Choice of electromagnetic actuators for assistive robots

Citation for published version (APA):

Zanis, R., Motoasca, T. E., & Lomonova, E. (2012). Choice of electromagnetic actuators for assistive robots. *Gerontechnology*, 11(2), 386-386. <https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2012.11.02.235.00>

DOI:

[10.4017/gt.2012.11.02.235.00](https://doi.org/10.4017/gt.2012.11.02.235.00)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2012

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

R. ZANIS, T.E. MOTOASCA, E.A. LOMONOVA. **Choice of electromagnetic actuators for assistive robots.** *Gerontechnology* 2012;11(2):386; doi:10.4017/gt.2012.11.02.235.00 **Purpose** Assistive robots such as exoskeletons that support the movement of physically impaired people and robots that are used for rehabilitation purposes need to closely interact with their (elderly) users. This gives rise to special requirements in the hardware design of such assistive robots, for instance a mechanical compliance or softness that significantly improves the safety level and provides more natural and lifelike sensation to the users. The mechanical compliance can be provided by actuators that may be based on electromagnetic (EM) principles, which in many cases favorably compare to the pneumatic- or hydraulic-based systems considering cost and implementation issues. In this work, the aspects of EM-based actuators for implementations in assistive robots are investigated. **Method** Three different EM-based actuators are compared in this work, namely a geared motor, a direct drive motor and a variable stiffness actuator (VSA)¹. The geared and direct drive motors are each equipped with an impedance controller², which virtually renders a compliant mechanical behavior. The compliance of the VSA arises from two nonlinear mechanical springs³ that are coupled with two geared motors, allowing both robot's joint position and compliance to be controlled. Experiments are performed to investigate the performance of each actuator in adjusting its compliance as well as its response to a sudden impact, which is done to evaluate the safety level of the actuator used in assistive robots. **Results & Discussion** The evaluation of the actuators in terms of cost and size can be done without use of experiments. The experiments attempt to define other comparison criteria of the actuators. The evaluation of a sudden impact with a rigid object is performed by speeding up the mechanical link (emulation of a robotic arm) attached to each actuator, towards a rigid force sensor. Another experiment is performed by keeping the mechanical link of each actuator in permanent contact with a force sensor while the compliance level of the actuator is changed over time. The measured force levels from both experiments reveal the capabilities of each of the actuators in absorbing impact energy as well as in adjusting its compliance. These findings give indications on the safety level of assistive robots with such actuators in interactive tasks with humans and the environment. In addition, it has also been shown that each actuator consumes a different amount of electrical power for performing the same experimental task. This can be an essential issue when one considers implementing a particular actuator in assistive robots having a limited energy supply (*Table 1*). The advantages and disadvantages as presented in the table could be used as a guideline in selecting actuators for implementation in assistive robots.

References

1. Albu-Schaeffer A, Eiberger O, Grebenstein M, Haddadin S, Ott C, Wimbock T, Wolf S, Hirzinger G. Soft robotics. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine* 2008;15(3):20-30; doi:10.1109/MRA.2008.927979

2. Hogan N, Buerger SP. Impedance and interaction control. *Robotics and Automation Handbook*. New York: CRC Press; 2005

3. Migliore S, Brown EA, DeWeerth SP. Biologically inspired joint stiffness control. *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*; 2005; pp 4508-4513

Keywords: actuators, assistive robots, compliance

Affiliation: Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands; E: r.zanis@tue.nl

Full paper: No

Table 1. Comparison criteria of the actuators

Aspect	Geared	Direct drive	VSA
Cost	++	-	-
Size	++	-	--
Impact response	--	+	++
Manipulability of compliance	--	++	+
Electrical power consumption	++	--	+