The structure of the low-temperature phase for the finite-dimensional spin glass is among the most interesting and yet unsettled problems in condensed matter. After more than 30 years its main issues remain unsolved not only from the mathematically rigorous point of view but also within the theoretical physics perspective. In particular it is not clear what is the quenched probability distribution of the spin-overlap for large systems and low temperatures. Different pictures have in fact been proposed: the replica symmetry breaking\(^1\) which describes the distribution similarly to the mean field one, and the droplet picture,\(^2\) which claims that the support of the distribution shrinks to a point (becomes trivial) when the system size grows to infinity (see also Refs. 3–5 for other possible pictures and different perspectives).

Our perspective in this work is totally complementary to the usual trivial-nontrivial investigation which pertains to the single overlap distribution properties. The novelty of our analysis is related to a different concept of triviality: does the single overlap distribution specify the whole equilibrium state of the spin glass by virtue of a pure factorization mechanism (factorization-triviality) or does the description of the system need the infinite family of joint probability distributions for the multi-overlaps? The physical relevance of such a question is well understood considering that in the first case the overlap would play a crucial role as the order parameter of the system, while its importance would be much less in the second case. Our analysis suggests that the link overlap is trivial from the factorization point of view, while the standard overlap is not. This fact is rich in implications for the relative role that the two overlaps play in the description of a spin glass system.

More precisely and technically, we investigate the structure of the multi-distribution of the overlaps among replicated samples of the Edwards-Anderson (EA) model with Gaussian nearest-neighbor couplings. The spin glass quenched measure is indeed described (see Refs. 6 and 7) by an infinite family of probability distributions which represent its equilibrium state: the distribution of the single overlap \(P(Q_{1,2})\) related to the internal energy of the system but also those involving more than two replicas like \(P(Q_{1,2},Q_{1,3})\), \(P(Q_{1,2},Q_{3,4})\) related to the specific heat (see Ref. 6 for instance) and magnetic susceptibility. Since in the quenched measure the different copies are taken with the same frozen disorder, the random variables \(Q_{1,m}\) are not independent and their joint distribution does not factorize on products of the single one at finite volumes: \(P(Q_{1,2},Q_{1,3}) \neq P(Q_{1,2})P(Q_{1,3})\). With this work we address precisely the question if such a factorization may occur when the thermodynamic limit is reached and we find strong numerical evidence for a positive answer in the link-overlap case and a negative one for the standard overlap.

Previous studies of the model\(^4,5\) have concentrated their attention on the single overlap distribution, and claims were made about its triviality (for different perspectives see also Refs. 8–10). We independently reproduced those numerical results and extended them to larger sizes (up to \(L=12\)). In our opinion they cannot distinguish between the trivial or nontrivial picture. In particular the data for the link overlap do not rule out a limiting distribution with two peaks and a plateau among them. That fact, together with a complete lack of rigorous results, makes the factorization properties of the multi-overlaps an open and interesting matter and motivating our investigation.

Our departing point is the factorization rules found for the Edwards-Anderson model in terms of its link-overlap. In a box of side \(L\) the link overlap between two spin configurations \(\sigma\) and \(\tau\) is defined as

\[
Q_L(\sigma, \tau) = \frac{1}{3L^2} \sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j \tau_i \tau_j,
\]

where the sum runs over all couples of sites which are connected by a random bond (usually the nearest-neighbor sites). In Refs. 6 and 11 it was rigorously proved that when the size of the system grows to infinity the linear combination

\[
\langle Q_{1,2}^2 - 4Q_{1,2}Q_{2,3} + 3Q_{1,2}Q_{3,4}\rangle
\]

is vanishing except possibly on isolated temperatures where phase transitions may occur. Here the brackets denote the quenched measure (i.e., the successive computation of the expectation wrt the Boltzmann-Gibbs measure on replicated
samples followed by the average over the Gaussian disorder) and the subscripts on the $Q$’s label the different real replicas.

The same relation (2) was originally found to hold for the square of the standard overlap

$$q^2(\sigma, \tau) = \left( \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_i \sigma_i \tau_i \right)^2$$

(3)

in the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model within the RSB picture and related to a property of replica equivalence.\textsuperscript{12,13} See also Refs. 7, 11, and 14 for its rigorous derivations.

Although the vanishing of (2) emerged first in the mean field picture, its validity alone cannot distinguish between the different scenarios proposed (see the discussion in Ref. 6). In particular it cannot distinguish between the peculiar ultrametric factorization rule like the one proposed for the SK model or a pure factorization rule of the multi-overlap distributions.

In order to test the factorization properties we chose to consider generic linear combinations of the above monomials with coefficients whose sum is zero:

$$g(\alpha) = \langle Q_{1,2}^2 - \alpha Q_{1,2} Q_{3,4} + (\alpha - 1) Q_{1,2} Q_{3,4} \rangle$$

(4)

From Ref. 11 we know that the former expression is close to zero in $\alpha=4$ with finite volume correction of size $L^{-3}$. Away from $\alpha=4$ a link-overlap multi-distribution with a pure factorization law between replicas would predict a progressive squeezing to zero (at increasing volumes) of the line $g(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$. Instead a nonfactorizing link-overlaps distribution would be compatible with the persistence away from zero of the line angular coefficient

$$m = \langle Q_{1,2} Q_{3,4} - Q_{1,2} Q_{2,3} \rangle$$

(5)

and intercept

$$n = \langle Q_{1,2}^2 - Q_{1,2} Q_{3,4} \rangle$$

(6)

We performed the analysis for both the standard overlap and for the link overlap. Before going to the detailed descriptions of the model and the illustration of the results it is worthwhile to mention the different roles played by the two. The standard overlap is historically the first proposed observable in the study of the spin glass phase being directly related to the original Edwards-Anderson order parameter. Its widespread use is moreover due also to the fact that in the SK model its distribution carries all the information of the thermodynamic properties. Undoubtedly it is a very interesting quantity to be studied for the low temperature phase of general disordered spin systems; nevertheless we want to point out that each spin glass model has its own natural observable which, in a Gaussian model, is given by the covariance of its Hamiltonian. An easy computation\textsuperscript{6} shows that in the EA model such a covariance is the link-overlap in terms of which all the thermal observables can be expressed, such as, internal energy, specific heat, etc., and rigorous results can be established like stochastic stability;\textsuperscript{11,12} see also Ref. 15 for its use in rigorous results from a different perspective. It is also interesting to observe that the standard overlap between two spin configuration changes proportionally to the volume of the different spins in the two configurations; instead the link overlap changes like the surface.

Recalling the basic definitions, we consider the Gaussian Edward-Anderson model,\textsuperscript{16} defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H(J, \sigma) = - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \sum_{\mu=x,y,z} J_{i,\mu} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+\mu},$$

(7)

where $i$ is a site of a three-dimensional cubic lattice $\Lambda$ ($|\Lambda| = L^3$), $e_\mu$ is the versor in the $\mu$ direction (with $\mu=x,y,z$), $\sigma_i=\pm 1$ are Ising spin variables, and $J_{i,\mu}$ are Gaussian random variables with zero average and unit variance.

We performed numerical simulations by using the parallel tempering (PT) algorithm to facilitate equilibration. We used periodic boundary conditions and investigated lattice sizes up to $L=12$. For every size we simulated at least 2032 realizations of the couplings. Other parameters of the simulations are reported in Table I. The allowed temperature range (assuming $T_c \approx 1$) was approximately $0.5 T_c < T < 2.3 T_c$, and we used up to 37 temperatures in the PT procedure. We tested thermalization by checking the symmetry of the probability distribution for the standard overlap under the transformation $q \to -q$.

If not otherwise stated in the sequel we always plot the same quantities relative to the two overlaps with the same scale on the $y$ axis, in order to better appreciate analogies and differences between the two.

Figure 1 shows the plot of relation in Eq. (2) as a function of the temperature; we see on the left side that the vanishing of the linear combination is well reproduced by numerical simulation for the link overlap (even for the small size $L=4$ the finite size correction is less than $5 \times 10^{-3}$). It is also interesting to see that for the squared standard overlap (right side) the relation is satisfied (in agreement with Ref. 17), even if there is no rigorous argument that supports it. We notice, moreover, that finite size corrections are larger than those for the link overlap.

In Fig. 2 we show the different lines $g(\alpha)$ as the volume increases. For all the sizes the relation is close to zero for $\alpha=4$. When the system size increases we observe that the lines tend to flatten, which is a possible signal of triviality. We may observe that the flattening is much more evident for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$</th>
<th>Thermalization</th>
<th>Equilibrium</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$N_\beta$</th>
<th>$\delta T$</th>
<th>$T_{min}$</th>
<th>$T_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>2680</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>70000</td>
<td>2032</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To investigate further the factorization and triviality matter, we measure the angular coefficient \( \gamma \) and the intercept \( \delta \) and see how they scale wrt to the system size \( L \). We find that both have some tendency to decrease and we fit the data with a law of the type

\[
y = a + c \cdot L^{-\beta}
\]

for different values of \( a \) and measure the relative chi-square. We find that the minimum chi-square for the angular coefficient and intercept relative to the link-overlap is reached for \( a = 0 \) which supports the factorizing picture. More precisely the data give a normalized \( \chi^2 = 1.5 \) for \( a = 0 \) and the \( \chi^2 \) value is increasing with \( a \), being already of \( O(10) \) for \( a = 0.001 \). On the other hand, the same analysis for the standard overlap showed that the chi-square analysis confirms all that. This work shows that, within the current reachable lattice sizes, the multi-distribution for the link-overlap obeys to a pure factorization law while the standard overlap lacks the same property.

A similar factorization has a very clear meaning: the random variables \( Q_{l,m} \) become independent in the infinite volume limit with respect to the quenched measure. This fact reflects a form of triviality which is typically found in the mean field models where the infinitely many degrees of freedom \( a \text{ priori} \) embodied in a statistical mechanics model are reduced to a single one, the order parameter, after which all the correlation functions can be expressed. Such a phenomenon is observed for instance in the Curie-Weiss model where the spin variables \( \sigma_i, \sigma_j \) become independent in the infinite volume limit with respect to the Boltzmann measure (see Ref. 18) and the two points correlation function (the order parameter, square power of the magnetization) display

Finally we analyzed the normalized angular coefficient \( m'^{m} = m / \langle Q_{T}^{2} \rangle \) and intercept \( n'^{n} = n / \langle Q_{T}^{2} \rangle \), which are adimensional quantities normalized with their typical values (they are slightly different for the two overlaps). The data reported in log-log scale in Fig. 3 show a clear factorization tendency for the link overlap multi-distribution while the standard overlap seems to lack the same property. The chi-square analysis confirms all that. This work shows that, within the current reachable lattice sizes, the multi-distribution for the link-overlap obeys to a pure factorization law (factorization triviality) while the standard overlap lacks the same property.

FIG. 1. (Color online) Plot of relation (2) as a function of the temperature for different system sizes (see the legend). On the left data for the link overlap, on the right data for the standard overlap.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Plot of the function \( \gamma(\alpha) \) versus \( \alpha \) for the fixed temperature \( T = 0.6 \): link overlap (left), standard overlap (right).
the whole physical content of the system. In that perspective our results point to the link-overlap as a good candidate for the order parameter for the spin glass phase.

It is worthwhile stressing that the factorization triviality that we found is compatible with both the usual trivial or nontrivial pictures because it does not imply anything on the single-overlap distribution but only on the multi-overlap ones.

We observe, finally, that the different behavior of the two overlaps requires a better understanding of their relative fluctuations: does the standard overlap distribution concentrate at fixed link overlap? And if it does, is the functional relation between the two a one-to-one function? The two questions can be trivially answered in the SK model where the link-overlap is the square power of the standard overlap. In a finite-dimensional model like EA the relation between the two is much more involved and the problem of their relative fluctuation has been numerically addressed in Ref. 10 at $T = 0$ and more recently in Ref. 19 for a model with soft constraints. Their results point to the direction of a vanishing relative fluctuation. The results we found in this paper would be compatible either with a nonvanishing relative fluctuation or with a multi-valued functional relation among the two random variables that could account for the difference in factorization behavior. We plan to investigate further those two open questions both numerically and analytically.
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FIG. 3. (Color online) The absolute value of the normalized angular coefficient $m^*$ (black circles for the link overlap, green diamond for standard overlap) and intercept $n^*$ (red squares for the link overlap, blue triangles for the standard overlap) versus the lattice size $L$. The temperature is $T = 0.6$. Lines are guide to the eyes.

FIG. 4. (Color online) A different normalization of the data (see the legend). Here $x = Q_{12}, y = Q_{34}$ (left panel) and $x = q_{12}^2, y = q_{34}^2$ (right panel). $V(x) = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2 = V(y)$ denotes the variance and $C(x,y) = \langle xy \rangle - \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle$ denotes the covariance.
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12After the first appearance of this work in the arxiv (7 March 2005) Giorgio Parisi suggested to us to investigate a different
normalization of the parameters $n$ and $m$ with the variance of the distribution at the denominator. We will denote them $n_P$ and $m_P$. We extended his suggestions to all the second-order quantities. Some results are shown in Fig. 4. What we observe is the remarkable coincidence of the $n_P$ and $m_P$ for the two different overlaps. Introducing the correlation coefficient $\rho$ and observing that $n_P = 1 - \rho$, the identical behavior of $\rho$ for the two overlaps suggests a high mutual correlation among them. The fact that $\rho$ stays basically constant in the observed volume range does not give much information on the factorization of the multi-overlap because it may happen that the variance at the denominator shrinks to zero like the covariance at the numerator. Instead the coefficient $k$ normalized with the second-order moment shows the same behavior of $m^*$ and $n^*$ and confirms our conclusions.