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In this article a model for finite plastic straining of a strainhardening material is presented. Instead of the constitutive equations for finite shears the following hypothesis is used:

The principal directions of stress and strain are coincident in technical processes. This hypothesis is a technical approximation of the exact theorem stating that the principal directions of stress coincide with those of strainrate. This procedure proves to yield reasonable results which are both simpler to attain and physically much clearer and more obvious than the introduction of finite shear components of a strain tensor.
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Summary.
In this article a model for finite plastic straining of a
strainhardening material is presented. Instead of the consti-
tutive equations for finite shears the following hypothesis
is used: The principal directions of stress and strain are
coincident in technical processes.
This hypothesis is a technical approximation of the exact
theorem stating that the principal directions of stress coin-
cide with those of strainrate.
This procedure proves to yield reasonable results which are
both simpler to attain and physically much clearer and more
obvious than the introduction of finite shear components of
a strain tensor.
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List of symbols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, b</td>
<td>initial length in direction X, Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a₁, b₁</td>
<td>deformed length in direction X, Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>material constant (stress if $\hat{b} = 1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>deformed length of an element of line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l₀</td>
<td>initial length of an element of line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>strain hardening exponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p, q, u, v, w</td>
<td>deformation quantities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>deformed length of an arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r'</td>
<td>partially deformed length of an arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r₀</td>
<td>initial length of an arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x, y, z</td>
<td>coordinate directions (indices)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>principal directions (indices)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>stages of strain (indices)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>angle determining the shear strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>natural (= logarithmic) strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\delta}$</td>
<td>effective natural strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>linear strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>director of an initial arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>director of a deformed arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>director of a partially deformed arbitrary line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>tensile stresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{\sigma}$</td>
<td>effective stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>shear stresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{ij}$</td>
<td>stress tensor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is generally recognised that finite straining problems entail complicated mathematical procedures, especially when finite shear is involved. Moreover, the physical meaning of finite shear tensor components is not clear.

For these reasons, the present authors would suggest a different approach, which has already proved its value in several cases, e.g. for a model of metal cutting \[1\].

Though mathematically not quite correct, this approach seems to describe reality pretty well. It is known that in plasticity the principal directions of stress and strainrate are coincident. Now, in technical processes, a material is deformed efficiently, that is, the ultimate shape is attained from the initial one without unnecessary deformations. As a consequence of this technical fact, the straining will take place approximately in a constant direction during the entire course of the process. In that case, the principal directions of strain and strainrate will be coincident, hence, so will be the principal directions of stress and strain.

Under these assumptions, we can derive a relatively simple closed set of equations without the introduction of finite shear components.

In order to solve a problem, the following equations are available:

(a) The equations of equilibrium, in Cartesian coordinates:

\[ \tau_{ij,j} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

(b) Transformation equations for principal directions of stresses, giving values of \( \sigma_1 \), \( \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_3 \) and the angles between the principal directions and the coordinate system originally chosen.

(c) The yield condition according to Von Mises:

\[ \sigma = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

(d) The Lévy-Von Mises' equations for principal directions:

\[ d\delta_1 = \frac{d\bar{\sigma}}{\sigma} (\sigma_1 - \frac{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{2}), \text{ cyclic} \] \hspace{1cm} (3)

in which

\[ \delta_1 = \ln \frac{1}{1_0} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)
(e) The incremental effective strain
\[ d\bar{\varepsilon} = \left( \frac{2}{3} \left( (d\varepsilon_{1})^2 + (d\varepsilon_{2})^2 + (d\varepsilon_{3})^2 \right) \right)^\frac{1}{2} \]  
\[ (5) \]

(f) A deformation equation, giving the amount of strainhardening
\[ \dot{\sigma} = \dot{c} \varepsilon^m \]  
\[ (6) \]

(g) Two equations stating that the principal directions of stress and strain are coincident (The third equation of this kind will be dependent).

Summarising, we have:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>equations</th>
<th>new variables</th>
<th>number of new variables</th>
<th>number of equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) equilibrium eq.</td>
<td>(\sigma, \sigma, \sigma)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) transformation eq. for principal directions</td>
<td>(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) yield condition</td>
<td>(\sigma)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Lévy-Von Mises' eq. for principal directions</td>
<td>(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) incremental effective strain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) deformation eq.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) principal direction of strain = principal direction of stress =</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to obtain the principal directions of strain an arbitrary element of line is considered both in its initial and deformed states and its (natural) strain is calculated. Then differentiation with respect to its direction yields the directions of extreme elongation, which have been defined as principal directions.
Example.

For a general state of strain in which two shear components are zero, we have the following situation (Fig. 1):

(Fig. 1)

![Deformation of ABCD to A'BCD.](image)

A finite, rectangular element $A_0B_0C_0D_0$ is uniformly deformed to $A_0B_0C_0D_0$. Tensile strain may occur in directions $X$, $Y$ and $Z$, shear strain only in the $XY$-plane. Hence, $Z$ is a principal direction. In Fig. 2 we have the same straining process, split up into two stadia:

(I) $A_0B_0C_0D_0 \rightarrow A_0B'C'D'$

(II) $A_0B'C'D' \rightarrow A_0B_0C_0D_0$

First we consider the straining of an arbitrary element of line $A_0E_0$ to $A_0E'$. In the next stage, $A_0E'$ is strained to $A_0E$. The directions of the line element considered are given by the angles $\eta$, $\xi$ and $\nu$, respectively. Its length is $r_0$, $r'$ and $r$ respectively.

For the first stage we have

$$\delta r_I = \ln \frac{r'}{r_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and for the second stage

$$\delta r_{II} = \ln \frac{r}{r'}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
Fig. 2. Strain of Fig. 1, split up into two stadia.

Hence, the total strain follows from

\[ \delta_r = \ln \frac{r}{r_0} = \delta_r^I + \delta_r^{II} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Apparently - even in this non linear case - we are allowed to superimpose logarithmic strains.

We also introduce linear strains:

\[ \Delta_{a_I} = \frac{a_I^a - a}{a}; \hspace{1cm} \Delta_{b_I} = \frac{b_I^b - b}{b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

From Fig. 2\textsuperscript{I}, we find that

\[ r_o^2 = x_o^2 + y_o^2 \]

\[ r_i^2 = x_i^2(1 + \Delta_{x_I})^2 + y_i^2(1 + \Delta_{y_I})^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)
Introducing
\[ u = (1+\Delta x_i)^2 \quad v = (1+\Delta y_i)^2 \] (12)
we find from Fig. 2\textsuperscript{I}
\[ \left(\frac{r'}{r_o}\right)^2 = u \sin^2 \eta + v \cos^2 \eta \] (13)
Since \( \tan^2 \eta = \frac{v}{u} \tan^2 \xi \), we find from (13), using
\[ \sin^2 \eta = \tan^2 \eta (1+\tan^2 \eta)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos^2 \eta = (1+\tan^2 \eta)^{-1} \]
that
\[ \delta = \frac{1}{2} \ln uv + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+\tan^2 \xi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(u+v\tan^2 \xi) \] (14)
For the second stage of strain we find
\[ \delta_{\text{II}} = \ln \frac{r}{r'} = \ln \left(\frac{\cos \xi}{\cos \nu}\right) \] (15)
\[ \delta_{\text{II}} = -\ln \cos \nu - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+\tan^2 \xi) \] (16)
Hence, using (9) and (14) we find
\[ \delta = \frac{1}{2} \ln uv - \ln \cos \nu - \frac{1}{2} \ln(u+v\tan^2 \xi) \] (17)
But, since in Fig. 2\textsuperscript{II} we have \( D'D = E'E \), or \( D'E = D'D + D'E' \),
we see that \( \tan \xi = \tan \nu - \tan \gamma \).
Introducing
\[ \tan \gamma = w \] (18)
it follows that
\[ \delta = \frac{1}{2} \ln uv - \ln \cos \nu - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{ u+v(tan\nu-w)^2 \right\} \] (19)
We have now expressed \( \delta \) in \((u, v, w)\) which determine the
strain of the element and in \( v \) which determines the direction
of an arbitrary line in this element. Hence, the principal
strains are found by requiring that
\[ \frac{d\delta}{d\nu} = 0 \] (20)
from which we derive
\[ \tan^2 v - \left(\frac{u}{vw} + w - \frac{1}{w}\right)\tan v - 1 = 0 \] (21)
Introduce
\[ \frac{u}{vw} + w - \frac{1}{w} = 2\rho. \] (22)
The principal directions are then given by

\[ \tan \nu_1 = p + (1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \tan \nu_2 = p - (1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \] (23)

Substitution in (19) yields

\[ \delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{uv [1 + \{ p + (1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^2]}{u+v \{ p-w+(1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^2} \]
\[ \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{uv [1 + \{ p - (1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^2]}{u+v \{ p-w-(1+p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \}^2} \] (24)

And from Fig. 2 it can be seen that

\[ \delta_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln uv \] (24a)

Next, the stresses that caused the strain as given in Fig. 1 are calculated. We will assume that the stresses are built up in such a way that their ratios remain constant during the entire process. Thomsen [2] proved that in this case eq. (3) may be integrated to the Hencky equations for principal directions, viz.

\[ \delta_1 = \bar{\delta} \left( \sigma_1 - \frac{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{2} \right), \text{ cyclic} \] (25)

in which

\[ \bar{\delta} = \left[ \frac{2}{3}(\delta_1^2+\delta_2^2+\delta_3^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \] (26)

**Numerical example.**

We will assume that \( \gamma = 60^\circ \), \( \Delta x_I = 0.2 \) and \( \Delta y_I = -0.3 \).

We find \( w = 1.73; u = 1.44; v = 0.49; p = 1.42; \tan \nu_1 = 3.16 \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_1 &= 0.58 \\
\delta_2 &= -0.75 \\
\delta_3 &= 0.17 \\
\bar{\delta} &= 0.79
\end{align*}
\] (27)
(Notice that \( \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 = 0 \), but \( \delta_x + \delta_y + \delta_z \neq 0 \).)

If \( c = 1500 \text{ N/mm}^2 \) and \( m = 0.2 \) then (6) gives \( \sigma = 1490 \text{ N/mm}^2 \).

Solving the equations (25), we find, using \( \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 = 0 \) as an additional condition (since hydrostatic pressure does not influence the plastic straining).

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_1 &= 730 \text{ N/mm}^2 \\
\sigma_2 &= -940 \text{ N/mm}^2 \\
\sigma_3 &= +210 \text{ N/mm}^2 
\end{align*}
\]

According to Fig. 3, in which we apply our hypothesis of coincidence of principal stress and strain, we find

\[
(Fig. 3)
\]

\[\tan \nu_1 = \frac{\tau_{xy}}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_x} = 3.16\]

\[
(\sigma_x - \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{2})^2 + \tau_{xy}^2 = \left( \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{2} \right)^2
\]

\[
\sigma_1 - \sigma_x = \sigma_y - \sigma_z.
\]

These equations yield, either by calculation or construction:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= 580 \text{ N/mm}^2 \\
\sigma_y &= -790 \text{ N/mm}^2 \\
\tau_{xy} &= 485 \text{ N/mm}^2
\end{align*}
\]
Finally we would emphasise that the reliability of the results produced largely depends both on the accuracy of the experimental determination of the materials constants $c$ and $m$, and on the degree of uniformity of the deformation process considered.

If the deformation process is not uniform, the continuum should be divided into elements which are so small that their deformation is approximately uniform.

In that case the preceding theory yields an approximate stress distribution.

Literature:

