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ABSTRACT

Plasma-enhanced heterogeneous catalysis offers a promising alternative to thermal catalysis due to the synergy between the plasma and the solid catalyst. However, there is only a limited mechanistic insight about the interactions of highly energetic electrons and excited molecules with heterogeneous catalysts in plasmas. Accurate performance comparison in a plasma-catalytic setting is complicated because of the intricate nature of the plasma-catalyst system: simultaneous reactions occurring in the gas-phase and at the catalytic surface; the dependence of the discharge on dielectric properties of the packed catalyst bed; and the dependence of permittivity and polarization of the catalyst on plasma parameters. Here, we present a method of temperature-programmed plasma surface reaction (TPPSR) that allows decoupling gas-phase processes from the surface plasma-induced reactions. Using this method we reveal the main reasons of apparent synergy between plasma and heterogeneous catalyst for the case of carbon dioxide hydrogenation. Experiments with isotopically labelled CO₂ and temperature-programmed plasma reaction experiments in flow of CO₂/H₂ prove a substantial role of gas-phase dissociation/hydrogenation for the observed catalyst activity and selectivity. The product distribution and reaction pathways do not significantly depend on the discharge parameters. Taking into account overheating of the catalytic bed for comparison of catalytic activity with and without plasma, it was concluded that energy dissipation also plays an important role. The observed plasma enhancement is in part due to the acceleration of electron-induced surface reactions.

1. Introduction

Catalytic hydrogenation of carbon dioxide to methane (the Sabatier reaction) is an important catalytic process. It is applied in the production of synthesis gas, the formation of compressed natural gas [1] and the purification of feedstock for the production of ammonia [2]. The two principle reactions involved are the direct methanation of carbon dioxide and the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction that converts carbon dioxide to carbon monoxide.

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K} = 42.1 \text{ kJ/mol} \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_4 \quad \Delta H_{298K} = -165 \text{ kJ/mol} \quad (2) \]

Non-thermal plasma (NTP) is a promising medium in which to perform catalytic reactions such as CO₂ methanation. Collisions with high energetic electrons in NTP result in ionization and dissociation, and electronic, vibrational, and rotational excitation of neutral reactant molecules [3]. In addition, running a reaction in a plasma can help to overcome thermodynamic equilibrium limitations without heating the system [4]. Many researchers have reported a synergy between heterogeneous catalysts and non-thermal plasma for the reactions of CO₂ conversion. Nizio et al. studied the activity of CO₂ methanation at low temperature in a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor [5]. Barcariza et al. reported that the promotion of Ni/CsY catalyst with cerium oxide results in an enhanced dielectric properties and higher activity in CO₂ methanation [6]. Improved performance of a Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst in dry reforming of CH₄ in a low-temperature plasma was mentioned in another study [7]. Wang et al. observed a higher methanol yield in CO₂ hydrogenation over a Cu/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst in a tailor-made water-cooled DBD reactor at atmospheric pressure and room temperature [8]. Another recent study concerned the one-step plasma-assisted reaction of CO₂ and CH₄ in the presence of noble-metal catalysts, which resulted in enhanced yield of acetic acid, ethanol and methanol [9].

Current mechanistic understanding of the synergy between
heterogeneous catalysts and plasma is very limited. The main challenge is to meaningfully compare catalyst performance in different plasma operation modes and also different catalysts in a plasma. This is difficult because the properties of plasma depend on the filling material (e.g., increasing the dielectric constant enhances the electric field) [10], while the permittivity and polarization of the catalyst is determined by the plasma parameters. Furthermore, unlike in conventional heterogeneous catalysis, comparison of catalysts used in a plasma is complicated by the simultaneous occurrence of reactions at the catalyst surface and in the plasma.

Comprehensive experimental and computational investigation of plasma-catalytic systems is required to gain a better understanding of the interaction between the plasma and the solid catalyst. A number of novel advanced techniques have recently been developed in this area. Isotopically-labeled oxygen was used to study the role of oxygen species in plasma-driven catalysis of volatile organic compound (VOC) oxidation [11]. NTP was found to lead to enhanced interaction of oxygen with the catalytic surfaces. Another technique applied to investigate the speciation of surface intermediates in conventional and plasma-catalysis is operando FTIR. Several FTIR studies were dedicated to the mechanism of plasma-assisted isoo-propanol oxidation over γ-Al2O3 [12], selective catalytic reduction of NOx [13], VOC removal from air over γ-Al2O3, TiO2, and CeO2 [14], CO2 methanation over Ni-USY in different configurations (in-plasma catalysis and post-plasma catalysis) and with microsecond time-resolved spectroscopy [15,16]. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy has been applied as an appropriate method to detect radical species formed by the NTP as well as chemical changes of the catalyst [3]. Pre-adsorption of different adsorbates followed by treatment in plasma has also been applied to distinguish the reactivity of gas-phase species and those adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst. This method was effective for studying oxidation of VOCs such as iso-propanol [17,18], toluene and propane [19]. Regeneration of MnO by direct thermal treatment, ozonolysis prior to thermal treatment and in situ non-thermal plasma prior to thermal treatment was studied as well [20]. Next to such experimental approaches, computational studies of the interaction between NTP and catalysts are also becoming important to obtain better insight into the reaction mechanism [21].

A well-known complication in plasma-catalysis involves catalyst overheating, which strongly affects the evaluation of plasma-catalytic performance. A dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma can induce dielectric heating of the catalyst and in this way contribute to a higher apparent catalytic activity. Lee et al. reported that the enhancement of CO2 methanation rate in the presence of a Ru/γ-Al2O3 catalyst occurred prior to thermal treatment and that the temperature required for the thermal CH4 oxidation reaction [23]. One drawback of this EXAFS-based approach is the necessity to use synchrotron radiation. A more convenient but nonetheless expensive method is IR thermography. An IR camera in combination with a sapphire DBD reactor was used by Nozaki et al. to evaluate thermal and non-thermal effects of a non-equilibrium plasma [24]. Trinh et al. showed that long-lived ozone species play a dominant role in the plasma-catalytic oxidation of ethylene taking into account the plasma-induced overheating up to a temperature of 135 °C [25].

Overall, novel characterization methods and approaches to compare catalytic performance have to be developed in order to understand the synergism in plasma-catalysis. Here, we present a method called temperature-programmed plasma surface reaction (TPPSR), which is designed to decouple gas-phase processes in a plasma from the processes at the catalyst surface and to properly compare the performance of plasma-catalytic systems. We apply this method and a more conventional temperature-programmed plasma reaction (TPPR) to study the methanation of CO2. The approach is to first adsorb the CO2 reactant on the catalyst surface, followed by temperature ramping in a plasma containing H2. Conversion processes in the plasma are minimized because the majority of the reacting species are adsorbed on the catalyst surface. In order to validate this approach, we also carried out experiments where isotopically labelled CO2 was adsorbed on the catalyst and the temperature-programmed reaction was carried out in a mixture of non-labelled CO2 and H2.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich: cobalt nitrate hexahydrate, copper nitrate trihydrate, and nanopowder CeZrO4. A series of CeZrO4 based catalysts with different metals were prepared using a wet impregnation method. For this purpose, the desired amount of metal nitrates were dissolved in 50 ml of deionized water. The suspension obtained by adding 2 g of nanocrystalline CeZrO4 was stirred for 2 h. Then, the water was removed in a rotary evaporator. The catalysts were dried at 110 °C in air overnight and then calcined at 350 °C for 4 h. The catalyst powder was pelletized, crushed and sieved in order to obtain homogeneous fraction of 125–250 μm. Prior to catalytic activity tests the catalysts were reduced at 450 °C in H2 for 4 h.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

The crystalline structure of the catalysts was determined by recording XRD patterns with a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation. The particle size was estimated using the Scherrer equation.

The metal content was determining using ICP-OES (Spectro CJO RS CCD spectrometer). Prior to measurement, the samples were dissolved in a 1:2.75 (by weight) mixture of (NH4)2SO4 : (concentrated) H2SO4 and diluted with water.

H2-TPR experiments were performed with a Micromeritics Autochem II 2920 instrument. Typically, 100 mg catalyst was loaded in a tubular quartz reactor. The sample was reduced in 4 vol.% H2 in N2 at a flow rate of 50 mL/min, while heating from room temperature up to 600 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C/min.

2.3. Catalytic activity measurements

2.3.1. Experimental setup

All experiments were carried out in a tubular DBD reactor made of quartz. The outer diameter of the reactor was 14 mm and the inner diameter 10 mm. The discharge zone in the reactor was 80 mm long and the discharge gap 3 mm. A stainless-steel mesh wrapped around the reactor served as the ground electrode. The inner electrode was a stainless steel rod with a diameter of 4 mm. It was connected to a home-made high voltage microsecond pulsed power supply (discharge frequency [f, (s⁻¹)] up to 1 kHz with variable pulse duration, voltage up to 20 kV). Plasma parameters were monitored by an oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS 2024C) equipped with a high-voltage probe (PVM-2, North Star) and a current probe (Model 6600, Pearson Electronics). A volume of 5 ml catalyst (125–250 μm fraction) was packed in the discharge region and held by quartz wool. A scheme of the plasma-catalytic setup is shown in Fig. S1.

A typical discharge pattern (applied voltage and discharge current) for the microsecond pulse source is shown in Fig. 1. Zoomed-in inset for the current waveform shows spikes, attributed to intense
microdischarges observed in the empty DBD reactor. When a catalytic material is introduced inside the plasma reactor, the current waveform is changed significantly Fig. S2. Namely, the current waveform shows microdischarge activity with lower magnitude. In the packed-bed DBD reactor surface discharge and filamentary microdischarges can be generated simultaneously [26,27].

The voltage amplitude was kept constant and the pulse repetition rate was varied to change the energy density. The energy per pulse [\( E_p \) (mJ)] is calculated by

\[
E_p = \int_{t_{\text{pulse}}} V(t) I(t) \, dt
\]

The energy density [\( \varepsilon \) (kJ/mol)] was used as a parameter to compare the performance in terms of conversion and selectivity of CO2 hydrogenation in a DBD reactor filled with catalyst with an empty DBD reactor. The energy density is defined as the energy deposited into the gas per unit volume and is calculated by

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{E_p}{F} \frac{V_m}{10^{-6}}
\]

where \( F \) is the volumetric gas flow through the reactor (L/s) and \( V_m \) the molar volume of gas (22.4 L/mol at 0 °C).

2.3.2. Flow experiments

A variety of reaction experiments with different feed mixtures were performed to investigate the performance of the plasma-catalytic system in CO2 hydrogenation. In a typical flow experiment, the oven temperature was kept constant and the energy density of the plasma varied. The pulse duration during the plasma experiments was 90\( \mu \)s at a frequency 100–1000 Hz. The CO2/H2 ratio was 1/4 at a total flow rate of 25 ml/min.

We also compared the catalytic performance with (TPPR) and without (TPR) plasma. The catalyst was heated at a rate of 10 °C/min. The CO2/H2 ratio was 1/4 at a total flow rate of 75 ml/min.

For experiments with isotopically labelled CO2, 13CO2 (99%, Eurisotop) was first pre-adsorbed on the catalyst followed by flushing with a 12CO2/Ar mixture. This was followed by a TPPR experiment in which we investigated the hydrogenation of gaseous 13CO2 and adsorbed 13CO2. In these experiments, the concentration of CO2 in the gas phase was reduced to 0.3 vol% in order to minimize isotopic exchange.

2.3.3. Temperature-programmed plasma surface reaction

The TPPSR method (schematically shown in Fig. 2) consists of the following steps: (i) adsorption of the reactant on the catalyst surface at ambient temperatures; (ii) flushing of the reactor to remove gas-phase reactant molecules; (iii) exposure of the catalyst to the plasma at ambient temperature to remove weakly adsorbed species and (iv) heating the catalyst at a constant ramp rate in plasma, whilst following the catalytic performance by simultaneous gas-phase analysis by gas chromatography (Shimadzu GC-14A) and mass spectrometry (ThermoStar GSD 320 T2). In the present study, CO2 was the reactant to be adsorbed on the catalyst, while H2 was used as a feed during the plasma-catalytic step. The gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) during the adsorption step was set to 400 ml g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) and during the TPPSR to 200 ml g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). The amount of CO2 in the gas phase during a TPPSR experiment is much lower than in a conventional experiment when the reactant is part of the feed. Although plasma-induced or thermal desorption of the reactant can lead to a certain concentration of CO2 in the gas phase as well, we expect the surface plasma-catalytic reactions to dominate the conversion processes during TPPSR experiments.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

The XRD patterns of the CeZrO\(_4\)-supported catalysts are shown in Fig. 3. The diffraction peaks at 2\( \theta \) = 29.2°, 33.8°, 48.7° and 57.7° correspond to the crystallographic (101), (002), (112) and (103) planes of CeZrO\(_2\) (PDF No. 74-8060). This shows that the material is a homogeneous mixed solid oxide solution of Ce and Zr. Other diffraction peaks belong to copper oxide and cobalt oxide phases dispersed on the support. Using the Scherrer equation, we can estimate the crystallite size of copper oxide to be 28 nm. For 5% Co/CeZrO\(_4\) and 20% Co/CeZrO\(_4\) samples, the average cobalt oxide crystallite sizes are ~21 and ~25 nm, respectively. These results and the metal loadings as determined by elemental analysis are shown in Table 1. The crystallite size of the CeZrO\(_4\) support was about 14 nm for all catalysts. However, on the TEM images acquired for these catalysts (Fig. S3), we can observe that the ceria-zirconia support has a broad particle size distribution up to about 50 nm. It was unfortunately not possible to image Co particles on the CeZrO\(_4\) support by TEM because of the low contrast.

Fig. 4 shows the results of H\(_2\)-TPR characterization of the catalysts. For the copper sample, a significant H2 consumption was observed at relatively low temperature around 150 °C and 220 °C. These results are in a good agreement with a previous report for the reduction of copper supported on ceria and ceria-zirconia [28]. The two reduction features can be attributed to reduction of highly dispersed copper strongly interacting with the support and a bulk copper oxide phase, respectively [29]. The reduction of cobalt catalysts correspond to very broad peaks centered around 420 °C with shoulders at lower temperature. Bulk Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) reduction usually involves two steps, i.e. Co\(_3\)O\(_4\) → CoO → Co.
The reduction of supported cobalt nanoparticles typically occurs between 300 °C and 400 °C. The higher reduction temperature observed for Co/CeZrO4 likely relates to strong cobalt-support interactions [30].

3.2. Catalytic activity measurements

3.2.1. Flow experiments

As a reference case, we first studied the plasma-assisted hydrogenation of CO2 in a DBD reactor, which was either empty or filled with PbTiO3. The reaction was carried out at 150 °C to avoid condensation of produced water in the system (Fig. 5). The CO2 conversion was not observed in the absence of plasma. The CO2 conversion in the plasma increased proportionally to the energy density. The highest conversion of ∼15% was reached at a frequency of 1 kHz, which corresponds to an energy density of 750 kJ/mol. It is known that conversion and energy efficiency of plasma-catalytic processes can be improved by filling the discharge gap of a DBD reactor with a ferroelectric material, because high dielectric constant leads to the enhancement of the electric field strength [31]. As CO2 does not adsorb strongly on PbTiO3, most of the reaction will occur in the gas phase. CO2 methanation was not observed in the empty reactor nor in the reactor filled with PbTiO3 (Fig. 5a) and the only products were CO and H2O.

We then used a 5% Co/CeZrO4 sample as the catalyst for CO2 hydrogenation. Without plasma, the conversion was about 1% at 150 °C (Fig. 5b). In the plasma, it was found that the CO2 conversion increased with the energy density. The highest CO2 conversion was 70% at a frequency of 1 kHz (energy density of 750 kJ/mol). CH4 was in all cases the main product with a selectivity above 75%. At the highest energy density, the CH4 selectivity was nearly 100%. Similar results were
obtained at 200 °C and very high selectivity to CH4 was achieved for all values of the energy density.

The synergy between the catalyst and the plasma evident from Table 2 is in line with previous reports [5,8,9]. It results in higher CO2 conversion at comparable CH4 selectivity. There are several reasons for these synergetic effects such as plasma-enhanced surface reactions due to high-energy electrons, electric field and radicals on the surface [32]. Another possible explanation relates to processes occurring in the gas phase, for example, dissociation, excitation, ionization of reactants and products [3]. Finally, we should also take into account energy dissipation, which leads to overheating of the catalyst.

3.2.2. TPPR in 12CO2/H2

In general, TPR is a versatile method to characterize catalytic reactions, for it allows direct comparison of catalysts based on conversion and selectivity as a function of temperature [33]. Here we compared the performance of a 5% Co/CeZrO4 catalyst in CO2 methanation in a conventional TPR experiment and a similar experiment with the plasma switched on (TPPR). In both cases, the gas feed consisted of a CO2/H2/Ar mixture. For TPPR, we kept the catalyst first at 50 °C in the plasma before the heating was started in order to observe the impact of the plasma on the catalyst.

The TPR and TPPR results are shown in Fig. 6. In TPR, a broad CO2 desorption peak at 100 °C is observed. CO2 reduction starts at 250 °C and CH4 and H2O are the predominant reaction products. The trace for H2O is shifted to higher temperatures in comparison to the CH4 trace, because H2O interacts stronger with the catalyst surface. A small amount of CO is also observed at higher temperature.

In TPPR, there is a sharp peak of CO2 desorption when the plasma is ignited. At the same time, CO formation is observed. It is much higher than for flow experiments at 150 °C and 200 °C in the Section 3.2.1, because at 50 °C methanation activity is low. We attribute CO formation to the dissociation of CO2 in the gas phase, because CO was also detected as the main product in plasma experiments in the same DBD reactor with and without PbTiO3. In TPPR, a small amount of H2O was observed at 50 °C. As low-temperature H2O formation was not observed in experiments without plasma and H2O strongly interacts with the CeZrO2 support, we infer that H2O is formed due to the reverse water-gas shift reaction on cobalt nanoparticles. Plasma-catalytic enhancement of reverse water-gas shift may be related to dissociation of CO2 in the plasma.

Comparison of the TPR and TPPR profiles shows that the use of a plasma shifts the temperature of CH4 formation to lower temperature (from 250 °C to 50 °C). The CH4 TPPR profile also contains a shoulder in the 50–100 °C range (marked area in Fig. 6b). We link this to the facile formation of CO in the gas phase, which can be formed even in an empty DBD reactor, and its re-adsorption on cobalt nanoparticles leading to further hydrogenation to CH4.

3.3. TPPR in 12CO2/H2 with pre-adsorbed 13CO2

Fig. 7a shows the results of an experiment in which 13CO2 was pre-adsorbed on a Co/CeZrO4 catalyst followed by temperature-programmed reaction in 12CO2/H2. During the initial isothermal period (50 °C), no 13CH4 was observed. Formation of 13CH4 was seen above 100 °C. On the other hand, 12CH4 was immediately observed in the reactor effluent when the plasma was ignited. This indicates that methanation occurs mainly due to the conversion of gaseous CO2. We speculate that CO2 is converted into CO in the gas phase. As CH4 was not observed in a plasma experiment with an empty DBD reactor nor in a plasma experiment with the CeZrO4 support, we infer that CO is converted on cobalt nanoparticles. This result also implies that the reaction of CO on cobalt is facilitated by the plasma at low temperature.

Next, we performed a kinetic analysis for the formation of 13CH4 and 12CH4. For this we used leading edge analysis method as introduced by Habenschaden and Küppers [34]. It allows the determination of the activation energy using the leading edge of the temperature-programmed trace. From Fig. 7b, it can also be seen that the apparent activation energies for 13CH4 and 12CH4 formation are similar. This indicates that these products are formed via the same pathway when heating is applied. Therefore, the predominant pathway for plasma-catalytic CO2 methanation via gas-phase CO was observed only in the isothermal regime at 50 °C.
3.4. TPPSR with pre-adsorbed $^{12}$CO$_2$

Next, we performed TPPSR in a $\text{H}_2$ flow with catalysts on which $\text{CO}_2$ was pre-adsorbed. This refers to the experiment sketched in Fig. 2.

Table 3 shows that the amount of $\text{CO}_2$ pre-adsorbed as determined from the breakthrough curves was similar for all three samples. This indicates that $\text{CO}_2$ adsorption mostly takes place on the support. Fig. 8 shows product formation as a function of time during the TPPSR experiment for $\text{CeZrO}_4$, 5% $\text{Co/CeZrO}_4$ and 5% $\text{Cu/CeZrO}_4$. For $\text{CeZrO}_4$, we observe that ignition of the plasma leads to desorption peaks of $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$. As $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$ desorption are nearly instantaneous, it is not likely that these features are due to heating of the catalyst. Instead, we attribute these desorption features to electron impact on the catalyst surface. Adsorption of $\text{CO}_2$ on CeZrO$_4$ materials will lead to the formation of various carbonates and formate species [35,36]. Their dissociation upon electron impact may lead to $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$. Although it is also possible that $\text{CO}$ is formed by plasma conversion of desorbed $\text{CO}_2$, Fig. 8a shows that the conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ to $\text{CO}$ in a similar plasma is much lower than in the experiment in Fig. 8a. When the temperature is raised, a new $\text{CO}_2$ desorption feature is seen. The amount of $\text{CO}$ is much lower, suggesting that most of the formates have already been decomposed at this stage. Above 275 °C, $\text{CO}$ formation commences, which is likely due to reverse water-gas shift catalyzed by the CeZrO$_4$ surface. There are only very small amounts of $\text{CH}_4$ formed in the isothermal regime and around 400 °C.

When the same experiment is done with 5%$\text{Cu/CeZrO}_4$, three $\text{CO}_2$ desorption peaks are observed. The amount of $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{CO}$ desorbed in the isothermal regime is lower than for $\text{CeZrO}_4$, which might be due to the stronger binding of carbonates and formates close to the Cu nanoparticles. Further $\text{CO}_2$ desorption occurs in two steps. This can be

![Fig. 6. CO$_2$ hydrogenation in the presence of 5% Co/CeZrO$_4$ catalyst by TPPR method: a) without plasma; b) in plasma, pulse duration 90 μs, frequency 1 kHz. CO$_2$/H$_2$/Ar = 1/4/10, total flow 75 ml/min, heating ramp 10 °C/min.](image)

![Fig. 7. Temperature-programmed hydrogenation of a feed of $^{12}$CO$_2$/H$_2$/Ar (volumetric ratio: 1/100/275; flow rate 75 ml/min) on 5% Co/CeZrO$_4$ on which $^{13}$CO$_2$ was pre-adsorbed. The heating rate was 10 °C/min. The pulse duration was 90 μs at a frequency of 1 kHz, resulting in an energy density of 700 kJ/mol.](image)

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Results of breakthrough adsorption of $\text{CO}_2$ (mmol/g)</th>
<th>Mass balance (%)</th>
<th>Reactor outlet composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CeZrO$_4$</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66 31 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Cu/CeZrO$_4$</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>32 43 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% Co/CeZrO$_4$</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>9 3 88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
activity trends reported for the Sabatier reaction [1,2,37].

90%. The higher activity of cobalt than copper is in line with known version is rather moderate. Cu/CeZrO4 exhibits some CH4 selectivity (Fig. 9b) in the absence of plasma is similar to the experiment in a He reverse water-gas shift to CO at lower temperature on Cu/CeZrO4 than above 350 °C with higher overall CO selectivity. The most effective catalyst for CO2 methanation is Co/CeZrO4 with a total CH4 yield of over 350 °C. Such strong adsorption can be attributed to the high oxygen storage capacity of CeZrO4 [38]. Desorption profile of CO2 in a H2 flow (Fig. 9b) in the absence of plasma is similar to the experiment in a He flow. The CO2 desorption peak is centered at 200 °C. Clearly, desorption of CO2 at 50 °C in the presence of plasma was more pronounced. But the amount of desorbed CO2 is much smaller compared to the experiment in He flow. Most of the strongly adsorbed CO2 was reduced to CO2 and CH4.

Fig. 10 shows the effect of plasma discharge frequency on the catalytic performance of 5% Co/CeZrO4 in CO2 hydrogenation. The temperature maximum of CH4 production (i.e., catalytic activity) was shifted to significantly lower temperature with increasing discharge frequency. In literature, such an increase in activity upon plasma treatment is usually explained by electron-induced reactions, or the change of catalyst properties in the strong electric field and the formation of radicals on the catalyst surface. However, an effect of catalyst overheating in plasma cannot be eliminated at this stage.

Using the TPPR method, we could also nearly close the mass balance using the breakthrough curves of CO2 and the total amount of reactants and products leaving the reactor. The adsorbed amounts of CO2 for 5% Co/CeZrO4 are displayed in Fig. 5 and similar for all experiments, implying high reproducibility of the measurements. The product distribution and the mass balance for the different experiments without and with plasma are shown in Fig. 10d as well. The identical product distribution in TPPR experiments at different discharge frequencies and without plasma indicates that the mechanism of surface reactions is not changed in a plasma environment, as compared to thermal catalysis. On the contrary, TPPR experiments in a flow of CO2 and H2 described in the Section 3.2.2 show significant differences in the product distribution, which proves that the products of plasma-catalysis are very strongly affected by chemical processes occurring in the plasma.

Catalysts with different metal loadings can be also compared by the TPPR method (Fig. 11). The maxima of CH4 formation are shifted to the lower temperatures with increasing metal loading. This can be explained by the fact that CO2 pre-adsorbed in close proximity to cobalt particles is easily hydrogenated. It has been shown that CO2 can be activated on the ceria-zirconia support to form carbonates, which can be further hydrogenated using H atoms deriving from dissociative H2 adsorption on the supported metal particles [36]. Therefore, a higher metal loading will favor the methanation reaction of adsorbed CO2.

explained as follows. The onset of the first peak during the temperature ramp is the same as for CeZrO4. However, CO2 will be converted via reverse water-gas shift to CO at lower temperature on Cu/CeZrO4 than on CeZrO4. We expect that this conversion is predominantly due to the conversion of carbonates and formates close to Cu particles. This can explain the second desorption peak during the temperature ramp, which is due to carbonate decomposition from parts of the surface where no Cu particles are in close proximity. CH4 is also formed above 200 °C and the CH4 trace shows a maximum around 375 °C. The overall CH4 selectivity for 5% Cu/CeZrO4 is ~25%, which is much higher than for CeZrO4. The difference is due to the presence of copper metal.

With 5% Co/CeZrO4 as the catalyst, CH4 becomes the dominant reaction product. Whilst CO2 and CO desorption are observed in the isothermal regime, CH4 formation already commences at this stage. As already suggested by the results in Section 3.3, this finding implies that CO dissociation and hydrogenation can proceed during the plasma-catalytic reaction. Nevertheless, we should point out that heating of the catalyst cannot be excluded at this stage. In particular, in both of these experiments it is seen that CH4 formation is delayed compared to CO formation. In the temperature ramping regime, most of the adsorbed CO2 is converted to CH4. The CH4 profile shows a maximum below 200 °C.

Furthermore, the TPPR method allows us to directly compare the activity (Fig. 5a–c) and selectivity (Table 3) of catalysts in a plasma environment. Expectedly, the use of the CeZrO4 support does not lead to any appreciable CH4 yield. The main product is CO and CO2 conversion is rather moderate. Cu/CeZrO4 exhibits some CH4 selectivity above 350 °C with higher overall CO selectivity. The most effective catalyst for CO2 methanation is Co/CeZrO4 with a total CH4 yield of ca. 90%. The higher activity of cobalt than copper is in line with known activity trends reported for the Sabatier reaction [1,2,37].

The TPPR method also allows studying the influence of the plasma parameters on the surface processes like plasma-induced desorption and comparing plasma-induced processes to conventional TPR. Fig. 9 shows a comparison between thermal and plasma-assisted desorption of CO2. In a He flow CO2 desorption profile has two broad features at 200 °C and 400 °C. A small amount of CO is desorbed at 200 °C and 400 °C. Such strong adsorption can be attributed to the high oxygen storage capacity of CeZrO4 [38]. Desorption profile of CO2 in a H2 flow (Fig. 9b) in the absence of plasma is similar to the experiment in a He flow. The CO2 desorption peak is centered at 200 °C. Clearly, desorption of CO2 at 50 °C in the presence of plasma was more pronounced. But the amount of desorbed CO2 is much smaller compared to the experiment in He flow. Most of the strongly adsorbed CO2 was reduced to CO2 and CH4.
temperature feature for Co/CeZrO$_4$, which is most probably due to the formation of carbonates and/or formates strongly bound to the surface of the support. These surface-adsorbed species are the result of oxidative adsorption of CO. This high-temperature feature is absent for Co/SiO$_2$ because the silica support cannot form these species [36]. Therefore, we can assign the main feature of CH$_4$ formation, which does not depend on the support, to hydrogenation of CO on the Co metal. Finally, we note that the temperature maximum in CO hydrogenation

Fig. 9. a) CO$_2$ desorption profile without plasma in helium flow; b) CO$_2$ desorption profiles in hydrogen plasma under different conditions: 0, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000 Hz. 5% Co/CeZrO$_4$ catalyst, pulse duration 90 μs.

Fig. 10. a) and b) CO and CH$_4$ formation profiles at different frequencies: 0, 100, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 Hz; c) Temperature of the maximum CH$_4$ formation profile; d) Product distribution and mass balance. Catalyst 5% Co/CeZrO$_4$, pulse duration 90 μs.
depends more strongly on temperature than the temperature maximum in CO₂ hydrogenation.

4. Temperature profiles

The DBD plasma can generally induce dielectric overheating of the catalyst particles and therefore increase the apparent catalytic activity. Some recent publications have described the effect of overheating in plasma-catalysis using an ethanol thermometer [25], XAFS spectroscopy [23], and infrared thermography [24]. To evaluate the impact of dielectric heating on the activity of the catalysts, we measured the temperature profiles by using a conventional thermocouple. We placed a thermocouple into the catalyst bed, and measured the temperature right after the plasma was switched off. A rather significant overheating of up to 100 °C was observed with plasma in the isothermal period (Fig. S5), while without plasma a certain constant lag (∼ 40 °C) between the oven temperature and the actual bed temperature was observed. Nevertheless, the actual maximum of CH₄ production for conventional heating was approximately at 240 °C, while for the plasma-catalytic process it was about 200 °C, at least 40 °C lower (Fig. 13). Therefore, the observed synergy in plasma-catalytic methanation of CO₂ cannot be explained only by the overheating of the catalyst bed and an actual intrinsic positive effect of plasma is demonstrated. It should also be noted that above 250 °C the temperature with and without plasma is fairly similar. The plasma-induced overheating effect in our DBD reactor mainly takes place in the range from 50 to 250 °C. In any case, temperature measurements for catalytic material in plasma are very important to distinguish the plasma-induced chemical effects and thermal catalysis. It is challenging to measure the temperature of the catalytic bed in a plasma because of limited options for temperature sensors suitable for the high-voltage environment. Moreover, insertion of any temperature sensor inside the plasma-catalytic bed will influence the electric field and discharge properties. Application of pyrometers is also complicated, because ceramic materials, mainly used for DBD reactor (quartz, alumina), are not transparent to the IR light. There is a clear need, therefore, to develop new methods for temperature measurements in plasma-catalytic settings.

5. Conclusions

A new TPPSR method to compare the performance of plasma-catalytic systems was presented. This method can provide a solid understanding of the plasma-catalyst interactions, which can facilitate further development of efficient plasma-catalytic processes. The approach should be applicable to a wide range of plasma-catalytic reactions, such as dry reforming, NOₓ removal, VOC oxidation and CO₂ hydrogenation. Moreover, the influence of dielectric and adsorption properties of CO hydrogenation in the presence of 20% Co/CeZrO₄ and 20% Co/SiO₂ catalysts by the TPPR method: a) TPPR profiles at different frequencies: 0, 500 and 1000 Hz; b) maximum temperatures of CH₄ formation. Pulse duration 90 μs.

Fig. 11. Maximum temperature of CH₄ formation for CO₂ hydrogenation in the presence of 5% Co/CeZrO₄ and 20% Co/CeZrO₄ catalysts.

Fig. 12. CO hydrogenation in the presence of 20% Co/CeZrO₄ and 20% Co/SiO₂ catalysts by the TPPR method: a) TPPR profiles at different frequencies: 0, 500 and 1000 Hz; b) maximum temperatures of CH₄ formation. Pulse duration 90 μs.

Fig. 13. Temperature measurements for CO₂ hydrogenation in the presence of 5% Co/CeZrO₄ with and without plasma.
catalytic materials and discharge parameters can be accurately compared with TPPSR. The main requirements for the design of meaningful TPPSR experiments are (i) to avoid extensive conversion of reactants in the gas phase and (ii) to ensure reasonable adsorption of at least one of the reactants on the catalytic surface. We illustrated the potential of TPPSR to decouple the gas-phase plasma processes and the surface plasma-induced reactions in CO2 hydrogenation. Using TPPSR in combination with isothermally labelled CO2 we could understand the role of surface and gas phase processes in Co and Cu catalyzed plasma-enhanced methanation and to assess the impact of plasma parameters on these processes. We also emphasized the influence of plasma-induced heating as a contributor to the enhanced reaction performance. It was found that the plasma-induced gas-phase dissociation of CO2 favors a low-temperature reaction pathway.
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