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A range of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) with different features is available for people with inflammatory arthritis (IA). However, the needs and priorities of young people (aged 18–35 years) with IA regarding PROMs and their administration have never been systematically explored. Therefore, our project tackled the question of whether PROMs commonly used in IA cover the perspectives and needs of young people. For this purpose, a task force (TF) guided by the 2014 EULAR Standardised Operating Procedures was convened. Given the limited literature on the perspectives of young people with IA regarding PROMs, a multinational focus group study and a subsequent online survey distributed across Europe replaced the conventional systematic literature review. The EULAR Council approved this research-based project approach. The TF was composed of 14 members from 8 European countries, with a strong representation of patient research partners. The TF met twice and, based on the results of the focus groups and survey, formulated four overarching principles (OPs), 8 points to consider (PtC) (table 1) and a research agenda (online supplemental table S1). Every TF member indicated agreement with a PtC or OP by formal voting (yes/no/abstain) during the second meeting and then anonymously scored their level of agreement (Numerical Rating Scale ranging from 0=‘no agreement’ to 10=‘absolute agreement’) after the meeting in a subsequent email round. The OPs and PtC focused on the preferences of young patients with IA regarding the value of PROMs for shared decision making and monitoring, their content and mode of administration.

These EULAR PtC provide the first guidance to optimise the use of available PROMs for young people with IA. They should also serve as a companion for clinicians and researchers in rheumatology practice, and the relevant stakeholders when developing new PROMs and modifying existing PROMs. The OPs formulated by the TF underpin the value of PROMs as key elements to assess the impact of IA on the daily life of young people with IA, to aid the shared decision-making process. The PtC encompass several areas such as information/education on PROMs, their purpose and the use of their results (PtC 1–3), daily life activities relevant to young people (PtC 4–6) and the use of technology for health-related purposes (PtC 8). Some PtC might be applicable to young patients and to patients aged above 35 years. However, despite these potential similarities, the PtC presented were developed from the needs and priorities identified by young people with IA regarding PROMs. Therefore, clinicians and researchers should strive to consider and embed the perspective of young people in the development of PROMS, to pave the way for a more inclusive, individualised and equal assessment of health, disease activity and well-being.

In summary, these are the first PtC for the use of PROMs in young patients with IA based on their own perspectives. We believe that the optimisation and harmonisation of PROMs used in daily practice could strengthen the relationship between patients and healthcare providers, facilitating shared decision making.
Table 1 Overarching principles and points to consider for including the perspective of young patients with IA into PROMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overarching principles</th>
<th>LoA Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Points to consider</th>
<th>LoE</th>
<th>Strength of recommendation</th>
<th>LoA Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A  IA has a considerable impact on all aspects of the life of young people, and PROMs are useful to measure part of this impact</td>
<td>9.69 (±0.63), 100%≥8</td>
<td>1  Young people with IA should be informed about the purpose and relevance of PROMs.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.85 (0.37), 100%≥8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B  The value of PROMs is optimised when young people with IA are informed and empowered</td>
<td>9.92 (0.28), 100%≥8</td>
<td>2  Young people with IA should have the possibility to access their personal PROM data.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.31 (1.18), 85%≥8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C  PROMs are useful when integrated in the communication between young people with IA and rheumatologists/other health professionals who are involved in their care.</td>
<td>9.77 (0.44), 100%≥8</td>
<td>3  Healthcare providers and young people with IA should discuss the results of PROMs and integrate them into shared decision making.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.78 (0.44), 100%≥8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D  PROMs inform shared decision-making for young people with IA.</td>
<td>9.31 (0.18), 92%≥8</td>
<td>4  Different PROMs assess various domains and should therefore be used to cover a broad spectrum of the disease.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.15 (1.82), 85%≥8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Points to consider LoE Strength of recommendation LoA Mean (SD)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>9.46 (1.20), 92%≥8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.46 (1.05), 92%≥8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Online e-solutions for PROMs should be used when possible and convenient for young people with IA.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>9.92 (0.28), 100%≥8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in the column ‘LoA’ indicate the mean (SD) of the LoA, and the percentage of task force members with a LoA of at least 8 (0–10); based on the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine classification IA, inflammatory arthritis; LoA, level of agreement; LoE, level of evidence; PROMs, patient-reported outcome measures.

making, and ultimately, the quality and experience of care for young people with IA.
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