Comparing inter beat and inter pulse intervals from ECG and PPG signals
Nano, M.; Papini, G.; Ferreira dos santos da Fonseca, P.M.; Vullings, R.; Overeem, S.; Bergmans, J.W.M.; Aarts, R.M.

Published: 09/05/2017

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:
- A submitted manuscript is the author's version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.
- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 15. Dec. 2018
COMPARING INTER BEAT AND INTER PULSE INTERVALS FROM ECG AND PPG SIGNALS


*Dept of Electrical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, De Zaale, 5612 AZ Eindhoven, The Netherlands
† Sleep Medicine Centre Kempenhaeghe, Sterkselseweg 65 5591 VE Heeze, The Netherlands
‡ Philips Research, High Tech Campus, 5566 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Email: M.M.Nano@tue.nl

Introduction
Heart rate (HR) monitoring is crucial in several clinical fields. The gold standard technique for measuring HR dynamics is electrocardiography (ECG). However, photoplethysmography (PPG) is becoming a reliable alternative to ECG for long-term monitoring, because of its unobtrusiveness. Studies have shown that cardiac features, such as HR variability, can be accurately calculated both from ECG and wrist-worn PPG measurements [1], [2]. However, the correspondence of beat-to-beat intervals (RR) from ECG and PPG pulse-to-pulse intervals (PP) has not been widely studied, especially during sleep where lower number of body movement artifacts are present.

Our research investigates the correspondence between RR and PP for three landmarks on the PPG waveform.

Methods

Data
10 overnight sleep recording of 6 healthy subjects (age: 50.5 ± 8.46 y, 2 males) using ambulatory PSG for lead II ECG recordings and wrist-worn PPG sensor

Analysis
- ECG and PPG synchronization (time and frequency offset)
- R-peaks detection
- Pulse-landmarks (foot (FL), peak (PL), maximum gradient of the rising slope (ML)) detection
- R-peaks and pulse-landmarks considered a match when detected within a 125ms window in order to account for pulse transmit time (PTT) changes
- Comparison of the distributions of the RR-PP differences

Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landmark</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (ms)</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>0.3 ± 19 ms</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1.8 ± 39 ms</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>0.3 ± 18 ms</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Maximum gradient of the rising slope landmarks deliver the lowest average difference to R-peaks, and the lowest trigger jitter and skewness
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