumferential direction, and 3 the axial direction.](image-url)
The stiffness tensor of the porosity $C_p$ was chosen as that of fat $C_{\text{Fat}}$ and expressed in function only of the bulk modulus $k_{\text{Fat}}$ by using the corresponding elastic constants given in [28]

$$C_{\text{Fat}} = 3k_{\text{Fat}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

with $\mathbf{J}$ the volumetric part of fourth-order identity tensor where

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{3}k_{\text{Fat}}$$

(16)

Collecting all the terms entering Eq. (6), a closed-form expression for the microscopic strain energy density can be found:

$$\psi_{BM} = \frac{1}{2} \left( A_{1111} + A_{1122} \right) \left( 2E_{1112}E_{22} + E_{1111} \left( E_{1111} + E_{2222} \right) \right) + 2A_{1112} \left( E_{1111} + E_{1122} \right) \left( E_{1111} + E_{2222} \right) + 2A_{1111} \left( A_{2222}E_{1111} + E_{2222} \right) + A_{1111} \left( 2E_{1111} - E_{2222} \right)$$

$$+ A_{1111} \left( 2E_{1111}E_{22} + E_{2222} \right)$$

(17)

and it is a function of $C_{BM}$, $C_{\text{Fat}}$, $C_{\text{Fat}}$, and $k_{\text{Fat}}$. The components of the concentration tensor $h_{BM}$ can be found in the Appendix A.Micromechanics-derived bone matrix stiffness in adults and children

The stiffness of the bone matrix is time- and space-invariant in adult human tissue [26,29,30] and depends on its composition, i.e. on the volume fractions of its principal constituents, namely hydroxyapatite (HA), collagen (col), and water ($H_2O$). In [31], the average tissue elasticity properties were successfully identified through a coupled approach comprising 10 MHz pulse transmission ultrasound with universal rules governing the composition and the hierarchical mechanical function of mineralized tissues, resulting in the following stiffness tensor of extracellular bone tissue:

$$C_{BM}^{\text{adult}} = \begin{pmatrix}
C_{1111} & C_{1122} & C_{1133} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{1122} & C_{1111} & C_{1133} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C_{1133} & C_{1111} & C_{1122} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2C_{2323} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2C_{2323} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2C_{1212}
\end{pmatrix}$$

(18)

$$\rho_{BM} = \frac{\rho_{BM}}{\bar{\rho}_{BM}} f_{BM} = \frac{\rho_{BM}}{\bar{\rho}_{BM}} f_{BM}$$

(20)

$$\rho_{BM} = \frac{\rho_{BM}}{\bar{\rho}_{BM}} f_{BM} = \frac{\rho_{BM}}{\bar{\rho}_{BM}} f_{BM}$$

(21)

where $\rho_{BM}$ is the mass density of the extracellular bone matrix, and $\rho_{BM}$ is the apparent mass density of water, i.e. the mass of water per volume of extracellular bone tissue. The extracellular matrix and the apparent masses of mineral ($\rho_{\text{Min}}$), water ($\rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$), and of organics $\rho_{\text{Org}} = \rho_{\text{BM}} - \rho_{\text{Min}} - \rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ are all related by linear functions, see Fig. 3(a), so that Eq. (21) allows for assigning to each of Currey’s experimental values for $W_{BM}$, values for $\rho_{BM}^\text{Min}$, $\rho_{BM}^\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $\rho_{BM}^\text{Org}$, see Table 1. We observe that the tissue mass densities nicely approach the “adult” value of $\rho_{BM} = 1.90$ g/cm$^3$ identified in [31]. The aforementioned apparent mass density values give access to the volume fractions of hydroxyapatite, of collagen, and of water, via:

$$f_{BM} = \frac{\rho_{BM}^\text{Min}}{\rho_{BM}}, f_{\text{col}} = 0.9 \times \frac{\rho_{BM}^\text{Org}}{\rho_{BM}}, f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = \frac{\rho_{BM}^\text{H}_2\text{O}}{\rho_{BM}}$$

with the real mass densities of water, organics and hydroxyapatite amounting to $\rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 1.0$ g/cm$^3$, $\rho_{\text{Org}} = 1.41$ g/cm$^3$ [35], and $\rho_{\text{BM}} = 3$ g/cm$^3$ [35–37]. From the volume fractions of (22), we retrieve the age-dependent tissue mass density $\rho_{BM}$ according to $\rho_{BM}^\text{Min} = f_{BM} \rho_{BM} + f_{\text{col}} \rho_{\text{col}}$, which we approximate by means of a linear fit between age and mass density $\rho_{BM}$/coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.72$. Then, the total compositional rules given in [33] give access to tissue mineral and collagen content at any age, see Table 2 for chosen ages between 6 and 13 years. The corresponding age-dependent volume fractions of mineral, water, and collagen components served as inputs for the 4-step micromechanical homogenisation scheme developed in [33], from which the stiffness tensors for the extracellular bone matrix were obtained, see Fig. 3(b) and Table 3. The stiffness increase with age as predicted in Table 3 agrees well with that observed in in-vivo ultrasound experiments on the os calcis bones of children aged 6 through 13 years [38]. Three-dimensional micro- FE and analytical test models for bone modeling and remodeling simulations

The test model represented a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm bone cubic region consisting of 226,981 cubic voxels. Each voxel was considered as a hexahedral element containing eight integration points. An element-by-element FE solver was used to calculate the strain energy density in each cubic voxel by which the domain of interest was discretized [39]. The bone sample was loaded by tensile loading distributions in the orthogonal $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, as displayed in Fig. 4. The applied loading magnitudes were chosen by means of a numerical model developed to estimate in vivo bone loading based on bone morphology [40]. In the analytical model the same stresses were assumed to work on the sides of the RVE, and the corresponding (micro-)stresses and (micro-) strains at the bone tissue level were determined to obtain the tissue level SED. Using the earlier developed computational bone remodeling simulation model that implements the micro-FE model, the development and adaptation of bone micro-architecture were simulated and, at each time point, the volume fraction was calculated and compared to the volume fraction predicted by the analytical model. In particular, in order to study the evolution of bone volume fraction by solving both the analytical and micro-FE initial value problems with their own initial conditions, two simulation series were performed. In the first one, the bone modeling simulation was obtained by starting from a regular grid (Fig. 4) as initial configuration. In the second one, starting with
the equilibrium condition reached in the first simulation series, changes in bone cellular activity, mechanotransduction and loading parameters were set to study their effects on the remodeling system response.

Results

In the following, we will use the term “analytical solution” when referring to the analytical model (Eq. (2)) and to the “numerical solution”, which is taken as the reference, when referring to the bone remodeling algorithm that implements the micro-FE model, see section entitled Three-dimensional micro-FE and analytical test models for bone remodeling and remodeling simulations. In all cases, the % difference relative to the numerical predictions of bone volume fraction evolution was evaluated at equilibrium.

Bone modeling

The evolution of the RVE bone volume fraction is displayed in Fig. 5a where the numerical and analytical solutions are compared. Model parameters adopted in this simulation were defined according to bone physiological values as listed in Table 4. A regular grid (Fig. 5b) represented the initial configuration. After a transient period, the system reached an equilibrium condition in which the amount of bone formation was balanced by the amount of bone resorption; hence the bone volume fraction became constant. Initially BV/TV increased quickly as an effect of high mechanical signals, while resorption of poorly loaded tissue lagged behind as in Ref. [14]. Good agreement between analytical and numerical curves was found, with a difference less than 4% at the equilibrium, even though the transient behavior was different. In Fig. 5c, the final developed and adapted bone microstructure at equilibrium is shown.

Bone remodeling

Starting from the homeostatic bone microstructure of Fig. 5c, a second simulation was performed in which the osteoclast activity (A_{oc}) was increased and the osteoblast activity (\tau) was decreased by a factor of 10 with respect to the initial value. Such changes could, e.g. represent the effect of estrogen deficiency as in post-menopausal osteoporosis [41] in the first case, and the result of bone degenerative diseases [42] in the second one. In Fig. 6 the analytical and numerical outcomes with their corresponding adapted microstructures are compared, showing the expected bone loss in case of increased osteoclast activity (Figs. 6a–b) with a difference of 14.4% between predictions of the analytical and numerical models, and in case of decreased osteoblast activity (Figs. 6c–d) with a difference of 1%. During the transient phase, the analytical solution somewhat underestimated the bone density in case of \tau decreasing.

A new simulation series was designed to predict changes in bone volume fraction after increasing the loading magnitude and the osteocyte mechanosensitivity (\mu) to 200%, or decreasing them to 50% of the original value, respectively (Figs. 7–8). The numerical model showed the expected increase in bone mass with increased mechanosensitivity and loading magnitude, and the decrease after the reduction of the same parameters. The analytical models predicted these changes quantified in 13.3% difference for the increased loading case (Figs. 7a–b) and in 0.6% for the decreased loading case (Figs. 7c–d).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>1.606</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>1.773</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>1.865</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>1.947</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>1.201</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hence, the elastic properties at higher levels as a function of this basic composition. Transduction parameters listed in Table 4. Each bone volume fraction remodeling simulations and the loading conditions and mechanotransduction parameters listed in Table 4. Each bone volume fraction evolution curve in Fig. 9a is characterized by a different volume fraction of collagen (nanometer scale) in the range of 0.243–0.277, and different volume fractions of water and hydroxyapatite see Table 2. Due to these differences in composition, a different elasticity tensor of the bone matrix (micrometer scale) results, and after remodeling, differences in bone volume fraction (millimeter scale) are found, ranging from 0.155 to 0.130 in the equilibrium state (Fig. 9b).

Discussion

In this study, a multiscale analytical model is proposed to simulate the bone adaptation at the tissue level while accounting for cell activity and material composition parameters at lower levels. The implementation of the multi-scale framework enabled us to describe the bone composition as a two-phase material at different levels. At the tissue level, it was modeled as a bone matrix with cylindrical voids. This assumption allowed for finding a closed-form solution for the mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes. Such a mechanical stimulus was represented by a micromechanics-derived strain energy density based on an Eshelby matrix-inclusion problem that linked two different scales, typical RVE (mm) and pore (μm) scales, respectively, and accurately predicted the stress/strain states on the trabecular surface where it is hypothesized the bone remodeling is taking place. At the bone matrix level, the material was considered as a mineral foam of hydroxyapatite which is “reinforced” predominantly in the longitudinal direction by collagen fibrils as shown in [7, 16]. This enabled us to describe the anisotropic elastic properties at higher levels as a function of this basic composition. Hence, the first goal of this study was accomplished even though the closed-form solution for the strain energy density was only possible when the inclusions dispersed in the matrix are assumed to have spherical or cylindrical geometry. The analytical results in terms of bone volume fraction evolution were compared to the corresponding numerical ones coming from a previously validated micro-FE-based bone remodeling algorithm [21, 23]. In the investigated cases (see Figs. 5–8), the percentage difference between the results of the micro-FE model and the present multi-scale model at equilibrium was between 0.6 and 14.4%. Such agreement indicates that the micromechanics-derived strain energy density based on an Eshelby matrix-inclusion problem well represented the energy calculated in the micro-FE numerical while reducing the computational time by a factor of almost 1 million. The best agreement between analytical and numerical results is found with 0.6% difference at the equilibrium for the bone remodeling simulation (see Fig. 7) and 1% difference at the equilibrium when the bone formation time constant initial value is decreased by a factor of 10 (see Fig. 6). However, it should be noted that in the micro-FE model of bone remodeling trabecular bone material properties were assumed to be isotropic whereas in the multi-scale model they were anisotropic, with a higher stiffness in the trabecular longitudinal direction in which the collagen is oriented. The fact that good agreement in results was obtained is likely because the mechanical behavior at the bone tissue level is dominated by the modulus in the trabecular longitudinal direction: for the most common loading modes (compression, tension and bending), only the modulus in the longitudinal direction plays a role [43]. Hence, by specifying an isotropic modulus in the micro-FE models equal to the longitudinal modulus in the anisotropic multi-scale model, good agreement in mechanical behavior is expected. As a consequence, based on these observations it is further possible to implement easily the analytical model for whole bones applications (e.g. radius, femurs and vertebrae) in which micro or continuum FE analysis and corresponding meshes under isotropic material assumption are exploited for the calculation of the micromechanics-based strain energy density.

Looking at the dynamic response of the analytical bone remodeling system, the model is found temporally stable and consistent with experimental observations of bone density changes during disuse and aging [44, 45]. However, in the analytical simulations of Figs. 6c–d and Figs. 7c–d the BV/TV was not in agreement with the numerical results during

![Fig. 4. Three-dimensional initial bone microstructure as a regular grid.](Image)
the transient phase. This might relate to difference in the available free surface for both cell types between the analytical and numerical models. The response of the bone system in transient behavior can be further improved by changing parameters related to this free surface or by choosing optimal values for the time constant.

The third goal of this paper was to investigate the effects of collagen and hydroxyapatite changes at the nanometer scale on the bone volume fraction at the millimeter scale. Since the bone matrix of adult healthy tissue exhibits a stiffness which is time- and space-invariant when averaged over a millimeter-sized domain [26,29,30], and hence $C_{BM}$ in Eq. (6) is constant for adult healthy tissue, it is interesting to also investigate diseased, medicated, or juvenile tissue, where this constancy is not observed. Since the elasticity of bone tissue in children changes with respect to age, the stiffness-dependent micro-mechanical signal sensed by the osteocytes is age-dependent as well, so that even age-independent osteocyte behavior cannot impede bone volume fractions from depending on age during development. This was demonstrated by the outcome of the micromechanical model and the multistep homogenization scheme adopted in this study which allowed taking into account the volume fraction of the elementary components (hydroxyapatite, collagen and water) of healthy children and to derive from this composition the elastic constants of the bone matrix. In turn, the elasticity tensors of the bone matrix determined different and associate strain energy density levels stored in the trabecular bone sample (millimeter level) and hence different predictions for the evolution of the bone volume fraction at the equilibrium state in the range of 0.13–0.15 (Fig. 9). These results suggest that the age-dependent nano-level properties in the bone matrix composition affect the cellular activities independently from the pre-existing architecture. The evolution of the bone volume fraction over the time is different in the range of age 6–13 years because of the increase in bone tissue mineralization during growth. The increased mineralization leads to an increase in bone tissue stiffness and thus lower strains in the bone tissue. As a consequence, the mechanical signal sensed by the osteocytes is reduced, which, in turn, affects the osteoblast activity. These results also suggest that younger healthy children have denser bones (i.e. lower vascular porosity) with respect to older healthy children. A reduction of bone density (i.e. an increase of vascular porosity) with age is also shown in [46] where the

![Fig. 5. Evolution of bone volume fraction (a) starting from a bone regular grid (b) and final adapted bone microstructure (c) during bone modeling.](image)

**Table 4** Parameter values used in the proposed multiscale analytical model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bone formation time constant</td>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$\mu$m$^3$/nmol⋅day$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocyte mechanosensitivity</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>nmol/(MPa⋅$\mu$m$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone resorption rate</td>
<td>$A_{res}$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>$\mu$m/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied stresses</td>
<td>$c_{x}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_{y}$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_{z}$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone specific surface fraction</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk modulus</td>
<td>$k_{ex}$</td>
<td>1.33$^a$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone matrix elastic constants</td>
<td>$C_{1111}$</td>
<td>12.7 · 10$^b$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{1222}$</td>
<td>6.2 · 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{1333}$</td>
<td>6.4 · 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$C_{3333}$</td>
<td>20.2 · 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial and circumferential Young's moduli</td>
<td>$E_{11} = E_{22}$</td>
<td>9 · 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Young's modulus</td>
<td>$E_{33}$</td>
<td>18.8 · 10$^{10}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ [28].

$^b$ [31].
authors determined microstructural and strength variables of the distal radius of healthy girls by high-resolution peripheral computerized tomography and micro-finite element analysis and quantified low trabecular vBMD and thickness in the distal radius associated with reduced bone strength and increased fracture risk during growth. The aforementioned increase of vascular porosity is also consistent with the decrease of bone formation with increased age between 3 and 18 months, as evidenced by de Pollak et al. [47] in terms of histomorphometric indices.

A few limitations of the present study should be mentioned as well. First, at the tissue level the bone was modeled as a closed structure build of bone matrix with cylindrical voids whereas in reality it is an open structure and the voids shape is more complex. The reason for this simplification is that it enables finding analytical solutions. It would be possible to include more realistic microstructural models for the bone at this level. However, such models would require numerical approaches for the calculation of the SED and thus will require more
CPU time. Since the solutions of the numerical and analytical model based on the cylindrical voids model are very close, this model seems adequate. Second, in our study only the activity of the osteoblast cells was mechano-regulated, while the osteoclast activity was assumed to be not dependent on the mechanical stimulus. However, in the literature it is suggested that the osteocytes control both the osteoblast and osteoclast activities\[48,49\]. This choice was made to stay in agreement with the remodeling theory used in earlier numerical studies\[15\]. It should be noted, however, that it would be straightforward to also make osteoclast activity dependent on the mechanical signal, but in earlier studies this did not lead to large differences in the result\[50\]. Third, as in these earlier studies, we used strain energy density as the mechanical stimulus, whereas others have proposed other stimuli such as fluid flow and microdamage\[11,51\]. However, it was shown earlier that the results of the bone remodeling simulations are not very sensitive to the actual signal chosen\[52\]. Also, it should be mentioned that the framework developed here would enable analyses of fluid flow as well as microdamage, which take place at much lower levels than that of the bone structure. Fourth, we did not explicitly model the actual biochemical messenger pathways. Accounting for this in more detail as proposed by others\[4,5\] may further improve the simulations. Here also, we would like to emphasize that the framework developed in this investigation would enable accounting for such biochemical interactions. Still, we expect the main results of our study remain unaltered by introduction of the aforementioned further details. Fifth, the osteocyte microporosity was not included in the present formulation since it is assumed that the mechanical stimulus sensed by the osteocytes is the strain energy density in the extracellular matrix. The multi-step homogenization scheme based on micromechanics and adopted in this study, however, enables the inclusion of as many phases as required and could also represent the porosity of the lacunar–canalicular network. For example, lacunar porosity was considered in multiscale models for bone strength\[53\] as well as for fast and slow wave propagation and attenuation through the hierarchical lacunar–
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Evolution of the bone volume fraction (a,c) and adaptation of the bone microstructure (b,d) when the osteocyte mechanosensitivity (μ) is increased by 200% (a,b) and decreased by 50% (c,d).

![Fig. 9.](image)

(a) Evolution of the BV/TV at the millimeter scale as function of the volume fraction of collagen (f_{col}) at the nanometer scale during bone remodeling; (b) Predictions of bone volume fraction equilibrium values as function of the age of healthy children. In the plot legend, the nomenclature of each investigated case begins with the letter “C” (child), followed by the age of the child in year and the corresponding volume fraction of the collagen in brackets.
vascular pore system in bone [54]. Our present choice to take, as mechanical stimulus, the strain energy density in the extravascular bone matrix, is consistent with our approach to let the cells always deposit bone matrix of the same quality, i.e. with a constant osteocyte density (or lacunar porosity) per volume element of extravascular bone matrix. Interestingly, such a constant lacunar porosity across bone matrices of different ages or species actually suggests itself when one compares scanning electron micrographs from different anatomical sites [55,56]. Accordingly, when keeping the aforementioned idea of a constant “working mode” of the osteoblastic cells, then the additional explicit introduction of the lacunar–canalicular network would not alter any of our results. This is why we did not implement them for the scope and the contents of the present study. Coincidently, this choice has been also made in a recent multiscale systems biology-micromechanics approach [5]. However, extension of the model to the lacunar–canalicular network along the micromechanical lines sketched further above does make a lot of sense if one also wishes to test the effect of using alternative hypotheses for load adaptive bone remodeling at lower scales, e.g. fluid-flow and microdamage, on the density distribution at the organ level.

Finally, although our discussion was mainly focusing on the trabecular bone, the analytical approach can be straightforwardly applied to the cortical bone. Actually, the micromechanical representation depicted in Fig. 2 also holds for the latter, as was evidenced by various studies reporting satisfactory agreement between respective model predictions and corresponding experimental results [7,57,58].

Conclusions

The multiscale analytical model developed in this study for the simulation of bone remodeling relates the effects of structural changes at the nanometer level to changes in bone density at higher levels. Such an analytical formulation includes the feedback from the osteoclast/osteoblast activity and includes influences from mechanical stimuli and surface area available for remodeling. Furthermore, the multiscale analytical model allows for 1) coupling dynamic loading variables at the time scale of seconds to the adaptive processes at the scale of year; 2) for simultaneously exploring the effects of mechanobiological and geometric stimuli relating local loading conditions in the bone matrix to bone cells involved in bone remodeling; and 3) for taking into account of variations of collagen volume fraction that affects the stiffness of bone matrix linking the above mentioned scales (tissue and cell levels) to the third mineralized collagen level (100–500 nm). To the knowledge of the authors, it is the first time that in the literature such a novelty is found. The proposed model provides new insights on how mechanical properties and structures of bone at the organ level are affected by the mechanical properties and hierarchical structural levels at lower scales in both healthy and pathological conditions. Moreover, since our model’s CPU demands are also dramatically, i.e. by the factor of 1 million, decreased as compared to conventional approaches in the field, it also holds the promise to provide an accurate and efficient tool for large scale patient-specific bone remodeling simulations in a clinical everyday setting, in particular for organs such as the hip or spine, where an accurate assessment of bone micro-architecture is not possible. In such analyses, the effect of macroscopic load transfer mechanisms, such as reduced trabecular load level due to the existence of thickening cortical shells, could be studied as well, in addition to the microscopic load transfer mechanism focused on in this paper.
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Appendix A

\[ A_{2222} = A_{1133} = A_{3333} = 0 \]

\[ A_{2211} = A_{1122} \]

\[ A_{2233} = A_{3333} = 1 \]
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