Initial growth study of atomic-layer deposition of Al2O3 by vibrational sum-frequency generation

Citation for published version (APA):
Vandalon, V., & Kessels, E. (2019). Initial growth study of atomic-layer deposition of Al2O3 by vibrational sum-frequency generation. Langmuir, 35(32), 10374-10382. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b01600

DOI:
10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b01600

Document status and date:
Published: 16/07/2019

Document Version:
Publisher’s PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher’s website.
• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:
www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:
openaccess@tue.nl
providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Download date: 09. Nov. 2019
Initial Growth Study of Atomic-Layer Deposition of Al₂O₃ by Vibrational Sum-Frequency Generation

V. Vandalon* and W. M. M. Erwin Kessels*

Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT: The initial growth during the atomic-layer deposition (ALD) of Al₂O₃ using trimethylaluminum (TMA) and water was studied on two starting surfaces: SiO₂ and H-terminated Si(111) [H/Si(111)]. In situ spectroscopy ellipsometry (SE) showed virtually immediate growth of Al₂O₃ on both surfaces, although for H/Si(111) a reduced growth-per-cycle was observed in the initial 20 cycles. The underlying surface chemistry during the initial cycles of ALD was monitored with in situ broadband sum-frequency generation (BB-SFG) spectroscopy. For the SiO₂ surface, the −CH₃ surface groups were followed revealing that only the first TMA half-cycle deviates from the steady-growth regime. The reaction cross section of the initial TMA half-cycle (σₜₐ₃ = 2.0 ± 0.2 × 10⁻¹⁸ cm²) was a factor of 3 lower than the cross section of the TMA half-cycle during the steady-growth regime of ALD (σₜₐ₃ = 6.5 ± 0.6 × 10⁻¹⁸ cm²). All H₂O half-cycles, including the first, showed steady-growth behavior with a corresponding reaction cross section (σₜₐ₃ = 4.0 ± 0.4 × 10⁻²⁰ cm²). Therefore, only the first ALD cycle was affected by initial growth effects on the SiO₂ starting surface, in line with the SE data. For the H/Si(111) surface, the Si–H groups were monitored with BB-SFG spectroscopy, revealing a reaction cross section of σₜₐ₃ = 3.1 ± 0.3 × 10⁻₁⁵ cm² for the first TMA half-cycle on H/Si(111); a factor two lower than that during the steady regime of Al₂O₃. These results demonstrate that the chemistry during the initial growth regime of Al₂O₃ ALD on SiO₂ and H/Si(111) shows subtle but measurable differences compared to the steady-growth regime.

INTRODUCTION

Ultrathin films grown by atomic-layer deposition (ALD) currently serve an enabling role in areas such as nanoelectronics,¹−³ nanotechnology,⁴,⁵ and photovoltaics.⁶,⁷ Given the ever increasing demands in these fields for more control on the nanoscale, a fundamental understanding of the reaction mechanisms during ALD is essential. Two phases can be identified in the growth during an ALD deposition. Once the influence of the starting surface has subsided, typically the reaction mechanism stabilizes and, as a result, process characteristics such as the growth-per-cycle (GPC) are virtually constant. This phase of the ALD process is referred to as the steady-growth regime or in the ALD literature occasionally as “steady-state growth”. The primary focus of mechanistic ALD studies so far has been on characterizing the steady-growth regime.¹,⁸−¹² However, at the start of deposition, the growth is influenced by the starting surface and the process parameters can vary from cycle-to-cycle. This initial growth regime is commonly referred to as the “nucleation” phase in the ALD literature. Apart from a hand full of publications around the early 2000s,¹³−¹⁸ few works have focused on directly studying the surface chemistry during the initial growth phase. As a result of this lack of mechanistic studies of the initial growth phase, the surface chemistry during the initial growth is substantially less well understood than those during the well-studied steady-growth regime of ALD.

Because of new developments in the field of ALD, the initial growth phase has become more important in recent years. The demand for more complex materials has led to the adoption of complex multistep ALD schemes for the synthesis of doped or ternary materials. These materials can be grown by alternating two ALD processes triggering the initial growth phenomena after each switch between chemistries (typically after just 10 s of ALD cycles).²¹−²³ The impact of initial growth on such materials is illustrated by, for example, combining a ZnO and an Al₂O₃ ALD process to synthesize Al-doped ZnO.²¹,²² For the resulting ALD process of Al-doped ZnO, a significant decrease in growth and even etching have been reported at the switch from the Al₂O₃ ALD process to the ZnO ALD process depending on the chemistry used.²¹,²² Area-selective ALD is a further example of a topic where initial-growth phase phenomena play a pivotal role. Area-selective ALD has recently attracted significant attention as a promising concept to reduce the total number of lithography-based process steps and, at the same time, mitigate alignment issues which have
been identified as bottlenecks in the fabrication of (future) nanoelectronic devices.\textsuperscript{18} Several approaches for achieving area-selective ALD exploit (large) differences in the initial growth on different starting surfaces to selectively deposit material.\textsuperscript{24} This is illustrated by the area-selective growth of ZnO on −OH-terminated SiO\textsubscript{2} versus −H-terminated Si; it turns out that the precursor does not chemisorb on the −H-terminated surface but does readily react with the −OH groups, leading to selective deposition of ZnO.\textsuperscript{25} The initial growth also plays a key role in the synthesis of 2D transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) by ALD. Single and few atomic-layer thick films of these TMDs—grown by only 10 s of ALD cycles—are of interest because of their unique thickness-dependent properties.\textsuperscript{26} For example, the prototypical 2D-TMD Mo\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3} exhibits a direct band gap at 1.9 eV in the monolayer regime resulting in, for example, strong photo luminescence (PL), whereas bilayer or thicker films show an indirect band gap and negligible PL.\textsuperscript{26} A slight variation in growth during the initial ALD cycles can therefore have a large impact on the properties of the TMDs. To make significant and systematic advances in any of these topics, fundamental understanding of the growth mechanism during the initial growth phase is essential.

For the most common ALD processes, the surface chemistry during the steady-growth phase is understood qualitatively. Various different techniques have been used to study the growth mechanism of ALD including gas-phase and surface infrared absorption spectroscopy,\textsuperscript{1,2,4,5} quadrupole-mass spectrometry,\textsuperscript{30,31} and quartz-crystal microbalance.\textsuperscript{52,53} Most of these approaches indirectly study the surface chemistry ruling the growth mechanism of ALD and benefit from the repetitive nature of the ALD process. Direct observation of the surface chemistry requires highly sensitive in situ diagnostic techniques with sub-monolayer sensitivity. Such studies are not always feasible, and as a result, a qualitative picture is not always available. A quantitative understanding of the surface chemistry based on experimental data, that is, knowledge of the reaction kinetics and thermodynamics, and insights into nonidealities are limited to only a hand full of processes.

The prototypical metal-oxide ALD process of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} using Al(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (trimethylaluminum, TMA) as a precursor and H\textsubscript{2}O as a reagent is one of the few cases where a relatively complete picture is available. For this ALD process, the dominant reaction path in the steady-growth regime can be summarized as

\begin{equation}
\text{-OH + AlMe}_3 \rightarrow \text{-OAlMe}_2 + \text{CH}_4
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{AlMe} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{-AlOH} + \text{CH}_4
\end{equation}

where a hyphen (-) indicates a surface group and where all other molecules are in the gas or vapor phase.\textsuperscript{27,28,30,32,34–37} As one of the better studied processes, several secondary or alternative reaction paths and nonidealities have been reported. Furthermore, the surface chemistry has also been studied quantitatively looking at the reactivity (initial sticking probability or reaction cross section), reaction kinetics (activation energy), and reaction thermodynamics (potential energy change).\textsuperscript{33,35,36,38–41} However, for most ALD processes the growth mechanism is known at a qualitative level at best. The fundamental understanding of the reaction mechanism during the initial growth phase of ALD is even more fragmented and limited than that of the steady-growth regime. For example, only a few studies investigated the growth mechanism during the initial growth of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} ALD qualitatively on the two common and relevant starting surfaces of c-Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} and H-terminated c-Si.\textsuperscript{43–45} For the SiO\textsubscript{2} surface, it is likely that the growth of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} by ALD occurs via the same reaction path as during the steady-growth regime, although the reactivity—and as a result theGPC—can differ.\textsuperscript{46} However, evidence for other reaction paths has been reported on specially prepared SiO\textsubscript{2} surfaces: on SiO\textsubscript{2} with low −OH density, for example, Si–CH\textsubscript{3} can be formed during chemisorption, as will be discussed later.\textsuperscript{46} On the H-terminated c-Si surface, different chemisorption reactions must occur during the first TMA half-cycle because of the absence of −OH groups. Indeed, evidence for different reaction paths has been reported for this surface: for example, the formation of Si–Al(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} after TMA exposure has been observed on H-terminated c-Si.\textsuperscript{5,14} However, quantitative experimental data of the surface chemistry is lacking for both starting surfaces despite their relevance and ubiquity.

In this work, the surface chemistry during the initial growth on both SiO\textsubscript{2} and H-terminated Si(111) (H/Si(111)) surface was studied directly using in situ vibrational broadband sum-frequency generation (BB-SFG) spectroscopy. The initial growth on the SiO\textsubscript{2} surface was followed by monitoring the density of −CH\textsubscript{3} surface groups during the first three ALD cycles as a function of the precursor and coreactant exposure. Emphasis was placed on the reaction kinetics of the ALD chemistry and the determination of the reaction cross section σ. The initial growth on the H/Si(111) surface was followed by measuring the relative density of Si–H on the surface as a function of TMA exposure. This revealed the reaction kinetics of the precursor chemisorption on H/Si(111) and allowed the determination of the initial sticking probability and the reaction cross section of TMA chemisorption on the H/Si(111) surface.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

**ALD Setup and Substrate Preparation.** The surface chemistry during thermal ALD of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} on the Si(100)/SiO\textsubscript{2} and H/Si(111) starting surfaces was studied in a home-built ALD reactor. The ALD reactor consisted of a vacuum chamber which was equipped with two turbomolecular pumps (Pfeiffer TMU 261P) reaching a base pressure better than $1 \times 10^{-8}$ mbar. The vapor drawn precursor and coreactant used in the ALD process were dosed using “fast ALD” valves (Swagelok 34C-A-GDFG-1KT) using pulse times of 20 ms for the SiO\textsubscript{2} experiments and 10 ms for the H/Si(111) experiments with a TMA flux of $\Gamma_{\text{TMA}} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and a H\textsubscript{2}O flux of $\Gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \approx 3.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in both experiments. The reactor walls were heated to 80 °C. The BB-SFG measurements were performed on a 2 in. c-Si wafer suspended in the middle of the reactor being clamped at the edges of the wafer. For the experiments at 100 °C, the sample was heated radiatively using a Boriclectric heating element (GE Advanced Ceramics ACSF0073 HTR1002). The temperature of the sample was measured with a thermocouple (Thermocoax 2ABActox/5/m/TI/FIMK) glued to the sample with thermally conductive adhesive (RS 186-3600) and controlled using custom electronics modulating the power dissipation in the heating element using the sample temperature as the input.

The samples for the initial growth studied with BB-SFG spectroscopy and spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE) experiments were prepared as follows: the Si(100)/SiO\textsubscript{2} substrates were made by depositing 90 nm of SiO\textsubscript{2} on the Si wafer with plasma-enhanced chemical-vapor deposition at 300 °C using a SiH\textsubscript{4}–O\textsubscript{2} plasma. The H/Si(111) surfaces were prepared by the procedure as described by Higashi et al.\textsuperscript{46} In short, a Si(111) wafer with native oxide was immersed for 120 s in buffered hydrofluoric acid (BHF) comprising
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of 40% NH$_4$F and 49% HF mixed in a volume ratio of 7:1. After the BHF exposure, the wafer was rinsed in a flow of ultrapure water until the resistivity of the water down stream of the wafer reached a resistance > 5 MΩ cm. Exposing the Si(111) surface to BHF removed the native oxide and subsequently formed an atomically smooth, Si–H-terminated surface which is stable in water and ambient. The BB-SFG spectroscopy experiments on the H/Si(111) surface were performed at 80 °C and all other experiments were performed at 100 °C.

**Vibrational BB-SFG.** Vibrational BB-SFG spectroscopy is a nonlinear optical technique that is ideally suited for in situ studies of the surface chemistry during thin-film deposition. BB-SFG combines identification of surface groups with inherent surface selectivity, sub-monolayer sensitivity, and the flexibility of an all-optical technique. The surface selectivity of SFG spectroscopy is caused by the strict selection rules for the SFG process associated with a second-order nonlinear phenomena and, as a result, bulk contributions from centrosymmetric media are forbidden in the dipole approximation. For the substrates used in this study, this indeed leads to surface selectivity for BB-SFG spectroscopy. The surface selectivity is not only crucial in isolating the surface contribution from the bulk background that is typically dominant in linear optical techniques, but it can also greatly facilitate the interpretation of the results: as a consequence of the surface selectivity of BB-SFG spectroscopy, the total surface coverage can be measured directly which is more revealing than the change in coverage that is detected by differential techniques such as absorption spectroscopy often used to study surface chemistry. For the substrates used in this study, this indeed leads to surface selectivity for BB-SFG spectroscopy. The surface selectivity is not only crucial in isolating the surface contribution from the bulk background that is typically dominant in linear optical techniques, but it can also greatly facilitate the interpretation of the results: as a consequence of the surface selectivity of BB-SFG spectroscopy, the total surface coverage can be measured directly which is more revealing than the change in coverage that is detected by differential techniques such as absorption spectroscopy often used to study surface chemistry. For the substrates used in this study, this indeed leads to surface selectivity for BB-SFG spectroscopy. The surface selectivity is not only crucial in isolating the surface contribution from the bulk background that is typically dominant in linear optical techniques, but it can also greatly facilitate the interpretation of the results: as a consequence of the surface selectivity of BB-SFG spectroscopy, the total surface coverage can be measured directly which is more revealing than the change in coverage that is detected by differential techniques such as absorption spectroscopy often used to study surface chemistry. For the substrates used in this study, this indeed leads to surface selectivity for BB-SFG spectroscopy. The surface selectivity is not only crucial in isolating the surface contribution from the bulk background that is typically dominant in linear optical techniques, but it can also greatly facilitate the interpretation of the results: as a consequence of the surface selectivity of BB-SFG spectroscopy, the total surface coverage can be measured directly which is more revealing than the change in coverage that is detected by differential techniques such as absorption spectroscopy often used to study surface chemistry.

For the detection and identification of surface groups, BB-SFG spectroscopy relies on the enhancement of frequency mixing between visible and mid-IR laser pulses. This enhancement occurs when the photon energy of the mid-IR light is resonant with vibrational transitions of the surface groups, and as a result, the vibrational signature of the surface groups is probed with BB-SFG. The two pulses interact, resulting in the emission of a SFG signal. The SFG signal is focused onto the entrance slit of the spectrometer, and detected by a liquid nitrogen cooled CCD chip (Princeton Instruments Spec-10). The BB-SFG signal was focused at the sample was separated from the visible and mid-IR laser beams using spatial filtering (2 apertures of ~2 mm placed ~30 cm apart) and spectral filtering (4 Thorlabs FES0750 short-pass filters each with an optical density > 5). To select the polarization of the SFG light, the beam was passed through a Glan-laser polarizer (Thorlabs GL10A). The BB-SFG signal generated at the sample was separated from the visible and mid-IR laser beams using spatial filtering (2 apertures of ~2 mm placed ~30 cm apart) and spectral filtering (4 Thorlabs FES0750 short-pass filters each with an optical density > 5). To select the polarization of the SFG light, the beam was passed through a Glan-laser polarizer (Thorlabs GL10A). The BB-SFG signal was focused onto the entrance slit of the spectrometer (Acton Research SP2500) and detected by a liquid nitrogen cooled CCD chip (Princeton Instruments Spec-10). The BB-SFG experiments were collected using an acquisition time of 120 s. The so-called “Sp” polarization mode was used which is the strongest mode for −CH$_3$ and Si–H groups. In this mode, p polarized mid-IR and s polarized visible light are used to drive the SFG process while the s component of the SFG (denoted as capital $S$) signal is detected.

**In Situ SE Measurements and Modeling.** The initial growth of the Al$_2$O$_3$ film by ALD was followed using in situ SE (J. A. Woollam Co. M2000X) on a half-cycle basis. To obtain the thickness of the deposited Al$_2$O$_3$ film from the SE spectra recorded during ALD, the optical response of the two samples was modeled: the bare Si(111)/H and Si(100)/SiO$_2$ substrates were parameterized using the in situ SE spectra recorded before the start of the deposition. The optical constants of the Al$_2$O$_3$ film grown on the top of these substrates were described using the Cauchy model. The parameters of the Cauchy model were determined from the in situ SE spectrum recorded after 50 ALD cycles, that is, using the thickest film deposited in the experiment. The Al$_2$O$_3$ films had a refractive index of $n = 1.6$ at 2 eV which is typical for ALD Al$_2$O$_3$. Using this model, all of the intermediate SE spectra were fitted, yielding the thickness of the Al$_2$O$_3$ film as a function of ALD half-cycle as shown in Figure 2a. To monitor how the GPC changes from cycle-to-cycle during the initial growth, the GPC needs to be determined from the increase in the film thickness after each individual ALD cycle. Typically, in the steady-growth regime, the GPC is calculated from the increase in film
The steady-growth regime is reached. The signification on the SiO2 surface.

For the SiO2 surface, the 20 cycles are a Si(111) starting surface measured during growth at 100 °C with in situ SE plotted as a function of the number of ALD cycles. Data were collected after both the TMA (open markers) and H2O (solid markers) half-cycle. The inset shows the first four cycles in more detail. (b) Corresponding GPC plotted as a function of the ALD cycle number for ALD of Al2O3 on both the SiO2 and H/Si(111) surface.

thickness over multiple (10 s) of ALD cycles. When measuring thickness changes with SE as small as those caused by a single ALD cycle, the role of the surface groups on the SE spectra has to be considered. A strategy similar to the one outlined by Langereis et al. to monitor the GPC during the steady-growth regime on a cycle-by-cycle basis was adopted here to study the initial growth. In short, the cycle-by-cycle GPC during the initial growth on both surfaces was calculated from the SE spectra recorded after each H2O half-cycle instead of the TMA half-cycle. This approach mitigates the complications arising due to changing surface functionalization to a large part, for a more detailed discussion see the Supporting Information.

RESULTS

GPC during Initial Growth. Figure 2a shows the Al2O3 thickness measured with in situ SE during the first 50 ALD cycles on a SiO2 and a H/Si(111) starting surface. For the SiO2 surface, virtually no growth delay was observed, whereas for the H/Si(111) surface the growth starts out slower but eventually shows the same slope as the growth on the SiO2 surface. Figure 2b shows the GPC for the first 50 cycles calculated from the data in Figure 2a using the procedure discussed earlier. For the SiO2 surface, the first cycle shows a GPC of 2.7 Å, whereas all subsequent cycles exhibit a GPC of 0.8 Å. The subtle cycle-to-cycle variation observed in the GPC for cycle 2 up to ∼20 was considered too small to warrant further interpretation because it can easily be caused by, for example, minor variations in the optical constants of the <2 nm thin Al2O3 film. For the H/Si(111) surface, the first cycle shows a GPC of 1.1 Å. The subsequent cycles showed a GPC of 0.3 Å which gradually increased to 0.8 Å after approximately 20 cycles. The cause of the higher GPC in the first cycle will be addressed later. Comparing the measured GPC for the subsequent cycles to that of the steady regime (0.8 Å at 100 °C) suggests that for the SiO2 surface the initial growth phenomena are mainly limited to the first cycle. For the H/Si(111) starting surface it is clear that approximately the first 20 cycles are affected by initial growth phenomena after which the steady-growth regime is reached. The significantly longer perturbation of the growth on the H/Si(111) starting surface indicates that the surface chemistry is indeed different to that on the SiO2 surface.

Methyl Coverage during Initial Growth on SiO2. The −CH3 groups that play a key role in the surface chemistry were monitored by BB-SFG spectroscopy during the initial three cycles of ALD on the SiO2 surface. Small amounts of the precursor or coreactant were dosed to reveal the reaction kinetics. A BB-SFG spectrum was recorded of the bare SiO2/c-Si substrate probing the spectral region around 3000 cm⁻¹, see the Supporting Information, in which the C−H stretch mode of −CH3 groups is situated. Subsequently, the pristine SiO2 surface was exposed to 20 ms of TMA and after pumping away the precursor and gas-phase reaction products, a BB-SFG spectrum was collected, resulting in the spectrum (i) in Figure 3. Spectrum (i) in Figure 3 clearly shows the appearance of a resonant feature at 2890 cm⁻¹ which is indicative of the −CH3 groups of chemisorbed TMA on the surface. This procedure was repeated several times and spectra (ii) up to (v) show an increase in the strength of the feature assigned to the −CH3 groups. From spectrum (v) onward, the feature related to the −CH3 groups no longer changes. This indicates that no additional TMA could adsorb on the surface and that saturation was reached. The H2O half-cycle following the TMA half-cycle was studied using the same procedure, exposing the surface to multiple sequential 20 ms of H2O doses and recording a BB-SFG spectrum after each exposure. A decrease in −CH3 coverage on the surface was observed as a function of H2O exposure (spectra not shown). This procedure was repeated, following the initial three ALD cycles on this surface. The generally weak C−H stretch mode could be observed on the SiO2 surface but not on the H/Si(111) because of the Fresnel coefficients in essence enhancing the BB-SFG signal strength on the SiO2/c-Si substrate and suppressing it on the H/Si(111) substrate, see also the Supporting Information.

Figure 4 shows the −CH3 coverage as a function of precursor and coreactant exposure in the first three ALD cycles determined by fitting the BB-SFG spectra such as those shown in Figure 3, see also the Supporting Information. From Figure 4 it is clear that the reaction kinetics of the first TMA half-cycle deviates significantly from the reaction kinetics of the steady-growth regime in both the TMA uptake (i.e., the increase in θCH3 over a half-cycle) and reactivity (i.e. the time-constant of the change). Yet, the reaction kinetics of the TMA half-cycles for the second and third ALD cycle is virtually identical to the reaction kinetics observed during the steady-growth regime (also shown in Figure 4). Furthermore, Figure 4 shows that the final −CH3 coverage reached after saturation of the TMA half-
cycle did not vary from cycle-to-cycle; the second and third TMA half-cycle reached a relative $\text{CH}_3$ coverage of 0.99 and 1.02 with reference to the first TMA half-cycle, respectively. For the $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ step, all of the half-cycles show reaction kinetics virtually identical to that seen in the steady-growth regime. Moreover, in each case persistent $\text{CH}_3$ groups were observed that are unreactive toward $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at this temperature in line with earlier work for steady-growth of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and related ALD processes. The relative coverage of the persistent $\text{CH}_3$ groups was $\approx 0.6$ for all cycles, similar to what we have found for the steady-growth regime at 100 °C. The enhanced GPC in the first ALD cycle seen in Figure 2 is in part related to the presence of these persistent $\text{CH}_3$ groups. The GPC is proportional to the TMA uptake, that is, the change in $\theta_{\text{CH}_3}$. For the first ALD cycle, $\theta_{\text{CH}_3}$ starts at 0 and reaches 1 at the end of the TMA half-cycle (the data set is normalized to this data point). In the $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ half-cycle, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is not reactive enough to remove all $\text{CH}_3$ leaving persistent $\text{CH}_3$ groups on the surface at this temperature. The subsequent cycles all start with $\theta_{\text{CH}_3} \approx 0.6$ and reach $\theta_{\text{CH}_3} \approx 1$ at the end of the TMA half-cycle. This indicates that the TMA uptake is significantly higher in the first ALD cycle compared to the subsequent cycles and is reflected in the GPC. To summarize, these data show that only the reaction kinetics of the first TMA half-cycle are significantly affected when growing ALD $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ on a $\text{SiO}_2$ surface. This is in line with the immediate growth observed for ALD of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ on the $\text{SiO}_2$ surface shown in Figure 2 and in agreement with reports in the literature.

The reactivity of TMA and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ toward the surface can be quantified in terms of reaction cross sections. The reaction cross section $\sigma_{\text{TMA}} (\sigma_{\text{OH}})$ links the change in the $\text{OH}(-\text{CH}_3)$ density $\theta_{\text{OH}} (\theta_{\text{CH}_3})$ to the TMA ($\text{H}_2\text{O}$) flux $\Gamma_{\text{TMA}} (\Gamma_{\text{OH}})$. The chemisorption of TMA and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ can be described as reactions that are first order in $\text{CH}_3$ coverage, leading to the following differential equations

$$\frac{d\theta_{\text{OH}}}{dt} = -\sigma_{\text{TMA}}\Gamma_{\text{TMA}}\theta_{\text{CH}_3}$$

(4a)

$$\frac{d\theta_{\text{CH}_3}}{dt} = -\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\Gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}\theta_{\text{CH}_3}$$

(4b)

These equations can be expressed solely in $\text{CH}_3$ coverage using the relation $\theta_{\text{CH}_3} = 1$. Furthermore, the Hertz–Knudsen equation was used to determine the flux of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($\Gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 3.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and TMA ($\Gamma_{\text{TMA}} = 1.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) toward the substrate from the pressure in the reactor during dosing. The reaction cross section was determined by fitting the solution of the differential equations—an exponential function—to the data in Figure 4. The main uncertainty in the cross section was caused by a systematic error in the absolute magnitude of the flux (based upon the pressure measurement with an absolute error less than an order of magnitude) which only introduces a scaling factor in the absolute value of the cross section. Therefore, this does not affect the interpretation of relative differences between the cross sections. The “random error” in the data is better than 10% which is a conservative estimate from the fluctuations in the strength of the SFG signal over time.

Table 1 lists the reaction cross sections obtained from the fits to the data in Figure 4 for both half-cycles during the first three ALD cycles. The reaction cross section of the initial TMA half-cycle, $\sigma_{\text{TMA}} = 2.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, was a factor of three lower than that during the steady-growth regime. The corresponding initial sticking probability $S_0^\text{TMA}$, related to the reaction cross section by $S_0^\text{TMA} = \sigma_{\text{TMA}}\theta_{\text{CH}_3}$, was calculated assuming an absolute $\text{OH}$ surface coverage of $6 \times 10^{14}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>starting surf.</th>
<th>cycle</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{TMA}}\times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2$</th>
<th>$S_0^\text{TMA} \times 10^{-5}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$</th>
<th>$S_0^\text{H}_2\text{O} \times 10^{-9}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2$</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2$</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SiO}_2$</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/Si(111)</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>steady growth</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For the H/Si(111) surface, the first TMA half-cycle was monitored and for the $\text{SiO}_2$ surface the first three ALD cycles were followed. The cross sections for the TMA/$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ process during the steady-growth regime are also listed for comparison. For the calculation of the sticking probability $S_0^\text{TMA}$ and $S_0^\text{H}_2\text{O}$, a surface coverage of $\theta_{\text{OH}} = \theta_{\text{CH}_3} = 6.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $\theta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 7.8 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ was assumed. The “random error” is listed in the table, the systematic error might be larger due to uncertainties in $\Gamma_{\text{TMA}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ but it only introduces a scaling factor and does not affect the trend in the data.*
groups per cm\(^2\) for both the \(-\)OH-terminated SiO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) surfaces.\(^{31,54}\) The initial sticking probability of \(S_{\text{TMA}}^0 = 0.001\) indicates that a TMA molecule has on average 1000 interactions with \(-\)OH groups on the SiO\(_2\) surface before the TMA molecule chemisorbs. The reaction cross section and initial sticking probability of the subsequent TMA half-cycles, \(\sigma_{\text{TMA}} = 6.0 \times 10^{-18}\) cm\(^2\) and \(S_{\text{TMA}}^0 = 0.004\), were comparable to the reactivity found for the steady-growth regime within the time resolution of the experiment. During the H\(_2\)O half-cycle, the reaction kinetics did not vary from cycle-to-cycle with a reaction cross section of \(\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 4.0 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-20}\) cm\(^2\), that is, virtually identical to the kinetics observed for the H\(_2\)O half-cycle during the steady-growth regime. The corresponding initial sticking probability was \(S_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}\), assuming a \(-\)CH\(_3\) coverage of \(6 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-2}\).\(^{34}\)

**Si\(\)–H Coverage during the First TMA Half-Cycle on H/Si(111).** The initial chemisorption of TMA on the H/Si(111) surface was studied with BB-SFG spectroscopy by monitoring the stretching mode of the SiH groups on the H/Si(111) surface because of less favorable Fresnel coefficients, resulting in a reduced sensitivity on this surface, see also the Supporting Information. A BB-SFG spectrum of the pristine H/Si(111) surface in the vicinity of 2100 cm\(^{-1}\) was collected after the reactor was pumped down. Figure 5 does indeed show a sharp resonance at 2090 cm\(^{-1}\) which is characteristic for the stretch mode of Si–H groups on the H/Si(111) surface. In Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, the Si–H stretch mode is known for its extremely narrow spectral width of \(\approx 1\) cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature.\(^{31,45,47,55,56}\) The spectral width of the Si–H feature in the BB-SFG spectra is entirely due to the instrumental broadening, see the Supporting Information. To demonstrate repeatability of the BB-SFG measurement and the stability of the surface, a second spectrum is recorded after waiting 120 s. The overlap between the two spectra in Figure 5 shows the excellent repeatability of the measurement. After the collection of the BB-SFG spectra of the pristine surface, the sample was exposed to TMA by opening the ALD valve for a duration of 10 ms. After pumping down the vacuum chamber, a new BB-SFG spectrum was collected that indeed showed a decreased intensity of the Si–H mode. This indicated that TMA had reacted with the Si–H groups, in agreement with the observation of Frank et al.\(^{13}\) Again a second spectrum was collected after 120 s to verify the stability of the surface which showed virtually no change. This entire procedure of dosing TMA for 10 ms and recording two BB-SFG spectra was repeated until the Si–H feature could no longer be discerned resulting in spectra shown in Figure 5.

The Si–H coverage was determined from a fit to the BB-SFG spectra in Figure 5 using the procedure detailed in the previous section. The resulting Si–H coverage was plotted as a function of TMA exposure in Figure 6. An exponential decrease of the Si–H coverage was found as a function of TMA exposure (see also inset in Figure 6). This means that the reaction of TMA with the Si–H surface can be described as a first-order reaction in the TMA flux

\[
\frac{d\theta_{\text{SiH}}}{dt} = -\sigma_{\text{TMA}} \Gamma_{\text{TMA}} \theta_{\text{SiH}}
\]

where \(\theta_{\text{SiH}}\) is the Si–H coverage. The reaction cross section was determined from the exponential fit to the data in Figure 6. The reaction cross section of TMA chemisorption on H/Si(111) was found to be \(\sigma_{\text{TMA}} = 3.1 \times 10^{-18}\) cm\(^2\) with a corresponding initial sticking probability of \(S_{\text{TMA}} = 2 \times 10^{-5}\) using the TMA flux mentioned earlier and a Si–H density of \(7.8 \times 10^{14}\) cm\(^{-2}\).\(^{52}\) This reaction cross section is slightly smaller than the cross section of the steady-growth regime but larger than the reaction cross section of the initial chemisorption on a SiO\(_2\) surface.

### DISCUSSION

The reaction mechanism of the initial growth of Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) ALD on the \(-\)OH-terminated SiO\(_2\) surface has already been studied qualitative by others from an experimental standpoint and also by ab initio calculations.\(^{56,54,57–62}\) The initial growth on the \(-\)OH-terminated SiO\(_2\) surface exhibits a similar reaction mechanism as observed during the steady-growth regime involving chemisorption of TMA on \(-\)OH groups.\(^{54,57}\) However, alternative reaction paths can become important depending on the density of \(-\)OH groups on this surface. Growth on the starting SiO\(_2\) surface prepared with a reduced \(-\)OH density results in the formation of Si–CH\(_3\) groups as has been predicted using density functional theory (DFT) by Sandupatla et al. and observed experimentally by Levrau et al.\(^{56,54}\) The \(-\)OH density on a silica surface decreases rapidly when annealing the surface at high temperatures, as shown by Zhuravlev.\(^{11}\) However, the SiO\(_2\) surface used in this work was prepared at low temperatures using an oxygen plasma which
results in a high −OH coverage; thus, the alternative reactions are not expected to play a prominent role in our work.

For initial growth on the SiO₂ surface, a higher GPC was observed by SE during the first ALD cycle. This higher GPC can be explained in part by the higher TMA uptake on this surface seen in the BB-SFG spectra and it is also in line with the observations reported by Levrau et al.46 Turning toward the reaction cross sections determined in this work, the reactivity of Si−OH groups was found to be a factor of 3 lower than that of Al−OH. Bartram et al. reported a sticking probability S₀ < 0.01 for chemisorption of TMA on a −OH-terminated silica surface.57 Although hardly a restrictive criterion, the sticking probabilities found in this work are indeed below 0.01 for TMA chemisorption in both the initial regime and steady-growth regime. The experimentally determined reaction cross section can be compared to the activation energy found by DFT. Sandupatla et al. reported an activation energy for TMA chemisorption on −OH-terminated silica of 0.76 eV, whereas Shirazi and Elliott reported an activation energy of 0.28 eV for TMA chemisorption on Al−OH.40,54 This difference in activation energy corresponds to a change in reactivity of a factor of 1.4 assuming Arrhenius behavior, in good agreement with the experimental result.

The surface chemistry of TMA chemisorption on a H/ Si(111) has also been studied qualitatively, although to a lesser extent than the SiO₂ surface.13,14,19,63,64 The reaction path for the chemisorption of TMA on the H/Si(111) has to be different from the mechanism in the steady-growth regime because of the absence of −OH groups. Frank et al. found O= Al−CH₃ species but also Al directly bonded to Si in the form of Si−Al−(CH₃)₂ after exposing the H/Si(111) surface to TMA.13 They postulate that O from background H₂O can insert itself into the Si−Al bond during the TMA half-cycle. On the other hand, a pristine H/Si(111) surface was shown by Frank et al. to be unreactive toward H₂O vapor.13 Note that the kinetics of the TMA chemisorption was not discussed in the work by Frank et al. nor did they discuss a full reaction mechanism which would imply certain reaction kinetics.13 From our data, there is no evidence to assume more complex reaction kinetics than a first-order reaction in Si−H. The reaction cross section found for chemisorption of TMA on the H/Si(111) surface was a factor of 2 lower than that during the steady-growth regime. To our knowledge, no other experimental values have been reported. The recent ab initio studies are focused mainly on the H/Si(100) surface which is known to be more reactive than the H/Si(111) surface.19,20 Halls and Raghavachari report an activation energy for TMA chemisorption on H/Si(111) of 1.5 eV which is rather large, suggesting that chemisorption does not take place. However, because of computational limitations at the time, the model and methodology they used to determine activation energy was very basic compared to later modes used by, for example, Shirazi and Elliott and Longo et al. to study the other surfaces.19,40 As has been shown experimentally by us (see Figure 2) and others such as Frank et al.,13 Al₂O₃ ALD growth does occur on the H/Si(111) surface, and more elaborate DFT simulations would be required to understand the growth on this surface from an ab initio standpoint. A larger surface should be used (>10 Si atoms) giving greater freedom—allowing, for example, dissociative chemisorption of TMA—but also the presence of background H₂O should be considered in such a simulation.

The apparent contradiction that the H/Si(111) surface is more reactive than the SiO₂ despite showing a significant longer growth delay highlights that there is no universal relation between the reaction cross section and the number of ALD cycles affected by initial growth phenomena. The reaction cross section is a measure of how reactive the precursor is toward a specific surface, but this is only a part of the picture. During the initial growth phase, the type and areal density of the dominant surface groups are changing cycle-by-cycle. The differences in reaction cross section for TMA chemisorption on the two surfaces should be seen in this light. TMA chemisorbs more readily on H/Si(111) than it does on −OH groups on the SiO₂ surface. However, the growth mechanism takes longer to reach the steady regime, especially considering the transition from a chemistry without −OH groups to the chemistry of the steady-growth regime which is dominated by TMA chemisorption on −OH sites.

Furthermore, these results show that especially studies providing quantitative insights into the reactions during initial growth are essential to gain a fundamental understanding. Such insights are needed to make systematic advances in, for example, area-selective ALD. Moreover, the comparison of experimental and ab initio results can result in improvements in simulations and steer experiments.

CONCLUSIONS

The subtle differences in the surface chemistry and reaction kinetics during the initial growth of Al₂O₃ by thermal ALD on the SiO₂ surface and H/Si(111) surface were studied with in situ BB-SFG spectroscopy and spectroscopy ellipsometry.

For the SiO₂ surface, the reaction cross section— and as a result the related initial sticking probability— of TMA chemisorption on the pristine SiO₂ was found to be a factor of 3 lower than the reaction cross section of the steady-growth regime. For all subsequent TMA half-cycles and for all H₂O half-cycles, the cross section was equal to that of its steady-state counterpart. Moreover, a higher GPC was observed by SE in the first cycle that can be directly linked to a higher TMA uptake, as is evidenced by the BB-SFG spectra.

The chemisorption of TMA onto the H/Si(111) surface during the first TMA half-cycle showed a smaller reaction cross section than that during the steady-growth regime. With in situ SE, immediate growth was observed; however, the first ∼20 cycles showed a lower GPC with respect to the steady regime. This is in line with the drastic change in surface chemistry that has to occur going from the initial growth regime in the first cycle to the steady-growth regime.

These results demonstrate that BB-SFG spectroscopy is well suited to study the initial growth of ALD revealing quantitative information such as the reaction cross sections and that it can easily be applied to other ALD processes of interest.
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