High quality Lithium phosphate (Li$_3$PO$_4$) thin films have been deposited by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD), using tert-butyllithium and trimethyl phosphate as precursors. The Li$_3$PO$_4$ films deposited at 300 °C yielded the highest ionic conductivity ($3.9 \times 10^{-8}$ S cm$^{-1}$). Increasing the deposition temperature led to crystallization of the deposited films and, consequently, to lower ionic conductivities. Kinetic studies on planar substrates showed that Li$_3$PO$_4$ deposition is a diffusion-controlled process in the temperature range of 300 to 500 °C. Li$_3$PO$_4$ films have also been deposited on highly structured substrates to investigate, for the first time, the feasibility of 3D deposition of Li$_3$PO$_4$ by MOCVD. Furthermore, very thin films of Li$_3$PO$_4$ have been deposited onto thin film Si anodes and it was found that these layers effectively suppress the SEI formation and dramatically improve the cycle performance of Si film anodes.
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**Experimental**

*Thin film deposition.*— The MOCVD setup used for Li$_3$PO$_4$ deposition has been described in detail in a previous publication. In short, a cold wall low pressure MOCVD reactor (Aixtron 200 RF) was used, in which the sample substrate was positioned on a radio frequency heated susceptor. The precursors were trimethyl phosphate (TMPO) and tert-butyllithium (t-BuLi), both acquired from SAFC-Hitech (United Kingdom). Preliminary parametric variation experiments were first carried out to find the base operating conditions, including the bubbler temperatures and carrier gas flow rates, which are listed in Table I. Argon was used as carrying gas.

Li$_3$PO$_4$ layers for thickness and surface morphology analyses were deposited onto square silicon substrates with a width of 3 cm. The Li$_3$PO$_4$ samples prepared for the electrochemical measurements were deposited on similar substrates covered with a barrier layer of TiN and a layer of platinum (Si/TiN/Pt). For the impedance measurements to be conducted after Li$_3$PO$_4$ deposition, nine Pt dots with a diameter of 3 mm and thickness of 200 nm were deposited on top of the Li$_3$PO$_4$ films by masked sputter deposition.

To investigate the feasibility of 3D deposition, Li$_3$PO$_4$ films were deposited onto silicon wafers which were reactive ion etched to obtain trenches with a width and depth of 30 μm. Li$_3$PO$_4$ thin films were also deposited as protective layers onto 50 nm thick Si layers. The Si anodes were deposited on TiN-covered (70 nm) Si-substrates by electron beam evaporation. A mask was used during the E-beam process to confine the Si film area to 2 cm$^2$. Subsequently, the whole substrate was covered with 200 nm Li$_3$PO$_4$.

*Sample characterization.*— Thickness and morphology of the Li$_3$PO$_4$ thin films were measured using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips/FEI XL 40 FEG). The structural properties of the Li$_3$PO$_4$ films were investigated by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Panalytical X’Pert PRO MPD).

The electrochemical and impedance measurements were performed in an argon-filled glove box (O$_2$ and H$_2$O < 1 ppm). An Autolab PGSTAT 302 (Metrohm–Autolab B.V., The Netherlands) and a two electrodes setup were used to carry out the impedance measurements on the Pt/Li$_3$PO$_4$/Pt stacks. For potentiostatic cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic cycling (GC) measurements, the samples were positioned in Teflon cells and used as working electrodes. Lithium metal foils were utilized as reference and counter electrodes. The cell was filled with 1 M LiClO$_4$ in propylene carbonate (Soulbrain MI, United States). This three-electrodes setup was connected
Table I. MOCVD process parameters for Li$_3$PO$_4$ deposition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Pressure mbar</th>
<th>Flow cc/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t-BuLi</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPO</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gas flow</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to an Autolab to perform cyclic voltammetry or a M2300 galvanostat (Maccor, Tulsa, USA) to perform galvanostatic (dis)charging. Cyclic voltammetry was executed at 1 mV/s and all potentials are given vs the Li/Li$^+$ reference electrode. The constant current experiments were performed at a rate of 1 C (80 μA). All electrochemical tests were carried out at room temperature.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 1a shows the deposited film thickness as a function of deposition time. The film thickness increases linearly with deposition time, indicating that the deposition rate is constant during the deposition process at 350 and 500 °C, which makes controlling the film thickness straightforward. The slopes of the two lines are almost equal. This suggests that the deposition temperature does not have a clear influence on the growth rate of Li$_3$PO$_4$ thin films, which is further confirmed by the Arrhenius plot, shown in Fig. 1b. In the entire temperature range (300 ~ 500 °C), the deposition rate is only weakly dependent on the deposition temperature. The activation energy calculated from Fig. 1b is 1.14 kJ/mole, which is very low. Consequently, the MOCVD deposition of Li$_3$PO$_4$ is considered to be diffusion-controlled in the studied deposition temperature range.

Fig. 2 shows the morphology of the thin films deposited at different temperatures. The MOCVD deposited Li$_3$PO$_4$ films are very homogeneous, without revealing any cracks or pinholes. Looking into more detail, it can be concluded that the surface becomes somewhat rougher with increasing deposition temperature. It appears that the Li$_3$PO$_4$ films deposited at higher temperatures are polycrystalline, forming grain boundaries. To confirm this, grazing incidence XRD was conducted.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of Li$_3$PO$_4$ films deposited at different temperatures. The XRD pattern of the films deposited at 300 °C (black curve) shows no clear reflections, except for the Pt peaks originating from the substrate. The XRD diffraction pattern of the films deposited at 350 °C (red curve) shows a bump at around $2\theta = 24^\circ$, where two strong reflections of Li$_3$PO$_4$ (PDF 25–1030), (101) and (011), are located. This indicates that at 350 °C, the deposited Li$_3$PO$_4$ film is partially crystalized, but the crystal structure is not well developed. Another reason might be that the formed Li$_3$PO$_4$ particles are very small, which give rise to broad reflections. For the films
Figure 4. Ionic conductivity of Li3PO4 films as a function of deposition temperatures. The inset shows the corresponding impedance plots.

Figure 5. Cyclic voltammogram of a Pt/ Li3PO4 /Li half-cell at room temperature. The insert shows the scan range between 1 ~ 5 V.

Li–Pt alloys. As a result, two anodic peaks become visible in the reverse scan at 0.62 V (peak B) and 1.38 V (peak C). These peaks can be attributed to a two-step de-alloying process of Li–Pt, where peaks B and C correspond to

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \text{LiPt} & \rightarrow \text{LiPt}_3 + \text{Li}^+ + e^- \quad [2] \\
\text{LiPt}_3 & \rightarrow 2 \text{Pt} + \text{Li}^+ + e^- \quad [3]
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. The inset of Fig. 5 shows the CV in the higher potential range from 1 to 5 V to avoid the Li-Pt alloy formation. The current never exceeds 1 μA/cm² in this potential range. However starting from 4.8 V, the anodic current increases rapidly, which is probably due to the oxidation of the solid electrolyte. It can therefore be concluded that the electrochemical stability of the deposited Li3PO4 films is in a range from 0 to 4.7 V vs Li/Li⁺. Compared to nitrogen doped lithium phosphate (LiPON), the stability window of present MOCVD grown films is somewhat narrower but consistent with the previously reported values for Li3PO4 films deposited by laser deposition and larger than for the reported RF-sputtered films. The present electrochemical stability window is, however, large enough for most of the cathode materials used in all-solid-state batteries.

The volumetric storage capacity and rate capability are key properties for micro-batteries. Batteries with a three dimensional design would significantly improve the storage capacity due to the enlarged surface area. In addition, compared to planar micro-batteries, the geometric current density of 3D batteries can be significantly lower because of the enlarged surface area, thereby dramatically improve the rate capability. The key issue of making structured micro-batteries is, however, the homogeneous deposition of the various battery components in 3D. To investigate this possibility for the present solid-state electrolyte, Li3PO4 has been deposited on 3D substrates and the uniformity of the deposited films has been investigated. The used 3D substrates consisted of silicon with etched trenches. Both the depth and width of the trenches are 30 μm as shown in Fig. 6a.

Although in the entire deposition temperature range, there is no significant difference in growth rate of Li3PO4, the lowest deposition temperature (300°C) was chosen, based on the good electrochemical performance of these films. As shown in Fig. 6b, the thickness of the Li3PO4 film at the top surface is continuous and homogeneous with a thickness of 340 nm. However, the film thickness quickly decreases to 210 nm just 1 μm away from the top-corner, which indicates that the deposition process is strongly diffusion-controlled. Comparing Fig. 6b and 6c, it becomes apparent that the film thickness decreases continuously with distance from the top surface increases. At the bottom (Fig. 6d) the Li3PO4 layer is no longer continuous.

Fig. 7 shows the film thickness development as a function of depth inside the trenches. At the top surface the film thickness appears to be...
Figure 6. Li$_3$PO$_4$ thin film morphology deposited at 300°C for 6 h inside a 30 μm wide trench (a) and at higher magnification at the top (b), the center (c) and bottom (d).

Figure 7. The development of the Li$_3$PO$_4$ film thickness as a function of distance from the top surface.

Figure 8. Cycle life performance of a 50 nm thickness Si film anode without (a) and with Li$_3$PO$_4$ (200 nm) protection (b).

Quite constant. There is, however, a sharp and almost instantaneous thickness drop inside the Si trench followed by a more continuous decrease. At the bottom, the film thickness is about 75 nm, which amounts to 22% of the thickness at the top surface. As the deposition of Li$_3$PO$_4$ is a transport-controlled process, the growth of the film is limited by the diffusion of the mixed precursor gases. Compared with the precursor gases concentration at the top surface, the concentration inside the trenches decreases quickly and hence the layer thickness of the deposited Li$_3$PO$_4$ films will obviously become thinner. Based on the planar kinetic study (Fig. 1) and the 3D depositions, it can be concluded that using t-BuLi and TMPO as precursors under the present deposition conditions, MOCVD is not optimal to deposit Li$_3$PO$_4$ homogeneously for 3D micro-batteries.

Silicon is a promising anode material due to its high specific and volumetric energy density. In addition, silicon is a competitive candidate to replace metallic lithium electrodes in micro-batteries, which suffer from a very low melting point. However, the large volumetric changes induced by the (de)lithiation process and the continuous loss and regeneration of the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) limits its practical application. Here, the MOCVD-deposited planar Li$_3$PO$_4$ films are introduced as a protective layer to isolate the Si anode from direct contact with the liquid electrolyte. As shown in Fig. 8a, the storage capacity of the unprotected Si anode decays very quickly. After 55 cycles, about 35% of the initial capacity has been lost and the coulombic efficiency dropped to 81%. However, for the Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si anode hardly any capacity loss can be observed even up to almost 500 cycles. The coulombic efficiency of Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si is close to 100% and is very stable after the initial activation cycles. Such a high coulombic efficiency indicates that there are almost no side reactions occurring during charging and discharging.

The capacity-voltage curves of Si anodes without and with Li$_3$PO$_4$ protection are shown in Fig. 9a and 9b, respectively. The capacity of the Si electrode without Li$_3$PO$_4$ protection quickly shifted to lower values after 30 cycles. However, for the Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si electrode, after 400 cycles, the capacity-voltage curve still overlaps with previous ones, indicating that the Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si anode is indeed not attacked during the entire cycling performance test. Due to the well attachment between Li$_3$PO$_4$ and Si layers and good mechanical stability of the deposited lithium phosphate films, the Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si electrodes mainly expands perpendicular to the surface as reported previously. As a result, the Li$_3$PO$_4$ film is homogeneously lifted rather than cracked and effectively protects the Si anode film from the attack of liquid electrolyte.

It is worthwhile to note that both first cycles (black curves) are somewhat different from the other cycles. For unprotected Si electrode, this deviation comes from SEI formation. For the Li$_3$PO$_4$-protected Si electrode, an additional reduction peak only appears in the first cycle (indicated by the arrow), which may be attributed to the activation of the Si/Li$_3$PO$_4$ interface as has been reported before. Obviously, a MOCVD-deposited thin film of Li$_3$PO$_4$ with a thickness of only 200 nm fully suppresses the SEI formation and
dramatically improves the cycle life performance of Si thin film electrodes.

Furthermore, it is interesting to note that after covering the Si electrode with a Li₃PO₄ film, the initial storage capacity of the Si anode is somewhat lower than that of the uncovered electrode. This may be due to the additional resistance introduced by the solid-state electrolyte. In order to investigate this influence, the capacity-voltage curves of the second (dis)charging cycle have been investigated. To avoid the influence of the SEI formation in the case of the unprotected electrode and the activation process in the case of Li₃PO₄-protected electrode, the second cycle has been compared. Fig. 10a shows that the overpotentials for the Li₃PO₄-protected Si electrode during (dis)charging are higher than for the unprotected electrode. This is also confirmed by the derivative of storage capacity with respect to voltage curves shown in Fig. 10b. Two reduction peaks (A and B) are observed, which correspond to the transition of Si into amorphous lithium silicides. Correspondingly, two oxidation peaks (C and D) are found during the oxidation process. Compared to the unprotected electrode, the reduction peaks of the Li₃PO₄-protected Si electrode shifted to lower potentials and the oxidation peaks to higher potentials.

Conclusively, Fig. 10b clearly indicates that the Li₃PO₄-protected electrode reveals similar (de)lithiation processes, which are characteristic for Si anodes. But due to the additional resistance introduced by the Li₃PO₄ film, the overpotentials are somewhat increased, resulting in the observed virtual capacity loss. However, given the improved cycle-life performance, such a capacity sacrifice is very acceptable, because after 50 cycles the Li₃PO₄-protected electrode actually already has a much higher storage capacity. The Li₃PO₄ film thickness on the Si electrode for which the results are given in Fig. 8b is only 200 nm, which apparently is pinhole-free and sufficient to protect the underlying Si electrode. The extraordinary performance of Li₃PO₄-protected Si thin film electrode also shows the excellent mechanical and electrochemical stability of the MOCVD-deposited Li₃PO₄ film.

**Conclusions**

Lithium phosphate thin-film solid-state electrolytes were successfully deposited by MOCVD. The Li₃PO₄ film deposited at 300°C shows the highest ionic conductivity of $3.9 \times 10^{-8}$ S·cm⁻¹. As the deposition temperature increases, the surface morphology of the deposited films becomes more rough. XRD results show that Li₃PO₄ starts to crystallize when the deposition temperature increases beyond 350°C. The ionic conductivity of these films quickly decreases to lower values when the deposition temperature increases. A kinetic study on planar substrates indicates that the growth of Li₃PO₄ films is a diffusion-controlled process. This is confirmed by investigating the thickness development of the deposited thin films inside 3D-structures. MOCVD-deposited Li₃PO₄ films are shown to be a very effective protective layer for Si electrodes. Solid-state electrolytes with a thickness of only 200 nm can completely suppress the SEI formation and improve the cycle life performance of Si electrodes strikingly.
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