

On the Hermitean product of ordered point sets on the unit circle

Citation for published version (APA):

Albada, van, P. J., Laman, G., & van Lint, J. H. (1960). On the Hermitean product of ordered point sets on the unit circle. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 7(3), 245-250. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028998435>

DOI:

[10.1307/mmj/1028998435](https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028998435)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1960

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

ON THE HERMITEAN PRODUCT OF ORDERED POINT SETS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

P. J. van Albada, G. Laman, and J. H. van Lint

1. INTRODUCTION

The following proposition was suggested to us by V. L. Klee, who described it as a conjecture of C. J. Titus and J. L. Ullman.

$$(1.1) \text{ If } \quad 0 \leq \phi_j < 2\pi \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$0 \leq \psi_j < 2\pi \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\phi_j < \phi_{j+1}, \psi_j < \psi_{j+1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\phi_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\psi_j} = 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} \neq 0.$$

In Section 2, we prove the conjecture, reducing the problem to the case where $\phi_j \geq \psi_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. That this can be done was suggested to us by N. H. Kuiper, who proved it independently. In Section 3, we consider the continuous analogue of the problem. In Section 4, we see that if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, the sum considered in Section 1 has 0 as g.l.b., and that the g.l.b. of the sum is positive if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We compute a lower bound for the sum, but do not prove that the value found really is the g.l.b. of $|\sum e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)}|$.

2. PROOF OF THE CONJECTURE

We first prove the following theorem.

$$(2.1) \text{ If } \quad 0 = \phi_1 < \phi_2 < \dots < \phi_n < 2\pi, \quad 0 = \psi_1 < \psi_2 < \dots < \psi_n < 2\pi,$$

$$\phi_j \geq \psi_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\phi_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\psi_j} = 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} \neq 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} &= \Im \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} - \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\phi_j} + \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\psi_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \{ \sin(\phi_j - \psi_j) - \sin \phi_j + \sin \psi_j \} \\ &= 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \sin \frac{\phi_j}{2} \sin \frac{\psi_j}{2} \sin \frac{\phi_j - \psi_j}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In this sum, the first term is 0 and the following terms are nonnegative. Equality occurs only if $\phi_j = \psi_j$. We have proved that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} \text{ is real if and only if } \phi_j = \psi_j \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\text{in which case } \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} = n.$$

We now prove the conjecture (1.1) stated in the Introduction. We have

$$0 < \phi_1 < \phi_2 < \dots < \phi_n < 2\pi, \quad 0 < \psi_1 < \psi_2 < \dots < \psi_n < 2\pi.$$

Suppose $\min_j (\phi_j - \psi_j) = -\beta$. Then for some index k we have

$$\phi_k - \psi_k = -\beta, \quad \psi_k = \gamma.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \phi_k + \beta - \gamma &< \phi_{k+1} + \beta - \gamma < \dots < \phi_n + \beta - \gamma < \phi_1 + 2\pi + \beta - \gamma < \dots \\ &< \phi_{k-1} + 2\pi + \beta - \gamma < 2\pi. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 = \psi_k - \gamma < \psi_{k+1} - \gamma < \dots < \psi_n - \gamma < \psi_1 + 2\pi - \gamma < \dots < \psi_{k-1} + 2\pi - \gamma < 2\pi.$$

If we call the terms in these two series of inequalities α_j and β_j , respectively, we have

$$0 = \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < 2\pi, \quad 0 = \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_n < 2\pi,$$

$$\alpha_j - \beta_j = \phi_{\ell_j} - \psi_{\ell_j} + \beta \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\alpha_j} = e^{i(\beta-\gamma)} \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{i\phi_\ell} = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i\beta_j} = e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{i\psi_\ell} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta_j)} = e^{i\beta} \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{i(\phi_\ell - \psi_\ell)}.$$

By (2.1), we have $\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\alpha_j - \beta_j)} \neq 0$ and hence $\sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{i(\phi_\ell - \psi_\ell)} \neq 0$, which proves the conjecture.

3. GENERALIZATION TO SEMICONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

We now give a generalization of Theorem (1.1).

(3.1) *If ϕ and ψ are two monotonic right-continuous functions defined for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ for which $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 2\pi$, and $\int_0^1 e^{i\phi(t)} dt = \int_0^1 e^{i\psi(t)} dt = 0$, then $\int_0^1 e^{i\{\phi(t) - \psi(t)\}} dt = 0$ if and only if $\phi(t), \psi(t)$ is one of the following pairs of functions:*

$$\phi_1(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{4}, \\ \pi & \text{for } \frac{1}{4} \leq t < \frac{3}{4}, \\ 2\pi & \text{for } \frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad \psi_1(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2} \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi), \\ \pi + \alpha & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_2(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < T \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ \pi & \text{for } T \leq t < T + \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2\pi & \text{for } T + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad \psi_2(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < T + \frac{1}{4}, \\ \pi & \text{for } T + \frac{1}{4} \leq t < T + \frac{3}{4}, \\ 2\pi & \text{for } T + \frac{3}{4} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

(of course, it does not matter which of the two functions we call ϕ and which ψ).

Proof. Suppose $\inf \{\phi(t) - \psi(t)\} = -\beta$. Then for some t_0 we have

$$\phi(t_0) - \psi(t_0) = -\beta \quad \text{or} \quad \phi(t_0 - 0) - \psi(t_0 - 0) = -\beta.$$

In the first case take $\gamma = \psi(t_0)$, in the second case, $\gamma = \psi(t_0 - 0)$. Consider the functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ defined in the following way:

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} \phi(t_0 + t) + \beta - \gamma & \text{for } 0 \leq t < 1 - t_0, \\ \phi(t + t_0 - 1) + 2\pi + \beta - \gamma & \text{for } 1 - t_0 \leq t < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(1) = \phi(t_0 - 0) + 2\pi + \beta - \gamma,$$

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t_0 + t) - \gamma & \text{for } 0 \leq t < 1 - t_0, \\ \psi(t + t_0 - 1) + 2\pi - \gamma & \text{for } 1 - t_0 \leq t < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(1) = \psi(t_0 - 0) + 2\pi - \gamma.$$

The functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ satisfy the same conditions as $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ in (3.1), and also $\alpha(t) \geq \beta(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Furthermore $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ or $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 2\pi$.

Suppose $\int_0^1 e^{i\{\phi(t) - \psi(t)\}} dt = 0$. Then we have

$$\int_0^1 e^{i\{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)\}} dt = e^{i\beta} \int_0^1 e^{i\{\phi(t) - \psi(t)\}} dt = 0.$$

In the same way as in Section 2, we find

$$(a) \quad \int_0^1 \sin \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} \sin \frac{\beta(t)}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)}{2} dt = 0.$$

A consequence of (a) is that there must exist numbers $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4$ with $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \tau_3 \leq \tau_4 \leq 1$ such that

$$(b) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \alpha(t) = \beta(t) = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \tau_1, \\ \alpha(t) > 0, \beta(t) = 0 & \text{for } \tau_1 \leq t < \tau_2, \\ \alpha(t) = \beta(t) & \text{for } \tau_2 \leq t < \tau_3, \\ \alpha(t) = 2\pi, \beta(t) < 2\pi & \text{for } \tau_3 \leq t < \tau_4, \\ \alpha(t) = \beta(t) = 2\pi & \text{for } \tau_4 \leq t < 1. \end{array} \right.$$

Now, if $\tau_2 = \tau_3$, we have a contradiction from this relation,

$$1 = \int_0^1 [1 + \cos \{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)\} - \cos \alpha(t) - \cos \beta(t)] dt$$

$$= 4 \int_0^1 \sin \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} \sin \frac{\beta(t)}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)}{2} dt = 0.$$

Hence $\tau_2 < \tau_3$.

Since $\int_0^1 \sin \alpha(t) dt = \int_0^1 \sin \beta(t) dt = 0$, we see that

$$\alpha(\tau_2) = \beta(\tau_2) \leq \pi \leq \alpha(\tau_3 - 0) = \beta(\tau_3 - 0).$$

Using (a) and (b), we find that

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \sin \alpha(t) dt = \int_{\tau_3}^{\tau_4} \sin \beta(t) dt,$$

and this is possible only if $\alpha(t) = \pi$ for $\tau_1 \leq t < \tau_3$ and $\beta(t) = \pi$ for $\tau_2 \leq t < \tau_4$. Since

$$\int_0^1 \cos \alpha(t) dt = \int_0^1 \cos \beta(t) dt = \int_0^1 \cos \{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)\} dt = 0,$$

we must have $\tau_2 - \tau_1 = \tau_3 - \tau_2 = \tau_4 - \tau_3 = \frac{1}{4}$. Returning to $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$, we find the result stated in Theorem (3.1).

4. THE g.l.b. OF $\left| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} \right|$

Now that we know that $\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)} \neq 0$ for the ordered sequences $\{\phi_j\}$ and $\{\psi_j\}$, we consider it of interest to find the greatest lower bound m_n of the absolute value of this sum. The sum is a function of $2n$ variables which are subject to the constraints stated in (1.1). Treating the problem with Lagrange multipliers does not lead to results. The minimal value we seek is to be found on the boundary of the region we consider. To every set $\{\phi_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) and $\{\psi_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) correspond two step functions ϕ and ψ belonging to the class of functions considered in Section 3. We now take these ϕ_j and ψ_j in such a way that their step functions correspond as closely as possible to the two functions ϕ and ψ of Section 3, for

which $\int_0^1 e^{i(\phi - \psi)} dt = 0$.

A consequence of Section 3 is that m_n can only be 0 if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If $n = 4k$, take

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \dots = \phi_k = 0; \quad \phi_{k+1} = \dots = \phi_{3k} = \pi; \quad \phi_{3k+1} = \dots = \phi_{4k} = 2\pi,$$

$$\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \dots = \psi_{2k} = 0; \quad \psi_{2k+1} = \dots = \psi_{4k} = \pi.$$

Then $m_n = 0$.

For other values of n we find the following estimates for m_n :

$n = 4k + 2$: Let

$$\phi_1 = \dots = \phi_{k+1} = 0; \quad \phi_{k+2} = \dots = \phi_{3k+2} = \pi; \quad \phi_{3k+3} = \dots = \phi_{4k+2} = 2\pi,$$

$$\psi_1 = \dots = \psi_{2k+1} = 0; \quad \psi_{2k+2} = \dots = \psi_{4k+2} = \pi.$$

Then $\Sigma = 2$.

$n = 4k + 1$: Let

$$\phi_1 = \dots = \phi_k = 0; \quad \phi_{k+1} = \dots = \phi_{3k} = \pi - \arccos\left(1 - \frac{1}{8k^2}\right);$$

$$\phi_{3k+1} = \pi + \arccos\frac{1}{4k}; \quad \phi_{3k+2} = \dots = \phi_{4k+1} = 2\pi;$$

$$\psi_1 = \dots = \psi_{2k} = 0; \quad \psi_{2k+1} = \dots = \psi_{4k} = \pi - \arccos\left(1 - \frac{1}{8k^2}\right);$$

$$\psi_{4k+1} = \pi + \arccos\frac{1}{4k}.$$

$$\text{Then } |\Sigma| = \frac{4k+1}{4k} \left(5 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left(5 - \frac{4}{n-1}\right)^{1/2}.$$

$n = 4k + 3$: Let

$$\phi_1 = \dots = \phi_{k+1} = 0; \quad \phi_{k+2} = \dots = \phi_{3k+2} = \pi - \arccos\left(1 - \frac{1}{8\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}\right);$$

$$\phi_{3k+3} = \pi + \arccos\frac{1}{4k+2}; \quad \phi_{3k+4} = \dots = \phi_{4k+3} = 2\pi;$$

$$\psi_1 = \dots = \psi_{2k+1} = 0; \quad \psi_{2k+2} = \dots = \psi_{4k+2} = \pi - \arccos\left(1 - \frac{1}{8\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}\right);$$

$$\psi_{4k+3} = \pi + \arccos\frac{1}{4k+2}.$$

$$\text{Then } |\Sigma| = \frac{4k+3}{4k+2} \left(5 - \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left(5 - \frac{4}{(n-1)^2}\right)^{1/2}.$$

We did not succeed in proving that these values are the minimal values we seek, although this seems very probable and may well be easy to prove. So we have

(4.1) *The greatest lower bound of $|\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)}|$, where ϕ_j and ψ_j satisfy the conditions of (1.1), is 0 if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

(4.2) CONJECTURE. *If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $|\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)}| > 2$, and if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $|\sum_{j=1}^n e^{i(\phi_j - \psi_j)}| > \sqrt{5}$, if ϕ_j and ψ_j satisfy the conditions of (1.1).*