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We investigate femtosecond spin injection from an optically excited Ni top layer into an Au bottom layer using time-resolved complex magneto-optical Kerr effect (C-MOKE) measurements. Employing the C-MOKE formalism, we are able to follow layer-resolved demagnetization in Ni and the simultaneous spin injection into the adjacent Au film, both occurring within ~40 fs. We confirm the ballistic to diffusive propagation of the spin transfer process with ab initio theory and superdiffusive transport calculations. In particular, our combined experimental-theoretical effort does allow us to quantify the so far elusive amount of spin injection, and therefore the spin injection efficiency at the interface.
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Two decades after the pioneering work of Beaurepaire et al. [1], the fundamental processes of ultrafast laser-induced demagnetization are still heavily debated. Numerous experiments have been conducted, in addition to theoretical efforts, to elucidate the underlying microscopic mechanisms that can result in a surprisingly fast loss of magnetic order on a femtosecond (fs) time scale [2–6]. The microscopic mechanisms responsible for this photoinduced ultrafast loss of magnetic order can be classified as spin-flip scattering phenomena [2,7,8] and spin transport phenomena [9–12]. Today we know that both processes clearly contribute to the ultrafast demagnetization and that the contributions of both mechanisms depend on the details of the material system and multilayer structure [8,12–15]. In particular, optically induced spin transport via superdiffusive spin currents [4] yields a great potential for further applications. Instead of electrically generated spin currents in a ferromagnetic electrode via the spin Hall effect or other approaches, which are limited to time scales of today’s electronic devices (ns), optically generated spin currents could provide the platform for spin current generation and spin current injection in nonmagnetic materials within a few hundreds of femtoseconds, thereby pushing spintronics to the ultrafast time scale.

However, the success of implementing optically generated spin currents into spintronic devices depends on our ability to predict the fundamental properties of the spin currents, such as generation efficiency, spin injection efficiency, and the lifetime of optically induced spin currents in nonmagnetic materials such as Cu or Au. While spin currents have been proven to be relevant on femtosecond time scales [11,15,16], only few details are known about the amount of optically induced spin currents or spin injection efficiency across various interfaces. This knowledge gap is mainly caused by the requirement to use fairly complex layer-specific ultrafast techniques to study such spin currents, and only a limited number of experimental approaches have so far been realized that fulfill this need. In particular, spin- and time-resolved two-photon photoemission [17] has been shown to be a powerful method to study metal-semiconductor interfaces, while fs light sources with energies in the extreme ultraviolet and soft x-ray regime have been employed to gain layer specificity in metallic multilayer systems [9,13,18–23]. However, the latter techniques either require large-scale photon facilities or are experimentally fairly challenging.

In contrast, in this Rapid Communication, we apply the most commonly used technique to study ultrafast magnetization dynamics, i.e., the time-resolved magneto-optical Kerr effect (TR-MOKE) using visible light. For this technique, it was widely assumed that the measured signal contains only a weighted average response of the entire material. However, as it was shown by Hamrle et al. in 2002, one can overcome this limitation by taking advantage of the complex nature of the Kerr response, which can be represented by the complex Kerr angle \( \Theta = \theta + i\varepsilon \) [24]. This so-called complex MOKE (C-MOKE) was very recently realized in a time-resolved experiment by Schellekens et al. [25]. Several experiments have been carried out since then which have shown both the proposed depth sensitivity [26] as well as the element sensitivity [25] of the C-MOKE technique. However, to disentangle the different contributions originating from different layers via the C-MOKE technique, so far one mostly has had to rely on a very specific sample geometry consisting of magnetic multilayer films with (at least) two separated ferromagnetic layers. Here, in contrast, we demonstrate the feasibility of C-MOKE for the study of a broader class of multilayer structures, and in our case a ferromagnetic-nonmagnetic bilayer system.

In this Rapid Communication, through the use of the layer-specific, femtosecond C-MOKE technique, we are able...
to follow the injection of spin currents from a ferromagnetic into a nonmagnetic layer, which we find to occur on time scales as fast as $\sim 40$ fs. Since the magnetic signal in the nonmagnetic Au layer only stems from injected spin carriers from Ni, we can directly quantify the amount of spin current via a comparison with \textit{ab initio} calculations of the Au MOKE response. We find that the spin current injection efficiency at the interface is larger than $\sim 50\%$.

The investigated sample is a metallic bilayer stack with 10 nm Ni on top of a 150 nm Au film. In addition, Ni is capped with 10 nm SiO$_2$ to avoid oxidation of the ferromagnetic Ni film over time. To exclude any oxidation of the Ni layer during the capping procedure, we employed a nonreactive sputtering target, i.e., without oxygen atmosphere. Similarly, all layers have been sputter deposited on an MgO substrate. In our time-resolved complex Kerr setup, we use a bichromatic pump-probe scheme (pump at 800 nm, probe at 400 nm) with a repetition rate of 1 kHz and a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the cross correlation of the pump and probe pulse of $\sim 75$ fs at the sample position. The experiment’s principle is depicted in Fig. 1. The MOKE response of the material to the incoming linearly polarized light pulse is given by both a rotation $\theta$ of the polarization axis as well as a change of its ellipticity $\varepsilon$. These two real quantities are combined in a complex number, the so-called complex Kerr angle $\theta = \theta + i\varepsilon$, which can be visualized as a vector $k$ in a Cartesian coordinate system. A reduction of the magnetization is then represented by a reduction of the length of the Kerr vector $k$. If there is more than one element in the sample system, such as Ni and Au in our case, the two individual Kerr vectors $k_{\text{Ni}}$ and $k_{\text{Au}}$ add as a vector sum to a total Kerr vector $k_{\text{total}} = k_{\text{Ni}} + k_{\text{Au}}$.

A MOKE measurement $m$ corresponds then to the absolute value of the orthogonal projection of this total Kerr vector onto a detection axis $s$: $m = k_{\text{total}} \cdot s = |k_{\text{total}}| \cos(\alpha)$. Using dedicated optics, we can freely choose an arbitrary detection axis in our experiments. This approach opens up the possibility to disentangle contributions from different elements/layers, if their corresponding Kerr vectors point in different directions. For instance, one can choose the detection axis to be orthogonal to one of the Kerr vectors (i.e., elements or layers), so that the projection of this vector on the axis is zero and therefore this particular element/layer does not contribute to the measured data. We call this the “orthogonal data set.” A more detailed derivation as well as information about the experimental realization can be found in Refs. [24,25].

For the interpretation of the collected C-MOKE data, it is necessary to distinguish between element dependence [25] and depth dependence [26,27] of the C-MOKE method. In our case, where we want to make use of the element dependence to gain layer specificity, we fabricated a sufficiently thin Ni layer, where the depth-dependent dynamics in Ni can be neglected [see Supplemental Material (SM) [28]]. Therefore, we can approximate that the magneto-optical response of a 10-nm Ni film can be described by a single complex Kerr vector pointing in a well-defined single direction in the complex plane. Consequently, in a Ni-orthogonal data set, where we are insensitive to Ni, we are then able to extract the photoinduced transient magnetic signal that stems from Au. It is important to note that this only holds true if the Ni and Au Kerr vectors solely change their length but keep a fixed orientation in the complex plane upon ultrafast optical excitation. This, however, can be verified by a reference experiment that is shown in the SM [28].

The orientation of the complex Kerr angle of the undisturbed Ni film can be deduced by a static measurement of the absolute Kerr signal, i.e., the difference between positive and negative saturation signals, as a function of the complex Kerr angle. The largest Kerr signal will be obtained when the Ni Kerr vector is parallel to the detection axis. We call this detector configuration the “Ni-parallel” projection. The Ni-orthogonal data set, which does not contain any Ni signal, is correspondingly rotated by 90°. Figure 2 shows the Ni-parallel (left) and Ni-orthogonal (right) time-resolved C-MOKE traces of the optically induced magnetization dynamics. The Ni-parallel signal exhibits the shape of a typical transient demagnetization with a quenching level of 87±1%. The Ni-orthogonal signal corresponds to the magnetization dynamics in Au and first increases after optical excitation, followed by a decay of the magnetic signal which even reverses its sign on longer time scales. We carry out exponential fits to the data with a single exponential decay in the case of Ni and an exponential increase followed by an exponential decay for the Ni-orthogonal case that we assign to the spin dynamics in Au. Both fit functions were convolved with a Gaussian with a FWHM of 75 fs, representing the experimental time smear at the position of the sample. The demagnetization time for the Ni-parallel data set yields an extremely fast demagnetization constant of $42 \pm 8$ fs. Although this signal has the maximum contribution from the Ni layer, it should be noted that it is not free of any Au signal, since the Au vector is not necessarily perpendicular to this detection axis. Nevertheless, since the Au signal is an order of magnitude smaller for all measured Kerr angles (see an overview of all measured Kerr signals in the SM [28]), the extracted time constant is representative of the Ni dynamics. The fit to the Ni-orthogonal data set, which in contrast shows the Au signal only, yields a rise time for the magnetization of $42 \pm 35$ fs and an exponential decay time of $255 \pm 70$ fs. We note that the Ni demagnetization exponential time constant is within error identical to the Au rise exponential time constant. The straightforward interpretation of these data is that ultrafast optical excitation initiates the
well-known superdiffusive spin currents from the Ni top layer which are instantaneously injected into the Au bottom layer, and that C-MOKE layer-specifically allows us to separate these dynamics. As already pointed out, however, a quantitative analysis of the amount of injected spins and a determination of the spin current injection efficiency has so far been elusive.

In our next step, we therefore aim to quantify the amount of injected spin carriers from the Ni to the Au layer. Here, our specific sample geometry is of advantage, since the magnetic Kerr signal from the initially nonmagnetic Au layer is completely induced by the spin current process, which allows a straightforward comparison with theory. For the quantification, we first need to know the magneto-optical constants of Au for the wavelength of the probe pulse used in our experiment (400 nm). We then can relate the magneto-optical response \[29\] of magnetized fcc Au (see SM [28]). This yields a rotation \(\theta_{\text{Au}}^\text{Ni} \approx -0.0092\) and an ellipticity \(\varepsilon_{\text{Au}}^\text{Ni} \approx -0.0055\) per 0.01 \(\mu_B\)/atom. After calibrating the detector system with the Ni signal, we are able to determine the maximum injected moment in our experiment at a pump-probe delay of 120 fs (peak position in the right graph of Fig. 2), which is 0.015 ± 0.002 \(\mu_B\)/atom.

At first sight, the amount of spin carriers in Au is much lower than one would have expected in the case where all spin carriers lost in Ni upon demagnetization would be transferred to Au (87% of 0.6 \(\mu_B\)/atom, i.e., 0.52 \(\mu_B\)/atom). To understand the seemingly small induced magnetization in Au, we note that there exist several effects that lower the transient C-MOKE signal of Au. First, we consider a spin relaxation in Au (500 fs), which finally leads to an excess of minority spins and a magnetization reversal. Before the pump arrives there are no spins in the Au (\(-100\) fs). After the optical excitation, the spins start to propagate into the Au. In this process, about 50% of the polarization is lost due to spin-flip scattering processes. This effect also lowers the transient C-MOKE signal of Au.

To confirm our model, we performed a simulation of this sample system using the superdiffusive transport model [4,30] with an additional nonzero spin-flip scattering probability

\[150°\]
in Au. The spin injection into Au occurs only due to the demagnetization in Ni (see SM [28]). Therefore, the injected spins in the Au film are solely given via the demagnetization of the Ni film, and the spin relaxation in Au is modeled by the spin flow of spin carriers out of the probed area as well as by spin-flip processes. Including both spin relaxation channels in Au, we can fully describe the experimentally observed decay of the C-MOKE signal in Au. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 in which the theoretically modeled transient magnetization traces of Au and Ni are superimposed onto the experimental C-MOKE traces of both layers. The experimental and theoretical data show a good qualitative agreement concerning the exponential decay and rise-time constants of the magnetic signal in Ni and Au. Minor differences between the experimental and simulated demagnetization traces of Ni between 50 and 500 fs can be attributed to an additional reduction of magnetization in Ni by spin-flip scattering processes which are not considered in our calculation. The amount of lost spins in Ni and injected spins in Au can be quantified by comparing the overall amplitude of the experimental and simulated demagnetization traces for both materials. We find a loss of magnetic moments in Ni of $0.52 \pm 0.02 \mu_B/\text{atom}$, but only an increase of magnetic moments of $0.25 \pm 0.07 \mu_B/\text{atom}$ in Au. Hence, the amount of spin moments that is lost in Ni, but not transferred to the Au substrate, is $0.27 \pm 0.09 \mu_B/\text{atom}$. The latter contributions are therefore spins that are lost either in Ni itself during the demagnetization process via, e.g., Elliott-Yafet spin-flip scattering, or spins that are initially part of the optically excited spin current in Ni but which are scattered directly at the Ni/Au interface [31].

The amount of possible spin-flip scattering inside the Ni film, i.e., the former contribution to the loss of magnetic moment, can be deduced via a reference experiment. For this purpose, we now compare the measured demagnetization time constants for Ni on Au, where spin current injection into the Au substrate is allowed, and Ni on MgO, where the demagnetization can only be caused by spin-flip scattering within the Ni film, and not by spin transport. Carrying out these two comparative measurements, we find that Ni on MgO demagnetizes with a time constant of $120 \pm 14$ fs (see SM [28] and compare also with Refs. [2,7]), which is almost three times larger than in the case of Ni on Au with $42 \pm 8$ fs. This significant difference in the demagnetization times of Ni on different substrates clearly shows that the loss of magnetic moment via spin-flip scattering takes considerably longer than the dominant demagnetization mechanism for Ni on Au. As ultrafast spin transport is the main difference between both bilayer systems, we have substantial evidence that the demagnetization dynamics in the case of Ni on Au is dominantly driven by spin currents. This conclusion is also in line with our previous explanation that minor discrepancies between the experimental and simulated demagnetization traces in Fig. 3 can be attributed to spin-flip scattering processes occurring on time scales larger than 50 fs.

Based on the finding that the demagnetization in Ni is almost exclusively caused by spin currents from Ni into Au, we conclude that spin-flip scattering processes at the interface and in the Ni film are responsible for the remaining 50% loss of magnetic moment in the spin transfer process to the Au film. With a $\sim$50% loss of spin moment that we do not detect in Au, maximally every fourth electron must have flipped its spin in the transfer process. This corresponds to a spin current injection efficiency at the Ni-Au interface of 50%.

More precisely, this value is a quantification of the lower limit of the spin current injection efficiency from Ni into Au. It is calculated with the assumption that the loss of magnetic moments in Ni on Au is solely driven by optically generated spin currents which all move towards the Ni-Au interface. Although this is a good approximation (as deduced by the demagnetization time constants of Ni on Au and Ni on MgO), it is not perfect. In real systems, additional microscopic mechanisms such as Elliott-Yafet spin-flip scattering [32] and ultrafast magnon generation [33–36] can have additional contributions to the loss of magnetic moments in 3$d$ ferromagnetic materials. These effects reduce the amount of magnetic moments transported towards the Ni-Au interface and hence result in a larger spin injection efficiency into Au. However, as a precise quantification of these contributions to the overall loss of magnetic moments in Ni is rather challenging, we refrain from further speculations and conclude that the spin current injection efficiency from Ni into Au is larger than 50%.

Finally, we want to focus on the dynamics on time scales beyond 500 fs. Here, the experimental and theoretical data show a very distinct behavior for the measured Kerr signal in Au, i.e., a reversal of the magnetization. This is remarkable since in the modeling we use ab initio calculated spin lifetimes and velocities in Au that are identical for majority- and minority-spins [37]. Initially, many majority-spin electrons having a high energy that are injected into the Au layer have a high probability of undergoing a spin-flip scattering process. Minority-spin electrons are injected as well, but, since these have a shorter lifetime in Ni, they have already lost energy and are delayed. Their later arrival, together with the high rate of spin-flip scattering of majority-spin electrons, leads to an excess of minority-spins in the field of view and hence to a negative transient magnetization, as can be seen from Fig. 3. Our interpretation of these phenomena is fully supported by previous investigations of optically induced spin currents in Au films [11].

In conclusion, we find that we are able to use C-MOKE to follow layer-resolved femtosecond injection of superdiffusive spin currents from a Ni film into a nonmagnetic Au substrate. A direct comparison of the demagnetization time constants of Ni on different substrates provides substantial evidence that the demagnetization dynamics of Ni/Au is mainly caused by optically generated spin currents. Via comparison with theory, we are able to quantify the amount of injected moment from the Ni to the Au to be $0.25 \mu_B/\text{atom}$, which corresponds to $\sim$50% of the lost moment in Ni and to a spin current injection efficiency into Au of 50%. Taking into account further demagnetization mechanisms such as Elliott-Yafet spin-flip scatterings and ultrafast magnon generation, we are able to conclude that this value is a lower limit of the spin current injection efficiency at the Ni-Au polycrystalline interface, and that the real injection efficiency must be even larger than 50%.

Moreover, our combined experimental and theoretical approach provides the ideal methodology for future investigations of fs-spin current injection in spintronic assemblies with the goal to engineer interfaces for the highest spin current injection efficiency. Highly interesting approaches to
enhance the spin current injection efficiency across interfaces are either to optimize the morphology of the interface by employing different growth conditions or to choose materials with matching Fermi surfaces. Such investigations will be essential for the successful implementation of ultrafast spin currents in spintronic applications.
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