Affecting surface chirality via multicomponent adsorption of chiral and achiral molecules†

Zongxia Guo,‡ Inge De Cat,§ Bernard Van Averbeke,‡ Jianbin Lin,§ Guojie Wang,§ Hong Xu,‡ Roberto Lazzaroni,* David Beljonne,⩭ Albertus P. H. J. Schenning*‡ and Steven De Feyter*‡

Here we report on the apparent reduction in surface chirality upon co-assembling a chiral and achiral molecule into a physisorbed self-assembled monolayer at the liquid/solid interface as revealed by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). Chiral OPV with achiral thymine gives rise to surface-confined supramolecular diastereomers.

The relation between chirality at the molecular scale and supramolecular self-assembly on surfaces has received quite some attention in recent years. This interest is fueled by the anticipated impact of surface-assisted self-assembly on the chiral nature of the origin of life and the relevance of surface chirality to materials science. The liquid–solid interface is a promising medium to create surface chirality via surface-templated molecular self-assembly, and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) is a versatile tool to probe the structure of these self-assembled monolayers at the nanoscale.

Adsorption of achiral molecules or racemate leads to macroscopically achiral surfaces: individual domains are often 2D chiral though, i.e. the molecules assemble into a crystalline lattice that belongs to a chiral plane group (local organisational chirality). Adsorption of enantiopure molecules leads most often to global organisational chirality: the organisation in each domain is identical, and mirror image patterns are not observed.

This does not hold for mono-component systems only but also for bicomponent systems, where one of the components is chiral.

An early exception to the formation of enantiomorphous patterns by the same enantiomer was reported by Walba et al. During the monolayer formation of an enantiomer of a liquid-crystalline compound on graphite using STM as a visualization technique, it was observed that heterochiral domains are formed, i.e. they are chiral and the mirror image of each other. As these domains are composed of the same enantiomer, these domains must be diastereomeric. About 10 years later, Zhang et al. reported a case in which an enantiomer of a 5-alkoxy-isophthalic acid derivative formed mirror image type patterns. This was attributed to a lack of impact of the stereogenic center on the monolayer formation, due to limited molecule–molecule and molecule–substrate interactions at the level of the stereogenic center.

Here, we report on the apparent reduction in surface chirality in multicomponent systems, by self-assembly of a single enantiomer and an achiral co-adsorber at the liquid/solid interface as revealed by means of STM. The bicomponent self-assembly of oligo-(p-phenylenevinylene) (OPV) derivatives and the achiral co-adsorbing nucleobase, thymine, was investigated at the interface between highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and 1-octanol. The OPV derivatives are functionalized with a diaminotriazine unit with hydrogen-bonding sites complementary to those of thymine (Fig. 1). Ratio dependent experiments and molecular simulation bring insight into the nature of chiral expression and bicomponent self-assembly in general.

To study the nucleobase-assisted self-assembly of OPVs, thymine was premixed with OPVs and the self-assembly of the two building blocks was investigated by STM. Based on the anticipated formation of a 1:1 complex considering the complementarity in hydrogen bonding, solutions including OPV and thymine with a molar ratio of 1:1 were explored at the 1-octanol/HOPG interface. In analogy to those experiments where thymidine was used (Fig. S2 in ESI†), the addition of thymine induced the formation of monolayers with OPV dimer structures instead of initial rosette, i.e. the typical supramolecular pattern formed by these OPV derivatives in the absence of a co-adsorber (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1 in the ESI†). Note that this...
is a rather peculiar case as adding for instance terephthalic acid to di-\textalpha-diphenylalanine does not affect the chiral expression at the supramolecular level.\footnote{Against expectations, the OPV-enantiomers form CW as well as CCW dimers. In the case of the chiral OPV-derivatives, the same type of dimers is observed (Fig. 2c-f).} Only two out of three alkyl chains per molecule are adsorbed. The other one is probably solvated. Thymine can not be observed as such in the STM images.

The pattern revealed by the STM images is characteristic of the chiral plane group $p2_1$.\footnote{As expected, the achiral OPV-derivative forms domains that are related by mirror-symmetry. We label domains arbitrarily as CW or CCW depending on the orientation of dimer rods with respect to the normal on the rows formed by dimers (Fig. 2a and b). Also in the case of the chiral OPV-derivatives, the same type of dimers is observed (Fig. 2c-f).} Against expectations, the OPV-enantiomers form CW as well as CCW motifs upon addition of thymine. Such mirror-image related patterns (Fig. 2c vs. d; Fig. 2c vs. f) can not be enantiomorphous in the strict sense, as they are composed of the same enantiomer. Rather, they are supramolecular diastereomers. It is as if the power of enantiomers to induce surface chirality is weakened by their co-assembly with achiral molecules, leading to an apparent reduction in surface chirality, i.e., both CW and CCW dimers are observed for the same enantiomer. To the best of our knowledge, such surface-confined supramolecular diastereomers induced by an achiral molecule have not been reported before.

The unit cell parameters of the patterns are summarized in Table 1. The motifs are labeled according to their composition (AT, RT or ST for A-OPV3T-thymine, R-OPV3T-thymine and S-OPV3T-thymine, respectively) and the handedness (CW or CCW). Unit cell parameters reveal that the CW and CCW motifs of the pure enantiomers are indeed not enantiomorphous; the unit cell parameters differ significantly. AT-CW and AT-CCW, ST-CW and RT-CCW, and ST-CCW and RT-CW are surface-confined supramolecular enantiomers, while the combinations involving the same chiral OPVs are surface-confined supramolecular diastereomers (ST-CW and ST-CCW; RT-CW and RT-CCW).

Note that equal amounts of CW and CCW dimers are observed for the achiral OPV-thymine combination, as expected. Here, the chiral OPV-thymine mixtures differ, despite the similar experimental conditions in terms of solution composition (concentration and the ratio). Indeed, the ratio of CW and CCW dimers is

![Fig. 1 Molecular structures of A-OPV3T, S-OPV3T and R-OPV3T, and STM images of (I) CW and CCW rosettes from A-OPV3T, (II) CW rosettes from S-OPV3T, and (III) CCW rosettes from R-OPV3T. AT, ST, and RT indicate the complexes of OPV and thymine and corresponding possible formation of complementary H-bonds. The surface-confined supramolecular nanostructures of enantiopure OPVs and OPV–thymidine complexes are shown in Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI.]

![Fig. 2 STM-images of AT: (a) CW dimer, $I_{set} = 0.6$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.3$ V, (b) CCW dimers, $I_{set} = 0.16$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.1$ V, ST: (c) CW dimer, $I_{set} = 0.1$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.1$ V, (d) CCW dimer, $I_{set} = 0.17$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.23$ V, RT: (e) CW dimers, $I_{set} = 0.16$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.11$ V, (f) CCW dimers, $I_{set} = 0.55$ nA, $V_{set} = -0.29$ V. Insets are STM images of the graphite substrate underneath the respective monolayers. The red solid lines reflect the symmetry axes of graphite. Green dotted lines run parallel to the normal of lamella. Solid blue lines show the direction of the OPV dimer long axis. Size of images is 18 $\times$ 18 nm$^2$. [OPV] = [thymine] = 1.0 mM.]

| Table 1 Unit cell parameters of dimers from AT, ST and RT. Number of images analysed (15 for AT, 14 for ST, and 16 for RT) |
|---|---|---|
| Dimers | $a$ (nm) | $b$ (nm) | $\gamma$ (°) |
| AT | CW (47%) | $1.81 \pm 0.04$ | $4.94 \pm 0.09$ | 81 ± 1 |
| CCW (48%) | $1.78 \pm 0.03$ | $4.91 \pm 0.07$ | 80 ± 1 |
| ST | CW (78%) | $1.80 \pm 0.02$ | $4.94 \pm 0.05$ | 82 ± 2 |
| CCW (10%) | $1.97 \pm 0.03$ | $4.76 \pm 0.06$ | 89 ± 1 |
| RT | CW (10%) | $1.95 \pm 0.12$ | $4.79 \pm 0.10$ | 90 ± 2 |
| CCW (66%) | $1.80 \pm 0.06$ | $4.97 \pm 0.10$ | 83 ± 2 |
The relative ratio of CW/CCW dimers (B) to level off for OPV–thymine complex. 20 images (80 figures indicate the molar ratios where the pattern surface coverage starts because of the existence of some monolayer defects or other motifs R dimers at A chiral OPVs. For ESI concentration, rosettes gradually turn into dimers (Fig. S3–S5). The reason should be sought in the different stability of these opdimers except for the relatively different major nanostructures. The simulations show that two OPV molecules and two thymine molecules form one CW or CCW dimer via 8 complementary hydrogen bonds (Fig. 4 and Fig. S8 in ESI†). Within the dimers, the H-bond network is made of both ‘face-on’ thymine–OPV interactions, in which the interacting units are in front of each other, and ‘lateral’ interactions, in which one NH2 group on one OPV binds to the thymine molecule in the adjacent pair. In terms of structural models, the CW and CCW dimers are different by the way the chiral groups on the OPV molecules orient with respect to the substrate: in the CW assembly, the most stable structure is with the methyl group on the stereogenic

It is quite striking that such a large fraction of the surface is covered by dimers at very low OPV/thymine ratios. This indicates that dimers are more stable than rosettes. Furthermore, this stabilization must be attributed to the presence of the surface that directs the supramolecular self-assembly. While complex formation in solution for related systems was not observed under conditions that reflect those used for STM imaging. So far, the following conclusions can be drawn: (i) the addition of nucleobase thymine to 1,3-diaminotriazine OPV derivatives induces the pattern transformation from supramolecular rosettes to dimers; (ii) AT self-assembly gives rise to the appearance of enantiomorphous domains of dimers while each of the combinations of thymine and a chiral OPV, i.e. ST and RT, forms two types of supramolecular dimer arrangements, reflecting surface-confined supramolecular diastereoisomers; (iii) AT leads to an equal amount of CW and CCW dimer domains, however, in the case of RT and ST, one diastereomeric dimer motif is favored; (iv) dimer formation is initiated at lower thymine/OPV ratios in the case of AT, i.e. AT complexes are more stable than any of the ST or RT complexes; (v) in the case of ST and RT, the ratio of both types of dimers is independent of the thymine/OPV ratio, indicating that their stoichiometry is identical with respect to the co-complexation of thymine, and that the equilibrium constant for the formation of the preferred surface-confined diastereomer is larger.

A Molecular Mechanics/Molecular Dynamics (MM/MD) approach was used to provide an atomistic insight into the supramolecular diastereomeric formation, based on energetic considerations. The Dreiding force field,12 as implemented in the FORCITE tool pack of Materials Studio, was used. The ST complex was selected for the simulations; however, the RT complex would give the same results except for the relatively different major nanostructures. The simulations show that two OPV molecules and two thymine molecules form one CW or CCW dimer via 8 complementary hydrogen bonds (Fig. 4 and Fig. S8 in ESI†). Within the dimers, the H-bond network is made of both ‘face-on’ thymine–OPV interactions, in which the interacting units are in front of each other, and ‘lateral’ interactions, in which one NH2 group on one OPV binds to the thymine molecule in the adjacent pair. In terms of structural models, the CW and CCW dimers are different by the way the chiral groups on the OPV molecules orient with respect to the substrate: in the CW assembly, the most stable structure is with the methyl group on the stereogenic

![Simulated models for the monolayer of the CW dimers from ST on graphite.](image-url)
centers of the S-OPV molecules directed towards the graphite surface and the ethyl group is pointing away from it, while in the CCW system, only 3 such interactions are present (Fig. S10 in ESI†) and this difference is directly related to the different conformation around the stereogenic center. Given that the energy of a CH–π contact is on average 0.8 kcal mol$^{-1}$, the difference in stability between the two assemblies is consistent with the key role of this specific interaction of the chiral groups with the surface.

In conclusion, the impact of an achiral nucleobase (thymine) on the self-assembly of achiral and chiral OPV derivatives was systematically studied by means of STM and force field simulations at the liquid/solid interface. The nucleobase-induced pattern transformation of OPV derivatives from rosettes to dimers was observed. As such, the OPV derivatives “sense” the presence of thymine, while achiral OPV derivatives are more “sensitive” than chiral ones to the presence of thymine, i.e. a transition from rosettes to dimers happens at a smaller thymine to OPV ratio for the achiral derivatives. Quite unexpectedly, surface-confined supramolecular diastereomers were formed in the case of coadsorption of achiral thymine with an enantiopure OPV derivative, in a sense leading to reduction of the degree of surface chirality. This adds to the complexity of multicomponent self-assembly, and provides a way to “tune” surface chirality, as it is not necessary to add the optical antipode molecule to have an impact on surface chirality.
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