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Abstract

Flow-induced crystallization of α- and γ- phases was studied for a propylene/ethylene random copolymer with 3.4 mol% ethylene at two high temperatures of 132 and 142 °C by combining a pressure-driven slit flow device with real-time synchrotron wide angle X-ray diffraction. At 132 °C, it was found that both α- and γ- phases were generated at shear stresses ranging from 0.091 to 0.110 MPa, and that the γ-phase always appeared later than the α-phase. However, for 142 °C and the same stresses, only the α-phase formed. Only upon cooling the partially crystallized copolymer did the γ-phase emerge. The lack of γ-crystals obtained at 142 °C is opposite to the behavior reported for quiescent crystallization under pressure, for which increasing temperature results in more and even pure γ-crystals. In the current study, the absence of γ-phase at 142 °C is tentatively associated to lack of epitaxial nucleation on α-lamellae and to relatively low growth rate of γ-crystals.
INTRODUCTION

Propylene/ethylene random copolymer (P/E-RACO) is an important semi-crystalline material with broad applications including packing, fiber, film, and so on. The final properties of P/E-RACO are determined by the specific structures formed during crystallization. As a typical polymorphic polymer, P/E-RACO can crystallize into a monoclinic α-phase, trigonal β-phase, orthorhombic γ-phase, and even a mesophase. Among these modifications, α-phase is the most common and can be generated from customary solution and melt crystallizations. Differently, γ-phase—which has a unique crossed arrangement of chains and exhibits superior modulus and yield strength—is mainly generated with molecular defects or elevated pressure. In P/E-RACO, the presence of ethylene co-units in the main chain significantly enhances formation of γ-phase. Thus, P/E-RACO has improved toughness and moderate low-temperature impact strength compared with its isotactic polypropylene homopolymer counterpart. Moreover, an epitaxial relationship exists between the γ- and α- phases, where α-phase grows first and induces the epitaxial growth of γ-phase. Cavall et al. found that γ-phase can also induce the appearance of α-phase via cross-nucleation. In summary, the concomitant crystallization of α- and γ- phases is a crucial aspect of crystalline structure development in P/E-RACO, and it is attracting increasing attention from both the academic and industrial communities.

In addition to molecular structure, polymer crystallization also depends strongly on applied external fields. Temperature and flow conditions are the most important parameters during processing, where materials are typically molten at elevated temperatures and then experience shear, extensional, or combined flow fields during transport and shaping of the product. Indeed, both temperature and flow greatly influence the process of polymer crystallization. For concomitant crystallization of α- and γ- phases, the temperature dependence of the Gibbs free energy of each phase under atmospheric pressure was calculated by Dimeska et al. It was found that, with increasing temperature, the stability superiority of α-phase with respect to γ-phase decreases and, above a critical point, γ-phase becomes the stable modification. Furthermore, it was demonstrated experimentally that under pressure, the fraction of γ-crystals increases as increasing crystallization temperature and even pure γ-phase can be obtained. In conclusion, it seems that elevated temperatures favor the formation of γ-phase.

Flow can enhance nucleation and induce orientation of polymer chains. Since such
increase in nucleation density can raise the resulting crystallization temperature, the formation of $\gamma$-phase could possibly be facilitated by flow from a thermodynamic point of view.\textsuperscript{42-44} However, the orientation induced by flow may have a different effect. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{45} studied the crystallization of a metallocene-based isotactic polypropylene under shear flow in which the stereo-irregularities (i.e. the molecular defects) facilitated the formation of $\gamma$-phase. For this material, the quiescent crystallization at 140 °C led to a high fraction of up to 66% of $\gamma$-phase. In contrast, when continuous shear was applied for a long time, the fraction of $\gamma$-phase dropped to 7%. Yang et al.\textsuperscript{46} investigated the shear dependence of $\gamma$-crystal formation in iPP homopolymer. It was found that a high pressure of 100 MPa eventually enhances formation of $\gamma$-phase, which ultimately becomes the dominant modification of the crystallites. When a shear rate of 3.7 s$^{-1}$ was applied, however, the formation of $\gamma$ crystallites was suppressed. Clearly, flow may on one hand enhance formation of $\gamma$-phase by increasing the crystallization temperature, but it may also impede the formation of $\gamma$-phase due to the substantially distinct crossed segmental arrangement in $\gamma$-phase when compared with flow-induced chain orientation. Given that polymers are typically processed under strong flows and at elevated temperatures, the joint influence of flow and temperature on crystallization of $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases must be understood in order to control structure development and allow rational design of properties.

This work aims at revealing the effects of strong flows and elevated temperatures on flow-induced concomitant crystallization in a propylene/ethylene random copolymer with 3.4 mol% ethylene co-units. Experiments were carried out with a pressure-driven flow apparatus capable of applying strong flows with shear stresses up to 0.110 MPa. A previously described depth-sectioning method was applied to quantitatively separate the effect of flow strength on the resulting flow-induced crystallization process.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Material**

A propylene/ethylene random copolymer, kindly supplied by Borealis, was studied in this work. The detailed molecular and physical properties have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{1} The propylene/ethylene copolymer has a weight average molecular mass $M_w \approx 310$ kg/mol and a molecular weight polydispersity index $M_w/M_n \approx 3.4$. In addition, this propylene/ethylene random
copolymers has 3.4 mol% ethylene co-units. The melting and crystallization temperatures determined using a heating/cooling rate of 10 °C/min are 147 and 105 °C, respectively.

**Flow-induced Crystallization Experiments**

To impose strong shear flows, a pressure-driven flow device designed by Kumaraswamy et al. was employed. The flow cell has a rectangular slit channel with a width of 6.35 mm and a thickness of 0.5 mm. The flow channel is 63.5 mm long and is equipped with two diamond windows suitable for in-situ X-ray characterization.

Both the influences of shear stress and temperature on crystallization were studied. For this purpose, we chose two temperatures (142 °C and 132 °C), and three (wall) shear stresses (0.110, 0.103 and 0.091 MPa). The shear duration was fixed at 2 s. The flow channel was first filled with polymer melt and annealed at 215 °C for 5 min to remove thermal and mechanical histories. Next, the relaxed polymer melt was cooled to the desired crystallization temperature (142 or 132 °C) at a cooling rate of ~5 °C/min. When the samples were stabilized at the predetermined crystallization temperature, a shear pulse was imposed. After cessation of flow, the sample was held at the same crystallization temperature for 20 min (142 or 132 °C), and then was cooled down to complete crystallization.

**Wide-angle X-ray Diffraction**

Time-resolved X-ray characterization was employed to monitor the crystallization process during both isothermal and cooling conditions. In-situ synchrotron wide-angle X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out at the BM26B (DUBBLE) beamline in the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France). The wavelength of the radiation was 1.22 Å. A Frelon detector was employed to acquire two-dimensional images with a resolution of 1024 × 1024 pixels of 97.6 μm × 97.6 μm. The sample-to-detector distance was 157 mm, and the data acquisition time was 15 s per frame.

**Data Analysis**

In a pressure-driven slit flow, the shear stress decreases from a maximum at the wall to zero at the center of the channel. In consequence, the X-ray diffraction patterns contain average information over all layers along the slit thickness direction which are subjected to varying stresses. Recently, a depth-sectioning method was proposed by Fernandez-Ballester et al. to separate the contribution to diffraction arising from flow-induced structures in specific shear layers, i.e. from a
specific range of shear stress. The detailed method for data analysis has been previously reported.\textsuperscript{35, 50, 51} In the present work, experiments were performed at three different wall stresses of 0.110, 0.103, 0.091 MPa and fixed flow duration of 2 s. Therefore, depth-sectioning allows isolating three layers that correspond to depth ranges of 0-16 (L1), 16-43 (L2), and 43-250 (L3) µm from the wall, see Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the isolated layers and the linear relation between layer depth and the local shear stress.

Different crystal modifications have characteristic crystallographic planes and, therefore, specific WAXD patterns.\textsuperscript{52} For the X-ray wavelength used in this work, i.e. 1.22 Å, the diffraction peaks of the α-phase include \{110\}_α at 2θ = 11.1°, \{040\}_α at 13.3°, \{130\}_α at 14.6°, \{111\}_α at 16.6°, \{-131\}_α at 17.2°. Likewise, the γ-phase exhibits the reflections of \{111\}_γ at 10.9°, \{008\}_γ at 13.1°, \{117\}_γ at 15.8°, \{202\}_γ at 16.6°, \{026\}_γ at 17.1°. Among these WAXD reflections, only the \{130\}_α at 14.6° and the \{117\}_γ at 15.8° do not overlap and are therefore employed as the characteristic peaks for α- and γ- phase, respectively. The WAXD pattern of amorphous P/E-RACO was measured before shear was applied. The corresponding integrated one-dimensional curve was scaled to fit the amorphous part of crystallizing system and was then subtracted from the diffraction pattern, see Figure 2. Afterwards, the amount of α- and γ- phase fractions was quantified by integrating the area of the corresponding characteristic diffraction peaks in the one-dimensional (1D) WAXD curve, as illustrated in Figure 2.
Figure 2. The one-dimensional WAXD curves before and after subtracting the amorphous part. The \{130\}_α and \{117\}_γ diffraction peaks are used to quantify the amounts of α- and γ- phases, respectively.

Results and Discussion

Flow-induced crystallization at 132 °C

For P/E-RACO, flow-induced crystallization was first studied at 132 °C. Figure 3a L1-13s shows that for a shear stress of 0.110 MPa (layer L1), arc-like diffraction peaks appear quickly after the shear pulse, which indicate formation of oriented crystallites. Figures 3b and c show the corresponding 1D WAXD curves integrated over equatorial and meridional regions, respectively. The characteristic \{130\}_α diffraction peaks are observed at 2θ = 14.6°, meaning that α-phase forms in the early stages of crystallization. Furthermore, their narrow azimuthal intensity distribution in the equatorial region shows that these α-phase crystallites have c-axes oriented along the flow direction.

Figure 3. (a) WAXD depth-sectioned patterns of isothermal crystallization in L1 layer at 132 °C. The 1D WAXD curves integrated partially over the (b) equatorial and (c) meridional regions.
As isothermal crystallization proceeds in layer L1, new diffraction signals appear in both the equatorial and meridional regions (L1-43s in Figure 3a). The new equatorial peak identified at 20 = 15.8 ° corresponds to the {117}_γ plane of γ-phase, see Figure 3b. The simultaneous growth of α and γ diffraction peaks reveals that concomitant crystallization of these two phases eventually occurs in the isothermal process at 132 ºC. Thus, in the meridional region, the new diffraction peak emerged at 20 = 11.1° belongs to both the {110}_α planes of α-phase daughter lamellae and the {111}_γ planes of oriented γ-phase. For the α-phase, daughter lamellae are nucleated by the {010}_α lateral surface of parent lamellae. In layer L1, the observation of daughter lamellae at a later time than parent lamellae is due to the fact that daughter lamellae require sufficient {010}_α lateral surface of parent lamellae to trigger their crystallization as well as sufficient time to grow for detection.

The shear stress decreases to 0.103 and 0.091 MPa in inner layers L2 and L3, respectively. As shown in Figure 4, no crystallization was observed immediately after flow in L2 nor L3. Afterwards, α-phase is detected at 28 s for L2 and 73 s for L3. When moving from the L1 to the L3 layer, the decrease in shear stress reduces the number density of flow-induced oriented precursors and, consequently, the overall crystallization kinetics of the α-phase becomes slower. As isothermal crystallization proceeds, diffraction peaks corresponding to γ-phase appear, see Figures 4b and c. This observation demonstrates that concomitant crystallization of α- and γ- phases also occurs in L2 and L3 layers at 132 ºC.

Figure 4. (a) WAXD depth-sectioned patterns of isothermal crystallization at 132 ºC for the L2 and L3 layer. Integrated 1D WAXD curves for the (b) L2 and (c) L3 layer.
The appearance times of all crystallites are summarized in Table 1. It can be observed that flow accelerates not only the formation of \(\alpha\)-phase but also that of \(\gamma\)-phase: indeed, the \(\gamma\)-phase appears earlier with increasing shear stress. Here, it should be noted that the arc-like diffractions of the \{117\}_\gamma reflection are quite narrow even after crystallizing for 20 min, as shown by Figure 5. This means that the generated \(\gamma\)-phase sustains a high degree of orientation, which must thus arise from highly oriented nuclei. There are two possible scenarios for nucleating highly oriented \(\gamma\)-phase: 1) flow induces direct formation of oriented \(\gamma\)-nuclei in the amorphous melt, and 2) the oriented \(\alpha\)-phase crystallites which grow first provide nucleation sites for \(\gamma\)-phase. The major difference consists on whether the nuclei for \(\gamma\)-phase developed from the \(\alpha\)-phase already present or from the initial amorphous melt.

Table 1. Observation Time for Crystallites during Isothermal Crystallization at 132 °C after Various Flow Conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>layer</th>
<th>shear stress (MPa)</th>
<th>observation time (s)</th>
<th>(\alpha)-phase</th>
<th>(\gamma)-phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>parent lamellae</td>
<td>daughter lamellae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>0.110-0.103</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>0.103-0.091</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>0.091-0.0</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. WAXD depth-sectioned patterns of isothermal crystallization at 132 °C for different shear stresses.
Under quiescent conditions and high pressure, $\gamma$-nuclei are generated directly from the melt and pure $\gamma$-phase can be formed. In contrast, for flow-induced crystallization, $\gamma$-phase is more likely to be nucleated by $\alpha$-phase parent lamellae that have already developed. This is attributed to the unique crossed arrangement of segmental axes in the $\gamma$-phase lattice. In the monoclinic unit cell of $\alpha$-phase, chains packed in the same lamella are all parallel with each other. However, in the orthorhombic $\gamma$-phase, chains are parallel only inside a special bilayer and there is an angle of 80° between neighboring bilayers. In other words, polymer chain segments inside the $\gamma$-phase crystals do not have the same orientation even if they are packed in the same lamellae.

Crossed packing of chain segments in $\gamma$-phase crystals causes differing crystallization behaviors under quiescent and flow conditions. During quiescent crystallization, the growth fronts of lamellae collect chain segments from the isotropic melt and adjust them into the proper orientation for packing into the existing crystalline lattice. In this case, whether chain segments are all parallel is not important. However, when flow of sufficient strength is imposed, it can induce molecular orientation along the flow direction. This flow-induced parallel chain alignment is distinctly different from the “crossed” packing in the $\gamma$-phase, where some chains would have to be rotated by the 80° angle between neighboring bilayers in order to build up a $\gamma$-crystal. This re-orientation process seems unfavorable in flow-induced nucleation, and the imposed flow is more likely to directly form $\alpha$-phase nuclei. In this case, $\alpha$-phase parent lamellae first grow off flow-induced $\alpha$-nuclei, and then $\gamma$-crystals are nucleated by the $\{010\}_\alpha$ lateral side surface of $\alpha$-phase parent lamellae. Because the formation of parent lamellae is enhanced as shear stress increases, it is then reasonable that the time of appearance of $\gamma$-crystals is also accelerated for higher shear stress.

For $\alpha$-phase, it is observed that daughter lamellae appear later than parent lamellae for 0.110 (L1) and 0.103 MPa (L2), whereas daughter and parent lamellae appear simultaneously for 0.091 MPa (L3). When comparing both $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases, it is clear that $\gamma$-phase lamellae always appear later than $\alpha$-phase lamellae. Such sequential emergence is consistent with the results of Wang et al. on metallocene-based iPP, which showed that $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases occur simultaneously when crystallization takes place under quiescent or weak flow conditions (strain 300% for 30 s), whereas the $\gamma$-phase emerged later than the $\alpha$-phase if flow was increased to strain 500% for 30 s.
Flow-induced crystallization at 142 °C

The same series of shear stresses were also applied at a higher temperature of 142 °C, which is just below the copolymer nominal melting temperature of 147 °C. Quiescent crystallization hardly occurs at 142 °C within 20 min (data not shown). Figure 6 shows the 2D WAXD patterns obtained during flow-induced crystallization at 142 °C. Clearly, crystallization kinetics was significantly accelerated by flow imposed. A shear stress of 0.110 MPa was sufficient to induce substantial nucleation of α-phase within a short isothermal crystallization of just 13 s, even though 142 °C is so close to the nominal melting temperature. Then, as shear stress decreases, the time of appearance of α-phase becomes longer: for L2 and L3, the first WAXD diffraction signal is observed at 58 and 253 s, respectively.

![Figure 6. WAXD depth-sectioned patterns of isothermal crystallization at 142 °C.](image)

The results above demonstrate that flows of shear stress between 0.091 and 0.110 MPa are all able to trigger crystallization at elevated temperatures up to 142 °C. An increase in temperature is expected to facilitate the formation of γ-phase with respect to α-phase under quiescent conditions; however, for fixed flow conditions, α- and γ-phases were both observed at 132 °C while the characteristic {117}γ peak of γ-phase could not be identified at 142 °C. Indeed, all arc-like WAXD diffraction peaks observed at 142 °C are attributed only to α-phase {110}α, {040}α, and {130}α planes only (see Figure 7), so severe stresses of up to 0.110 MPa did not induce formation of γ-phase at 142 °C.
All flow strengths applied in the present work are able to stretch polymer chains, as demonstrated by the highly oriented α-phase crystallites that formed. The stretched chain segments are favored to orient in the flow direction. However, in order to pack into the crystal lattice of γ-phase, chain segments would have to rotate by 80 ° with respect to neighboring segments within the same bilayer. This means some of the chains already oriented along the flow direction would be required to rotate to nearly perpendicular direction with respect to flow, which seems unlikely to happen during flow. Thus, the kinetic barrier associated to properly rotating chain segments inhibits the formation of γ-phase. In contrast, chain segments are parallel with each other within α-phase, so the additional rotation is not necessary for α-crystallization. Therefore, the formation of α-phase crystallites which are oriented is enhanced significantly by flow.

One may think that the absence of γ-phase at 142 °C may be caused by the fast kinetics of α-phase such that crystallization was completed quickly and γ-phase had no time to develop. To check whether crystallization was completed by the end of 20 min under isothermal conditions, the evolution of formed α-phase is quantified by integrating the diffraction peaks. Figure 8 shows that...
crystallization at 142 °C is not completed within 20 min, even after applying a flow pulse with 0.110 MPa.

It was unexpected to find that flow-induced crystallization at 142 °C generates only α-phase, since the propylene/ethylene random copolymer has the ability to crystallize into both α- and γ-phases—as often found in solidified crystallites. Moreover, the observed meridional \{110\}_α diffraction demonstrates formation of daughter lamellae. It is known that daughter lamellae are nucleated by \{010\}_α surface, so the observation of daughter lamellae demonstrates the availability of \{010\}_α surface of parent lamellae at 142 °C. Lotz et al. have shown that the \{010\}_α crystallographic planes of α-parent lamellae can also provide nucleation sites for γ-phase. Therefore, it is remarkable that γ-crystals are not generated even though potential nucleation sites are provided. Temperature determines whether concomitant crystallization of α- and γ-phases occurs. This temperature dependence is different from that previously found for quiescent crystallization under pressure, in which phase stability favors γ-phase at elevated temperatures.

Cooling crystallization after shear-induced crystallization for 20 min at 142 °C

After 20-min of isothermal conditions at 142 °C, the partially crystallized polymer was cooled down. Figure 9 shows representative WAXD images obtained during cooling. The characteristic \{117\}_γ diffractions of γ-phase are identified for all three layers. This means that the remaining amorphous molecules can crystallize into γ-crystals due to the gain of enough undercooling. Figure 9 also demonstrates that γ-phase crystallites emerged are oriented. These γ-crystals must result from oriented templates, which are the \{010\}_α lateral surface of oriented parent lamellae.

![Figure 9. Typical WAXD depth-sectioned patterns obtained at the initial stages of cooling.](image)

Although flow-induced parent lamellae in the α-phase have the capability to nucleate γ-phase, the appearance of γ-phase exhibits a strong dependence on temperature. For quiescent crystallization under pressure, Dimeska et al. studied concomitant crystallization of an ethylene/propylene
copolymers containing 11.6 mol% ethylene content and found that at pressures above 88 MPa, the content of \( \gamma \)-phase increased with increasing temperature, and that even pure \( \gamma \)-phase could be obtained above 140 °C.\(^6\) On the contrary, for flow-induced crystallization studied in the present work, elevating the temperature from 132 to 142 °C completely suppresses the formation of \( \gamma \)-phase, although the potential nucleation sites of \{010\}_\alpha lateral surface are indeed generated.

A possible explanation for the absence of \( \gamma \)-phase at 142 °C may lie in hindered epitaxial nucleation of \( \gamma \)-crystallites at such temperature. It has been demonstrated by Lotz et al.\(^{21,53}\) that nucleation of \( \gamma \)-phase on \( \alpha \)-phase is epitaxial, which requires appropriate lattice matching between the initial and induced crystals. In practice, the dimension of the nucleating substrate is often limited, especially for lamellar crystallites that have limited thickness. For substrates with limited dimension, the role of secondary nucleation in epitaxial growth becomes crucial. According to the template model proposed by Greso et al.\(^{55}\), secondary nucleation requires that the dimension of the substrate in the matching direction fit or exceed the lamellar thickness of the epitaxially grown crystallites. For example, epitaxial crystallization of high density polyethylene (HDPE) on oriented iPP substrates cannot be observed when the HDPE lamellar thickness is larger than the dimension of the PP substrate in the matching direction (25 vs 23 nm, respectively).\(^{56}\) Since a higher temperature requires a larger lamellar thickness for \( \gamma \)-phase nucleation, increasing the crystallization temperature may preclude the occurrence of epitaxial nucleation, even though the nucleation surface meets the basic requirement of lattice matching. In the present work, epitaxial nucleation of \( \gamma \)-phase occurs on the \{010\}_\alpha surface of the \( \alpha \)-phase—which is parallel to the \( \alpha \)- and \( c \)- axes—so the substrate dimension must be correlated by the lamellar thickness of parent lamellae. Then, it is possible that the absence of \( \gamma \)-phase at 142 °C is associated with a \( \alpha \)-substrate dimension which is smaller than the required crystal thickness of \( \gamma \)-crystallites at that temperature.

Another possible reason for the lack of \( \gamma \)-phase at 142 °C in flow-induced experiments may be a mismatch between the growth rate of \( \alpha \)- and \( \gamma \)-crystallites. Imposing flow or applying high pressure have different effects on rate of growth of crystallites, and the latter can change the thermodynamic state of both \( \alpha \)- and \( \gamma \)-phases. For example, pressure can vary the specific rate of growth of \( \alpha \)- and \( \gamma \)-phases. An exponential relation was proposed by Pantani et al.\(^{57}\) to describe the pressure dependence of growth rate, which is

\[
G_{\text{maxi}} = G_{\text{maxi},0} \exp \left( a_i (p - p_0) + b_i (p - p_0)^2 \right),
\]

with \( G_{\text{maxi},0} \) being the reference growth rate at atmospheric pressure \( p_0 \), and \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) being two constants.
Furthermore, Van Drongelen et al.\textsuperscript{58} found that the maximum growth rate of the $\gamma$-phase increases with increasing pressure, while that of the $\alpha$-phase remains constant. Therefore, pressure changes the relative growth capability of $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases and favors the crystallization of $\gamma$-phase from a kinetic point of view. Differently, the short-term shearing protocol used in this study results in crystallites that grow mainly after application of the shear pulse. Upon cessation of flow, stretched and oriented molecules quickly relax back to a random coil conformation, leading to a growth rate of crystallites similar to that of quiescent conditions. Consequently, the applied flow does not alter the growth rate of crystallites at a given temperature and is not expected to enhance the rate of growth of $\gamma$-phase relative to that of $\alpha$- phase.

Concerning the concomitant crystallization of $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases in the propylene/ethylene random copolymer studied in present work, the strong flows employed in the present work all accelerate the overall crystallization kinetics, whereas the crystallization temperature determines whether $\gamma$-phase emerges or not.

**Conclusions**

The present study combines in-situ synchrotron wide-angle X-ray diffraction, pressure-driven slit flow, and the depth sectioning method to investigate flow-induced crystallization of monoclinic $\alpha$-phase and orthorhombic $\gamma$-phase in a propylene/ethylene random copolymer with 3.4 mol\% ethylene. At 132 °C, both monoclinic $\alpha$-phase and orthorhombic $\gamma$-phase are generated after application of a short shear flow pulse in the range of 0.110 to 0.091 MPa. As the applied shear stress decreases, highly oriented $\alpha$-phase crystallites appear at increasingly later times. Interestingly, $\gamma$-crystals are always observed later than the highly oriented $\alpha$-phase parent lamellae—although the onset time of $\gamma$-crystals is also accelerated with increasing flow strength. The sequential emergence of parent $\alpha$-lamellae and $\gamma$-crystals may be due to the fact that $\gamma$-crystals require $\{010\}_a$ side surface to nucleate and also sufficient time to grow for observation.

It was also found that temperature plays a crucial role in flow-induced crystallization of $\alpha$- and $\gamma$- phases. At a higher temperature of 142 °C—just 5 °C below the nominal melting temperature—shear stresses in the range of 0.110 - 0.091 MPa are sufficient to enhance crystallization. However, at 142 °C, flow-induced crystallization only generates $\alpha$-phase, even though the appearance of daughter lamellae demonstrated that the $\{010\}_a$ parent surface—which can provide nucleation sites
for γ-phase—is generated. Only after the partially crystallized polymer was cooled down did oriented γ-crystals emerge.
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