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Relevance of the Mo-precursor State in H-ZSM-5 for the Methane Dehydroaromatization

Ina Vollmer\textsuperscript{a}, Guanna Li\textsuperscript{b}, Irina Yarulina\textsuperscript{a,d}, Nikolay Kosinov\textsuperscript{b}, Emiel J. Hensen\textsuperscript{b}, Klaartje Houben\textsuperscript{c}, Deni Mance\textsuperscript{c}, Marc Baldus\textsuperscript{c}, Jorge Gascon\textsuperscript{a,d}, Freerk Kapteijn\textsuperscript{a}

While the local geometry of Mo in Mo/HZSM-5 has been characterized before, we present a systematic way to manipulate the configuration of Mo and link it to its catalytic properties. The location and geometry of cationic Mo-complexes, the precursor of the active metal site for Methane Dehydroaromatization, is altered by directing on the way they anchor to the framework of the zeolite. The handle used to direct the anchoring of Mo is the location of AI in the zeolite framework. According to DFT calculations, the local geometry of Mo should change, while UV-Vis and Pyridine FTIR indicated differences in the dispersion of Mo. Both aspects, however, did not influence the catalytic behavior of Mo/HZSM-5, indicating that as long as enough isolated Mo species are present inside the pores of the zeolite, catalytic behavior is unaffected. This paves the way to better understand how the Mo oxo precursor transforms to the active phase at reaction conditions.

Introduction
Interest and demand for a direct way to convert methano to valuable products is growing with the increasing availability of CH\textsubscript{4} sources and the inevitable depletion of oil. Such a process would enable flexible, on the spot, valorisation of small-scale sources of methane, as example by by-product of oil fields which is currently flared or reinjected.\textsuperscript{2} To date, methane is utilized via syngas chemistry, which is only economic at a large scale.\textsuperscript{2} Oxidative methane coupling has attracted vast interest, but has inferior carbon efficiency when compared to nonoxidative methane conversion, because of the high selectivity towards CO\textsubscript{2} and CO. Since the pioneering work of Bragan and later Wang et al.,\textsuperscript{3,4} the direct aromatization of methane under reducing conditions has attracted a great deal of interest in the scientific community. In this process methane is converted to aromatics, mostly benzene as well as naphthalene, toluene and xylenes with simultaneous production of hydrogen. Ethylene and ethane are also produced in small quantities. The direct aromatization of CH\textsubscript{4} only occurs at harsh conditions, because of significant thermodynamic limitations. With a \(\Delta G^\circ = +433 \text{ kJ/mol}\) and \(\Delta H^\circ = +531 \text{ kJ/mol}\) it is only above 650 °C that conversion of CH\textsubscript{4} becomes significant.\textsuperscript{5} The process has not been commercialized up to date. This is, to a large extent, due to the rapid deactivated of the Mo/HZSM-5 catalyst used (the best performing system so far) and to several important issues related with its regeneration.\textsuperscript{6,8} Literature commonly agrees that methane is activated on Mo, which is present in a unique and highly dispersed form inside the channels of HZSM-5. However, Mo was shown to reduce in the beginning of the reaction suggesting that the reduced Mo-species is the active phase for methane dehydroaromatization.\textsuperscript{9-12} Thus far, it is not entirely understood how the Mo oxide geometry of the as-synthesized catalyst transforms to the Mo carbide at reaction conditions and how the initial oxide structure influences the final carbide phase. Podkolzin et. al. have used DFT calculations to find reasonable structures for the Mo carbide phase.\textsuperscript{13} Certainty about the presence of these or other (oxy-)carbide structures at reaction conditions can only be reached by spectroscopic or other experimental observations, which are difficult to perform. Understanding this unique configuration is however crucial to guide Mo/HZSM-5 synthesis and to design new materials with similar catalytic behaviour.

Regarding the influence of the Mo precursor on activity, the most important prerequisite is its presence inside the pores as isolated mono-\textsuperscript{14} or bi-atomic\textsuperscript{17} entities.\textsuperscript{18} Because it is difficult to characterize the reduced Mo-species at reaction conditions, scientists often rely on characterizing the as-synthesized catalyst. The local geometry around Mo in Mo/HZSM-5 has been characterized by UV Raman using DFT calculations to match structures to the observed vibrations.\textsuperscript{10} The dispersion of Mo has been investigated by UV-Vis\textsuperscript{19}, UV-Vis\textsuperscript{16}, XANES\textsuperscript{20,22} and XPS\textsuperscript{21,23}. Both geometry and dispersion of the Mo-phase have been speculated to be important for catalysis.\textsuperscript{16,18,19,21,24,25}

To shed more light on the relation between these well dispersed Mo oxo units and catalytic performance, a promising approach is to manipulate their structure. To get a direct handle on the volatile Mo oxo species migrating into the pores during the synthesis is near to impossible. Thus, in this paper we chose to manipulate their sitting instead. Since Mo is immobilized on the Brønsted acid sites (BASs) of the zeolite,
which are made up of trivalent Al in the framework, Mo can be
directed by synthesizing zeolites with those BAS in varied
locations. With this, we present a systematic way to
manipulate the local geometry of Mo and link it to its catalytic
properties.26 We aim to further understand which property of
the precursor state: location, geometry or isolation is most
important for the final performance of the Mo precursor.

Experimental Details

Catalyst Preparation

The synthesis procedure for the samples with low, medium
and high concentration of Al pairs denoted HZ-L, HZ-M and HZ-
H respectively was adapted from Dedecek et al.26 Solutions
for the Al source, the Si source and the structure-directing
agent (SDA) were prepared. The Al source solution was either
Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O in DI-water (softened to 0.1°D, deionized by
reverse-osmosis via a resistance of > 1 Mohm/cm, disinfected
through an UV filter) keeping H₂O:Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O at 126
(solution A) or AlCl₃·6H₂O in DI-water with H₂O:AlCl₃·6H₂O =
128 (solution B). The Si source solution contained tetraethyl
orthosilicate (TEOS) with ethanol keeping ethanol:TEOS = 1
and the SDA containing solution was tetrapropylammonium
hydroxide (TPAOH, 1 M in H₂O) and DI-water keeping
H₂O:TPAOH = 101. In a typical synthesis, two solutions are
mixed for 90 min after which the third solution is added to mix
for another 90 min at ambient conditions. For HZ-L, Al-source
solution A is mixed with the Si source solution first and then
the SDA solution is fed and then the SDA solution is mixed.
For HZ-M, the Si source solution is mixed with Al source solution B and then the SDA is added. HZ-
H was synthesized in the same manner as HZ-M only adding the SDA prior to the Si source. The Si/TPAOH ratio was kept at 2.65 and Si/Al at 25 for all syntheses. Subsequently, hydrothermal synthesis was performed at 170 °C in 45 ml
Teflon liners in steel autoclaves with rotation for 10 days in the
case of HZ-L and HZ-H. To obtain the same crystal size for all
samples, a shorter duration for hydrothermal synthesis of 7
days was applied for HZ-M. The synthesized crystals were
recovered by centrifugation and washing with DI-water 3
times. The so obtained crystals were left for drying overnight
at 80 °C and then calcined at 550 °C for 7 h, heating at a rate of
2°C/min.

Na ion exchange was achieved with 200 ml 1 M NaNO₃
solution per 1 g zeolite. The zeolite was exchanged for 7 h at
80 °C and afterwards recovered by centrifugation. Almost full
Na ion exchange was achieved as confirmed by ICP (Table S1).
The samples were exchanged with Co(NO₃)₂ following Na-
exchange. The zeolite was left stirring in 200 ml 0.05 M
solution per gram for 7 h at 80 °C and subsequently washed
with DI-water and centrifuged 3 times. Co ion-exchange was
repeated 3 times. Samples exchanged with Co are denoted by
CoHZ-x, where x denotes the parent zeolites with a low (L),
medium (M) or high (H) concentration of Al pairs.

Mo was introduced to the acid form of the zeolite through
Incipient Wetness Impregnation (IWI) dissolving appropriate
amounts of ammonium heptamolybdate (AHM) in a volume
of water needed to fill the pores of the zeolite powder (210 µl/g).
The samples are dried overnight at 80 °C and calcined at 550 °C
for 7 h using a heating rate of 2°C/min. Samples are thereafter
denoted as yMoHZ-x, where y denotes the wt.% of Mo
introduced. Catalysts were prepared with 2, 5 and 7.5 wt.% of
Mo.

Catalyst characterization

Low secondary Electron Imaging (LEI) was used on a JEOL JSM-
7500F Scanning Electron Microscope field emission scanning
electron microscope (SEM) to produce high quality images
of the catalysts. An acceleration voltage of 5 kV was used during
image acquisition.

Chemical composition of the samples in terms of Mo, Co, Si
and Al content was measured by digestion of approximately 50
mg sample in 4.5 ml 30% HCl + 1.5 ml 65% HNO₃ + 0.2 ml 40%
HF using a microwave. The digestion time in the microwave
was 60 min at max. power. After digestion, the samples were
diluted to 50 ml with MQ and analysed with ICP-OES on a
PerkinElmer Optima 5300 (torch:S:i+saffire injector). For Na a
PerkinElmer AAS Modell AAAnalyst 200 was used.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) data was collected on a Bruker
D8 Advance diffractometer, operating in Bragg-Brentano
geometry using Co Kα radiation (λ = 0.179 nm) and a Lynxeye
position sensitive detector to collect data in the range of 2θ
from 5° to 50° with a scan-speed of 0.2°·s⁻¹ and a sample
rotation rate of 30 rpm.

Nd adsorption was performed on a TriStar II 3020 Version 3.02
(Micromeritics) at liquid nitrogen temperature, T = 77 K for
determination of the BET area. The t-method was used to
determine the micropore volume. Before adsorption, the
catalyst was outgassed under a flow of N₂ at 350 °C for 16 h.

Pyridine Transmission FTIR spectroscopy was performed on a
Nicolet 6700 spectrometer with a MCT/B detector. The sample
was first activated in vacuum at 400 °C for 16 h to remove
adsorbed species. After activation, the pyridine gas was fed to
the pellets until saturated and further evacuated at 160 °C for
2 h. Spectra were recorded in 1000–4000 cm⁻¹ range at 4 cm⁻¹
resolution and co-addition of 128 scans. The spectra shown
represent the subtraction result of the spectra collected
before adsorption of pyridine from the one taken afterwards.
All spectra were normalized by the framework absorbance at
1873 cm⁻¹.

The UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis-DRS) were
collected on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 900 spectrophotometer
equipped with an integrating sphere ("Labsphere") in the 200–
800 nm range. BaSO₄ was used as a white standard for CoHZ-x
and the bare zeolite for yMoHZ-x.

Before measurement, the samples were degassed at 400 °C
under N₂ flow for 12 h and then transferred to the sample
holders in the glovebox. The absorption intensity is expressed
by the Schuster-Kubelka-Munk equation $I_\text{F} = (1 - R_{\infty})^2/2R_{\infty}$.

Quantification of Al₃⁺ and determination of their location is
reported by Dedecek et. al. $A_l^3$ exists either as single Al or in
pairs. Co(II) ions selectively exchange with the Al in pairs at
the applied exchange conditions.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, the following formula can be applied to calculate the number of $A_i$ in pairs.

$$A_{\text{pairs}} = 2 \times C_{\text{total}}$$

In addition, because Co replaces Na, the number of Al-pairs can also be calculated by the following formula

$$A_i = A_{\text{single}} + A_{\text{pairs}}$$

$$A_{\text{single}} = N_a_{\text{total}}$$

Where $C_{\text{total}}$ and $N_{\text{total}}$ represent the total amounts of exchanged Co$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ cations present in the samples as determined by ICP. Co(II) ions can be either located in the straight or sinusoidal channels of the zeolite or at the intersection of the two. The distribution of $A_{\text{pairs}}$ among those sites is determined by deconvoluting the absorption bands of Co(II) in UV-Vis with Gaussians.

**Catalytic Testing**

Catalytic testing was performed in a quartz tube with an inner diameter of 6 mm, using 500 mg catalyst pelletized to pellets of 212 to 425 $\mu$m. A weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 1.21 h$^{-1}$ was applied in all tests. Product analysis was achieved on an Interscience Trace GC with a TCD and two FIDs. A mixture 5% N$_2$ in CH$_4$ was fed to the reactor. The reactor was brought to reaction temperature under the same flow with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Although trace amount of many products were detected in the calculations the major products were considered (hydrogen, ethylene, ethane, propylene, benzene, toluene, xylenes and naphthalene).

Product yields were calculated according to (4).

$$Y_{\text{product}}[\text{mol%}] = \frac{F_{\text{mol}}}{F_{\text{CH}_4_{in}}} \times i \times 100 \%$$

**DFT calculations**

Periodic DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).\textsuperscript{28, 29} The exchange–correlation energy was described by the generalized gradient approximation PBE functional.\textsuperscript{30} A plane-wave basis set with a cut-off of 500 eV in combination with the projected augmented wave (PAW) method was used.\textsuperscript{31, 32} Brillouin zone-sampling was restricted to the $\Gamma$ point. The orthogonal MFI unit cell with lattice parameters of $a = 20.241$ Å, $b = 20.015$ Å, and $c = 13.439$ Å as optimized by DFT with an all-silica MFI periodic model was used for all calculations. The optimized unit cell parameters agree well with experimental data for calcined ZSM-5.\textsuperscript{33} To compensate for the positive charge of the extra-framework cationic Mo-aox complexes, one or two framework Si$^{4+}$ ions in MFI unit cell were substituted by Al$^{3+}$.

**Results**

In the Mo/HZSM-5 system, Mo oxide replaces the proton that balances trivalent Al in the HZSM-5 framework. The geometry of Mo oxide and its anchoring can be controlled by manipulating the location of Al in the framework. This Al$^3$ sitting was directed by the synthesis procedure, namely the source of Al used as well as the order of mixing of structure directing agent (SDA), Al source and silica source (see Table 1). Structural properties from N$_2$ Adsorption are summarized in Table 1, while the morphology is shown in the SEM images in Figure 1. All zeolites synthesized exhibited the typical diffraction pattern of MFI (Figure S1). Structural properties (Figure S2), morphology and particle size are very similar for all three synthesized HZSM-5 zeolites. In agreement with the similar structural properties, the three samples show virtually identical $^{27}$Al ssNMR spectra (Figure S3) where the majority of Al is in a tetrahedral conformation and about 4-5 % present as extra-framework Al. Only for HZ-H the peak corresponding to tetrahedral Al is shifted by 0.5 ppm, which can be explained by the slightly lower Si/Al ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Synthesis</th>
<th>Si/Al</th>
<th>$S_{\text{external}}$ $m^2/g$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{micro}}$ $m^2/g$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{total}}$ $m^2/g$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{micro}}$ $cm^3/g$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HZ-L</td>
<td>Al(NO$_3$)$_3$, H$_2$O, TEOS, TPAOH</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-M</td>
<td>TEOS, AlCl$_3$, 6H$_2$O, TPAOH</td>
<td>24.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-H</td>
<td>TPAOH, AlCl$_3$, 6H$_2$O, TEOS</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: SEM images of HZ-L (left) HZ-M (middle) HZ-H (right).
However, the three HZSM-5 differ greatly in terms of Al location. The three samples have different fractions of Al in pairs ($A_{pairs}$) vs. $A_{single}$. Where $A_{pairs}$ consists of two framework Al ($Al_f$) separated by two Si-O units (Al-O-Si-O-Al) and $A_{single}$ is an Al separated from another Al by more than two Si-O units. Figure 2a shows that HZ-L has the lowest percentage of $A_{pairs}$ (38% of $Al_f$) and HZ-H the highest (72% of $Al_f$), while HZ-M lies in-between. This is determined by Eq. (2) according to the method developed by Dëdecék and co-workers to identify the location of $Al_f$ using cobalt (Co) exchange. Figure 2b shows the UV-Vis absorption of all three samples, where the intensity of the bands characteristic for Co cations increase from HZ-L to HZ-H. We further utilized these bands to locate the $A_{pairs}$ in $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ sites, positioned in the straight channel, at the intersection of straight and sinusoidal channels and in the sinusoidal channels, respectively. UV-Vis spectra and Gaussian deconvolution of those bands are shown in Figure 2c-d. Figure 2a summarized the distribution of $A_{pairs}$ among the $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ sites for HZ-M and HZ-H obtained from Gaussian deconvolution, where $\alpha$-sites are identified with the band at 15100 cm$^{-1}$, $\beta$-sites with the bands at 16000, 17150, 18600 and 21200 cm$^{-1}$, while Co in $\gamma$-sites gives rise to bands 20100 and 22000 cm$^{-1}$. The bands in HZ-L were not intense enough to achieve meaningful results from Gaussian deconvolution. Deconvolution for the other catalysts reveals a similar distribution of $A_{pairs}$ among the three different sites, with most $A_{pairs}$ located in the $\beta$-sites at the intersection of the straight and sinusoidal channels of MFI. Only about 20% of $A_{pairs}$ are located in the straight ($\alpha$-site) and sinusoidal channels ($\gamma$-site) of the MFI structure.

Mo structures optimized by DFT calculations (Figure 3) show different geometries depending on whether they are anchored on $A_{pairs}$ or $A_{single}$. Energies relative to the $\gamma$-site calculated for Mo oxide structures anchored to both $A_{pairs}$ or $A_{single}$ are low for all three sites considered here, namely $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$. Because of these small energy differences, differences in location of $Al_f$ can direct Mo to be present in varying dispersion (Figure 4-5) and geometry. To determine the size of Mo species or rather the number of Mo-O-Mo bonds formed, the edge energy of Mo, obtained from UV-Vis, was compared with known references as shown by Wachs et al. Figure 4 shows that for all samples Mo is present as monomeric species at low (2 wt.%) Mo loading, corresponding to Mo/Al = 0.35. At higher loadings however, the zeolites show very different dispersion. While a significant amount of Mo is still present as monomeric species in HZ-L, in HZ-M and HZ-H Mo already starts forming Mo clusters at Mo/Al = 0.9. The more $A_{pairs}$ are present in the sample, the more clustering occurs, because one Mo will bind to two $Al_f$ and therefore more $Al_f$ is needed to incorporate the same amount of Mo. This is further confirmed by Pyridine FTIR quantification of the Lewis acid sites (LAS) absorbance at 1455 cm$^{-1}$ and the Brønsted acid sites (BAS) band at 1546 cm$^{-1}$ shown in Figure 5 (see Figure S4-5 for full spectra). While the concentration of both LAS and BAS (Figure 5) as well as the acid strength (Figure 5) of the pristine zeolites is similar, upon incorporating 2 wt.%
Mo especially BAS decreases to a higher extent for HZ-H than for HZ-L. Acidity decreases to 65% of the initial value for 2 wt.% Mo loading (Mo/Al = 0.35, see Table S2 for ratios determined by ICP) for HZ-L, which means that one Al is blocked for each Mo incorporated. For HZ-H Brønsted acidity decreases by more than 65%, leading to 1.5 acid sites neutralized per Mo incorporated (see right axis in Figure 5) confirming that Mo binds to Al_{pairs} in this case. Incorporation of more Mo does not decrease the acid site concentration any further, suggesting that instead of anchoring to the framework, Mo is increasingly present in clusters. XPS being a surface sensitive technique confirms these findings further (Figure S7). For 5 wt.% and 7.5 wt.% loading, a higher concentration of Mo is seen with this surface sensitive technique than what is determined by the bulk technique ICP (Figure S7, Table S2), which means that a significant amount of Mo is agglomerated at the surface of the zeolite for these high loadings. In contrast, the Mo loadings obtained from ICP and XPS match very well for 2 wt.% again confirming that Mo is very well dispersed for these catalysts. This was also observed by Liu et al.22

DFT structure optimization (Figure 3) revealed the different geometries for Mo oxide anchoring to Al_{pairs} vs. Al_{single}. This difference in geometry arises from the difference of one negative charge present when binding to two vs. one Al, which is compensated by another dangling oxygen.

This systematic approach to altering the geometry and dispersion of Mo finally allows drawing the crucial connection to catalytic performance in MDA. The three zeolites with 2 wt.% and 5 wt.% Mo loading were tested at 650 °C. The methane conversion reaches 4.5% for 2 wt.% Mo and around 7% for 5 wt.% Mo in the initial period of the reaction, but decreases to about 1% during the initial 2 hours on stream for both Mo loadings (Figure 6). Benzene is formed with the highest yield, followed by naphthalene. Only negligible amounts of toluene, ethane and ethylene are formed. Within experimental accuracy the catalytic performance (activity, selectivity and deactivation) of all three zeolites is the same. This was also observed when testing the catalysts at 760 °C (Figure S12), although deactivation is faster in that case (Figure S13).

![Figure 3: Results of geometry optimization with DFT for Mo oxide anchored either to Al_{pairs} (top) or Al_{single} (bottom) in α, β and γ positions. Energies relative to the γ-site are given below the images.](image)

![Figure 4: E_L obtained with the Tauc plot method for samples (black: HZ-L, grey: HZ-M, turquoise: HZ-H) with different Mo loadings and references. Full spectra in Figure S8 to S11.](image)

![Figure 5: Concentration of BAS and LAS in HZ-x for different Mo-loading. Mo/Al = 0.35 and 0.9 correspond to 2 wt.% and 5 wt.% of Mo respectively.](image)
This is explained by the fact that the bonds the Mo precursor forms with the framework and its geometry transform significantly at reaction conditions. It was shown that the Mo gets reduced under these conditions which is likely to break at least one bond of the Mo with the framework thereby changing its geometry, as demonstrated for Tungsten.\textsuperscript{17} The catalytic results also imply that both Mo anchoring to $A_{\text{pairs}}$ as well as $A_{\text{single}}$ reduce easily enough leading to a similar delay in onset of the reaction. In all catalysts prepared in this work, enough isolated Mo oxo units are inside the pores of the zeolite to lead to similar catalytic behaviour, so that seems to be the more important factor for this particular system.

Conclusions

These results suggest that the precursor state of the Mo and the aluminium distribution in the three ZSM-5 samples plays no decisive role in the final performance for methane dehydroaromatization. We attribute this effect to the significant transformation of the local environment and binding of Mo at reaction conditions. At the reaction temperatures methane converts the Mo oxide into Mo (oxy-)carbide, which was shown to be the active phase in this reaction.\textsuperscript{9,13} In this sense, the isolation of the Mo oxo units and their location inside the channels of the zeolite seem to be crucial in the development of an efficient pre-catalysts. The geometry does not play a role, likely because it is transformed upon carbirization. With this work, we bridge the gap between the Mo precursor state and catalytic performance. So far this work has either focused on extensive characterization of the Mo precursor\textsuperscript{16,18,21} or on measuring the effect of other factors on catalytic performance\textsuperscript{16,31}. Our results underscore the necessity to develop appropriate characterization tools for methane dehydroaromatization catalysts that elucidate how the actual catalytic site forms under reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{21,25}
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