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Quantification of the liquid window of deep eutectic solvents†

Laura J. B. M. Kollau, a Mark Vis, b * Adriaan van den Bruinhorst, b a A. Catarina C. Esteves and Remco Tuinier b a

Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) have been considered as a new class of green solvents with tunable physical properties based on the selective combination of their individual components. As the liquid window of a DES identifies the range of feasible applications, it is essential to determine, quantify, and predict their phase behavior. Phase diagrams were measured for systems consisting of tetrapentylammonium bromide and erythritol or succinic acid. Regular solution theory is applied to quantitatively describe the liquid window of DESs. The succinic acid mixture shows a large deviation from ideal behavior, caused by the stronger hydrogen bond forming acid groups. The interaction parameter between the two DES components in regular solution theory could be determined directly from the eutectic temperature of the mixture and this enables quantification of the degree of non-ideality of DESs.

DESs have gained interest as new class of solvents due to their compliance with green chemistry principles,1 such as atom economy,2 and safer solvents and chemicals.3,4 Being considered as designer solvents, hypothetically all the physical properties of DESs can be tailored by choosing the right combination of components. However, the fundamentals of the stable liquids of these systems have yet gained limited attention, while for the applications of DESs, these are of great importance. Quantifying the non-ideality of DESs could provide guidelines for designing the desired properties based on the features of the individual components. Although a wide range of liquid properties of DESs have been investigated by now, phase behavior studies reported in the literature remain scarce.28 Binary phase diagrams enable the mapping of the stable liquid window, which identifies where applications could be feasible.

For an ideal mixture at a given temperature, the solubility of any solute in a solvent depends on the enthalpy of fusion and the melting point of the solute.5–7 A solute in an ideal solution lowers the chemical potential of the solvent, resulting in a decrease of the melting point of that mixture. Such melting point depressions are often described using phase diagrams. Examples of systems, which are accurately described by ideal solution theory for all compositions, are binary mixtures of bibenzyl–biphenyl,8 carbon tetrachloride–benzene 9 as well as certain fatty acid mixtures.10 At the solid–liquid (S–L) binodal, equilibrium thermodynamics dictates that the chemical potential \( \mu_i \) of component \( i \) in the solid phase equals the chemical potential in the liquid phase, \( \mu_i^L = \mu_i^S \). It follows that the chemical potential difference \( \Delta \mu_i(x_i) = \mu_i(x_i) - \mu_i^* \) of component \( i \) in the liquid mixture (\( \mu_i \)) and in the pure liquid (\( \mu_i^* \)) is related to the melting enthalpy \( \Delta H_m \) melting point \( T_m^* \) of the individual component, and melting point of the mixture \( T \):

\[
\frac{\Delta \mu_i(x_i)}{RT} = \frac{\Delta H_i}{R \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_m^*} \right)}.
\]

For simplicity, it is assumed here that the enthalpy of fusion is independent of temperature. In the case of an ideal solution, \( \Delta \mu_i \) depends on mole fraction \( x_i \) according to:

\[
\frac{\Delta \mu_i}{RT} = \ln x_i.
\]

Here we account for non-ideality through enthalpic interactions by using regular solution (RS) theory.11 For a binary mixture this theory provides the following expression for \( \Delta \mu_i \):

\[
\frac{\Delta \mu_i}{RT} = \ln x_i + \chi(1 - x_i)^2.
\]

The effective interaction parameter \( \chi \) quantifies the binary interactions.

In Fig. 1 the predicted trends of the eutectic phase behavior are sketched. In the top panel, the influence of the different enthalpies of fusion for the two components A and B is illustrated, while the middle panel depicts the effect of the melting points \( T_m^* \) of the individual components. The lower panel highlights the effect of the interaction parameter \( \chi \) on the phase
behavior. The position where the two binodal curves meet is the eutectic point with the corresponding eutectic temperature ($T_{\text{eut}}$) and eutectic composition ($x_{\text{eut}}$). From eqn (1), it follows that for an ideal mixture ($\chi = 0$), the melting point depression depends solely on the thermodynamic properties of the individual components (melting point and enthalpy of fusion) and on the composition $x_i$.\(^5\)

At the beginning of this century, Abbott et al.\(^{12}\) reported that mixtures of urea and choline chloride exhibit a rather spectacular melting point depression. Such a significant temperature drop can be achieved only, as shown in Fig. 1, by strong attractive interactions between the components of a eutectic mixture. The work of Abbott et al., and subsequent attention to similar mixtures, gave rise to the development of the new DES field that focuses on the properties and applications of binary eutectic mixtures in the stable binary liquid window of DESs.\(^{13,14}\)

Fig. 2 depicts a few examples of choline chloride and urea mixtures with different binary compositions at 303 K.

Fig. 3 shows binary phase diagrams, in which the predicted ideal ($\chi = 0$) melting point depressions calculated using eqn (1) and (3) are compared to the experimentally determined melting points of the two literature examples of eutectic systems. The systems shown in Fig. 3 are biphenyl–bibenzyl,\(^8\) with quasi-ideal interactions between the components, and choline chloride–urea mixtures,\(^{15}\) with non-ideal interactions. Table 1 gives an overview of various thermodynamic properties of the considered components. A characteristic that is often assigned to DESs is the ability to form hydrogen bonds between the two components,\(^{12–20}\) of which the strength cannot be quantified straightforwardly. From Fig. 3a, it follows that eqn (3) using $\chi = 0$ can accurately describe the eutectic composition, temperature, and S–L equilibrium for the biphenyl–bibenzyl system.

For the choline chloride–urea system, ideal behavior clearly does not provide a proper description of the phase boundaries, as illustrated by the comparison of the theoretical prediction and the experimental data shown in Fig. 3b. This deviation can be explained by the strong effective attractions between choline chloride and urea. It should be noted that, although this system is the first reported DES, the choline chloride–urea system is especially complicated to describe and map because choline chloride decomposes\(^21\) before melting, requiring estimates for $T^*$ and $\Delta H_i$ and urea gradually evolves into ammonia near its melting point.\(^23\)

The purpose of developing the DESs considered for this study was to illustrate the non-ideal behavior of well-defined DESs and to validate the RS theory to describe the DES phase behavior. We chose to develop new DESs rather than using previously published data to prevent the above mentioned challenges and to ensure that they possess specific requirements. Firstly, the DES components should not react with themselves or each other, e.g., combinations of carboxylic groups and alcohols which can react to esters were avoided.\(^{24}\) Secondly, the components should have well-defined melting points and enthalpies of fusion, rather than decomposing upon heating. Finally, the components should be able to crystallize sufficiently when cooled below the eutectic temperature avoiding supercooling, in order to obtain reproducible data. All these requirements are met with tetrapentylammonium bromide (TPABr), erythritol, and succinic acid, and therefore they can act as DES constituents for the systems TPABr–erythritol and TPABr–succinic acid. The large difference in the melting points of succinic acid and erythritol also enables us to validate the predicted effects of asymmetry in $T^*$.

The melting points (S–L equilibrium curve) were measured using a capillary melting point apparatus. The DES compositions used were prepared inside a glove-box under a dry nitrogen atmosphere; the moisture levels were typically 1.5 ppm. The first partial melting of the mixture was considered as the
solidus line (eutectic temperature), whereas the complete disappearance of solids was taken as the liquidus line (melting point). A full dissemination of our experimental procedures is given in the ESI.

Fig. 4 shows the experimentally obtained phase diagrams (data points) of these new DESs, with liquidus binodals resulting from regular solution theory (curves), with \( w \) computed from the measured eutectic temperature. As a reference, we also plotted the ideal result (\( w = 0 \)). It follows that RS theory can describe the S–L equilibria and the eutectic point of these DESs reasonably accurate with the use of only a single free parameter, the interaction parameter \( \chi \). The obtained value of this interaction parameter is of the order of the strength of hydrogen bonds (several times the thermal energy \( RT \)).

When fitting RS theory to the experimentally determined phase diagrams, we considered two options. We can fit and obtain the \( \chi \) and \( x_{\text{eut}} \) values based on the full measured S–L equilibrium (solidus and liquidus lines), or only on the measured eutectic temperature \( T_{\text{eut}} \) (i.e., solidus line alone). Both scenarios were carried out for the two DESs and both methods yielded reasonably accurate descriptions of the phase diagrams. The obtained parameters as well as the standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) between the fit and the measured liquidus and solidus points are listed in Table 2. We can conclude from these results that we can reliably describe the full solid–liquid equilibrium based on a straightforward computation of the interaction parameter \( \chi \) from eutectic temperature measurements.

The obtained \( \chi \) values suggest that there are strong attractions between the different molecules in these DESs and the attraction between TPABr and succinic acid is significantly stronger than that between TPABr and erythritol. This can be explained by comparing the molecular structures of both hydrogen bond donors; carboxylic acids are stronger in their

Table 1 Melting point \( T^* \) and enthalpy of fusion \( \Delta H_i \) of the individual components of the considered binary mixtures shown in Fig. 3 and 4. Data for substances without literature references were determined by us, see the ESI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>( T^* ) (K)</th>
<th>( \Delta H_i ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biphenyl</td>
<td>342.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibenzyl</td>
<td>324.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride(^{20,21})</td>
<td>( \sim 397^a )</td>
<td>( \sim 4.3^a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea(^{22})</td>
<td>405.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrapentylammonium bromide</td>
<td>375.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinic acid</td>
<td>460.0</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythritol</td>
<td>394.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Decomposes.
ability to donate hydrogen bonds than alcohols. The presence and importance of hydrogen bonding interactions in DESs are often declared as their key characteristics. Here we show, however, that any strong attraction between the components in a binary mixture can greatly enhance the melting point depression. Indeed, the interaction parameter is not exclusively imposed by hydrogen bonding interactions.

Recently, a comparison between specific DESs and phase transition materials has been made for quasi-ideal hydrophobic DESs. For these systems (quasi) ideality is observed since there are no strong interactions between the components. It can, however, be questioned whether these ideal eutectic mixtures should be regarded as deep eutectic solvents. In our view, the designation, deep eutectic solvent, should only be used for systems showing melting points significantly below ideal predictions. In the framework of our model, this corresponds to an interaction parameter significantly below zero.

In conclusion, we have shown that we can describe the S–L phase behavior of two versatile DESs with regular solution theory, using a single interaction parameter. It follows that a stronger hydrogen bond donor results in a larger melting point depression and a lower eutectic temperature. The combination of the melting points, the enthalpies of fusion, and the strength of the attraction determines the location of the S–L equilibrium and the eutectic temperature. Regular solution theory, to our knowledge, has not yet been applied to DESs. The main advantage of using this classical, simple theory is the fact that the interaction parameter is a single free parameter that characterizes the interaction, which can easily be rationalized and determined from straightforward measurements. The resulting parameters of various DESs provide insights into the properties of these liquids, by relating them to molecular interactions.
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