

Settling a question about Pythagorean triples

Citation for published version (APA):

Verhoeff, T. (1988). *Settling a question about Pythagorean triples*. (Computing science notes; Vol. 8803). Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1988

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

88.03
ARD

01

CSN

88.03

Eindhoven University of Technology

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science
Computing Science Section

Computing Science Notes

Settling a Question about Pythagorean Triples

by

Tom Verhoeff

88/03

Settling a Question about Pythagorean Triples

by
Tom Verhoeff

88/03

February 1988

COMPUTING SCIENCE NOTES

This is a series of notes of the Computing Science Section of the Department of Mathematics and Computing Science of Eindhoven University of Technology.

Since many of these notes are preliminary versions or may be published elsewhere, they have a limited distribution only and are not for review.

Copies of these notes are available from the author or the editor.

Eindhoven University of Technology
Department of Mathematics and Computing Science
P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven
The Netherlands
All rights reserved
editor: F.A.J. van Neerven

Settling a Question about Pythagorean Triples

TOM VERHOEFF

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science
Eindhoven University of Technology
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
E-Mail address: mcvox.UUCP!eutrc3!wstomv

February 1988

ABSTRACT

Do there exist two right-angled triangles with integer length sides that have the lengths of exactly two sides in common? We show by elementary means that the answer is: 'No'. The question turns out to be equivalent to a couple of well-known (and solved) problems in Number Theory.

THE PROBLEM

All variables in this note range over the positive integers (that excludes zero). The triple (a, b, c) is called *Pythagorean* when

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2. \tag{0}$$

This condition can also be interpreted as expressing that the triangle with sides of lengths a , b , and c has a right angle. The question is:

Do there exist Pythagorean triples with exactly *two* elements in common, when interpreted as sets?

By examining the following list of Pythagorean triples it is clear that no element in common or a single element in common is quite possible (the latter even in "many ways").

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (3, 4, 5) & (5, 12, 13) & (6, 8, 10) & (8, 15, 17) \\ (9, 12, 15) & (9, 40, 41) & (16, 63, 65) & (33, 56, 65) \end{array}$$

THE ANALYSIS OF PYTHAGOREAN TRIPLES

Before settling the above question in the negative we present the well-known analysis of Pythagorean triples, which gives a parameterized solution of (0). We use the following notations:

$d \mid x$ means d is a positive divisor of x

$x \text{ gcd } y$ is the greatest common divisor of x and y

$x \bmod d$ is the remainder of x divided by d

We recall a couple of important elementary properties:

$$d \mid x \wedge d \mid y \Rightarrow d \mid (x+y) \tag{1}$$

$$d \mid (x \text{ gcd } y) \equiv d \mid x \wedge d \mid y$$

$$x \mid y \equiv x \text{ gcd } y = x$$

$$x \text{ gcd } y = y \text{ gcd } x \tag{symmetry}$$

$$(x \text{ gcd } y) \text{ gcd } z = x \text{ gcd } (y \text{ gcd } z) \tag{associativity}$$

$$x(y \text{ gcd } z) = xy \text{ gcd } xz \tag{distribution}$$

$$x \mid x \wedge x \text{ gcd } x = x \tag{idempotence}$$

$$1 \mid x \wedge 1 \text{ gcd } x = 1$$

When $x \text{ gcd } y = 1$, we call x and y *coprime*. As instructive examples we derive the following two lemmas.

Lemma 0

If x and y are coprime, then so are x and $y \text{ gcd } z$ for any z .

Proof Assuming $x \text{ gcd } y = 1$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & x \text{ gcd } (y \text{ gcd } z) \\ = & \quad \{ \text{associativity} \} \\ & (x \text{ gcd } y) \text{ gcd } z \\ = & \quad \{ \text{assumption} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 \text{ gcd } z \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{property} \} \\
 & 1
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1

If x and y are coprime and $xy = z^2$, then $x = (x \text{ gcd } z)^2$ and $y = (y \text{ gcd } z)^2$.

Proof Assuming $x \text{ gcd } y = 1$ and $xy = z^2$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x \text{ gcd } z)^2 \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{three times distribution} \} \\
 & (x^2 \text{ gcd } zx) \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{associativity} \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } ((zx \text{ gcd } xz) \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{idempotence, using } zx = xz \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{assumption } xy = z^2 \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } xy) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{twice distribution} \} \\
 & x(x \text{ gcd } (z \text{ gcd } y)) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{Lemma 0, using symmetry and assumption } x \text{ gcd } y = 1 \} \\
 & x
 \end{aligned}$$

Because of the symmetry in the statement we also have $y = (y \text{ gcd } z)^2$.

□

Let us now proceed with the analysis of our "generic" Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) . Obviously, we have $a < c$ and $b < c$. Furthermore, from (0) and the fact that x^2 has an even number of factors 2, follows that $a \neq b$. Hence, the three numbers in a Pythagorean triple are distinct. Observe that (b, a, c) is also Pythagorean. Therefore, a set of three positive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 \text{ gcd } z \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{property} \} \\
 & 1
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1

If x and y are coprime and $xy = z^2$, then $x = (x \text{ gcd } z)^2$ and $y = (y \text{ gcd } z)^2$.

Proof Assuming $x \text{ gcd } y = 1$ and $xy = z^2$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x \text{ gcd } z)^2 \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{three times distribution} \} \\
 & (x^2 \text{ gcd } zx) \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{associativity} \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } ((zx \text{ gcd } xz) \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{idempotence, using } zx = xz \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } z^2) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{assumption } xy = z^2 \} \\
 & x^2 \text{ gcd } (xz \text{ gcd } xy) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{twice distribution} \} \\
 & x(x \text{ gcd } (z \text{ gcd } y)) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{Lemma 0, using symmetry and assumption } x \text{ gcd } y = 1 \} \\
 & x
 \end{aligned}$$

Because of the symmetry in the statement we also have $y = (y \text{ gcd } z)^2$.

□

Let us now proceed with the analysis of our "generic" Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) . Obviously, we have $a < c$ and $b < c$. Furthermore, from (0) and the fact that x^2 has an even number of factors 2, follows that $a \neq b$. Hence, the three numbers in a Pythagorean triple are distinct. Observe that (b, a, c) is also Pythagorean. Therefore, a set of three positive

integers defines zero or two (essentially equivalent) Pythagorean triples.

A Pythagorean triple is called *primitive* when one is their only common divisor, that is, when their **gcd** equals 1. A common divisor of any two of a , b , and c , however, is by virtue of (0) also a divisor of the third element. So in a primitive triple all pairs are coprime. Observing that (da, db, dc) is also Pythagorean, it appears to be sufficient to study the primitive Pythagorean triples. We now assume that our triple is primitive.

We argue that exactly one of a and b is even (hence, the other odd). For that purpose we use

Lemma 2

If x is even, then $x^2 \bmod 4 = x^2 \bmod 2 = 0$;

if x is odd, then $x^2 \bmod 8 = x^2 \bmod 4 = x^2 \bmod 2 = 1$.

Proof If $x = 2y$ we have

$$x^2 = (2y)^2 = 4y^2,$$

and if $x = 2y + 1$ we obtain

$$x^2 = (2y + 1)^2 = 4y^2 + 4y + 1 = 4y(y + 1) + 1,$$

observing that one of y and $y + 1$ is even.

□

Not both a and b are even, since they are coprime. If they were both odd, then on account of (0) and Lemma 2 we would have $1 + 1 = c^2 \bmod 4$, but this is impossible by Lemma 2. Thus, one of a and b is odd and the other is even and, hence, c is odd. Without loss of generality, assume that b is even, say $b = 2k$ (not necessarily $a < b$). Since a and c are now both odd, we can define integers u and v by

$$u = (c + a)/2,$$

$$v = (c - a)/2.$$

Using that a and c are coprime and applying

Lemma 3

If x and y are both odd and $x > y$, then $x \text{ gcd } y = w \text{ gcd } z$, where $w = (x + y)/2$ and $z = (x - y)/2$.

Proof Use $w+z = x$, $w-z = y$ and (1).

□

we see that u and v are also coprime. Furthermore, we have

$$4k^2 = b^2 = c^2 - a^2 = (c+a)(c-a) = 4uv.$$

From $k^2 = uv$ and $u \text{ gcd } v = 1$ follows, by Lemma 1, that both u and v are squares, say $u = m^2$ and $v = n^2$. Thus, every primitive solution of (0) with even b can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} a = u - v = m^2 - n^2 \\ b = 2\sqrt{uv} = 2mn \\ c = u + v = m^2 + n^2, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} m = \frac{b}{2} \text{gcd} \frac{c+a}{2} \\ n = \frac{b}{2} \text{gcd} \frac{c-a}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By their construction these m and n satisfy

$$\begin{cases} m > n \\ m \text{ gcd } n = 1 \\ m - n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Conversely, every pair m and n satisfying (3) yields via (2) a primitive Pythagorean triple with even b . We leave this as an exercise to the reader. As is readily verified, the general solution (with even b) of (0) is now given by

$$\begin{cases} a = d(m^2 - n^2) \\ b = 2dmn \\ c = d(m^2 + n^2). \end{cases} \quad \text{for } m > n \quad (4)$$

This concludes our analysis of individual Pythagorean triples. Before embarking on the final solution it is useful to include two more lemmas.

Lemma 4

If x and y are coprime and $d \mid xy$, then $d = (d \text{ gcd } x)(d \text{ gcd } y)$.

Proof Assuming $x \text{ gcd } y = 1$ and $d \mid xy$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (d \text{ gcd } x)(d \text{ gcd } y) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{three times distribution} \} \\
 & (d^2 \text{ gcd } xd) \text{ gcd } (dy \text{ gcd } xy) \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{associativity, and } xd = dx \} \\
 & (d^2 \text{ gcd } (dx \text{ gcd } dy)) \text{ gcd } xy \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{twice distribution} \} \\
 & d(d \text{ gcd } (x \text{ gcd } y)) \text{ gcd } xy \\
 = & \quad \{ x \text{ gcd } y = 1 \text{ assumed} \} \\
 & d(d \text{ gcd } 1) \text{ gcd } xy \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{property} \} \\
 & d \text{ gcd } xy \\
 = & \quad \{ \text{property, using assumption } d \mid xy \} \\
 & d
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5

If x and v are coprime, y and u are coprime, and $xy = uv$, then $x = u$ and $y = v$.

Proof Assuming $x \text{ gcd } v = 1$ and $xy = uv$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 = y \text{ gcd } u \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{arithmetic } (x \neq 0 \text{ by convention}) \} \\
 & x = x(y \text{ gcd } u) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{distribution} \} \\
 & x = xy \text{ gcd } xu \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ xy = uv \text{ assumed} \} \\
 & x = uv \text{ gcd } xu
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \quad \{ \text{distribution, using } xu = ux \}$$

$$x = u(v \operatorname{gcd} x)$$

$$\equiv \quad \{ x \operatorname{gcd} v = 1 \text{ assumed} \}$$

$$x = u$$

$$\equiv \quad \{ xy = uv \text{ assumed} \}$$

$$y = v$$

□

In a similar vein one can prove results like: x and y are coprime if and only if x^2 and y^2 are coprime. This result is tacitly used below (to keep you alert).

THE SOLUTION

We now address the question stated earlier: Are there Pythagorean triples that have exactly two elements in common? We shall show that no such triples exist by the method of *infinite descent* due to Fermat. This can be translated into a proof by mathematical induction if one prefers so.

First, however, we superficially analyze the relationship between two such desired triples. If they have their smallest two elements in common, then they have equal largest elements as well and, hence, the triples are equal (as sets, that is). If they have the same largest element and one of the others in common, then they are also equal (as sets). Therefore, the only case to be examined further is two triples where the largest of one is among the smallest two of the other. That is, we consider two triples (a, b, c) and (b, c, d) with

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + b^2 = c^2 \\ b^2 + c^2 = d^2 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

(not necessarily $a < b$). Since this relation implies

$$a \operatorname{gcd} b \operatorname{gcd} c = b \operatorname{gcd} c \operatorname{gcd} d,$$

we may assume without loss of generality that both triples are primitive. In that case our preceding analysis has shown that exactly one of a and b , and exactly one of b and c are even; therefore, b is even. That is, a pair of primitive candidate triples must have the

same even element. We shall construct another pair of primitive Pythagorean triples with exactly two elements in common, but which have a smaller common even element than the original pair. Now recall that the elements are positive. So, according to the principle of infinite descent there do not exist such Pythagorean triples with exactly two elements in common.

Because the Pythagorean triples (a, b, c) and (b, c, d) are primitive, they are generated by pairs m, n and k, l respectively. We have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m > n & & k > l \\
 m \text{ gcd } n = 1 & & k \text{ gcd } l = 1 \\
 m-n \text{ odd} & & k-l \text{ odd} \\
 a = m^2 - n^2 & & & (6) \\
 b = 2mn & & b = 2kl \\
 c = m^2 + n^2 & & c = k^2 - l^2 \\
 & & d = k^2 + l^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating b and c by combining their expressions yields

$$kl = mn \tag{7}$$

$$k^2 = l^2 + m^2 + n^2 \tag{8}$$

We define $e, f, g,$ and h by

$$e = l \text{ gcd } m$$

$$f = l \text{ gcd } n$$

$$g = k \text{ gcd } m$$

$$h = k \text{ gcd } n$$

From (6) and Lemma 0 follows that $e, f, g,$ and h are pairwise coprime. From (6) and Lemma 4, using (7), we obtain

$$k = gh$$

$$l = ef$$

$$m = eg$$

$$n = fh$$

Substituting these results in (8) yields

$$(gh)^2 = (ef)^2 + (eg)^2 + (fh)^2$$

or, equivalently,

$$g^2h^2 = e^2f^2 + e^2g^2 + f^2h^2. \quad (9)$$

Taking (8) modulo 8, using Lemma 2 and the restrictions on the parity of $k, l, m,$ and n from (6), tells us that k is odd (since k even would imply $0 = 1+1+0$ or $0 = 1+1+4$). Then both g and h are odd and, furthermore, exactly one of e and f is even (and one of m and n is even). Assume that f is even; the other case is completely analogous. In that case e is odd and, therefore, h^2+e^2 and h^2-e^2 are even. So (9) can be rewritten as

$$g^2\left(\frac{h^2-e^2}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{h^2+e^2}{2}\right)f^2. \quad (10)$$

Recalling that $e, f, g,$ and h are pairwise coprime and observing that $(h^2+e^2)/2$ and $(h^2-e^2)/2$ are coprime on account of Lemma 3, we can apply Lemma 5 to (10) giving

$$g^2 = (h^2+e^2)/2,$$

$$f^2 = (h^2-e^2)/2.$$

Subtracting and adding these equations yields

$$e^2 + f^2 = g^2,$$

$$f^2 + g^2 = h^2.$$

Thus (e, f, g) and (f, g, h) constitute another pair of primitive Pythagorean triples with exactly two elements in common, and for the even element f we have $f < 2efgh = b$.

This concludes the nonexistence proof.

EQUIVALENT PROBLEMS

We mention several equivalent formulations of our problem as expressed in (5). For instance, by isolating b^2 , equation system (5) can also be written as

$$c^2 - a^2 = d^2 - c^2 = b^2.$$

Put in this way the question is: Can you find three squares (a^2 , c^2 , and d^2) in *Arithmetic Progression*, under the additional constraint that the common difference (i.e., additive constant) is itself also a square (b^2)? Fermat posed this problem in 1636 (cf. [0], p. 435).

Another formulation directly derived from (5) is

$$\begin{cases} c^2 - b^2 = a^2 \\ c^2 + b^2 = d^2 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

This asks whether it is possible to make the expressions $c^2 - b^2$ and $c^2 + b^2$ both squares (using the same values for b and c in both expressions). Euler called two expressions *concordant forms* if they could be made squares simultaneously (cf. [0], p. 473). So our problem could be stated as: Are $c^2 - b^2$ and $c^2 + b^2$ concordant forms?

The equation system (11) has been generalized. Positive integer k is called a *congruent number* when

$$\begin{cases} c^2 - kb^2 = a^2 \\ d^2 + kb^2 = d^2 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

has non-trivial solutions. Our problem, therefore, translates into: Is 1 a congruent number? Bouwkamp [1] explained to me that congruent numbers are still not completely understood. For instance, he has designed an algorithm that enumerates all congruent numbers, but in a haphazard order. It is not known, in general, how to decide whether a number is congruent.

The transformation

$$\begin{cases} a = x - y \\ b = 2z \\ c = x + y \end{cases}$$

gives a one-to-one correspondence between solutions of (12) and

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 = c^2 \\ xy/2 = kz^2 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Therefore, k is a congruent number if and only if there exists an integer right triangle, the area of which is k times a square. Thus, our problem can be paraphrased as: Is the area of an integer right triangle ever a square? Fermat explicitly solved this version of our

problem. In fact, it is said to be "the only instance of a detailed proof left by him" (cf. [0], p. 615). The proof is, of course, by infinite descent, and is, for example, presented in [0] (p. 615), [2] (Ch. 8-6), and [3] (Ch. II, §X). According to [3] (p. 14) this was one of Fermat's major discoveries. We were not aware of the connection with this version of the problem until after our proof was found.

The last restatement that we give of our problem derives from (11) using Lemma 4: Has the equation

$$c^4 - b^4 = x^2$$

non-trivial solutions? That is, can the hypotenuse and another side of an integer right triangle both have square lengths?

We have not been able to locate our version of the problem in the literature.

REFERENCES

- [0] L.E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. II (Diophantine Analysis), New York: Chelsea Publishing Company, 1966.
- [1] C.J. Bouwkamp (Eindhoven University of Technology), private communication.
- [2] O. Ore, *Number Theory and its History*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1948.
- [3] A. Weil, *Number Theory: An Approach through History; From Hammurapi to Legendre*, Boston: Birkhäuser, 1984.

In this series appeared :

<u>No.</u>	<u>Author(s)</u>	<u>Title</u>
85/01	R.H. Mak	The formal specification and derivation of CMOS-circuits
85/02	W.M.C.J. van Overveld	On arithmetic operations with M-out-of-N-codes
85/03	W.J.M. Lemmens	Use of a computer for evaluation of flow films
85/04	T. Verhoeff H.M.J.L. Schols	Delay insensitive directed trace structures satisfy the foam rubber wrapper postulate
86/01	R. Koymans	Specifying message passing and real-time systems
86/02	G.A. Bussing K.M. van Hee M. Voorhoeve	ELISA, A language for formal specifications of information systems
86/03	Rob Hoogerwoord	Some reflections on the implementation of trace structures
86/04	G.J. Houben J. Paredaens K.M. van Hee	The partition of an information system in several parallel systems
86/05	Jan L.G. Dietz Kees M. van Hee	A framework for the conceptual modeling of discrete dynamic systems
86/06	Tom Verhoeff	Nondeterminism and divergence created by concealment in CSP
86/07	R. Gerth L. Shira	On proving communication closedness of distributed layers

- | | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 86/08 | R. Koymans
R.K. Shyamasundar
W.P. de Roever
R. Gerth
S. Arum Kumar | Compositional semantics for real-time distributed computing (Inf. & Control 1987) |
| 86/09 | C. Huizing
R. Gerth
W.P. de Roever | Full abstraction of a real-time denotational semantics for an OCCAM-like language |
| 86/10 | J. Hooman | A compositional proof theory for real-time distributed message passing |
| 86/11 | W.P. de Roever | Questions to Robin Milner - A responders commentary (IFIP86) |
| 86/12 | A. Boucher
R. Gerth | A timed failures model for extended communicating processes |
| 86/13 | R. Gerth
W.P. de Roever | Proving monitors revisited: a first step towards verifying object oriented systems (Fund. Informatica IX-4) |
| 86/14 | R. Koymans | Specifying passing systems requires extending temporal logic |
| 87/01 | R. Gerth | On the existence of a sound and complete axiomatizations of the monitor concept |
| 87/02 | Simon J. Klaver
Chris F.M. Verberne | Federatieve Databases |
| 87/03 | G.J. Houben
J. Paredaens | A formal approach to distributed information systems |
| 87/04 | T. Verhoeff | Delay-insensitive codes -
An overview |
| 87/05 | R. Kuiper | Enforcing non-determinism via linear time temporal logic specification |

- | | | |
|-------|---|--|
| 87/06 | R. Koymans | Temporele logica specificatie van message passing en real-time systemen (in Dutch) |
| 87/07 | R. Koymans | Specifying message passing and real-time systems with real-time temporal logic |
| 87/08 | H.M.J.L. Schols | The maximum number of states after projection |
| 87/09 | J. Kalisvaart
L.R.A. Kessener
W.J.M. Lemmens
M.L.P van Lierop
F.J. Peters
H.M.M. van de Wetering | Language extensions to study structures for raster graphics |
| 87/10 | T. Verhoeff | Three families of maximally nondeterministic automata |
| 87/11 | P. Lemmens | Eldorado ins and outs.
Specifications of a data base management toolkit according to the functional model |
| 87/12 | K.M. van Hee
A. Lapinski | OR and AI approaches to decision support systems |
| 87/13 | J. van der Woude | Playing with patterns, searching for strings |
| 87/14 | J. Hooman | A compositional proof system for an occam-like real-time language |
| 87/15 | G. Huizing
R. Gerth
W.P. de Roever | A compositional semantics for statecharts |
| 87/16 | H.M.M. ten Eikelder
J.C.F. Wilmont | Normal forms for a class of formulas |
| 87/17 | K.M. van Hee
G.J. Houben
J.L.G. Dietz | Modelling of discrete dynamic systems framework and examples |

- 87/18 C.W.A.M. van Overveld An integer algorithm for rendering curved surfaces
- 87/19 A.J. Seebregts Optimalisering van file allocatie in gedistribueerde database systemen
- 87/20 G.J. Houben
J. Paredaens The R^2 -Algebra: An extension of an algebra for nested relations
- 87/21 R. Gerth
M. Codish
Y. Lichtenstein
E. Shapiro Fully abstract denotational semantics for concurrent PROLOG
- 88/01 T. Verhoeff A Parallel Program That Generates the Möbius Sequence
- 88/02 K.M. van Hee
G.J. Houben
L.J. Somers
M. Voorhoeve Executable Specification for Information Systems
- 88/03 T. Verhoeff Settling a Question about Pythagorean Triples