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	extbf{ABSTRACT}

Here high-efficiency (above 21%) large-area silicon heterojunction solar cells with atomic layer deposited ZnO:Al as front- or back-side transparent conducting oxide are demonstrated. Photoconductance decay measurements indicate that the excellent chemical passivation provided by the a-Si:H(i,p) and a-Si:H(i,n) stacks is preserved upon deposition of ZnO:Al, and that field-effect passivation losses for the a-Si:H(i,p)/ZnO:Al contact can be mitigated by lowering the Al doping level. Use of low Al-doping is enabled by the rear-emitter configuration which, in addition to facilitating the a-Si:H(i,p)/ZnO:Al contact engineering, enables a higher photocurrent due to the decrease in free-carrier absorption in ZnO:Al. The results encourage the use of In-free transparent conducting oxides in silicon heterojunction solar cells, as the replacement of In$_2$O$_3$:Sn without efficiency loss is demonstrated.

Silicon heterojunction (SHJ) solar cells are an industrially viable technology with the record efficiency of 26.6% (demonstrated by using interdigitated back contacts) [1,2]. Operation of the SHJ cells relies on junctions between the crystalline silicon (c-Si) absorber and hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) layers [3]. While intrinsic a-Si:H provides chemical passivation of Si dangling bonds present at the surface of c-Si, n-type and p-type doped a-Si:H layers enable downward and upward band bending near the junctions (field-effect passivation), and serve as the electron-selective and the hole-selective layers, respectively. Transparent conducting oxide (TCO) layers are employed to contact the doped a-Si:H layers to metal contacts, that complete the cell design. Excellent surface passivation provided by the a-Si:H layer stacks is the key to high open circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) values [4], which clearly should not be compromised during the TCO (and metal) deposition steps.

The current TCO material of choice is sputter-deposited In$_2$O$_3$:Sn (ITO) largely owing to its relatively high carrier mobility and the technological maturity in its usage [3,5–7]. However, the damage to the chemical passivation during the sputtering process [8] and scarcity of indium make the search of alternatives highly required. Although ZnO-based materials (doped intrinsically through presence of oxygen vacancies or extrinsically e.g. with aluminum, boron or hydrogen) have lower mobility than ITO, their earth abundance makes them viable material alternatives [9]. Indeed, encouraging results have already been obtained for sputter-deposited ZnO:Al (AZO; with nanocrystalline n-type Si:H electron-selective layer) [10]. Chemical-vapor based techniques such as atomic layer deposition (ALD) are interesting deposition-technique alternatives owing to their low-damage character. In this context, the influence of ALD deposition of ZnO:Al (AZO) on the quality of a-Si:H-based passivation has already been studied, and it was found out that the chemical passivation can indeed be preserved upon the deposition [11]. However, deterioriation of the field-effect passivation lead to fill-factor losses [11], a drawback that could relate to the front-emitter cell design used in that work and the low mobility of AZO. In...
the front-emitter (n-type-absorber) cell design the a-Si:H(p) emitter is at the front of the cell, which requires a low-resistivity TCO for efficient lateral charge transport to the metal grid. When AZO is used as the TCO, low resistivity has to be reached by increasing the carrier density via high Al doping, owing to the low mobility of the material. High doping of a TCO in turn increases workfunction mismatch at the TCO/a-Si:H(p) interface and hence deteriorates the field-effect passivation [12]. High doping moreover increases free-carrier absorption in the visible-NIR range, which inevitably leads to a resistivity-transparency tradeoff [13]. These problems for the front contact could be mitigated by placing the a-Si:H(p) emitter at the rear of the cell [14,15].

We report here on the application of ALD AZO thin films in a SHJ cell design tailored to minimize the transparency-resistivity tradeoff and the field-effect passivation losses. By using monofacial rear-emitter solar cells, the conductivity requirement for the front-side AZO layer is relaxed as some of the current spreading is carried out by the n-type wafer, while at the back the current spreading is carried out by full-area metallization. This allows the use of low Al doping at both the front-side and the back-side of the cell. Consequently, more transparent AZO can be used and the field-effect passivation losses at the TCO/a-Si:H(p) interface can be mitigated. Using this configuration we demonstrate that ITO can be replaced by AZO without performance losses in large-area (100 cm²) SHJ solar cells.

The solar cells were fabricated as follows. First 150-μm-thick n-type c-Si (Czochralski) wafers were etched in KOH to obtain a random pyramidal surface texture; this step was followed by cleaning and native oxide removal. Then plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD; Inductec Octopus II reactor) was used to deposit a-Si:H(i) layers of about 10 nm thickness on both sides of the wafer, and subsequently, 10-nm a-Si:H(n) and 15-nm a-Si:H(p) layers were deposited at the front and at the back, respectively. The deposition of a-Si:H layers was followed by deposition of 70-nm ITO layers by DC magnetron sputtering (Clusterline tool, Oerlikon) and subsequent deposition of AZO layers by ALD (OpAL reactor, Oxford Instruments). A 300-nm sputtering target with composition of In₂O₃(90 wt %):SnO₂(10 wt%) was used and the sputtering was performed at power of 750 W at temperature of 80 °C. A geometrical conversion (division by 1.7) was used to obtain the thickness of the ITO layer on textured wafer from the thickness of 119 nm measured on flat glass substrate. The ITO and the AZO layers were used in three different cell configurations labeled as “back-AZO cell”, “front-AZO cell” and “all-ITO cell” as is depicted in Fig. 1. The back-AZO cells had sputtered ITO on the front side and the front-AZO cells had sputtered ITO at the back side; note that the sputtering of the ITO layers was always done prior to the deposition of the ALD AZO layers. The cells had an area of 10 × 10 cm² and were completed by sputtering a full-area silver contact at the back and by screen printing of the collection grid with 3 busbars at the front; the metallization was cured for 10 min at 210°C. The AZO films were deposited at 200 °C using DEZ, DMAI and H₂O as the precursors, as described in more detail elsewhere [16]. The Al-doping level was controlled by a supercycle approach. The supercycles for different doping levels consisted of single DMAI/H₂O ALD cycles introduced in between 49, 15 or 9 DEZ/H₂O cycles and the cycle ratios 1/50, 1/16 and 1/10 are labeled as “low”, “medium” and “high” doping levels, respectively. The average growth per cycle value was 0.16 nm, while the deposition rate was around 0.8 nm/min. The supercycles were repeated to reach a total film thickness of 70–75 nm, as measured on flat Si substrates. The ALD coatings were assumed to have approximately the same thickness on flat and on textured surfaces due to excellent conformality of the ALD technique. Although undoped ZnO was considered too resistive to serve as a front-side TCO, it was applied as a back-side TCO layer.

The influence of the Al-doping level on the optoelectronic material properties of AZO was investigated for as-deposited films grown on Si substrates covered with 450 nm of thermally-grown SiO₂. Room-temperature Hall-effect measurements (Lake Shore 8400 Hall setup), indicated that an increase in Al-doping level lead to an increase in the carrier density values and to a decrease in the mobility values, the latter most likely owing to an increase in ionized-impurity scattering [17] (Table 1). Due to these mutually opposite trends, the film with the medium doping level had the lowest resistivity. Degradation of the electronic properties of the AZO films (bare films without encapsulation) was moderate under regular laboratory ambient conditions, such that over a period of 11 months the sheet resistance values increased by 4.9, 7.6, 0.13 and 2.9% for the undoped, low, medium and high doping, respectively. For the optoelectronic characterization ITO was deposited on a-Si(p,i)/glass substrate and annealed at 200 °C. We note that the sheet resistance of ITO on bare glass was four times higher than on a-Si (p,i)/glass. While fully understanding this substrate effect would make an interesting future study, the properties measured on a-Si(p,i)/glass should give the best representation of our ITO that has been optimized on the basis of cell performance. Hall measurements (Ecopia HMS-3000

![Fig. 1. The used rear-emitter SHJ-cell designs differing in terms of their TCOS: “back-AZO cell”, “front-AZO cell” and “all-ITO cell”. In all the cells c-Si(n) is the absorber layer, a-Si:H(i) provides the surface passivation, and a-Si:H(n) and a-Si:H(p) are the electron-selective and hole-selective layers, respectively. Ag is used as the metal contact material.](image)
Hall setup) indicated that the reference ITO had higher mobility than 
ZnO and all the AZO layers, as expected, and otherwise properties 
close to the AZO layer with low doping. As is shown in Fig. 2, the changes 
in the Al-doping level also led to changes in the optical properties of the 
films (M − 2000U J. A. Woollam Ellipsometer; CompleteEASE software 
was used for fitting of the data and a B-spline model was used for the 
to the AZO layers). The spectra for the extinction coefficient (k) as a function of 
light Al atoms; further decrease in the spectral region of 
recovery in lifetime values was not complete. Lifetime values were 
expected for a-Si:H(p)/ITO contact [21]). On 
the contrary, when the AZO layers were deposited on a-Si:H(n) the recovery 
in lifetime values was nearly complete and any systematic dependence on 
the Al-doping level was not observed. High lifetime values at low ∆n
were expected for the AZO/a-Si:H(n) interface as both the materials are 
n-type and easily form an Ohmic contact [3] (similar behavior is also 
expected for a-Si:H(n)/ITO contact after exposure to 200°C [21]). On 
the contrary, after the deposition of the AZO layers on the 
AZO layers deposited on a-Si:H(n) the recovery 
in lifetime values was not complete. Lifetime values were 
slightly lower overall and particularly below 5 × 10^{15} cm^{-3} lifetime 
decreased with decreasing ∆n
such that progressively lower values were seen with increasing Al doping. The decrease in lifetime values at 
low ∆n
can be ascribed to work-function mismatch between the AZO 
and the a-Si:H(p) layers [22] and is a sign of degraded field-effect 
passivation (similar behavior is also expected for a-Si:H(p)/ITO contact 
after exposure to 200°C [21]). Such degradation of the field-effect 
passivation at the AZO/a-Si:H(p) interface can lead to losses in fill 
factor and even in open-circuit voltage, the latter in the case of a severe 
workfunction mismatch [12].

The influence of the passivation quality on the fill-factor and open-
circuit-voltage losses can be described in terms of implied fill factor and 
open-circuit voltage, quantities that can be extracted from the 
lifetime data. The latter is primarily sensitive to chemical passivation 
and the former to the field-effect passivation [21–23]. High implied 
open-circuit values around 740mV were seen after deposition of the 
AZO layers both on p-type or n-type a-Si:H [22] and is a sign of degraded field-effect 
passivation (similar behavior is also expected for a-Si:H(p)/ITO contact 
after exposure to 200°C [21]). Such degradation of the field-effect 
passivation at the AZO/a-Si:H(p) interface can lead to losses in fill 
factor and even in open-circuit voltage, the latter in the case of a severe 
workfunction mismatch [12].
while 747 mV was obtained after subsequent deposition of AZO on the back-side Si:H(p)/ITO interface. Systematic study on the effects of the doping level of AZO indicated that, while low doping is favored at the AZO/Si:H(p) interface. Low Al doping thus enables higher fill factor values in particular by mitigating the degradation of field-effect passivation at the AZO/Si:H(p) contact. Low Al doping thus enables higher fill factor values in particular by mitigating the degradation of field-effect passivation at the AZO/Si:H(p) contact.

The highest short circuit current values were obtained with undoped ZnO for back-AZO cells and with low Al-doping for the front-AZO cells (Fig. 4d). For the back-AZO cells, a significant drop in lifetime values at low Al doping, such that for the back-AZO cells, the efficiency values increased with decreasing Al doping, such that for the former the highest efficiency of 21.4% was obtained with undoped ZnO, while low Al doping gave the highest efficiency of 21.1% for the latter. These efficiency values are comparable in the range of 21–22% reported for high-efficiency large-area rear-emitter cells with sputtered AZO or ITO as the TCOS and full-area rear metallization [10,24]. The increase in efficiency with decreasing doping level was due to the fact that open-circuit voltage, fill factor and short-circuit current all increased with decreasing Al doping.

The open-circuit voltage values were high in the range of 723–730 mV (Fig. 4b). A small difference between the two configurations was observed, such that for the back-AZO cells the values were systematically 3–5 mV lower than for the front-AZO cells. As a similar difference was seen also for the implied open circuit voltage values, the results imply that the chemical passivation is slightly better in the front-AZO cells. To identify whether this relates to the sputter damage or damage during the ALD deposition, one cell precursor with AZO on both sides of the wafer was characterized by the lifetime measurements. After the deposition of AZO on the front-side a-Si:H(n), implied open circuit voltage was measured to be 744 mV, while 747 mV was obtained after subsequent deposition of AZO on a-Si:H (p) at the back-side of the cell precursor. This hints that the sputter damage by the ITO deposition leads to more severe recombination at the a-Si:H(n)/ITO interface than at the a-Si:H(p)/ITO interface. Such could be due to higher defect capture across section for electrons than for holes [25]. The fact that sputter damage of the a-Si(n) was avoided in the front AZO cells led to open circuit voltage values that were higher than those for the ITO reference; this voltage gain compensated for the slight optical losses and was the reason why the cell efficiency of the best front-AZO cell was on par with that of the ITO reference. Overall, the open-circuit values were at a high level owing to the well-preserved chemical passivation.

The fill factor values were generally high in the range of 75–78% (Fig. 4c). As an exception, notably low fill factor was however observed for the front-AZO cells with highly doped AZO. Such could be due to poor lateral charge transport through the TCO, due to e.g. enhanced ionized-impurity and grain-boundary scattering [26]. This can however be excluded as the resistivity for the highly-doped AZO layer is between the resistivity values for the AZO layers with medium and low doping levels, that both yield notably higher fill factor values. Hence, the low fill factor points towards an interface effect such as work-function mismatch or formation of a barrier layer. Based on the implied fill factor values work-function mismatch is not expected for the ZnO:Al/a-Si:H(n) interface with highly doped AZO, as (equal to low and medium doping levels) a significant drop in lifetime values is not seen at low Al doping and implied fill factor is high. This makes formation of a barrier layer the most likely explanation. Indeed, the series resistance (as obtained via the Bowden method [27]) for this cell was 2.61 Ω cm², while for all the other front-AZO cells and back-AZO cells the value of series resistance was in the range of 0.92–0.99 Ω cm². A plausible explanation for the formation of barrier layer relates to nucleation delay in the ALD growth of ZnO on a-Si:H which we have observed to be more prominent on a-Si:H(n) than on a-Si:H(p). In the case of highly doped AZO only 5 cycles of ZnO are deposited before the first Al₂O₃ doping cycle and it could be that the growth of ZnO is negligible before the first Al₂O₃ cycle. Hence at the a-Si:H/AZO interface AZO could be more Al₂O₃ like than ZnO like and hence form a barrier for charge transport. The fill factor values for the back-AZO cells ranged from 77.7% for undoped ZnO to 76.4% for high Al doping. This trend is in line with the trend for the implied fill factor values, and can hence be explained by decreased field-effect passivation at the AZO/a-Si:H(p) contact. Low Al doping thus enables higher fill factor values in particular by mitigating the degradation of field-effect passivation at the AZO/a-Si:H(p) contact.
cells and hence encourages the use of earth-abundant In-free TCO materials.
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