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Assessment of Cortical Interruptions in the Finger Joints of Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Using HR-pQCT, Radiography, and MRI
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ABSTRACT

Small cortical interruptions may be the first sign of an erosion, and more interruptions can be found in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared with healthy subjects. First, we compared the number and size of interruptions in patients with RA with healthy subjects using high-resolution peripheral quantitative CT (HR-pQCT). Second, we investigated the association between structural damage and inflammatory markers on conventional radiography (CR) and MRI with interruptions on HR-pQCT. Third, the added value of HR-pQCT over CR and MRI was investigated. The finger joints of 39 patients with RA and 38 healthy subjects were examined through CR, MRI, and HR-pQCT. CRs were scored using the Sharp/Van der Heijde method. MRI images were analyzed for the presence of erosions, bone marrow edema, and synovitis. HR-pQCT images were analyzed for the number, surface area, and volume of interruptions using a semiautomated algorithm. Descriptives were calculated and associations were tested using generalized estimating equations. Significantly more interruptions and both a larger surface area and the volume of interruptions were detected in the metacarpophalangeal joints of patients with RA compared with healthy subjects (median, 2.0, 1.42 mm², and 0.48 mm³ versus 1.0, 0.69 mm², and 0.23 mm³, respectively; all \( p < 0.01 \)). Findings on CR and MRI were significantly associated with more and larger interruptions on HR-pQCT (prevalence ratios [PRs] ranging from 1.03 to 7.74; all \( p < 0.01 \)) in all subjects, and were consistent in patients with RA alone. Having RA was significantly associated with more and larger interruptions on HR-pQCT (PRs, 2.33 to 5.39; all \( p < 0.01 \)), also after adjustment for findings on CR or MRI. More and larger cortical interruptions were found in the finger joints of patients with RA versus healthy subjects, also after adjustment for findings on CR or MRI, implying that HR-pQCT imaging may be of value in addition to CR and MRI for the evaluation of structural damage in patients with RA. © 2018 The Authors. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research Published by Wiley Periodicals Inc.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory autoimmune disease, in which inflammation at the joint may lead to periarticular osteoporosis and erosions (ie, pathological cortical interruptions).1,2 Traditionally, the presence of erosions is assessed by conventional radiography (CR) in the joints of the hands and feet. However, CR is limited by its sensitivity in the detection of erosions, which is low compared with CT and MRI.3-6 With CT as a reference method, sensitivity to detect erosions in metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints was 26% and 68% for CR and MRI, respectively.7 In addition, MRI is able to visualize...
inflammation in the joint, such as bone marrow edema (BME) and synovitis, which are associated with the progression of bone damage on CR in patients with RA.\(^8\)\(^–\)\(^10\)

Another imaging modality used in RA is high-resolution peripheral quantitative CT (HR-pQCT), which can visualize the 3D bone structure of the peripheral skeleton at the microscale level (82-\(\mu\)m isotropic voxel size) in vivo. Comparative studies have shown that HR-pQCT imaging is more sensitive than MRI and CR in the detection of pathological interruptions (ie, erosions) in finger joints.\(^11\)\(^–\)\(^13\) In addition, HR-pQCT imaging can evaluate the cortical and trabecular volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) and bone microstructure in finger joints.\(^14\)

Previous studies that compared patients with RA with healthy subjects using HR-pQCT primarily focused on the visual detection of pathological interruptions.\(^14\)\(^–\)\(^20\) In a prior study, we demonstrated that with HR-pQCT a variety of cortical interruptions can be seen in the finger joints of both patients with RA and healthy subjects. Some (larger) cortical interruptions had underlying trabecular bone voids and were suggestive with RA and healthy subjects. Some (larger) cortical interruptions were smaller and may represent physiological interruptions (ie, vascular channels).\(^21\) The detection of these smaller interruptions might be of clinical relevance because it has been hypothesized that vascular channels may serve as a starting point for pathological cortical interruptions as the vascular channel provides direct entry for osteoclast-mediated joint destruction.\(^1\)\(^–\)\(^12\),\(^22\)\(^–\)\(^23\) It has also been shown that the number of visually detected small cortical interruptions is increased in patients with RA compared with healthy subjects.\(^24\) Moreover, the number of visually detected interruptions was increased in patients with a more active disease, suggesting a link to synovitis.\(^11\) Besides the detection of more and larger cortical interruptions in RA, an impaired vBMD and microstructure can be found in the finger joints of patients with RA versus healthy subjects.\(^14\) These parameters at the MCP joints are strongly correlated with the parameters at the distal radius, which are impaired in patients with osteoporosis.\(^25\)\(^,\)\(^26\)

Major drawbacks to the visual detection of cortical interruptions are that it is prone to subjectivity and is also time-consuming.\(^27\) In a previous study, we showed that the interoperator reliability of visually detecting these small cortical interruptions was fair.\(^28\) Therefore, we developed and validated a semiautomated algorithm that more reliably detects the number, surface area, and volume of (small) cortical interruptions (diameter \(\geq 0.41\) mm) in comparison with visual scoring.\(^28\)\(^–\)\(^31\)

Although these earlier studies demonstrated the feasibility, validity, and reliability of our semiautomated algorithm in quantifying (small) cortical interruptions, it remains unknown if these (small) cortical interruptions are more frequently seen in patients with RA compared with controls, and whether they are related to both structural damage on CR and structural damage and inflammatory markers on MRI. Furthermore, it is unknown if HR-pQCT imaging has an added value over findings on CR and MRI in the detection of (small) cortical interruptions and in the distinction between patients with RA and healthy subjects.

First, we evaluated the number, surface area, and volume of cortical interruptions (further described as “cortical interruption parameters”) detected on HR-pQCT images with our semiautomated algorithm in patients with RA (dominant versus nondominant hand, and early versus longstanding RA patients) and compared our results with healthy subjects. Second, we investigated whether an association exists between structural damage on CR or structural and inflammatory markers on MRI, with the cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT in all subjects and in patients with RA alone. Third, we investigated the added value of HR-pQCT in the detection of cortical interruptions and in the distinction between patients with RA and healthy subjects over findings on CR and MRI. Last, we investigated the bone density and microstructure parameters in all subjects and in patients with RA alone, and their association with the cortical interruption parameters.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

For this cross-sectional study, we used data from the MOSA-Hand cohort, which consists of 38 healthy women and 41 female patients diagnosed with RA.\(^21\) All subjects were recruited at the Maastricht University Medical Center, The Netherlands. All patients with RA fulfilled the 2010 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) classification criteria for RA.\(^32\) Information on disease duration, medication use, smoking status, anti-citrullinated protein antibody (ACPA), and rheumatoid factor (RF) positivity were retrieved from patients’ medical files. Healthy subjects, matched on age by decade, did not suffer from hand-joint complaints. All subjects signed informed consent. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics board of the academic hospital Maastricht/Maastricht University, The Netherlands (Netherlands Trial Registry number: NTR3821).

HR-pQCT image acquisition

The second and third MCP and proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints were scanned with HR-pQCT (XtremeCT1, Scanco Medical AG, Switzerland). In healthy subjects only, the dominant hand was scanned; in patients with RA, both hands were scanned. Each hand was separately scanned using a carbon cast for finger scanning as provided by the manufacturer.

For the first 13 subjects (8 healthy subjects and 5 patients with RA) that were scanned, a scan protocol of two stacks was used for MCP joints and one stack for PIP joints (Fig. 1A, scan protocol 1). This scan length was in subsequent subjects enlarged to three stacks for MCP joints and two stacks for PIP joints, based on the acquired experience of the Study group for xtrEmeCT in RA (SPECTRA; Fig. 1B, scan protocol 2).\(^33\) With both scan protocols, the reference line of MCP scans was placed at the top of the second or third metacarpal head (whichever was most distal of the two), and for PIP scans at the second or third proximal interphalangeal (whichever was most distal of the two). In this way, similar regions of interest were selected and measured. Figure 1 shows that both scan protocols encapsulate the whole joint region, including the total region where cortical interruptions may occur. Therefore, all scans were used for the quantification of cortical interruptions. However, for the bone density and microstructure parameters, the scans of protocol 1 were excluded because a difference in scan length substantially influenced these parameters (Fig. 2).

Scanning was performed at clinical in vivo settings: tube voltage = 60 kVp, tube current = 900 \(\mu\)A, integration = 100 ms. Time and images were reconstructed using an 82-\(\mu\)m isotropic voxel size. Subject scans were evaluated on motion artifacts according to Pialat and colleagues.\(^34\) Scans with at least one stack of poor quality (grade \(>3\)) were excluded from further analyses.\(^34\)
Image analyses

The outer margin of the cortex was identified using an autocontouring algorithm. In short, first, a cortical mask with a constant depth of 4 voxels (0.328 mm) was generated based on the outer contour. Second, the bone within this mask was analyzed for cortical interruptions with a diameter of ≥0.41 mm. Third, a region of interest (ROI) of approximately 4 mm around these cortical interruptions was identified for MCP joints and 2 mm for PIP joints. Fourth, voids in this ROI ≥0.41 mm in radius were selected; only those connected to the cortical interruptions were included as underlying trabecular voids.

Per joint, a number of cortical interruptions, an interruption surface area, and an interruption volume (cortical interruption and trabecular void volume) were obtained (ie, cortical interruption parameters). In addition, the proportion of joints with at least two cortical interruptions (proportion of joints affected) was calculated. This cut-off value was chosen because we expected at least one physiological interruption in the joints because of the presence of vascular channels.

Bone density and microstructure parameters

The standard and cortical evaluation protocols were used to determine the volumetric bone density and microstructure parameters as described elsewhere. The vBMD (mgHA/cm³) was calculated for the total (Tot.BMD), trabecular (Tb.BMD), and cortical (Ct.BMD) regions. Additionally, the density of the cortical bone tissue was measured (Ct.TMD). Furthermore, Tb.N (mm⁻¹), thickness (μm), and separation (Tb.Sp; μm), as well as the intraindividual distribution of separation (Tb.SpSD; μm) were determined to assess the trabecular compartment. The cortical thickness (Ct.Th; μm), cortical porosity (Ct.Po; %), and cortical pore diameter (Ct.Po.Dm; μm) were determined to assess the cortical compartment.

CR acquisition and scoring

Posteroanterior radiographs of the hands were taken of all subjects according to a standard clinical protocol. Two experienced and trained rheumatologists, who were blinded for clinical data, independently scored the radiographs of the hands to assess structural bone damage according to the Sharp/Van der Heijde (SvdH) method. Agreement between the readers for the SvdH hand score was excellent, with an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) = 0.96 (95% CI, 0.93 to 0.97). Mean scores of the two readers were calculated and used for the analysis.

We additionally examined the SvdH erosion scores on the second and third MCP and PIP joints (radiographic damage). Radiographic damage was defined as the SvdH erosion score of the specific joint.

MRI acquisition and scoring

The second and third MCP and PIP joints of both hands were examined using a 3.0-T Achieva Philips (Philips, Andover, MA, USA) MRI device. During the examination, the hand was fixed inside a dedicated wrist coil, and the space around the hand was filled with rubber to reduce motion artifacts. Images were acquired of both hands using axial T1-weighted, axial fat-suppressed T2-weighted, and sagittal 3D WATSc (water-selective cartilage scan) sequences. Additional images were acquired postintravenous gadolinium (Gadovist 1.0 mmol/mL solution for injection; Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) using axial and coronal fat-suppressed T1-weighted images.

The MR images were independently scored by two radiologists (DL and RW), who were blinded for clinical data. The presence of inflammatory changes was assessed in the proximal interphalangeal and metacarpophalangeal joints using the modified Sharp/van der Heijde method.
of erosions was evaluated per joint quadrant per bone end (thus a maximum of eight erosions per joint could be obtained). Synovitis and BME were evaluated per joint (yes/no). Agreement per joint between the readers was good with ICC = 0.75 (95% CI, 0.70 to 0.80) for the number of erosions, and κ = 0.71 and 0.87 for the presence of synovitis and BME, respectively. Consensus was reached in case of discrepancies.

Statistics

Descriptives per joint were calculated in patients with RA and healthy subjects for the proportion of joints affected, the cortical interruption, bone density, and microstructure parameters on HR-pQCT, and for findings on CR and MRI. Stratified analyses were performed in patients with RA for hand dominance (dominant versus nondominant) and disease duration (early [<2 years] versus longstanding [≥2 years]). Differences were tested with independent t tests, paired t tests, Mann-Whitney U tests, or χ² tests, as appropriate.

Possible associations were tested: (1) between either structural damage on CR or structural damage and inflammatory markers on MRI, and cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT; (2) between the presence of RA and cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT; and (3) between either bone density or microstructure parameters and cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT. Associations were calculated using repeated-measures generalized estimating equations (GEE) with a negative binomial distribution because of count data (non-normally distributed data) with overdispersion. A repeated exchangeable or unstructured working correlation structure was assumed (which fitted best) to adjust for within-subject correlation. All GEE analyses were performed with data from the dominant hand of each subject and were adjusted for joint (MCP or PIP), digit (second or third digit), age (years), and subject.
Results

Cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT

Table 2 shows that in the MCP joints of patients with RA, significantly more cortical interruptions were detected and in addition, a significantly larger surface area and a greater amount of erosion were found in RA patients compared with healthy subjects. In patients with RA, the dominant hand and their mean (SD) disease duration was 131.7 (116.5) months.

Two patients with RA did not have HR-pQCT scans available and were excluded from further analysis (Fig. 2). In one patient with RA, all GEE analyses were repeated using data from both the dominant and nondominant hand with adjustment for hand dominance (dominant or nondominant).

Table 2: Values of the HR-pQCT Cortical Interruption Parameters in MCP and PIP Joints in Healthy Subjects and Patients With RA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy subjects</th>
<th>Patients with RA</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Nondominant hand</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Dominant hand</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Early RA</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Longstanding RA</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MCP joints</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joints affected (%)</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of interruptions</td>
<td>1.00 (0.0-2.25)</td>
<td>2.00 (1.0-5.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2.00 (1.0-4.00)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.00 (1.0-7.00)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.00 (1.0-3.00)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption surface area (mm²)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.0-1.79)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.5-6.24)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.18 (0.29-3.85)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>1.47 (0.76-13.51)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.99 (0.55-1.89)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.0-0.68)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.18-8.56)</td>
<td>&lt;</td>
<td>0.39 (0.1-2.82)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.56 (0.25-37.51)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.32 (0.18-0.68)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIP joints</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joints affected (%)</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of interruptions</td>
<td>0 (0-1.00)</td>
<td>1.00 (0-2.00)</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>1.00 (0-2.00)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.00 (0-2.75)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0 (0-1.00)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption surface area (mm²)</td>
<td>0 (0-1.10)</td>
<td>0.42 (0-2.00)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.29 (0-1.87)</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.64 (0-2.66)</td>
<td>0.57 (0-2.66)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interruption volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0 (0-0.55)</td>
<td>0.14 (0-0.94)</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.10 (0-0.61)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.21 (0-1.56)</td>
<td>0.19 (0-1.35)</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are displayed as percentage or median (IQR). RA = rheumatoid arthritis; IQR = interquartile range; Joints affected = joints with at least two interruptions; early RA = RA <2-year disease duration; longstanding RA = RA >2-year disease duration; MCP = metacarpophalangeal; PIP = proximal interphalangeal joint; HR-pQCT = high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography.

*Joints were included only if both the dominant and nondominant scans were available.
Furthermore, in the dominant hand of patients with RA, significantly more cortical interruptions and a larger surface area, as well as a greater volume of interruptions could be detected compared with the nondominant hand.

For PIP joints, similar but smaller differences were found between patients with RA and healthy subjects, and in the stratified analyses in patients with RA. Statistical significance was only found for the proportion of joints affected between patients with RA and healthy subjects, and for the number of interruptions in the dominant versus the nondominant hand.

Figure 3A shows examples of cortical interruptions detected in the MCP and PIP joints of a healthy subject and a patient with RA.

Findings on CR and MRI

Seventy-five baseline radiographs of the 77 subjects with an HR-pQCT scan were available and scored (39 patients with RA and 36 healthy subjects). The mean SvdH score based on two readers was significantly higher in patients with RA versus healthy subjects (mean = 9.1; SD = 17.3; range, 0 to 87.5) versus 1.1 (SD = 1.8; range, 0 to 10; p = 0.008). In 10 joints from five patients with RA, radiographic damage was present in the joints specifically examined with HR-pQCT and MRI (MCP2, 3 and PIP 2, 3). In healthy subjects, no radiographic damage was observed in these joints.

Seventy-five baseline MRI scans of the 77 subjects who received an HR-pQCT scan were available and scored (38 patients with RA and 37 healthy subjects). In one subject, images of four PIP joints were missing because of technical issues. Based on motion artifacts found on MRI, 12 joints were not evaluable for BME and 14 joints were not evaluable for erosion and synovitis. In patients with RA, significantly more joints with erosions, BME, and synovitis were found compared with healthy subjects (Table S1). Longstanding RA patients had a significantly higher proportion of joints with BME compared with early RA patients. Furthermore, the mean number of erosions was significantly higher in the dominant hand compared with the nondominant hand in patients with RA (Table S1).

Associations between findings on CR or MRI with the cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT

Table 3 presents the results of our GEE analysis investigating associations between findings on either CR or MRI and cortical interruption parameters. Radiographic damage in the joint and the SvdH hand score on CR were significantly associated with more cortical interruptions and both a larger surface area and a greater volume of cortical interruptions per joint on HR-pQCT (prevalence ratios [PRs] ranging from 1.03 to 2.04; all p < 0.01).

Also, the presence and number of erosions, BME, and synovitis per joint on MRI were each significantly associated with more cortical interruptions and both a larger surface area and a greater volume of cortical interruptions per joint on HR-pQCT (PRs ranging from 1.32 to 7.74; all p < 0.01; Table 3).

Similar associations were found in additional analyses using data from both the dominant and nondominant hand of patients with RA (Table S2).

Added value of HR-pQCT over CR or MRI

The association between the presence of RA and each of the cortical interruption parameters was tested in a model with adjustment for joint, digit, and age. Significant associations were found, with PRs ranging from 2.33 to 5.39 (Table 4, model 1).

The added value of HR-pQCT over findings on CR or MRI was tested in two additional models. After adjustment for structural damage on CR (Table 4, model 2) or structural damage and inflammatory markers on MRI (Table 4, model 3), still significant associations between the presence of RA and cortical interruptions parameters were found, indicating that the HR-pQCT has an added value over findings on CR and MRI in the detection of cortical interruptions and in the distinction between patients with RA and healthy subjects.

Figure 3A shows examples of cortical interruptions detected on HR-pQCT in MCP and PIP joints of a patient with RA and a healthy subject with no structural damage on CR. It can be seen that more and larger interruptions are detected in the patient with RA versus the healthy subject, despite no visible structural damage on CR in both subjects.
Table 3. Associations Between Structural Damage on CR and Structural Damage and Inflammatory Features on MRI, and Bone Density Parameters on HR-pQCT With the Cortical Interruption parameters on HR-pQCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of interruptions</th>
<th>Interruption surface area</th>
<th>Interruption volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SvdH hand score</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02–1.05)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiographic damage</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.40 (1.14–1.70)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion (presence)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.12 (1.53–2.94)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of erosions</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.32 (1.21–1.45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME (presence)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>2.29 (1.42–3.68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovitis (presence)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>3.84 (2.58–5.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR-pQCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot.BMD*</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.94 (0.91–0.98)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb.BMD*</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.95 (0.89–1.02)</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.BMD*</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.91 (0.88–0.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct.TMD*</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.91 (0.88–0.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were performed with data from the dominant hand of each subject. Values displayed as value or value (95% CI). Models adjusted for joint, digit, age, and RA.

PR = prevalence ratio; BMD = bone mineral density; Tot.BMD = total volumetric BMD; Tb.BMD = trabecular volumetric BMD; Ct.BMD = cortical volumetric BMD; Ct.TMD = cortical volumetric tissue mineral density; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; BME = bone marrow edema; CR = conventional radiography; SvdH hand score = Sharp/Van der Heijde hand score; radiographic damage = SvdH erosion score in specific MCP or PIP joint; HR-pQCT = high-resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography.

*β and PR values are displayed per 10 unit increase.

Bone density and microstructure parameters

In both MCP and PIP joints, we found that the vBMD was lower in patients with RA compared with healthy subjects in the total, trabecular, and cortical regions (Tables S3, S4). Moreover, the mineralization of the Ct.TMD was lower in patients with RA than in healthy subjects. Furthermore, the Tb.N was lower and the Tb.Sp higher with more variance in the distribution of separation (Tb.SpSD higher). In addition, a thinner Ct.Th (lower) and smaller Sp higher with more variance in the distribution of separation (SvdH erosion score in specific MCP or PIP joint, as expected).

Associations between bone density or microstructure with the cortical interruption parameters

A significant negative association was found between the vBMD and the cortical interruption parameters per joint, except for the association between Tb.BMD and the number and surface area of interruptions (PRs ranging from 0.82 to 0.95 per 10 unit vBMD increase; Table 3).

The bone microstructure parameters did not show any significant associations with the cortical interruption parameters per joint, except for Tb.N and Tb.Sp with interruption volume (PRs: 0.21; 95% CI, 0.05 to 0.83; and 22.89; 95% CI, 1.67 to 313.75, respectively).

Similar associations were found in additional analyses using data from both the dominant and nondominant hands of patients with RA (Table S2).

Discussion

The present study showed that more and larger cortical interruptions were found on HR-pQCT in patients with RA than in healthy subjects. These differences were primarily found in MCP joints and not in PIP joints, as expected. More and larger interruptions were found in longstanding RA patients versus early RA patients, but the difference was only significant for the interruption volume in MCP joints. Structural damage observed on CR and MRI and markers of inflammation (BME and synovitis) on MRI were significantly associated with more cortical interruptions and both a larger surface area and a larger volumetric tissue mineral density.

Table 4. Associations Between the Presence of RA and Each of the Cortical Interruption Parameters on HR-pQCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of interruptions</th>
<th>Interruption surface area</th>
<th>Interruption volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>2.33 (1.50–3.60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.77 (1.14–2.75)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.68 (1.10–2.57)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyses were performed with data from the dominant hand of each subject. Values displayed as value or value (95% CI).

Model 1: adjustment for joint, digit, and age; Model 2: adjustment for joint, digit, age, radiographic damage, and SvdH hand score on CR; Model 3: adjustment for joint, digit, age, erosions, BME, and synovitis on MRI.

RA = rheumatoid arthritis; PR = prevalence ratio; SvdH score = Sharp/van der Heijde score; CR = conventional radiography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; BME = bone marrow edema; radiographic damage = SvdH erosion score in specific metacarpophalangeal or proximal interphalangeal joint.
greater volume of cortical interruptions on HR-pQCT. In addition, the presence of RA was significantly associated with the number, surface area, and volume of cortical interruptions on HR-pQCT, even after adjustment for findings on CR and MRI, indicating an added value of the HR-pQCT. Moreover, bone density and microstructural parameters were impaired in patients with RA, and a lower bone density was associated with more interruptions and both a larger surface area and a greater volume of interruptions on HR-pQCT. For example, every erosion on MRI was associated with a 1.32 times (32%) increase of the number of interruptions, a 1.84 times (84%) increase of interruption surface area, and a 1.92 times (92%) increase of interruption volume.

In the present study, we found, on average, a higher number of cortical interruptions in MCP joints of patients with RA than has been reported in previous studies, which used visual scoring of HR-pQCT images (mean number of cortical interruptions was 4.3 in our study versus means ranging from 0.7 to 3.0 in previous studies). This suggests that we indeed included smaller-sized interruptions with our semiautomated algorithm compared with visual scoring of interruptions on HR-pQCT.

Our observation that more small cortical interruptions could be detected on HR-pQCT in patients with RA as opposed to healthy subjects is in line with a previous study. In that study, using visual scoring on binary images, more very small cortical interruptions (with a diameter ≥0.082 mm) were found in patients with RA versus healthy subjects (112.9 versus 75.2). Small interruptions might serve as a starting point for pathological cortical interruptions. Monitoring these individual small cortical interruptions might be useful in understanding the pathogenesis of RA and might also be of value for evaluating the progression of structural damage or responsiveness to treatment in individual patients with RA. Previous research demonstrated, for example, repair processes of existing large pathological interruptions with HR-pQCT in those patients using tumor necrosis factor inhibitors, interleukin-6, or receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand (RANKL) blockers.

We also found associations between the dominant hand (versus the nondominant hand), the MCP joint (versus thePIP joint), digit 2 (versus digit 3), a higher age, a lower vBMD, and more and larger interruptions in finger joints on HR-pQCT. In studies using CR, higher SvdH scores have been reported for the dominant hand versus the nondominant hand. More and larger interruptions in the dominant hand support the hypothesis that mechanical factors also play a role in the development of erosions. Using HR-pQCT, Stach and colleagues showed that most interruptions could be found in the second MCP joint. Werner et al. showed that the number of small cortical interruptions in finger joints on HR-pQCT increases with increasing age. Last, Rossini and colleagues showed that a lower BMD in the hip or spine measured on DXA was correlated with more interruptions on CR.

Another observation in the present study was that the bone density and microstructural parameters were impaired in patients with RA in contrast to healthy subjects. A lower bone density in both the trabecular and cortical regions, fewer trabeculae (Tb.N lower, Tb.Sp and Tb.SpSD higher), and a thinner cortex were found in patients with RA. This is in agreement with previous studies investigating bone density and microstructure parameters in patients with RA compared with healthy subjects using HR-pQCT, although the study from Fouque-Aubert and colleagues reported thinner trabeculae rather than fewer trabeculae as was found in our study. This difference might be explained by the longer scan region in our study than in the study of Fouque-Aubert and colleagues (three stacks, 27.06 mm versus one stack, 9.02 mm), because fewer trabeculae are present more distally from the joint. In addition to the standard evaluation protocol, we were the first to apply the cortical evaluation protocol, which also evaluates the cortical-tissue mineral density and porosity in finger joints of patients with RA as opposed to healthy subjects. The cortical tissue mineral density was significantly lower in patients with RA, meaning that the decrease in Ct.BMD is not only caused by the loss of cortical bone, but also by a decrease in the density of cortical bone tissue. Interestingly, in both MCP and PIP joints, the Ct.Po and Ct.Po.Dm were smaller in patients with RA than in healthy subjects. This might seem contraintuitive, but it should be noted that these Ct.Po measurements only involve intracortical pores—hence cortical interruptions are excluded. Because the cortical porosity and cortical pore parameter only involve intracortical pores, expanded intracortical pores are likely to become cortical interruptions, and thus are not included in these parameters. Hence, this might lead to a decrease in the Ct.Po and Ct.Po.Dm and an increase in the cortical interruption parameters in patients with RA.

Our study has several limitations. First, we did not visually inspect and correct the inner cortical contour for determination of the cortical density and cortical microstructural parameters. However, in a separate study of the MCP joints in early arthritis patients, a high reproducibility on scan/rescan data with in-between repositioning of these parameters without correcting the inner cortical contour was found, indicating that the determination of the inner cortical contour was reliable, and only slightly dependent on repositioning and motion artifacts. Second, with our algorithm, the trabecular void volume underlying the cortical interruption that can be detected is limited to a depth of 4 mm in MCP joints and 2 mm in PIP joints. This means that the algorithm underestimates the volume of interruptions with a depth greater than these cut-offs (ie, volume >134 mm$^3$ and >16.8 mm$^3$, respectively). Hence, the comparability of the 3D volume of very large interruptions may be limited between our algorithm and other techniques. However, such large interruptions are not the primary focus of research with HR-pQCT because these can also be detected by other imaging techniques with lower resolution (eg, CR and MRI). The strength of HR-pQCT lies mainly in the detection of “small” interruptions, <10 mm$^3$, which are missed with MRI. Third, our study was performed using the first-generation HR-pQCT. Meanwhile, the second-generation HR-pQCT (HR-pQCT2) has been introduced, which has a higher spatial resolution (95 μm versus 130 μm) and smaller voxel size (61 μm versus 82 μm). This higher resolution enables better distinction between bone and nonbone, which may reduce the number of falsely detected interruptions due to the partial volume effect. Additionally, the minimum interruption diameter will be smaller when applying two dilation steps (≥0.305 mm versus ≥0.410 mm, respectively). The detection of more and smaller cortical interruptions with a possible higher validity of these interruptions may both increase the added value of HR-pQCT over findings on MRI and CR in the detection of cortical interruptions and in the distinction of patients with RA from healthy subjects.

The present study has shown that our algorithm is able to detect these small cortical interruptions and showed differences in patients with RA and healthy subjects beyond structural damage on CR, and structural damage and inflammatory markers on MRI. Only small differences between early RA and
longstanding RA patients were found. This may indicate that the patients were treated effectively (51% of the patients are treated with biologics). The algorithm can best be used in arthritis patients aiming at early detection of structural damage. The next step is to apply this algorithm in a clinical follow-up study to test its potential value in monitoring patients with RA.

In conclusion, using our semiautomated algorithm, we demonstrated a higher number of interruptions, and both a larger surface area and volume of interruptions on HR-pQCT in early and longstanding RA patients than in healthy subjects. Structural damage on CR and MRI and markers of inflammation (BME and synovitis) on MRI were associated with these cortical interruption parameters on HR-pQCT. HR-pQCT has shown to be of added value over findings on CR and MRI in the detection of cortical interruptions and in the distinction of patients with RA versus healthy subjects. We also found impaired bone density and microstructure in patients with RA compared with healthy subjects, and that lower bone density was associated with more and larger interruptions on HR-pQCT. These findings demonstrate the value of HR-pQCT imaging in the evaluation of periarticular bone and in the assessment of (early) bone damage in addition to CR and MRI in patients with RA.
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