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ABSTRACT: Evaporation of sessile droplets on a flat surface involves a complex interplay between phase change, diffusion, advection, and surface forces. In an attempt to significantly reduce the complexity of the problem and to make it manageable, we propose a simple model hinged on a surface free-energy-based relaxation dynamics of the droplet shape, a diffusive evaporation model, and a contact line pinning mechanism governed by a yield stress. Our model reproduces the known dynamics of droplet shape relaxation and of droplet evaporation, both in the absence and in the presence of contact line pinning. We show that shape relaxation during evaporation significantly affects the lifetime of a drop. We find that the dependence of the evaporation time on the initial contact angle is a function of the competition between the shape relaxation and evaporation and is strongly affected by any contact line pinning.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the dynamics of spreading and drying of droplets deposited on a substrate is of importance to many practices, such as inkjet printing,1–3 pesticide spraying,4 and semiconductor device manufacturing.5,6 In the semiconductor industry, photolithographic methods are employed to define patterns for integrated circuits on wafers, coated with photosensitive polymer layers.5,7 Often, water immersion is used to increase the resolution of the lithography process.7,8 However, if any droplets are left behind on a wafer, they may induce the so-called watermark defects in the photosensitive layer.5,9

Due to the importance of understanding the drying processes, the drying of droplets on surfaces has been intensely studied experimentally,6,10–15 theoretically,15–19 and numerically.20–23 Nevertheless, the understanding of this multifaceted problem remains incomplete due to the multitude of coupled processes that determine the evaporation dynamics. Apart from the evaporation itself, processes such as convection and heat transport in the droplet, shape relaxation, and contact line pinning play a role.

Associated with the complex physics of the problem at hand are a large number of physical parameters, the relative importance of which depends on the initial and boundary conditions as well as the time and length scales of interest. Therefore, we aim to develop a macroscopic model that does not resolve the details of, for example, the velocity field inside the droplet or the vapor concentration field around it. Rather, we consider three constituents to make up our model: (1) interfacial free-energy-based relaxation for the droplet shape, (2) diffusion-limited evaporation, and (3) contact line pinning.

In the literature, various authors studied the evaporation of droplets, focusing on two limiting modes of evaporation: a droplet evaporates with either a constant contact area or a constant contact angle, allowing transitions between these limits.13,16,24,25 Others have investigated the shape relaxation of droplets by measuring the contact angle of nonevaporating droplets in time.26–28 To combine the both aspects, which are described in the literature separately, we propose a model that is not restricted to the two evaporation modes, but contains the shape relaxation of the droplet during the evaporation process. It captures and extends the evaluation of Stauber et al.24 by taking into account the contact line dynamics, i.e., incorporating both advancing and receding contact lines and considering cases without contact line pinning. Moreover, to describe the transition between mobile and pinned contact lines, our model includes a yield stress that governs contact line pinning: contact line motion is inhibited for capillary driving forces below a critical stress.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In the Theory section, we present the main ingredients of our phenomenological model. The Results and Discussion section compares experimental data and existing theories, as well as presents an overview of representative cases of evaporation with and without contact line pinning. We also discuss in detail the implications of choices made for certain parameters during the calculations. In the Summary and Conclusions section, we summarize our results and present our main conclusions.

THEORY

The focus of this work is on droplets of sizes smaller than the capillary length \( l_c = \sqrt{\gamma LC/\rho g} \), which allows us to model the
droplet as a spherical cap.29 Here, $\gamma_{\text{LG}}$ denotes the surface tension of the liquid–gas interface, $\rho$ is the mass density of the fluid, and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. For water in air at room temperature, $l_c \approx 3$ mm.29 We presume the liquid to be incompressible. If the shape of the droplet is described as a spherical cap, it is uniquely defined by only two parameters. We choose for these the radius $a$ of the contact area and the contact angle $\theta$ of the drop with the solid surface that we assume to be rigid (see Figure 1).30 Geometrically, they are related to the droplet volume $V$ according to

$$V(a, \theta) = \frac{\pi a^3}{3} \left[ 2 - 3 \cos \theta + \cos^3 \theta \right].$$

(1)

Equation 1 implies that, for a given volume $V$, a prescribed value for the contact area radius $a$ defines the contact angle $\theta$ and vice versa. Within a macroscopic description of the droplet shape, the equilibrium values of $a$ and $\theta$ are determined by $\gamma_{\text{LG}}$ as well as by the solid–liquid and solid–gas interfacial tensions, $\gamma_{\text{SL}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{SG}}$, respectively. We associate the droplet shape with an interfacial free energy $F(a, \theta)$, given by the sum of the interfacial tensions multiplied by the respective surface areas

$$F(a, \theta) = \frac{\pi a^2}{3} \left[ \gamma_{\text{SL}} - \gamma_{\text{SG}} + \frac{2 \gamma_{\text{LG}}}{1 + \cos \theta} \right].$$

(2)

For a given volume $V$, eq 2 can be expressed as a function of $\theta$ only, using eq 1, that is, $F(a, \theta) \to F(\theta)$.31 Minimizing this free energy $F$ with respect to $\theta$ produces the following equation for its optimal value

$$\gamma_{\text{SG}} - \gamma_{\text{SL}} - \gamma_{\text{LG}} \cos \theta_{\text{eq}} = 0$$

(3)

which is the well-known Young’s equation for the equilibrium contact angle $\theta_{\text{eq}}$.32,33 We note that $\theta_{\text{eq}}$ denotes the contact angle corresponding to the free-energy minimum; however, this angle is not necessarily straightforwardly accessible experimentally.34

Out of equilibrium, eq 3 does not hold. To describe how an out-of-equilibrium droplet shape relaxes toward equilibrium, we construct a kinetic equation for the contact angle using a relaxational dynamics approach based on our free-energy landscape.35,36 It describes how the droplet adjusts its contact angle $\theta$ with the surface to move toward equilibrium. Together with the volume $V$, this defines a new radius of the contact area $a$. We refer to this process as “shape relaxation”, since both $\theta$ and $a$ change simultaneously to accommodate a lower free-energy state. We note, however, that the overall shape of the droplet remains a spherical cap. This allows us to quite naturally include the effects of steady evaporation and of a potential pinning of the contact line. In the next subsections, we discuss separately and in detail, the three main components of our phenomenological model: the relaxation dynamics of the droplet shape, the description for diffusive evaporation, and the contact line pinning mechanism.

**Relaxation Dynamics of the Droplet Shape.** On the basis of the free energy $F(\theta)$ obtained from eqs 1 and 2, we construct a relaxation equation for the contact angle $\theta$ of the drop

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\Gamma \frac{dF}{d\theta}$$

(4)

where $\Gamma$ is a phenomenological relaxation rate that we specify in more detail below. Equation 4 describes a rate of change that is proportional to the generalized force $dF/d\theta$. This is in analogy to the so-called model A dynamics commonly applied in the kinetics of phase transitions of nonconserved order parameters.35–37 We note that it is also possible to derive kinetic equations by equating capillary and viscous forces.38,39

In our model, this balance is implicit in the parameter $\Gamma$.

Several experimental and theoretical works have identified the difference between the cosines of the instantaneous, time-dependent contact angle $\theta$ and its equilibrium value $\theta_{\text{eq}}$ given by eq 3, to be the driving force for the motion of the contact line.27,28,33,40,41 For small values of the difference $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{\text{eq}}$, this relaxation can be described by a simple exponential function.27,42 The exponential decay allows us to identify a characteristic time scale $\tau_{\text{dr}}$ as

$$\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{\text{eq}} \propto \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{dr}})$$

(5)

We discuss the functional expression for $\tau_{\text{dr}}$ below.

To relate to eq 5, we transform eq 4 into a kinetic equation for $\cos \theta$ and expand it around the equilibrium cosine $\cos \theta_{\text{eq}}$. A linearization produces an exponentially decaying $\cos \theta$, from which we determine $\Gamma$. This yields

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{dr}}' V^{2/3} \Theta(\cos \theta_{\text{eq}}) \alpha(t)}$$

(6)

with

$$\Theta(x) \equiv 2(9x^3 - 3x) \exp(\left[1 - x^2\right])^{1/3} \left(1 - x\right)^{1/3} \left(1 + x\right)^{1/2}$$

(7)

Equations 4 and 6 reproduce eq 5 for small deviations from equilibrium. In eq 6, we introduce a time-dependent, dimensionless factor $\alpha(t)$ to account for changes in the dynamics of the droplet shape relaxation due to, e.g., a change in droplet size over time. We return to this below. Equations 4 and 6 describe how a droplet deposited with a nonequilibrium initial contact angle $\theta_0$ relaxes to the equilibrium value $\theta_{\text{eq}}$ in a relaxation process characterized by a fundamental time scale $\tau_{\text{dr}}'$ provided that the contact line is not pinned. We discuss our model for contact line pinning below.

The characteristic time scale $\tau_{\text{dr}}$ for the shape relaxation has been identified in various experimental and theoretical works to be dependent on the fluid viscosity $\eta$, the liquid–gas interfacial tension $\gamma_{\text{LG}}$, and a length scale $L$ as

$$\tau_{\text{dr}} = \frac{\eta L}{\gamma_{\text{LG}}}$$

(8)

In experiments on spreading of polymeric fluids, this length scale $L$ has been described as a measure of the slip or friction length of the interaction between a polymeric liquid and the
solid\textsuperscript{26,27,42} which seems to be independent of droplet dimensions\textsuperscript{27} and has been estimated to be of the order of micrometers.\textsuperscript{12} In works on the coalescence of droplets, however, the length scale $L$ has been shown to be proportional to the droplet size $k_0$\textsuperscript{19,39,41} which seems in agreement with the experimental and theoretical works on the spreading of polymer melts\textsuperscript{39} and spherical droplets of simple liquids.\textsuperscript{44} For this reason, we take the length scale $L$ to be $kV^{1/3}/\eta_0$, with $V_0$ the initial volume of the droplet, making it proportional to the droplet size, and $k$ a dimensionless proportionality constant that can be related to an Arrhenius factor.\textsuperscript{41} Hence

$$\tau_{\text{rlx}} = k \frac{\eta V^{1/3}}{\eta_0 \gamma_{\text{LG}}}$$  \hfill (9)

As the droplet size decreases during evaporation, the length scale $L$ related to the shape relaxation may (1) remain constant (in the case that $L$ is related to a slip or friction length) or (2) decrease with the droplet size. The scale factor $a(t)$ can be employed to incorporate either behavior into the dynamics described by eqs 4 and 6. If $L$ remains constant, we may choose $a = 1$, whereas for a size-dependent length scale, $a(t) = (V(t)/V_0)^{1/3}$. As we shall see, it turns out that the two expressions for $a$ give rise to small differences in the droplet dynamics albeit that the lifetime of an evaporating droplet is not sensitive to whether $a$ is proportional to the droplet size or not. For simplicity, we set $a = 1$ for the evaluation of our results. We discuss the implications of choosing the alternative $a(t)$ in more detail in the Results and Discussion section.

The structure of eq 6 allows for the implementation of different models for droplet shape relaxation, as long as it progresses exponentially in the limit of small deviations from equilibrium, as in eq 5. For example, from a microscopic perspective, the motion of the contact line is often described by the so-called molecular kinetic theory (MKT).\textsuperscript{28} This theory describes the motion of the contact line in terms of small jumps over the intrinsically microscopically inhomogeneous surface, driven by thermal fluctuations. It has been shown to predict contact line dynamics in agreement with experiments and molecular simulation.\textsuperscript{27,29,34} MKT relates the velocity $da/dt$ of the contact line to the driving force via the expression

$$\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{2 \varepsilon k_B T}{\eta_v L} \exp\left(-\frac{G^*}{k_B T}\right) \sinh \frac{\chi_{\text{LG}} \varepsilon^2}{2 k_B T} (\cos \theta_{eq} - \cos \theta)$$  \hfill (10)

where $\varepsilon$ denotes the distance between adsorption sites on the surface; $k_B T$ is the usual thermal energy, with $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the absolute temperature; $v_L$ is the molecular volume of the liquid; and $G^*$ is the surface contribution to the activation free energy of wetting.

If we translate eq 10 in terms of the time evolution of the cosine of the contact angle, i.e., make use of eq 1, and expand this to linear order for small deformations $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{eq}$, we find that the characteristic time scale $\tau_{\text{rlx}}$ according to molecular kinetic theory must be given by

$$\tau_{\text{rlx}} = \frac{\eta V^{1/3}}{\gamma_{\text{LG}}} \frac{v_L}{\varepsilon^3} \exp\left(-\frac{G^*}{k_B T}\right) (2 + \cos \theta_{eq}) \sin^2 \theta_{eq}$$  \hfill (11)

We see that the functional form of $\tau_{\text{rlx}}$ of eq 11 is analogous to that of eq 9. This suggests that the characteristic shape relaxation time as predicted by MKT, which is a microscopic theory in origin, to linear order also is a function of macroscopic parameters such as droplet size, viscosity, and surface tension. Parenthetically, we find that a hydrodynamic theory for contact angle dynamics, as described by Voinov and de Ruiter et al.,\textsuperscript{45,51} yields an analogous result.\textsuperscript{45,51} If we expand the theory for small deformations $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{eq}$ we find again a characteristic time scale $\tau_{\text{rlx}}$ which is proportional to the fraction $\eta V^{1/3}/\gamma_{\text{LG}}$. This indicates that the scaling of the characteristic relaxation time scale with viscosity, droplet size, and interfacial tension, as described in eq 9, is universal.

This concludes our analysis of the relaxation dynamics of small drops. We next describe how quasi-steady evaporation affects the dynamics of a deposited droplet, presuming that an instantaneous free energy can be defined, in effect presuming a separation of time scales.

**Evaporation of the Droplet.** We take quasi-stationary, isothermal vapor diffusion into the surrounding gas phase to be the governing mechanism for evaporation, assuming the droplet to be in contact with an infinite volume of gas. We neglect thermal effects caused by the evaporation of the fluid, effectively assuming that heat transport occurs at much shorter times than the time scales associated with the evaporation process. For water in air, the evaporative cooling at the droplet surface has a negligible effect on the evaporation rate\textsuperscript{52} and we consider an isothermal substrate, which is reasonable for surfaces with high thermal conductivity.\textsuperscript{33–55} Picknett and Buxton\textsuperscript{16} derived an expression for the rate of change in mass of a droplet as a function of contact angle $\theta$. The rate of change of the volume $V$ of a droplet can then be written as

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{2 \pi a} {\rho \sin \theta} f(\theta)$$  \hfill (12)

where $D$ denotes the diffusion coefficient of vapor molecules in the gas phase and $\rho$ is the mass density of the liquid.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, $\Delta \equiv c_l - c_{eq}$ denotes the difference between the vapor mass concentration $c_l$ near the liquid–gas interface (in units of mass per volume), presumed to be the saturation value of the fluid molecules in the gas phase, and the vapor mass concentration $c_{eq}$ at infinity, i.e., that of the ambient atmosphere. Finally, $f(\theta)$ denotes a geometric factor for which an exact analytical expression is not available in closed form.\textsuperscript{16,56} For our purposes, a polynomial representation for $f(\theta)$

$$f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 
0.6366\theta + 0.09591\theta^2 & \text{for } 0 \leq \theta < 0.175 \\
-0.06144\theta^3 & \\
0.00008957 + 0.63333\theta & \text{for } 0.175 \leq \theta \leq \pi \\
+0.1166\theta^2 - 0.08878\theta^3 & \\
+0.01033\theta^4 & 
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (13)

is sufficiently accurate. Indeed, the error of the approximant is less than 0.2% for all values of $\theta$.\textsuperscript{16}

For a constant contact angle $\theta$, eq 12 can be expressed entirely in the contact area radius $a(t)$ using eq 1 and solved exactly. This gives

$$a(t) = \sqrt{a_0^2 - \frac{4 D \Delta c}{\rho} \frac{f(\theta) \sin^2 \theta}{2 - 3 \cos \theta + \cos^3 \theta t}}$$  \hfill (14)
where \( a_0 \) denotes the initial value of the contact area radius \( a_0 = a(0) \). It shows that the contact area \( \pi a^2 \) decreases linearly in time, a known experimental result.\(^{17}\) From eq 14, we deduce that the time \( t_{evap} \) it takes to evaporate a droplet is the longest for \( \theta = \pi/2 \). For this contact angle, the evaporation time \( t_{evap} \) is given by the simple expression

\[
\tau_{evap} = \frac{2Dc(3V_f)}{2\pi}^{2/3}
\]

In the remainder of this work, we shall scale all evaporation times to \( t_{evap} \). Note that we have identified the two fundamental time scales that describe our problem: the fundamental relaxation time \( t_{relx} \) (eq 9) and the fundamental evaporation time \( t_{evap} \) (eq 15). The actual evaporation time depends not only on the initial contact angle and the relaxation dynamics of the droplet shape, but also on whether or not contact line pinning takes place.

**Contact Line Pinning.** Contact line pinning is the phenomenon where the contact line of the droplet becomes stuck, permanently or temporarily, on structural or chemical inhomogeneities of the supporting surface.\(^{40,57,45}\) In general, a droplet in the pinned state exhibits a contact angle different from the equilibrium angle \( \theta_{eq} \) as it cannot relax to its equilibrium shape. We model the influence of surface heterogeneities by introducing a net macroscopic threshold force per unit length, \( f_p \), exerted in the plane of the surface along the radial direction of the circular contact line. It has a direction opposite to the capillary driving force per unit length, \( f_c \). As both \( f_p \) and \( f_c \) are exerted on the perimeter of the contact area, we for simplicity refer to both as a force.

If the magnitude of the capillary driving force is smaller than the threshold \( f_p \), then the contact line remains pinned. On the other hand, if it is greater, we allow the contact line to move: the relaxation of the contact angle \( \theta \) is calculated using eqs 4 and 5 and the contact line moves accordingly. In the presence of contact line pinning, the droplet shape relaxes to the point where the capillary forces and pinning forces are balanced. The contact line motion is quasi-steady and hence the associated friction does not depend on the velocity of the contact line. For simplicity, we assume that the yield force \( f_p \) does not depend on the position on the surface. We define the capillary force as

\[
f_c = -\frac{1}{2\pi a} \frac{dF}{da} = -\gamma \cos(\theta - \cos \theta_{eq})
\]

where we have used Young’s law (eq 3). In our prescription, we allow motion of the contact line as long as \( |f_c| > f_p \). Equation 16 is also referred to as the unbalanced Young’s force or unbalanced capillary forces.

The magnitude of the pinning force \( f_p \) defines a contact angle range in which the capillary force \( f_c \) is too weak to overcome pinning. As long as the contact angle \( \theta \) resides within this range, the contact area remains constant. We refer to this range as the “fixed-area” regime, and it turns out to be bounded by the receding and advancing contact angles, \( \theta_r \) and \( \theta_a \), which are the contact angles for which \( f_c \) and \( f_p \) are balanced. Within our model, the values of these quantities depend on the pinning force \( f_p \) according to

\[
\theta_r = \arccos(\cos \theta_{eq} + f_p/\gamma)
\]

\[
\theta_a = \arccos(\cos \theta_{eq} - f_p/\gamma)
\]

The receding and advancing contact angles indicate the points at which the pinning–depinning transitions occur. If the droplet evaporates while initially being in the pinned (fixed-area) state, the contact angle decreases until the droplet depins at a value equal to \( \theta_r \), after which the evaporation continues with a constant contact angle \( \theta \) and a receding contact line. In contrast to a constant \( \theta \), a constant advancing angle \( \theta \) is not encountered for droplets with decreasing volume, but it can only be observed as the point at which the droplet becomes pinned after initial spreading.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now compare predictions of our phenomenological model with the full nonlinear response presented by molecular kinetic theory (MKT) and with experiments on droplet evaporation in the presence of contact line pinning. We quantify the competition between evaporation and relaxation using the ratio of the two time scales \( \tau_{evap}/\tau_{relx} \). It determines, together with the initial and equilibrium contact angles as well as the magnitude of the pinning force, the lifetime of an evaporating droplet. Both fundamental time scales depend only on the properties of the fluid and the surrounding vapor phase. Their ratio scales linearly with the droplet size \( V_f^{1/3} \). Presuming that the Arrhenius factor \( k \) in eq 9 is of the order \( 10^{16} \), typical values of \( \tau_{evap}/\tau_{relx} \) for water droplets of micrometer to millimeter sizes range from \( 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^1 \); however, the latter value may increase further under conditions of slow evaporation (i.e., high humidity). For fluids with higher viscosity \( \eta \), presuming the other parameters remain unchanged, \( \tau_{evap}/\tau_{relx} \) decreases. The ratio of the two time scales has also been addressed by Man and Doi\(^{19}\) to be important in the context of evaporation problems. Directly connecting the parameter \( k_{ev} \) presented in ref 19 to our \( \tau_{evap}/\tau_{relx} \) however, is not straightforward due to the factor \( k \). We choose the droplets to be hemispherical in equilibrium, i.e., \( \theta_{eq} = \pi/2 \), which is typical for a water droplet on a polymer substrate. The implications of choosing a different equilibrium contact angle are discussed at the end of this section.

Because sessile droplet shape relaxation and evaporation have been described separately in the literature before, we feel it instructive to first investigate how our model compares to those works and to known experimental data. After the validation of the model with the literature, we discuss the predictions given by our more complete model that unites shape relaxation, droplet evaporation, and contact line pinning. Finally, we discuss the impact of the assumptions we make during our calculations.

**Shape Relaxation and Pinning–Depinning Transition.** To illustrate the relaxation dynamics predicted by our free-energy-based model and to compare the predictions to an existing model for contact line dynamics, we first compare our theory with the relaxation dynamics of a droplet deposited on a surface according to molecular kinetic theory (MKT). This theory, which has a microscopic basis, is shown to describe experimentally measured contact line dynamics rather well.\(^{25,45,47}\) As discussed in the Theory section, for small values of \( \cos \theta - \cos \theta_{eq} \) MKT predicts an exponential relaxation with a time scale \( \tau_{relx} \) given by eq 11. For greater values, however, the dynamics deviates from a simple single exponential description. To compare the nonlinear contact angle dynamics predicted by our model to that described by MKT, we solve eq 10 numerically. For convenience, we set the equilibrium contact angle to \( \theta_{eq} = \pi/2 \) and choose four initial
angles $\theta_0$, symmetrically around this angle. In Figure 2, we compare the time dependence of the contact angle $\theta$ and the absolute value of the difference between the cosines of $\theta(t)$ and $\theta_{eq}$. Indicated in the figures are the results of our model (blue triangles), the MKT result (green pluses), and a simple exponential relaxation for comparison (red crosses). Left: contact angle $\theta$ as a function of dimensionless time $t/\tau_{rlx}$, right: the corresponding $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{eq}$.

Figure 2. Comparison between predictions for the relaxation dynamics of the contact angle $\theta$ to its equilibrium value $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$ from our model (blue triangles), molecular kinetic theory (green pluses), and a simple exponential relaxation for comparison (red crosses). Left: contact angle $\theta$ as a function of dimensionless time $t/\tau_{rlx}$, right: the corresponding $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{eq}$.

(i) For deviations of $\pm \pi/6$ from the equilibrium value of $\pi/2$ (see Figure 2c,e), the agreement between the evolution of the contact angle as a function of scaled time predicted by all three descriptions is excellent. For greater initial deviations from the equilibrium angle (Figure 2a,g), the agreement remains remarkably good, in particular for the larger initial angle.

(ii) Figure 2d,f highlights any inconsistencies for small deviations from the equilibrium by focusing on the difference of the cosines on a logarithmic scale. These figures show that well within one characteristic time scale simple single exponential decay is reached. Any small late-stage deviations between the curves is caused by the early-stage nonlinear behavior. Figure 2b,h shows that even for greater initial deviations from the equilibrium contact angle, simple single exponential decay occurs within one characteristic time scale.

The process of droplet evaporation in the presence of contact line pinning has been studied theoretically by Staubet al.,$^{24}$ who describe the dependence of the evaporation time $t_{evap}$ on the initial contact angle $\theta_0$ where they fix the receding contact angle $\theta_f$ to several values. They consider two separate modes of evaporation, a constant contact radius (CCR, pinned) and a constant contact angle (CCA, receding) mode, allow for pinning—depinning transitions and model the evaporation dynamics accordingly using an evaporation description analogous to eq 12. Their results can be reproduced quantitatively by our model. However, our model also includes the relaxation of the droplet shape toward its equilibrium angle, after it is deposited on the surface with an angle different from the equilibrium value. We discuss in more detail the similarities and differences between their work and the results from our model in the next subsection.

We now relate results from our model to the experimental data of Belmiloud et al.$^6$ on the evaporation of a water droplet on a flat silicon surface (see Figure 3). Figure 3 shows the squared contact diameter $\langle 2a^2 \rangle$ (blue triangles) and contact angle $\theta$ (red crosses) as a function of time $t$. The two modes of evaporation, pinned and receding, are indicated. The model parameters are as follows: pinning force, $f_p \approx 0.034$ N m$^{-1}$; vapor concentration difference, $\Delta c = 11.6 \times 10^{-3}$ kg m$^{-3}$; and vapor diffusion coefficient, $D = 3.15 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

Figure 3. Comparison between the results of experiments on the evaporation of sessile water droplets on a silica wafer$^{6}$ (solid lines) and the numerical evaluation of the droplet model (dashed lines). The squared contact diameter $\langle 2a^2 \rangle$ (left vertical axis, blue triangles) and contact angle $\theta$ (right vertical axis, red crosses) are shown as a function of time $t$. The two modes of evaporation, pinned and receding, are indicated. The model parameters are as follows: pinning force, $f_p \approx 0.034$ N m$^{-1}$; vapor concentration difference, $\Delta c = 11.6 \times 10^{-3}$ kg m$^{-3}$ and vapor diffusion coefficient, $D = 3.15 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$.
relative humidity were used to determine $\Delta c = 11.6 \times 10^{-3}$ kg m$^{-3}$. The best correspondence between the measurement and our model is obtained for a vapor diffusion coefficient $D = 3.15 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, as opposed to the reported $D = 2.60 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. However, Belmiloud et al. also report on an under-estimation of the evaporation rate: the droplet evaporates faster than predicted by eq 12.6 This is arguably due to inaccuracies in measuring the properties of the ambient vapor.

**Predictions by Full Model.** We now consider the effect of the interplay between the three components of our model to predict the evaporation dynamics of a droplet. To that end, we first discuss two limiting cases. We report our findings on (1) the effect of contact line pinning on a nonevaporating, relaxing droplet and (2) the effect of shape relaxation on the lifetimes of droplets with an unpinned contact line. Subsequently, we present our results on simultaneous shape relaxation and evaporation of a droplet subject to contact line pinning.

If the shape relaxation of a droplet is affected by contact line pinning, the contact line relaxation in the absence of evaporation studied in the previous section (Figure 2) changes drastically, as is illustrated in Figure 4. If droplets start out within the fixed-area region, i.e., have an initial angle $\theta < \theta_0 \leq \theta_{eq}$, as indicated by the shaded region in Figure 4, then the contact line is not able to move. In other words, the droplets are not able to relax their shape to accommodate the equilibrium contact angle $\theta_{eq}$. For initial angles outside of this regime, shape relaxation does occur, albeit only until the fixed-area region is reached, after which the motion of the contact line is halted. This phenomenon has strong implications for the lifetime of an evaporating droplet. The asymmetry in the time it takes for the droplet to become pinned for $\theta_0 = \pi/6$ and for $\theta_0 = 5\pi/6$ has its origin again, as is the case for the shape relaxation shown in Figure 2, in the nonlinearity of eqs 4 and 6. We note that the curves shown in Figure 4 depict the relaxation of the contact angle $\theta$. The exponential relaxation of the cosine in eq 5 is therefore not immediately evident from the figure.

If we allow for evaporation, the shape relaxation of a droplet from an initial contact angle $\theta_0$ toward its equilibrium angle $\theta_{eq}$ may have a strong impact on the evaporation dynamics of a droplet, also without any contact line pinning occurring. As discussed in the Theory section, the evaporation rate depends on the contact angle $\theta$, and is at its minimum for $\theta = \pi/2$. If a droplet with a certain $\theta_{eq}$ is deposited onto a surface with an initial angle $\theta_0 \neq \theta_{eq}$, the relative speeds at which the droplet relaxes to its equilibrium angle and at which it evaporates, characterized by the ratio $\tau_{eq}/\tau_{rlx}$ will influence the lifetime of such a droplet. In the remainder of our manuscript, we adopt the representation style of Stauber et al.24 when discussing lifetimes of droplets, where we depict the scaled evaporation time $\tau_{eq}/\tau_{evap}$ as a function of the initial contact angle $\theta_0$.

First, we consider the evaporation of droplets in the absence of contact line pinning. In Figure 5, we present the droplet lifetimes $\tau_{eq}/\tau_{evap}$ as a function of the initial contact angle $\theta_0$, for $\tau_{evap}/\tau_{rlx} = 10^{-4}$, $10^{-2}$, $10^0$, and $10^2$. The equilibrium contact angle is $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$.

![Figure 4. Relaxation of the contact angle $\theta$ of a deposited drop on a surface toward the equilibrium value $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$. There is no evaporation and the pinning force $f_p$ is set such that the receding and advancing contact angles are $\theta = \pi/4$ and $\theta = 3\pi/4$. This results in the fixed-area range between $\theta_t$ and $\theta_f$, where the capillary force $f_c$ cannot overcome $f_p$ and the contact line becomes or remains pinned.](image)

![Figure 5. Scaled evaporation times $\tau_{evap}/\tau_{eq}$ for sessile droplets as a function of the initial contact angle $\theta_0$ for ratios $\tau_{evap}/\tau_{rlx} = 10^{-4}$, $10^{-2}$, $10^0$, and $10^2$. The equilibrium contact angle is $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$.](image)
Figure 6 shows the droplet shape in terms of the scaled squared radius \((a/a_0)^2\) (blue triangles) and \(\theta\) (red crosses) as a function of nondimensional time \(t/\tau_{evap}\). The pinning force \(f_p\) is set to \(f_p \approx 0.924\ \gamma_{LG}\), leading to \(\theta_r = \pi/4\) and \(\theta_a = 3\pi/4\), respectively. The ratio between evaporation and relaxation time scales is set to \(\tau_{evap}/\tau_{rlx} = 1\), and we show the time evolution of the droplet shape for three values of the initial contact angle \(\theta_0\): (a) \(\theta_0 = \pi/6 < \theta_r\), (b) \(\theta_0 = \pi/3 < \theta_a\), and (c) \(\theta_0 = 5\pi/6 > \theta_r\).

Figure 6. Scaled contact area \((a/a_0)^2\) and contact angle \(\theta\) as a function of nondimensional time \(t/\tau_{evap}\) for the evaporation of a droplet with equilibrium angle \(\theta_{eq} = \pi/2\). The shaded areas indicate the fixed-area domains between the receding and advancing contact angles, \(\theta_1 = \pi/4\) and \(\theta_2 = 3\pi/4\), respectively. The ratio between evaporation and relaxation time scales is set to \(\tau_{evap}/\tau_{rlx} = 1\), and we show the time evolution of the droplet shape for three values of the initial contact angle \(\theta_0\): (a) \(\theta_0 = \pi/6 < \theta_r\), (b) \(\theta_0 = \pi/3 < \theta_a\), and (c) \(\theta_0 = 5\pi/6 > \theta_r\).

Figure 7. Scaled evaporation times \(t_{evap}/\tau_{evap}\) of sessile droplets as a function of the initial contact angle \(\theta_0\) for various values of \(f_p/\gamma_{LG} \approx 0.924, 0.707, 0.383, 0\), and \(\tau_{evap}/\tau_{rlx}\) of (a) \(10^{-2}\), (b) \(10^0\), and (c) \(10^2\), covering the range from fast to slow evaporation. The values of the respective receding and advancing contact angles are indicated by arrows in the same color as the corresponding lines in the graph. The black lines represent the two limiting cases of evaporation at constant radius of the contact area (CCR, solid) and evaporation at a constant contact angle (CCA, dashed). The equilibrium contact angle is \(\theta_{eq} = \pi/2\).
droplet $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{evap}}$ as a function of the initial contact angle $\theta_0$ for $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}} = 10^{-2}$, $10^{-3}$, and $10^{-4}$, covering the entire range from fast to slow evaporation. We can identify two limiting cases for the evaporation in all three graphs, shown in black, being evaporation with a constant contact radius (CCR, solid lines) and evaporation with a constant contact angle (CCA, dashed lines). These two limits are not dependent on the ratio $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}}$ as we impose that either the contact area or the constant angle remains fixed.

The time it takes for a droplet to evaporate is shorter at a constant radius compared to a constant angle for the majority of the initial angle range $0 \leq \theta_0 \leq \pi$. This is because the constant contact radius mode causes the contact angle to decrease during evaporation. Decreasing $\theta$ generally speeds up the evaporation process due to an increase of the surface-to-volume ratio, especially at late times. For large initial angles ($\theta_0 \rightarrow \pi$), however, evaporation in the constant-angle mode becomes faster than evaporation in the constant-radius mode. As the latter causes a continuous decrease in the contact angle, it initially slows down the evaporation rate before speeding it up again. As discussed before, the maximum lifetime of a droplet evaporating in the constant-angle mode is $t_{\text{evap}} = t_{\text{evap}}$ for $\theta_0 = \pi/2$, resulting in a maximum in the graph. For the constant-radius mode, the maximum lifetime is shorter than the maximum in the constant-angle mode, being $t_{\text{evap}} \approx 0.9354t_{\text{evap}}$ for $\theta_0 \approx 0.822\pi$.\textsuperscript{24}

The differently colored arrows at the top of Figure 7 represent the receding contact angle $\theta_r$ and the advancing contact angle $\theta_i$. These define the domain in which the contact area remains constant. The arrow colors correspond to the colors of the curves shown in the figure, which depict the droplet lifetimes $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{evap}}$ as a function of the initial contact angle $\theta_0$ for values of the pinning force $f_p/f_{\text{flc}}$ of approximately 0.924 (blue triangles), 0.707 (green pluses), 0.383 (red crosses), and 0 (purple dots). The values for $\theta_r$ and $\theta_i$ remain constant in all three graphs, and as $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$, they take symmetric values around the equilibrium.

For all three graphs in Figure 7a–c, the segment curves between the bounding receding and advancing contact angles are identical. The reason is that if the contact line of a drop is initially pinned due to the choice of initial angle, this angle cannot relax toward its equilibrium value as is also shown in Figure 6b. Therefore, the magnitude of the shape relaxation rate does not affect the evaporation process. During evaporation, the contact angle only decreases from the initial to the receding angle and remains at that value until the drop has fully evaporated.

The relaxation of the contact angle toward its equilibrium value is only possible for initial angles outside of the fixed-area domain, as shown in Figure 6a,c, where shape relaxation occurs until the contact angle reaches either boundary. In other words, for values of $\theta_0$ outside of the fixed-area region, the relative shape relaxation rate does have an impact on the droplet lifetime. For values of $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}} \geq 1$, as shown in Figure 7b,c, evaporation is relatively slow and relaxation, in essence, is instantaneous. This leads to an evaporation time that is essentially an invariant of the initial angle, outside of the fixed-area domain, where the lifetime takes on the value at the nearest boundary (at $\theta_r$ or $\theta_i$). If evaporation is very fast, i.e., $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}} \ll 1$, as shown in Figure 7a, relaxation cannot keep up and the evaporation time is dictated by a virtually constant contact angle. For sufficiently small $\theta_0$, however, relaxation can keep up with evaporation and the evaporation time deviates from the lifetimes for the constant contact angle mode. This deviation vanishes for $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}} \rightarrow 0$.

For initial angles above the advancing angle, the lifetime curves start to deviate from both limiting cases and from the curves reported by Stauber et al.,\textsuperscript{24} when $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}}$ increases. This is caused by the evaporation dynamics predicted by our model being more complicated than a simple imposed transition from a pinned into a depinned state. As shown in Figure 6c, the contact line can initially move freely, implying that the contact angle starts to move toward its equilibrium value $\theta_{eq}$. Upon reaching $\theta_0$, the contact line becomes pinned and the contact angle decreases until it reaches $\theta_r$. Subsequently, a pinning–depinning transition occurs and the droplet evaporates with a fixed contact angle. In other words, the droplet experiences two transitions, rather than one, by subsequently going through depinned, pinned, and depinned modes.

**Impact of Assumptions.** We developed a phenomenological model for the shape relaxation of an evaporating droplet. The characteristic time scale associated with this relaxation is found to be proportional to a length scale $L$. This length scale $L$ has been connected to (1) a slip or friction length or (2) the size of the droplet. To incorporate the effects of either length scale on the evaporation dynamics, we have equipped eq 6, which describes the droplet relaxation, with a scale factor $\alpha$. In the discussion of the results above, we chose $\alpha = 1$ for simplicity.

Now we discuss in more detail the implications of considering an alternative $\alpha = \alpha(t)$, which is proportional to the droplet size

$$\alpha(t) = \left[ \frac{V(t)}{V_0} \right]^{1/3} \tag{19}$$

where $V(t)$ and $V_0$ denote the instantaneous and initial droplet volumes, respectively. As a consequence, the relaxation process speeds up as the droplet size decreases. We find, however, that explicitly taking this effect into account hardly affects the droplet lifetime. This is caused by the circumstance that the capillary driving force is the strongest at short times, as the difference $\cos \theta_r - \cos \theta_{eq}$ is then the greatest. In other words, the majority of the relaxation process occurs at short times. However, at short times, the droplet has hardly lost any volume by evaporation, which means that the scale factor $\alpha(t) \approx 1$, causing the relaxation processes for both expressions for $\alpha$ to occur in virtually the same manner. In Figure 8, we depict the squared scaled radius $(a/a_0)^2$ (blue triangles) and the contact angle $\theta$ (red crosses) of evaporating droplets as a function of scaled time $t/t_{\text{evap}}$. We present the results for the scale factor $\alpha(t) = [V(t)/V_0]^{1/3}$ (solid lines) compared to $\alpha = 1$ (dashed lines), for three values of $\theta_0$. For these calculations, we do not incorporate a contact line pinning force, which means the droplet is allowed to relax its shape toward $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$, and $t_{\text{evap}}/t_{\text{fix}} = 1$.

In all three graphs, we can clearly see that the dynamics described by the two expressions for $\alpha$ are identical at early times. Only after the droplet has partly evaporated, we see a slight deviation in the dynamics, due to the decrease of $\alpha(t)$. This effect only arises after approximately 30% of the evaporation time has passed. For large initial contact angles $\theta_0$ which we show in Figure 8c, the deviations between the graphs for the two expressions for $\alpha$ are slightly larger than those for smaller $\theta_0$ (Figure 8a,b). However, the time at which
the droplet is fully evaporated is hardly affected. Note that the presence of contact line pinning would only decrease the effect shape relaxation has on the evaporation time, as it inhibits contact line motion for a certain range of the contact angle $\theta$.

We conclude from this that the lifetime of an evaporating droplet is not sensitive to our choice of $\alpha$, hence explicitly taking into account the size dependence of the relaxation process has a negligible effect on the total evaporation time. We note that this phenomenon is valid regardless of the value of $\tau_{rlx}$: a reproduction of Figure 7 with the alternative value of the equilibrium angle $\theta_{eq}$ on the general behavior of the droplet lifetime as a function of the initial contact angle. It does affect the evaporation dynamics, but to an extent that is limited to two factors. On the one hand, it determines the evaporation time at the equilibrium angle, so it affects the droplet lifetime most in the absence of contact line pinning and in the limit of fast shape relaxation. On the other hand, it determines, together with the magnitude of the pinning force $f_p$, the locations of the receding and advancing contact angles, which in turn define the region in which contact line motion is inhibited.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we propose a model for diffusive evaporation of a droplet on a flat surface, which accounts for the relaxation of the contact angle toward its equilibrium value. This shape relaxation is driven by the tendency of the droplet to reach its minimum free-energy state. We also model pinning of the contact line onto the surface by introducing a pinning force, insisting that the contact line remains pinned as long as the capillary forces are not able to overcome this threshold force.

Within our model description, the time it takes for a droplet to evaporate turns out to depend on five parameters: the initial and equilibrium contact angles, the characteristic time scales associated with shape relaxation and evaporation, and the magnitude of the contact line pinning force. The ratio between the two characteristic time scales describes the competition between shape relaxation and evaporation, which has a significant effect on the droplets’ lifetime. In the limit of slow relaxation (or fast evaporation), the total evaporation time of a droplet strongly depends on the initial contact angle, whereas for fast relaxation, the lifetime is virtually unaffected by the value of the initial contact angle.

The presence of a pinning force results in a contact angle range for which the contact line is fixed, as the capillary forces are not capable of overcoming the pinning force. This regime is bounded by the receding and advancing contact angles and as long as the contact angle resides within this range, the contact area remains constant. The magnitude of the pinning force determines the values of the receding and advancing contact angles and therefore has an impact on the lifetime of an evaporating droplet: the shape relaxation of a droplet becomes partly suppressed because the droplet cannot relax its shape for contact angles within this fixed-area regime.

We show that shape relaxation has a significant impact on the evaporation time of a droplet, both in the absence and in the presence of contact line pinning. Explicitly taking into account the size dependence of the relaxation process turns out to have virtually no effect on the droplet’s lifetime, since the values of the receding and advancing contact angles (see Figure 7). For contact angles in between the two, contact line motion is inhibited. For $\theta_{eq} \neq \pi/2$, this principle is still valid, only $\theta_i$ and $\theta_r$ are located asymmetrically around $\theta_{eq}$. For initial angles within the fixed-area domain, the lifetimes remain unchanged with respect to $\theta_{eq} = \pi/2$. As contact line motion is inhibited there, shape relaxation is blocked, so the value of $\theta_{eq}$ is irrelevant. For initial angles outside of the domain, the lifetime as a function of initial angle behaves similar to what we show in Figure 7: for increasing shape relaxation rates, i.e., increasing $\tau_{rlx}/\tau_{f0}$, the evaporation time of a droplet converges to the values at the boundaries and it becomes increasingly less dependent on the initial contact angle $\theta_0$. 

Figure 8. Comparison of the squared scaled radius $(\alpha/a_0)^2$ and the contact angle $\theta$ between the alternative scale factor $\alpha(t) = [V(t)/V_0]^{1/2}$ (solid lines) and the original $\alpha = 1$ (dashed lines), as a function of scaled time $t/\tau_{evap}$. $\tau_{rlx}/\tau_{f0} = 1$ and figures are shown for initial angles: (a) $\theta_i = \pi/6$, (b) $\theta_i = \pi/3$, (c) $\theta_i = 5\pi/6$. 
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The majority of the relaxation occurs at short times for which the droplet size has hardly decreased. The value of $\theta_{eq}$ does also not affect the general dynamical behavior; however, it does define the lifetime for a droplet at its equilibrium angle and the location of the receding and advancing contact angles.

Finally, the simplicity of our model allows for relatively straightforward evaluation of the dynamics of an evaporating droplet. This means that it can also be readily extended to, e.g., take into account compound exchange between the solid phase and the liquid phase, or investigate an evaporation process wherein the droplet properties do not remain constant in time.
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