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A B S T R A C T
This paper addresses the experimental demonstration and model validation of chemical looping reforming in dynamically operated packed-bed reactors for the production of H2 or CH3OH with integrated CO2 capture. This process is a combination of auto-thermal and steam methane reforming and is carried out at high pressure, as typical for reforming processes, and at relatively low to intermediate temperatures (ranging from 600 to 900 °C). The oxidation of the oxygen carrier is performed with air and the hot depleted air stream is fed to a gas turbine, which contributes to reduce the electricity demand. After oxidation, a low-grade fuel is used for the reduction of the oxygen carrier, e.g. off-gas from a PSA unit or non-condensable species from methanol synthesis and, when the bed is completely reduced, natural gas diluted with H2O and CO2 is reformed while the reactor is cooled down.

An experimental campaign has been carried out in a 2 kWth packed-bed reactor using 500 g of NiO supported on CaAl2O4 as reforming catalyst and oxygen carrier. This material has demonstrated very high stability over >400 h of consecutive redox and reforming cycles. Due to the flexibility of the process, dry, wet and steam reforming compositions have been tested during the reforming phase. A 1D reactor model has been validated with the obtained experimental results, including also a detailed thermal model to account for the inevitable heat losses of the system. The experimental and model results are in good agreement in terms of breakthrough curves and temperature profiles. The experimental campaign during reforming also confirmed the possibility to carry out the heat removal phase by means of endothermic methane reforming.

The validated reactor model has subsequently been used for the simulation of different configurations in terms of heat management in which the different phases (oxidation, reduction and reforming) are simulated in series. In these analyses, the reactor design and performance have been compared for two plant configurations based on H2 and CH3OH production integrated with CO2 capture. For the case of H2 production, the CH4 conversion is 92% and all the CO2 is captured from the plant, while for CH3OH production the CH4 conversion reaches 90% and all carbon species, except CH3OH, are converted into CO2, which is separated with high purity.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Steam reforming is the established process for H2 production [1] and for syngas production for the manufacture of methanol [2]. Natural gas reforming is carried out in multi-tubular fixed bed reactors using an external furnace to provide the heat of reaction (FTR) or in an auto-thermal reforming (ATR) system using an oxidant (i.e. air or pure oxygen) [1,3–5]. Based on the current process, the following equilibrium limited reactions of steam methane reforming (SMR (1)), and water gas shift (WGS (2)) are identified:

\[ \text{CH}_4 + H_2O \rightarrow 3H_2 + CO \quad \Delta H^{298K}_0 = 206.2 \text{kJ/mol} \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{CO} + H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + CO_2 \quad \Delta H^{298K}_0 = -41.2 \text{kJ/mol} \quad (2) \]

Conventional steam reforming processes are based on several conversion and separation steps, which include feedstock pre-treatment and removal of sulfur compounds (the catalyst used in steam reforming is extremely sensitive to sulfur and therefore the concentration is kept below 0.5 ppm), high temperature reforming, water gas shift reactor(s) and a final pressure swing adsorption (PSA) unit in order to reach a H2 purity in the order of 99.999%. The conventional plants lead to high CO2
emissions (about 0.8 kgCO₂/Nm³H₂) to the atmosphere, because the PSA-off-gas and part of the input natural gas are burnt in the furnace to supply the heat for the endothermic reforming reaction. In order to reduce CO₂ emissions, CO₂ can be separated from the H₂-rich syngas downstream of the WGS reactor using an MDEA scrubber [6,7] or in a post-combustion capture unit at the gas stack of the reformer, where the CO₂ is separated from the exhaust gases using MEA absorption resulting in up to 90% CO₂ capture [8].

Natural gas is also the main feedstock for methanol production. Different plant layouts are considered depending on the plant size. Single-step reforming is mainly considered for plants up to 2500 MTPD (Metric Tons Per Day) capacity, while larger units (up to 10,000 MTPD) are based on a two-step reforming process, which features a combination of primary reforming (designed as an FTR) and oxygen-blown autothermal reforming using O₂ from a cryogenic air separation unit (ASU) [9]. The optimal syngas composition is reached when the so-called module M (Eq. (5)) is equal to 2, which is the stoichiometric number required to reach the maximum CH₃OH yield.

\[
CO + 2H₂ \rightarrow CH₃OH \quad \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -90.8 \text{kJ/mol} \quad (3)
\]

\[
CO₂ + 3H₂ \rightarrow CH₃OH + H₂O \quad \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -49.2 \text{kJ/mol} \quad (4)
\]

\[
M = \frac{(H₂ - CO₂)}{(CO + CO₂)} \quad (5)
\]

After cooling to nearly ambient temperature, the reformed syngas is compressed up to 50–100 bar in a multistage compressor, heated up to above 100°C and fed to a cooled reactor operated at about 200–300°C. The heat of reaction is released by producing intermediate pressure steam. The CH₃OH rich stream is cooled down, condensed and the liquid is separated from the unconverted gases, which are usually recirculated back to the methanol synthesis reactor. After that, the CH₃OH is sent to different separation columns to reach the required purity of 99.9% [2]. The conventional CH₃OH production process based on ATR reaches methanol yields of 0.82 molCH₃OH/molCH₄ with about 0.17 kgCO₂/kgCH₃OH emitted to the atmosphere from the combustion of the process off-gases [10].

Among the several emerging solutions proposed for CO₂ capture in power plants and industrial processes [11], chemical looping technology represents one of the most promising and efficient alternatives, since the CO₂ separation is inherently integrated in the primary fuel conversion step [12]. If a metal oxide (named oxygen carrier) is alternatively exposed to air (for oxidation) and to a fuel stream (for reduction), a pure CO₂/H₂O stream is produced (chemical looping combustion, CLC). If a sub-stoichiometric OC-to-fuel ratio is adopted, partial fuel oxidation is obtained and reformate syngas can be produced (chemical looping reforming, CLR). CLR has been mainly studied using Ni-based oxygen carriers, which also present catalytic activity for the steam reforming reactions. Based on a simplified thermodynamic analysis it has been found that H₂ yields of 2.74 molH₂/molNiO are achievable when working with a NiO/CH₄ molar ratio equal to 1.18 to sustain the endothermic reactions in the fuel reactor [13].

Due to the different catalytic activity and oxygen carrying capacity, different oxygen carrier materials have been considered for the CLR process [14]. Pröll et al. [15] have presented the performances of a dual circulating fluidized bed reactor operated with Ni/NO as oxygen carrier in a 120 kWth facility operated for >90 h, reaching >90% of methane conversion. Chiron et al. [16] have performed experiments and model validation in a micro packed-bed reactor (operated with 200 mg of oxygen carrier) and they have presented a reactor configuration in which the NiO supported on Al₂O₃ is reduced in a first stage and afterward used as catalyst in a second reactor where the SMR occurs. Ortiz et al. [13, 17,18] have presented both modelling and experimental demonstration of CLR in a 900 Wth, fluidized bed unit. The experimental studies were carried out with a Ni-based catalyst and the process optimization has been undertaken by varying the steam-to-carbon ratio (S/C), the oxygen-to-carbon ratio (O/C or NiO/C), solid conversion (ΔXs) and other relevant variables affecting the performance of the system. Different oxygen carriers have been synthetized and tested for CLR in fluidized bed configuration [19–21] and among all, the high reactivity of Ni for both fuel conversion and SMR results in the highest performances. However, the current high cost and toxicity compared with other oxygen carriers (OC) could hamper the use of Ni-based OCs. Moreover, the H₂ production process should preferably be carried out at high pressures, because industrial processes for CO₂ separation (through amine scrubbing) and purification (in a conventional pressure swing adsorption (PSA) unit or using membranes [22]) occur at high pressure and H₂ compression is extremely expensive from an energy point of view. This would involve the operation of CLR reactors under pressurized conditions, which is still unproven in circulating fluidized beds because the high pressure operation makes a stable circulation of solids and the loop seals rather challenging. A different approach for steam methane reforming has been proposed by Ryden et al. [23,24] in which the chemical looping reactor acts as combustion chamber to provide the heat of reaction to the reforming tubes which are immersed in the fuel reactor by converting the PSA-offgases into CO₂/H₂ inside the fuel reactor. In the last years, packed bed reactors (PBRs) have also been proposed for chemical looping combustion [25–28]. Diglio et al. [29] developed a 1D reactor model to simulate multiple cycles of a 22 cm long reactor with 6 cm diameter and presented the results of the cyclic process, where the reduction gas is first completely oxidized (gas-solid reactions) and thereafter the reformed syngas is produced.

In the present paper, chemical looping reforming in packed-bed reactors is tested and compared from an experimental and numerical point of view. With respect to the previous works on CLR, in the present work the OC reduction and reforming are not carried out in the same conversion step, but two different phases are distinguished. The proof of concept has been achieved in a lab-scale packed-bed reactor (3 cm of internal diameter, ID, and 1.5 m of reactor length) using Ni supported on CaAl₂O₄ as OC. The experimental demonstration focuses on the oxygen carrier stability under repeated reduction/reforming/oxidation phases for >400 h. A detailed reactor model is also discussed, which includes the estimation of the reactor heat losses and is validated with the experimental results. Finally, a large-scale reactor is designed and modelled for full scale applications for H₂ and CH₃OH production plants with integrated CO₂ capture.

2. Description of the concept and process integration

A schematic diagram of the CLR process with PBRs is presented in Fig. 1. Three main phases are carried out in sequence in three parallel reactors. The reactor operated in oxidation converts the Ni into NiO by reaction with the O₂ in the air stream while releasing N₂. The reactor operated in reduction, reduces the OC by using low grade fuel forming CO₂ and H₂O. Finally, a third reactor, in which the OC is in Ni form, is fed with natural gas together with recirculated CO₂/H₂O from the reduction phase and additional steam to convert CH₄ into syngas. The combination of CO₂/H₂O recirculation and additional H₂O is required to lower the H₂O consumption of the plant while keeping a very high CH₄ to H₂ conversion: in case only CO₂ is used, the reformate syngas is rich in CO and therefore more H₂O is required for the WGS reactions downstream of the CLR reactors; in case only H₂O (from the steam cycle) is used, relatively large quantities of H₂O are required to enhance the CH₄ conversion. Each reactor is operated sequentially in oxidation/reduction/reforming by switching the inlet gas streams. In order to avoid undesired mixing of the different reactants, a short purge cycle can be added before and after the oxidation.

In Fig. 2, a simplified plant flowsheet is depicted, illustrating a proposed process integration. After the reforming, the resulting syngas is cooled down to the desired temperature and sent to downstream plant units. In case of H₂ production, one or two conventional WGS
stages are required, depending on the CO content after the reforming. As discussed by Ryden et al. [23], complete CH₄ and CO conversion to H₂ is not strictly required because the PSA off-gas will be used in the reactor operated for the reduction. After the WGS reactors, a conventional state-of-the-art PSA process is used to meet the required H₂ purity. In case of CH₃OH production, the reformate is compressed to the operating pressure for the methanol synthesis (up to 50–100 bar) and is converted into a CH₃OH-rich stream. Part of the incondensable gases from the methanol separation unit is sent back to the CH₃OH converter and the remaining part is used for the reduction of the OC. The air flow rate to the reactor operated in oxidation is compressed to the CLR operating pressure and the remaining N₂ is then expanded in a gas turbine, reducing the electricity demand of the plant.

With respect to conventional FTR plants, the PBR CLR process does not require an external furnace and high temperature heat transfer surface because the process is auto-thermal. Compared to ATR, no ASU is needed to produce high purity oxygen and avoid syngas dilution with N₂. With respect to the dual fluidized bed CLR, the proposed PB process maintains the high hydrogen production efficiency and can be operated at higher pressure. Moreover, intrinsic CO₂ separation is achieved in the PB CLR process, which delivers a high pressure CO₂ stream without the need of a dedicated process based on amines or on more advanced technologies.

With respect to the integrated reformer with CLC and all the other mentioned alternative technologies, the steam consumption can be reduced thanks to the CO₂/H₂O recirculation. A higher H₂ production efficiency is also expected because the oxidation and reduction phases are carried out at lower temperatures, reducing the overall heat duty required for the process.

On the other hand, high temperature packed-bed processes are characterized by intrinsic dynamic behavior and thus require a proper heat management strategy, as will be discussed in the following sections.

3. Description of the experimental facilities

The experimental validation of CLR with PBR has been carried out in an existing facility at the Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e). As shown in Fig. 3, it consists of a high temperature resistant stainless steel tube (ODxIDxL = 36 × 30 × 1500 mm), with 48 access points for the insertion of thermocouples (Rössel, type K). With this configuration, unsteady axial temperature profiles can be measured with good spatial resolution in the middle of the bed with a temporal resolution of 1 Hz. The reactor is surrounded in all its length by three electrical furnaces, which allow imposing a uniform initial temperature profile in the section where the active material is present. Finally, in order to limit the heat losses towards the environment, the reactor was placed in a box filled with insulating material (glass wool). The setup has been used in the past for model validation and for a heat management investigation of CLR in dynamically operated packed-bed reactors [30,31]. The feed flow rate and composition is controlled by Bronkhorst mass controllers. The reactor exhaust stream is cooled with a water cooler. The dry gas composition can be measured during all the stages of the experimental procedure using a mass spectrometer (Cirrus 2, MKS Instruments). In order to distinguish CO and N₂ fractions in the gas, an additional CO analyzer was used (ULTRAMAT 23 Gas Analyzer, Siemens). The inert material at beginning of the reactor is used in order to heat-up the inlet gas to the reaction temperature.

4. Description of the model

The model used for the present investigation is based on a 1D adiabatic axially dispersed packed bed reactor model, as presented in the following sections. The main assumptions are: i) Radial velocity, temperature and concentration gradients are neglected; ii) The axial gas and solid temperature profiles are considered the same (pseudo-homogeneous model). The governing equations of the mass and energy balances for the reactor model together with the constitutive equations for the description of heat and mass dispersion are reported below. In the mass balances, the source terms consist of a summation over all the heterogeneously catalyzed gas phase reactions as well as gas-solid reactions. The numerical solution of the 1D reactor model is based on a finite difference discretization technique with higher order temporal and spatial discretization with local grid and time step adaptation [32].

**Gas phase balance**

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{i} V_{i} \phi_{i} = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j} V_{i} \phi_{i,j} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} + \sum_{i} \sum_{j} V_{i} \phi_{i,j} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} + \hat{\varepsilon}_{g} \sum_{i} r_{i} \rho_{i} \theta_{i} \]

**Solid phase balance**

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{s} \sum_{i} \phi_{i,s} = -\varepsilon_{g} \sum_{i} r_{i} \rho_{i} \phi_{i,s} \]

In the above equations, \(V_{i}\) is the molar flow rate of component \(i\), \(\phi_{i}\) is the molar fraction of component \(i\), \(r_{i}\) is the rate of the chemical reaction \(i\), and \(\rho_{i}\) is the density of component \(i\). The parameters \(\hat{\varepsilon}_{g}\) and \(\varepsilon_{g}\) represent the gas-liquid and solid-liquid mass transfer coefficients, respectively.
4.1. Reaction kinetics

The particle properties used in the model have been measured by means of a pycnometer (Quantachrome Micro-ultrapyc1200) and BET measurements (with Thermo-scientific Surfer) and are reported in Table 1.

The kinetics of Ni oxidation and NiO reduction have been determined with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) by Medrano et al. [37] and the obtained parameters are listed in Table 2. No kinetic parameters are indicated for the reduction with CH₄ because it was observed that when methane was used for the reduction of NiO, the oxygen carrier did not show any activity when the OC was fully oxidized, while reduction was observed when the sample was already partially reduced. This can be easily explained by recalling that Ni is a good catalyst for steam methane reforming, which occurs before the reduction reaction when CH₄ is fed. The reforming reaction produces H₂ and CO, which are subsequently responsible for the complete reduction of the oxygen carrier.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{Ni} \rightarrow \text{NiO} \\
\Delta H_{298K}^{\text{NiO}} = -479 \text{kJ/mol} \text{O}_2
\]

\[
\text{H}_2 + \text{NiO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \text{O} + \text{Ni} \\
\Delta H_{298K}^{\text{NiO-H}_2} = -2.125 \text{kJ/mol} \text{H}_2
\]

\[
\text{CO} + \text{NiO} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{Ni} \\
\Delta H_{298K}^{\text{NiO-CO}} = -43.26 \text{kJ/mol} \text{CO}
\]

\[
F_{\text{Ni}} \left[ \frac{\text{mol}}{\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{P} \cdot \text{s}} \right] = \frac{e_{\text{Ni}} \rho D_{\text{Ni}} v_0}{b \cdot MW_j} \frac{dX_j}{dt}
\]

### Table 1

| Particle Properties of NiO/CaAl₂O₄ for particle model [37]. |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Oxygen carrier | 17–18.5 wt% NiO on CaAl₂O₄ |
| Particle diameter [mm] | 1 ± 1.7 |
| Particle porosity [m², m⁻³] | 0.55 |
| Average pore size [Å] | 130 |
| Tortuosity | 2 |

### Table 2

| Kinetic parameters for the reduction and oxidation of Ni/NiO/CaAl₂O₄ particles, taken from [37]. |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| H₂ | CO | O₂ |
| C₁ [mol m⁻¹] | 89.960 | 89.960 | 151,200 |
| k₁ [mol⁻¹] | 3.13 × 10⁻⁸ | 3.13 × 10⁻⁸ | 5.8 × 10⁻⁷ |
| k₂ [mol⁻¹ × m³⁻¹ × s⁻¹ × bar⁻¹] | 9.0 × 10⁻⁵ | 3.5 × 10⁻³ | 1.2 × 10⁻³ |
| ΔH₀ [kJ mol⁻¹] | 30 | 45 | 7 |
| n | 0.6 | 0.65 | 0.9 |
| D₀ [mol⁻¹ × m³⁻¹ × s⁻¹] | 1.7 × 10⁻³ | 7.4 × 10⁻⁶ | 1 |
| k₂ [mol⁻¹] | 150 | 300 | 0 |
| k₀ [mol⁻¹] | 5 | 15 | 0 |
| b | 1 | 1 | 2 |
Table 3
Fitted kinetic parameters for SMR and WGS for the Ni/CaAl2O4 oxygen carrier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$k_0$</th>
<th>$E_a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{SMR}$ ($\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$3.65 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{WGS}$ ($\text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1} \cdot \text{bar}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$2.45 \cdot 10^3$</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ni-based oxygen carrier also works as catalyst for the reforming and shift reactions. The expressions used to model the reforming and water gas shift reaction kinetics (given in Eqs. (20)-(22)) were taken from Numaguchi and Kikuchi [38] and the parameters were fitted to experimental data for the oxygen carrier, since Medrano et al. have shown that the kinetic model of Numaguchi and Kikuchi over-predicts the conversion rates for this oxygen carrier [37]. The fitted kinetic parameters for SMR and WGS have listed in Table 3. This model does not consider the dry reforming reaction (i.e. reforming by CO2), so to simulate this case, the model was operated assuming an initial H2O partial pressure of 1 atm, which was sufficient to avoid numerical issues, since the steam reforming is faster than the dry reforming [39].

\[
\frac{dX_i}{dt} = \frac{3C_i^2}{b \cdot r_0 - C_i} \left(1 - X_i \right)^{-1} + \frac{f_0}{D} \left(1 - X_i \right)^{-1} + \frac{f_0}{D} 
\]

\[
k = k_0 \exp \left(\frac{-E_A}{RT}\right) 
\]

\[
D = D_0 \exp \left(\frac{-F_D}{RT}\right) \exp(-k_b X) 
\]

4.2. Radial heat losses

A detailed thermal model for the prediction of radial heat losses (see Eq. (8)) has been included in this work, considering the thermal resistances illustrated in Fig. 4. To determine the overall radial heat transfer coefficient $\alpha$ for the reactor used in this experimental work, two different sections need to be considered: the first section is from the center of the reactor - where the temperature is measured - to the reactor wall and the second section considers the heat exchange from the reactor wall to the heaters, where three thermocouples are located to control the temperature. The equations used to determine the partial heat resistances are presented below.

\[\alpha_{\text{wall}} = \frac{(R_{CF} + R_{FS} + R_{FW})(R_{CS} + R_{SW})}{R_{CF} + R_{FS} + R_{FW} + R_{CS} + R_{SW} + R_{radal}}\]  

\[\alpha_{\text{wall}} = \frac{R_{CF} + R_{FS} + R_{FW}}{R_{CF} + R_{FS} + R_{FW} + R_{CS} + R_{SW} + R_{radal}}\]  

\[R_{CF} = \text{Conductive resistance from the center of the bed to the fluid phase}\]

\[R_{FS} = \text{Resistance from the fluid phase to the solid phase}\]

\[R_{FW} = \text{Resistance from the fluid phase to the reactor wall}\]

\[R_{CS} = \text{Conductive resistance from the center of the bed to solid phase}\]

\[R_{SW} = \text{Resistance from the solid phase to the reactor wall}\]

\[R_{rad} = \text{Resistance to radiation between the reactor and the oven}\]

\[R_{radal} = \text{Resistance to conduction in the reactor thickness}\]
heat transfer resistances were taken from the studies by Dixon and Cresswell on the thermal conductivity of packed beds\cite{40,41} and have been summarized in Table 4 (Eqs. (23)-(30)).

5. Model validation

The reduction and oxidation phases of the chemical looping reforming process with packed-bed reactors are substantially similar to those for the PB-CLC\cite{25,26,30,44}. The main novelty in this process is represented by the heat removal step, which is carried out by means of an endothermic reaction instead of blowing air or nitrogen through the reactor as in the case of CLC.

The reactor has been filled with inert material (Fig. 5, right) at the beginning and at the end of the reactor (upstream and downstream of the catalyst bed) in order to ensure a complete pre-heating of the gas to the desired reaction temperature (as shown in Fig. 3a). In the middle of the bed, 500 g of a Ni-based oxygen carrier supported on CaAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} (Table 1) shown in Fig. 5 (left) has been placed, which corresponds to about 0.5 m of reactor length.

In order to check the stability of the OC in terms of oxygen transfer capacity and redox reactivity, a stream consisting of 20% H\textsubscript{2} and 20% H\textsubscript{2}O (balance N\textsubscript{2}) has been used for the reduction phase after oxidation with air and the hydrogen breakthrough has been recorded (Fig. 6). As can be seen, except for the first few redox cycles when the material was still being activated, the H\textsubscript{2} breakthrough curves are practically overlapping even up to 300 h of operation indicating complete and stable activation.

The stability of the OC used for this experiment has been confirmed also by Medrano et al.\cite{37} through tests with >200 redox cycles in a TGA apparatus. The stability of the Ni-based OC under repeated cycles in a medium scale reactor setup confirms that the scale-up of a Ni-based oxygen carrier supported on CaAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} is promising for demonstration at pre-commercial and commercial scales in the near future.

All the experiments were carried out using N\textsubscript{2} during the heating up of the system and in between two different experiments in order to ensure that the temperature was kept constant along the reactor and no traces of reactants were left inside the reactor before commencing the experiments.

5.1. Oxidation

The oxidation phase was carried out using 9 NL/min of air. The theoretical temperature rise at the reaction front (Noorman et al.\cite{44} and Fernandez et al.\cite{45}), with 18% (wt. fraction) of Ni is about 700 °C and therefore the initial bed temperature has been set to 400 °C to avoid excessive overheating of the system.

The breakthrough of the oxygen starts after 100 s and concludes after about 5 min. Fig. 7 shows the O\textsubscript{2} fraction at the outlet section. The model predicts with reasonable accuracy the shape of the breakthrough curve. The time required for complete oxidation corresponds with the one predicted based on the amount of oxygen fed to the reactor and the overall oxygen capacity of the OC. The small discrepancy between the model prediction and the experimental results is explained by radial gradients caused by heat losses resulting in a slightly inhomogeneous gas distribution along the radial direction. Another important reason of the larger mass dispersion in the experiments is related to the long piping and the large volume of the gas cooling system downstream the reactor unit before the analyzer. Both effects were not accounted for in the reactor model (the interested reader is referred

![Fig. 5. OC/catalyst (left) and inert material (right) used in the reactor for the experiments.](image)

![Fig. 6. H\textsubscript{2} breakthrough during the stability test (using 10 NL/min of 20% H\textsubscript{2}, 20% H\textsubscript{2}O, 60% N\textsubscript{2}) at 900 °C.](image)

![Fig. 7. O\textsubscript{2} molar fraction at the reactor outlet as a function of time during the oxidation cycle starting with solid temperature at 400 °C.](image)
to the attached supplementary material for a more detailed discussion on this).

Fig. 8 presents the axial temperature profile in the bed at different instances during the oxidation phase, and shows that the reaction front propagates along the bed until it reaches the end of the reactive zone at around 300 s. The solid reactor is cooled by flowing \( N_2 \) through the reactor for \( > 1 \) h and setting the heating coils to 400 °C as set point temperature. Also the heat front propagates through the bed, but with a lower velocity, so that the heat front remains at around 0.1 m from the inlet section after 300 s. The figure also clearly shows, especially for the longer times shown in Fig. 8b, that the temperature is higher in the last part of the bed and that the temperature plateau is not flat, as expected by theoretical descriptions of adiabatic packed-bed CLC reactors [46]. The reason for this behavior is the presence of radial heat losses, related to the set point temperature of the heating coils at 400 °C. Therefore, once the reaction front has passed, the bed cools down. The cooling of the bed due to radial heat losses is well captured by the model, confirming the accuracy of the description of the radial heat losses in the developed model.

The reaction front is clearly visible in both the experiments and modelling results. At the beginning of the oxidation phase, the results from the model and the experiments match very well. After 150 s (Fig. 8b), the results from the model predict a slightly higher reaction front velocity, so that the discrepancy with the experimental results increases somewhat. As already discussed by Hamers et al. [30] for the oxidation with a Cu-based oxygen carrier, one of the reasons of this difference is related to the description of internal diffusion limitations inside the relatively large particles, which is not fully captured by the selected redox kinetics.

5.2. Reduction

The reduction of the OC has been carried out after heating the bed to 800 °C or 900 °C and by keeping the set point temperature of the heating coils at the same values. As shown in Fig. 9a, for the indicated flow rate and composition of the reacting gas, the breakthrough of CO and \( H_2 \) was detected after about 5 min and lasted until 10 min, when a constant gas composition was reached. With respect to the reduction reactions, the model predicts the breakthrough time correctly, although the breakthrough curves predicted by the model (Fig. 9a) are much steeper than the ones obtained from the experiments, as also shown for the oxidation breakthrough curves. This is again mainly due to the mass dispersion, which is amplified in this case due to the lower gas flow rate (due to the water condensation in the cooler) and the lower molecular weight of the gas (below 20 kg/kmol). It can be noted that the final outlet composition does not correspond to the syngas feed, because the oxygen carrier is active for the water-gas-shift reaction (in this case the reverse WGS), thus resulting in a lower amount of \( H_2 \) and \( CO_2 \) and a higher amount of CO. Due to the low heat of reaction associated with the reduction of NiO with CO/H\( _2 \)-rich syngas, the axial solid temperature profile does not significantly change during the reforming step, as shown in Fig. 9b. The reduction tests at 800 °C showed a similar
behavior. The main difference is in the gas composition after the complete breakthrough, in which the amount of H₂ and CO₂ is higher due to the different equilibrium constant for WGS at lower temperatures.

5.3. Reforming

During the reforming phase, the syngas is fed to the system and only catalytic reactions take place because the OC was completely reduced to Ni during the preceding reduction phase. Two different feed gas compositions have been tested corresponding to steam and dry reforming cases respectively, with an inlet gas flow rate of 20 NL/min and an initial bed temperature of about 800 °C or 900 °C (Table 5). After the reactor has reached its set-point temperature, a CH₄-rich gas has been fed to the system while the heating system was switched off in order to avoid an energy influx from the reactor to the external heating coils. The reforming reaction was continued until the temperature in the oven had decreased about 10–20 °C (corresponding to about 5 min). In this way, it is possible to compare the experimental and modelling results assuming a constant temperature in the oven without heating power from the heating coils. This was not required during the exothermic oxidation and reduction phases.

The gas compositions at the reactor outlet as a function of time are shown in Fig. 10. The results from the model (solid lines) are in good agreement with the experiments (markers). The measured compositions are always at the chemical equilibrium, confirming that the reforming of methane is an equilibrium controlled reaction. The difference between the model and experimental results was always in the range ± 1%, after sufficient time on stream during the testing period. At the beginning of the experiments, the CO content is somewhat lower, however, after some time (about 1 min), the final equilibrium composition is reached.

In Fig. 11, the axial solid temperature profiles at different instances during the reforming phase are shown. A decrease in the temperature at the beginning of the bed where the CH₄ with H₂O/CO₂ is reacting with the catalyst can be clearly discerned. The solid temperature decreases by >200 °C at the inlet, while it maintains at the initial temperature towards the outlet section after syngas has reached its equilibrium composition. As expected, the temperature decrease is higher for the dry reforming cases, related to about 20% higher reaction enthalpy of dry reforming relative to steam reforming. The experiments show clearly how the bed is progressively cooled due to the reforming reactions. At the beginning, the syngas contacts the hot solid/catalyst in the first part of the bed, the reforming reactions take place and the temperature decreases. After that, the CH₄ + CO₂/H₂O is partially converted in the first part of the bed (first 10 cm) due to the lower temperature of reaction and the conversion is completed in the second part of the reactor where the solid/catalyst is at higher temperature. The zone at lower temperature in the reactor increases with reaction time, which indicates the possibilities for effective indirect heat transfer from the oxidation phase to the reforming phase.

![Graph](image-url)

**Fig. 10.** Gas composition at the reactor outlet during the reforming phase with the gas compositions reported in Table 5 for steam reforming (case a and b) and dry reforming (case c and d) at 800 °C (case a and c) and 900 °C (case b and d).

6. Reactor design and heat management

Using the 1D reactor model previously described and validated at lab-scale, the design and heat management of an industrial-scale chemical looping reforming reactor system have been carried out for integration in both H₂ and CH₃OH plants. The size of the reactors is based on commercial large-scale plants. Based on preliminary mass and energy balances of the integrated plants shown in Fig. 2, the corresponding flow rates, temperatures and gas compositions have been estimated in order to obtain a syngas with the required specifications for the downstream units. Subsequently, the 1D model was run for multiple cycles of the reduction/reforming/oxidation phases in sequence, where it was assumed that the reactor was purged with pure N₂ for a short period after the reforming and oxidation phases in order to remove any reactive gases inside the reactor and avoid the formation of explosive mixtures. The dynamically operated adiabatic PBRs have been simulated for a complete cycle with the inlet gas conditions for the different phases listed in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Operating conditions for the reforming phase tests.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reforming conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial bed temperature, °C</td>
<td>800/900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet gas flow rate, NL/min</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet pressure, bar</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet gas composition, %vol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compared to the results presented in the previous part of the manuscript where the model was validated, the operating conditions and the heat management strategies are different. In particular: there is no external electric furnace considered to stabilize the reactor temperature, therefore no thermal interaction occurs with the external environment resulting in an overall adiabatic process; the solid temperature profile at the end of each phase is the initial temperature profile of the following phase; the gas inlet temperature and pressure are resulting from the complete process.

For fixed WGS and PSA operating conditions, the conditions obtained are the optimal (or very close to it) to achieve high H₂ yield. A different optimization is needed when also the contributions of electricity and steam are considered and it will be carried out in a dedicated techno-economic assessment.

The following overall energy balance of the process is considered for the current system.

Adiabatic conditions

\[ H_{\text{net}} = H_{\text{out}} - H_{\text{in}} \]  

Energy at the inlet

\[ \sum \left( \int m_{\text{gas}} h_{\text{gas}}(T, x, t) \, dt + \int m_{\text{steam}} h_{\text{steam}}(T, x, t) \, dt + \int m_{\text{gas}} h_{\text{gas}}(T, x, t) \, dt \right)_{\text{inlet}} = H_{\text{in}} \]

Energy at the outlet

\[ \sum \left( \int m_{\text{gas}} h_{\text{gas}}(T, x, t) \, dt + \int m_{\text{steam}} h_{\text{steam}}(T, x, t) \, dt + \int m_{\text{gas}} h_{\text{gas}}(T, x, t) \, dt \right)_{\text{outlet}} = H_{\text{out}} \]

Where \( \Delta t_i \) is the total phase time and the conditions of the streams at the inlet are constant, while at the outlet the conditions vary with the time generating a profile. In fact the energy associated to the gas at inlet is only dependent on the temperature and the gas composition,
while the outlet mass flowrate, temperature and gas composition change according to the dynamic operations of the reactor. It must be noticed that these equations are needed to avoid any accumulation (or losses) of energy every complete cycle.

The design and operating conditions of the PBRs for the H2 and CH3OH production plants have been reported in Table 6. The reactor diameter and length have been selected to assure a superficial gas velocity below 1 m/s for all the phases. The final geometry of the reactor should be further optimized via a techno-economic optimization, which is beyond the scope of this work.

In the next two sections the heat management of the packed-bed chemical looping reforming reactors is discussed for hydrogen and methanol production.

### 6.1. Heat management of PB-CLR process for H2 production

The size of the plant is based on 30 kNm³/h of pure H2 production. The syngas produced in the chemical looping reforming reactor is sent to a WGS unit operated at 350 °C to convert the CO into CO2 while increasing the H2 production. Downstream of the WGS unit, pure H2 is recovered with a PSA unit operated with a 75% hydrogen recovery factor. The PSA off-gas (mostly H2 and CO2 with some unconverted CO and CH4) is compressed and sent to the reactor operated in the reduction phase. With this system a reforming efficiency of 81% (H2 and CO2 with some unconverted CO and CH4) can be achieved with a steam consumption of 2 kmolH2O/kmolCH4 and CH4 conversion in the reforming phase above 92% makes carbon deposition unlikely, eliminating the need for further dilution with H2O. The temperature at the reactor outlet is almost constant (around 950 °C) which is positive for the downstream processes, such as the gas coolers for steam generation, which can be designed to be operated at steady state conditions.

During the reforming phase, the syngas reaches a stable composition after 10 s. The reformed syngas is at chemical equilibrium at the temperature of the outlet gas. For >90% of the time of the reforming phase, CH4 is almost completely converted into H2 and CO and sent to the downstream processes. Compared to a conventional FTR, two main differences can be noted. The first difference is related to the transient syngas conditions during the last 50 s (representing 10% of the complete reforming phase), where the gas temperature at the reactor outlet decreases and the CH4 conversion reduces significantly. The second difference is the H2/CO ratio in the reforming product gas stream, which is about 1.8 molH2/molCO, instead of 2.8 of conventional standalone FTR due to the large amount of CO2 which is fed to the reforming step, originating from the recirculated CO2/H2O product stream during the reduction phase. The lower H2 fraction may affect the cost of the PSA downstream which is working with a more diluted H2 stream (≈60% on a dry basis instead of conventional 70%). Therefore a techno-economic optimization of the complete plant has to consider also the optimization of the PSA.

During the oxidation phase, the temperature slightly decreases from 750 °C to 680 °C and the Ni is oxidized to NiO. The oxidation phase is integrated with a gas turbine cycle with an uncooled turbine, where the oxidation phase off-gases (mostly N2 with some Ar and traces of gases present in the air), are expanded to ambient pressure and released to the atmosphere. The gas turbine inlet temperature is much lower than the typical value of about 1200 °C of CLC processes for power generation. The gas turbine pressure ratio is also dictated by the operating conditions of the reforming phase, resulting in sub-optimal gas cycle parameters with lower efficiency and low specific work.

The axial temperature profiles at the end of the oxidation, reduction and reforming phases are shown in Fig. 13. At the end of the reforming phase, the bed temperature increases along the reactor from about 550 to 700 °C. After the oxidation phase, the reaction front reaches the end of the reactor, while the heat front has only moved from the inlet of the reactor to the first 10% of the bed length. The temperature rise during the oxidation phase is about 300 °C and at the end of the oxidation phase the maximum temperature of the bed of almost 1000 °C is reached. After oxidation, the reduction phase starts with the PSA off-gas as fuel. As in the case of CLC with CO/H2 rich syngas using Fe-based OC, discussed by the authors in [48], the maximum temperature of the bed does not change significantly, due to the low heat of reaction.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
<th>Reforming</th>
<th>Oxidation</th>
<th>Purge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter, m</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, m</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Void fraction, m² gas/m² reactor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen carrier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni content (on CaNi₂O₄), %wt</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle diameter, mm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas inlet conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, °C</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure, bar</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate, kg/s</td>
<td>12.67</td>
<td>16.356</td>
<td>16.244</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition, %vol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW, kg/kmol</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase time, sec</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Operating conditions used to simulate a large-scale PB-CLR reactor system for H2 or CH3OH production.
In this step, the heat front moves towards the end of the reactor, reaching 40% of the reactor length. Although the inlet temperature of the gas in the reduction phase is 600 °C, at the end of the reduction the bed temperature at the inlet is about 700 °C, because the composition of the inlet gas (rich in H₂ and CO/CO₂) favors the exothermic methanation reaction, for which the reduced Ni acts as catalyst. The syngas is therefore first converted into CH₄ achieving the equilibrium temperature and composition and then reformed where the solid is at higher temperatures. During the reforming phase the bed is cooled by two mechanisms: i) convective cooling by the gas flow, which moves the heat front to the reactor outlet blowing the heat out of the bed (similar to the PB-CLC heat removal phase); ii) cooling by the endothermic reforming reactions, which absorbs heat by converting the CH₄ into H₂ and CO. This second mechanism is a second heat front generated inside the reactor and it is due to the reforming reaction. It is more evident by comparing the axial temperature profile shown in Fig. 13 with the axial methane concentration profiles shown in Fig. 14. At the end of the reforming phase, the final bed temperature is reduced to 550–700 °C and the solids are ready to start a new oxidation cycle. Due to the bed cooling during the reforming, the solid temperature at the beginning of the oxidation is below 750 °C and therefore, the following oxidation delivers a gas with a low temperature (average 700 °C).

By inspecting the axial bed temperature profile shown in Fig. 13 and the axial CH₄ concentration profile in Fig. 14, one can discern that the CH₄ conversion occurs in two-stages: i) at the beginning of the bed, where the temperature is initially 700 °C and where the CH₄ vol. fraction reduces from the initial 22.5% to about 12% and ii) in the second part of the reactor, which is at high temperature, where the CH₄ is almost completely reformed. The thermal separation of these two reforming zones behaves like a combination of pre-reforming and reforming in a conventional FTR and the boundary between the two zones becomes smoother at the end of the reforming phase.

6.2. Heat management of the PB-CLR process for CH₃OH production

To investigate the heat management for the case of CH₃OH production, a plant of 5000 MTPD has been selected. Compared with the H₂ production unit, this plant is >200 times bigger, therefore 5 parallel lines of reduction, reforming and oxidation are considered to accommodate the larger amount of syngas required. Based on preliminary simplified calculations of the methanol synthesis process, the proposed system can achieve a CH₃OH yield of 0.9 mol CH₃OH/mol CH₄ which compares very favorable with the performance of a state-of-the-art CH₃OH plant (0.82 mol CH₃OH/mol CH₄) [10]. Overall, 2 kmol H₂O/kmol CH₄ is consumed during the reduction and reforming phases. The carbon species which are not converted into CH₃OH in the synthesis unit are purged and are used as fuel for the reduction step, where they are converted into CO₂ which is compressed and stored after the gas cooling and H₂O separation.
The gas composition and temperature at the reactor outlet during the consecutive reduction, reforming and oxidation phases are shown in Fig. 15. As in the hydrogen production case, only CO2 and H2O are produced during the reduction phase. In this case, the inlet gas consists mostly of H2O resulting from the methanol synthesis process. The temperature of the gas leaving the reactor is not constant. In particular, it is possible to distinguish two temperature levels at which the gases are released: about 730 °C for the first 130 s and 970 °C for the remaining 145 s of the reduction phase. During the reforming phase, only CO, H2 and CO2 (and excess H2O) are produced, with a M-factor (Eq. (5)) equal to 2.06. After 75% of the time of the reforming phase, CH4 breakthrough occurs and the M-factor decreases to 1.7 at the end of the reforming phase.

The lower M-factor would decrease the CH3OH yield. However, as already discussed by the authors in a previous work on CLC for a large-scale power plant [49], relatively stable properties of the gas from PB chemical looping reactors system can be obtained by operating the reactors in the same phase in parallel but with a phase displacement. In this case, by adopting a phase displacement of 55 s it is possible to smooth out the fluctuations, thus reaching the final gas conditions shown in Fig. 16. This strategy is beneficial for the processes downstream of the CLR, which can then be designed for steady state operations. After mixing, an average M-factor of 2 is achieved with the selected operating conditions. The oxidation cycle releases a constant flow rate of N2-rich stream at about 750 °C, which is expanded in the gas turbine to supply part of the power required in the plant.

As already discussed for the case of H2 production, the maximum bed temperature is reached at the end of the oxidation phase and the reactor cooling occurs during the reforming phase (Fig. 17). The CH4 breakthrough during reforming can be explained by inspecting the temperature profile in the last part of the reactor, which reduces from 930 °C at the end of the reduction phase to 820 °C after 75% of the reforming cycle time, which corresponds to the beginning of CH4 breakthrough, and finally to 730 °C at the end of the reforming phase, where the CH4 content reaches 11% (vol. basis).

Since large-scale CH3OH plants are based on a combination of SMR and ATR processes in order to reach the optimal composition for methanol synthesis, retrofitting of existing plants is less demanding than for the case of H2 production. It mostly relates to the thermal integration due to the presence of the gas turbine cooling units, which are mostly carried out using pressurized gas.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, a novel application of chemical looping reforming for H2 and CH3OH production using dynamically operated PBRs has been presented. Multiple experiments for the redox and reforming phases have been carried out. A phenomenological model accounting for radial
heat losses has been validated with experiments in which multiple re-
duction/oxidation/reforming cycles have been carried out at different
temperatures and inlet gas compositions. With respect to previous
works on chemical looping with packed bed reactors, the present
model also includes a dedicated model for the radial heat losses of the
reactor reproducing the experimental results obtained in the lab-scale
facility. The results from this paper demonstrated that the combination
of chemical looping combustion and steam reforming can be accom-
plished through sequential heat storage and heat removal. In addition
to the process description and a first experimental proof-of-principle,
a preliminary reactor design for H2 and CH3OH production has been
proposed and their heat management assessed. The overall perfor-
manic in terms of CH4 conversion, CO2 emissions and H2/CH3OH yields
has been calculated. For the case of H2 production, the system reaches a
CH4 conversion during the reforming above 92% and PSA off-gas can be
used efficiently as fuel for the reduction phase. For the CH3OH plant, an
overall CH3OH yield of 89% is reached. In both cases, the flue gases emi-
ted to the environment do not contain CO2. The produced CO2 during
the reduction phase is separated from H2O and compressed for further
utilization (in case of a smaller-size plant) or final storage. The very
good performance of the PB-CLR process associated to the high yields of
H2 or CH3OH with near-zero CO2 emissions asks for further assess-
ment of this technology from an economic point of view by means of
a complete process integration study and economic analysis.

Nomenclature

AGR  acid gas removal
ASU  air separation unit
ATR  auto-thermal reforming
CCS  CO2 capture and storage
CLC  chemical looping combustion
CLR  chemical looping reforming
FTR  fired tubular reforming
LP/IP/HP low/intermediate/high pressure
LT/IT/HT low/intermediate/high temperature
MEA  mono-ethanol-amine
MDEA  methyl di-ethanol-amine
MTPD metric tons per day
PBR  packed bed reactor
PSA  pressure swing adsorption
SMR  steam methane reforming
WGS  water gas shift

Units

A  [m2] area
b  [mol/mol g−1] gas-solid stoichiometric factor
Bi  [-] Biot number
C [mol m⁻²] concentration
Cp [J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹] heat capacity
d [m] diameter
D [m² s⁻¹] diffusion coefficient
D₀ [mol⁻¹ m⁻¹ s⁻¹] pre-exponential factor for the diffusion term
Dₐ [m² s⁻¹] axial dispersion coefficient
Dₚ [m³] particle diameter
Ea [kJ mol⁻¹] activation energy
En [kJ mol⁻¹] activation energy for the diffusion term
h [W m⁻² K⁻¹] convective heat transfer coefficient
H [m] reactor height
k₀ [mol⁻¹ m⁻³ s⁻¹] axial pre-exponential factor
kₙ [W m⁻¹ K⁻¹] radial conductivity of the solid (stagnant conditions)
kᵦ [s⁻¹] solid diffusion decay constant
MW [kg mol⁻¹] molecular weight
n [s⁻¹] reaction order
nᵣ [mol m⁻² s⁻¹] gas flux of ith-component
Nu [−] Nusselt number
nᵣ [mol m⁻² s⁻¹] gas constant
P [bar] pressure
Pe [−] Peclct number
Pr [−] Prandtl number
Pr [−] Prandtl number
R [J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹] gas constant
Re [−] Reynolds number
Rᵣ [KW⁻¹] thermal resistance
rᵣ [mol m⁻³ s⁻¹] reaction rate
r₀ [m] particle radius
Sc [−] Schmidt number
S [s] time
T [K] or °C temperature
x [−] solid conversion
yᵦ [−] mol fraction

Greek letters
α [W m⁻² K⁻¹] global heat transfer coefficient
εᵥ [−] void fraction
εₛ [−] solid porosity
εᵦ [−] emissivity coefficient of the reactor
εᵦᵥ [−] emissivity coefficient of the oven
η [kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹] dynamic gas viscosity
ζ [mol mol⁻¹] stoichiometric factor
λ [W m⁻¹ K⁻¹] heat dispersion
ρ [kg m⁻³] density
τ [−] tortuosity
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