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A droplet that moves on a solid substrate with a velocity higher than a certain critical velocity disintegrates, i.e., leaves behind residual droplets. Infrared laser illumination can be used to increase the droplet mobility and suppress the shedding of droplets. By means of two-dimensional numerical simulations, we studied the effect of a non-uniform temperature distribution on the dynamics of straight receding contact lines. A streamfunction-vorticity model is used to describe the liquid flow in the vicinity of the receding contact line. The model takes into account the thermocapillary shear stress and the temperature-dependent liquid viscosity and density. A second, coupled model describes the laser-induced displacement of the contact line. Our results show that the reduction of the liquid viscosity with increasing temperature is the dominant mechanism for the increase of the critical velocity. Thermocapillary shear stresses are important primarily for low substrate speeds. © 2016 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4941438]

I. INTRODUCTION

The motion of droplets moving along solid surfaces is important for many technological applications. Usually, a high droplet mobility is desirable, which can be increased in various ways. Ogawa et al. and many others rendered substrates superhydrophobic. Similar to lotus leaves, the liquid-solid interfacial area is substantially reduced, thereby only few degrees of inclination are sufficient to make a droplet slide.

A prominent application is self-cleaning surface coatings.

Smith et al. impregnated topologically patterned surfaces with lubricant oils in order to enhance the droplet mobility. Other techniques for droplet mobilization include substrate vibrations or A.C. electrowetting. Many authors have studied droplet actuation by means of thermocapillary stresses as a consequence of localized heating and specifically the effect of thermocapillary stresses on the dynamics of the moving contact lines. If either the driving force or the imposed speed exceeds a critical limit, commonly residual liquid is left behind on the substrate. Our study is motivated by immersion lithography, where the occurrence of such residual liquid is undesirable.

In this manuscript, we study the increase of mobility of a stationary contact line on a moving, partially wetting substrate by means of localized infrared (IR) laser irradiation. In Paper I, we presented corresponding experimental results. In the following, we present two coupled numerical models that allow us to identify the dominant mechanism of the mobility enhancement and to detail the influence of both thermocapillary shear stresses and viscosity reduction.

In the first model, we solve the coupled problem of heat-transfer and fluid flow near the receding contact line in a two-dimensional geometry. Anderson and Davis presented an analytical model accounting for heat conduction and the effect of thermocapillary stresses on the convection pattern. Our numerical model, in addition, takes into account the convection of heat as well as the effect of temperature induced reductions of density and viscosity. In the second model, the one-dimensional lubrication equation is solved to describe the contact line displacement in response to the thermocapillary stress and the variation of the liquid material properties. The stress singularity at the moving contact line is resolved using a disjoining pressure model.

In Section II, the details of the two models are presented. Section III presents results from the heat-transfer model alone. In Section III, we consider the coupling between the two models. We study the dynamic receding contact angle as a function of substrate speed and laser power and determine the corresponding increase in critical velocity.

II. NUMERICAL MODELS

In order to study the effect of a non-uniform temperature distribution on a receding contact line, we couple a model for the heat-transfer in the vicinity of the contact line (CL) with a separate model for the displacement of the contact line. Figure 1 shows how the two models are coupled. First, we start with a certain receding contact angle and a certain distance between the contact line and the point of maximum

FIG. 1. Iteration diagram illustrating the coupling of the heat-transfer model and the contact line displacement model.
laser intensity, \( d \). We then solve the heat-transfer model for the temperature distribution around the contact line. This temperature distribution is used in the contact line displacement model to update \( \theta \) and \( d \). The two models are solved iteratively until a self-consistent receding contact angle results. In Subsections II A–II C, the details of the two models are presented.

A. Heat-transfer model

Figure 2 shows the 2D computational domain for the thermal model. It consists of three separate geometries: the wedge represents a receding meniscus of ethylene glycol (EG), the upper rectangle the polycarbonate (PC) substrate, and the lower rectangle the glass plate. The coordinates \((x, y) = (0, 0)\) correspond to the receding contact line position. We assume that the shape of the liquid-air interface is given by a straight line, the slope of which is determined by the value of \( \theta \). The thickness of the PC substrate in the \( y \)-direction is \( d_{\text{PC}} = 0.5 \) mm and that of the glass plate \( d_{\text{glass}} = 3.9 \) mm. The height of the wedge at \( x_L \) is determined by the value of \( \theta \). The coordinates \((x, y) = (0, 0)\) correspond to the receding contact line position. The left boundaries of the three domains are located at \( x_L = -16 \) mm. The right boundaries of the PC substrate and the glass plate are located at \( x_R = 16 \) mm.

The steady-state temperature distribution \( T(x, y) \) is described by the heat-transfer equation

\[
\rho c_p \overline{u} \cdot \nabla T = k \nabla^2 T + \dot{q}.
\]

The liquid velocity \( \overline{u} \) in the EG wedge is calculated using a separate model, as outlined in Section II A 1. The PC substrate and the glass plate are moving in the \( x \)-direction with a constant velocity \( \overline{u} = (U_{\text{sub}}, 0) \). The density \( \rho \), specific heat capacity \( c_p \), and thermal conductivity \( k \) of EG, PC, and glass are listed in Appendix B. The density of EG, \( \rho(T) \), depends on the local temperature and is given by Eq. (B3) of Appendix B. The term \( \dot{q} \equiv 2d \) represents a heat source due to absorption of the IR laser beam.\textsuperscript{52,54} We assume the following IR absorption coefficients: \( \alpha_{\text{EG}} = 700 \text{ m}^{-1} \), \( \alpha_{\text{PC}} = 30 \text{ m}^{-1} \), and \( \alpha_{\text{glass}} = 100 \text{ m}^{-1} \). The intensity distribution \( I(x, y) \) of the IR laser beam is discussed in Section II A 2.

The initial condition is a uniform temperature \( T_0 = 293 \) K. The boundary conditions that we used are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} (x = x_L, y > 0) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} (x = x_R, y \leq 0) &= 0, \\
T(x = x_L, y \leq 0) &= T_0, \\
-k_{\text{PC}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} (x > 0, y = 0) &= \phi_{\text{loss}}, \\
k_{\text{glass}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} (y = -d_{\text{PC}} - d_{\text{glass}}) &= \phi_{\text{loss}}, \\
-\overline{u} \cdot \nabla T(x < 0, y = -\tan(\theta) x) &= \phi_{\text{loss}}.
\end{align*}
\]

The temperature of the PC substrate and the glass plate at \( x = x_L \) is kept at \( T_0 \) [Eq. (4)]. We assume that heat losses occur at the top boundary of the PC substrate (at \( x > 0 \)), at the bottom boundary of the glass plate, and at the liquid-air interface [Eqs. (5), (6), and (7)], which are a superposition of Newtonian convective cooling and thermal radiation

\[
\phi_{\text{loss}} = h_N (T - T_\infty) + \sigma_B (T^4 - T_\infty^4).
\]

Here, \( h_N = 5 \) W/(m\(^2\)K) is the convective heat transfer coefficient,\textsuperscript{35} \( \sigma_B = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \) W/(m\(^2\)K\(^4\)) the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, and \( T_\infty = T_0 = 293 \) K the ambient temperature. For the boundaries at \( x = x_R \) and the boundary of the EG wedge at \( x = x_L \), we use so-called convective boundary conditions [Eqs. (2) and (3)]. In the expression for the total heat flux \( \overline{n} \cdot \overline{q} = (\rho c_p \overline{u} \nabla T - k \nabla T \cdot \overline{n}) \), we assume that the diffusive flux \( -\overline{n} \cdot k \nabla T \) is negligible compared to the convective flux \( \overline{n} \cdot (\rho c_p \overline{u}) \nabla T \) (where \( \overline{n} \) is the unit normal vector of the computational domain).

1. Streamfunction-vorticity model

For the liquid velocity in the EG wedge, we estimate the typical values of the Reynolds number \( Re_L = \frac{\overline{u} L}{v} \leq 2 \), where we use the maximum height of the wedge \( L = x_L \tan(\theta) \approx 5 \) mm and \( U_{\text{sub}} \approx 5 \) mm/s. Consequently, the inertial terms in the Navier-Stokes are negligible. In the following, we solve the Stokes equation using a streamfunction-vorticity formalism. Although we assume the liquid to be incompressible, we take into account temperature variations of the density leading to the steady-state continuity equation

\[
\nabla \cdot (\rho \overline{u}) = 0.
\]

For this reason, we incorporate the variable density in the definition of the streamfunction \( \psi \). The Cartesian velocity components \( \overline{u} = (u_x, u_y) \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
u_x &= \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\rho \psi),
\nu_y &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho \psi).
\end{align*}
\]

The viscosity of EG, \( \mu = \mu(T) \), also depends on the temperature according to Eq. (B1) (see Appendix B). Introducing \( \omega_z \)
as the z-component of the vorticity $\tilde{\omega} \equiv \nabla \times \vec{u}$, the Stokes equation results in the following coupled second-order equations:

$$\omega_z = -\nabla^2 \psi - \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\psi}{\rho} \nabla \rho \right],$$  

(12)

$$\mu \nabla^2 \omega_z = g \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + \cdots$$

$$+ \left( \nabla \left[ \nabla^2 \psi \right] \right) \cdot \nabla \mu + \nabla^2 \left( \frac{\psi}{\rho} \nabla \rho \right) \cdot \nabla \mu.$$  

(13)

For a uniform density, Equations (10)–(13) reduce to

$$(u_x, u_y) = (\partial \psi / \partial y, -\partial \psi / \partial x)$$

and

$$\omega_z = -\nabla^2 \psi,$$  

(14)

$$\nabla^2 \omega_z = \frac{1}{\mu} \left( \nabla \left[ \nabla^2 \psi \right] \right) \cdot \nabla \mu = -\frac{1}{\mu} \left( \nabla \mu \right) \cdot \nabla \omega_z.$$  

(15)

The flow in the liquid wedge is driven by the motion of the PC substrate and the thermocapillary shear stress along the liquid-air interface. The boundary conditions in case of uniform density are given by

$$\psi = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = U_{sub} \quad \text{for} \quad (x < 0, y = 0),$$  

(16)

$$\psi = 0, \quad \omega_z = -\frac{\psi'}{\mu} \quad (x < 0, y = -\tan(\theta_d)x),$$  

(17)

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \omega_z}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x = x_L, y > 0).$$  

(18)

The solid-liquid interface at $y = 0$ is a streamline, where the value of $\psi$ is arbitrarily set to zero. The horizontal velocity $\psi / \partial y$ is given by $U_{sub}$ [Eq. (16)]. At the left boundary of the liquid wedge at $x = x_L$, we assume that $\partial \omega_z / \partial x$ and the vertical velocity $\psi / \partial x$ are equal to $0$ [Eq. (18)]. These correspond to mirror-symmetry conditions for the scalar fields $\psi$ and $w_z$, which strictly speaking do not apply to the system studied.\(^56\) However, we chose the value of $x_L$ (and similarly of $x_R$) sufficiently large such that there is no influence of this boundary condition neither on the temperature distribution nor on the velocity field near the contact line. We note that this boundary condition implies the streamlines to be horizontal, i.e., parallel to $\vec{n}$ at $x = x_L$. The liquid-air boundary is also a streamline for a non-volatile liquid. The non-uniform temperature along this boundary results in a thermocapillary shear stress\(^57\)

$$\tau' = \frac{d\gamma}{dT} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla T,$$  

(19)

due to the temperature dependence of the surface tension. Here, $\vec{n}$ is the tangential unit vector of the computational domain and $d\gamma / dT = -0.089$ mN/(m K) the temperature dependence of the surface tension of EG. We take this shear stress into account by setting the vorticity $\omega_z = -\tau' / \mu$ [Eq. (17)].

2. Intensity distribution of infrared laser beam

The angle of incidence of the IR laser beam with respect to the PC substrate is kept fixed at $\beta = 45^\circ$ as illustrated in Fig. 2. We define the intensity profile of the IR laser beam in a separate beam coordinate system $(x_b, y_b)$ illustrated schematically in Fig. 10

$$x_b \equiv (x - d) \cos \beta - y \sin \beta,$$  

(20)

$$y_b \equiv (x - d) \sin \beta + y \cos \beta.$$  

(21)

The intensity profile of the IR laser beam is given by

$$I = \frac{\sqrt{2} P}{\sqrt{\pi} \omega} \exp \left( -\frac{2x^2}{\omega^2} \right) \exp(F).$$  

(22)

The width $\omega$ is given by

$$\omega = w_0 + |y_b| \tan \theta_d,$$  

(23)

where $w_0 = 0.6$ mm is the minimum waist width and $\theta_d = 8^\circ$ quantifies the divergence of the laser beam. $P$ is the power per unit length in the $z$-direction. The last term of Eq. (22), $\exp(F)$, represents the absorption of the beam in the EG wedge, the PC substrate, and the glass plate. The parameter $F = F(x, x_b, y_b, \theta, \beta, \delta_{PC})$ describes the optical path length through these different domains (see Appendix A). Refraction effects and partial reflections at the dielectric interfaces are not taken into account.

B. Contact line displacement model

In order to model the IR laser induced displacement of the contact line, we use the classical, well-studied Landau-Levich\(^58\) dip-coating geometry where a substrate is withdrawn \textit{vertically} from a liquid bath.\(^60\) Figure 3 shows the 1D computational domain for the CL model. The liquid film profile $h(x)$ is governed by the so-called lubrication equation\(^61\)

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{h^2}{2\mu(T)} \right) \tau - \frac{h^3}{3\mu(T)} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + U_{\text{sub}} h = 0.$$  

(24)

The augmented pressure

$$P = -\gamma(T) \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2} + \rho(T) g x - \Pi(h),$$  

(25)

represents the influence of capillary pressure, hydrostatic pressure, and the disjoining pressure\(^62\) $\Pi$. As the $x$-dependence of the hydrostatic pressure term in Eq. (25) indicates,
the direction of the gravitational acceleration is in the negative $x$-direction. The viscosity $\mu(T)$, density $\rho(T)$, and surface tension $\gamma(T)$ are given in Appendix B. We use a phenomenological expression for the disjoining pressure $^{63} \Pi$

$$\Pi(h) = \gamma (1 - \cos \theta_{eq}) \frac{(n - 1)(m - 1)}{(n - m)h^m} \left[ \left( \frac{h}{h_c} \right)^n - \left( \frac{h}{h_c} \right)^m \right].$$

(26)

The parameters $(n, m, h^c)$ are chosen as $(6, 4, 20 \text{ nm})$. The contact angle $\theta_{eq}$ is fixed at $20^\circ$.

We used the following boundary conditions:

$$h(x = x_1) = h_0, \quad \mathcal{P}(x = x_1) = \mathcal{P}_0,$$

(27)

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x = x_2) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial x}(x = x_2) = \rho g.$$

(28)

The width of the computational domain $x_2 - x_1 = 1 \text{ mm}$ is chosen sufficiently large so that it does not influence the CL dynamics. At $x = x_2$, the gradient of the film thickness, $\partial h / \partial x$, is set to 0 and the gradient of the augmented pressure $\partial \mathcal{P} / \partial x$ is set equal to $\rho g$ [Eq. (28)]. At $x = x_1$, the film thickness is fixed at $h_0 = 50 \mu \text{m}$ [Eq. (27)]. The pressure is fixed at the reservoir pressure

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = -\sqrt{2\gamma \rho g (1 - \sin \theta)}.$$  

(29)

C. Coupling of the two models

We extract the temperature $T(x)$ and the temperature gradient $\frac{dT}{dx}(x)$ along the liquid-air interface and the PC-air boundary (as indicated with the green line in Fig. 2) from the heat-transfer model. From the temperature and the temperature gradient, we obtained the temperature dependent material parameters and the thermocapillary shear stress $\tau = (dT / dx)(\partial T / \partial x)$, respectively, for the CL model. The new resulting location of the contact line $x_{\text{CL}}$ is defined as the position of maximum curvature $\partial^2 h / \partial x^2$. This position corresponds to $x = 0$ in the heat-transfer model. The parameter $x_{\text{CL}}$ is used in the heat-transfer model to update the distance $d$ between the CL and the position of maximum laser intensity $x_{\text{FL}}$. It is also used to update the approximate receding contact angle $\theta_r$

$$\theta_r = \arctan \left( \frac{h_0}{x_{\text{CL}} - x_1} \right).$$

(30)

III. RESULTS FROM HEAT-TRANSFER MODEL

In order to illustrate typical behavior, we first present results of the heat-transfer model alone, i.e., we keep the values of $d$ and $\theta_r$ fixed and do not consider the coupling with the CL model. Moreover, we first solved Eqs. (1), (12), and (13) for several representative cases. It turned out that the effect of thermal expansion, i.e., a non-uniform density $\rho(T)$, was negligibly small. Consequently, we assumed $\rho$ to be constant and all results presented correspond to solutions of Eqs. (1), (14), and (15). Figure 4(a) shows the magnitude of the velocity vector $\vec{u}$ in the liquid wedge, in the absence of laser illumination, i.e., $P = 0 \text{ W/m}$. The white lines represent the streamlines of the flow field. For validation, we compared our numerical results with the analytical solutions for the isothermal flow in a corner by Moffatt$^{64}$ with and without the presence of a constant shear stress along the liquid-air interface, $\tau^*$, and obtained perfect agreement. The black lines in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) represent the locations where the radial velocity vanishes $u_r = 0$, i.e., the turning points of the streamlines. The radial velocity is defined as $u_r = u_x \cos \phi - u_y \sin \phi$, where $\phi$ is the polar angle.

Figure 4(b) shows an example of the temperature increase $\Delta T(x, y) = T(x, y) - T_0$ in the liquid wedge for a low substrate speed $U_{\text{sub}} = 0.1 \text{ mm/s}$. The white lines represent the streamlines of the flow field. The temperature increases along the liquid-air boundary towards the CL. We observed that the point of maximum temperature rise

![Image](image-url)
The maximum speed in this region \(|u|_{\text{max}} \approx 1.7 \, \text{cm/s}\) (indicated with a circle in Fig. 4(c)), while the substrate speed is only \(U_{\text{sub}} = 0.1 \, \text{mm/s}\). The amplified speed rise is the CL position for low \(U_{\text{sub}}\). Since the position of maximum temperature rise is the CL position for low \(U_{\text{sub}}\), the relevant velocity scale is \(U_{\text{sub}}\) and the relevant length scale is determined by the heat source, i.e., 2w. Therefore, the appropriate definition of the Péclet number is given by \(Pe_w = 2U_{\text{sub}}/\kappa_{\text{EG}}\). Pe_w assumes a value of 1 for \(U_{\text{sub}} = 0.08 \, \text{mm/s}\), which agrees well with the transition value of 0.05 mm/s observed in Fig. 6. For low \(U_{\text{sub}}\), the thermocapillary shear stress \(\tau'\) also does not depend on \(U_{\text{sub}}\). This shear stress is the dominant driving force for the flow, since \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}} \gg 1\). As a result, also \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) is constant in this regime and \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) scales as \(1/U_{\text{sub}}\). For “intermediate” values of \(U_{\text{sub}}\), both \(\Delta T_{\text{max}}\) and \(\tau'\) decrease with increasing \(U_{\text{sub}}\). In this regime, \(|u|_{\text{max}}\) decreases with increasing \(U_{\text{sub}}\) and thus \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) scales as \(U_{\text{sub}}^{-1}\) with \(\zeta > 1\). For “high” values of \(U_{\text{sub}}\), \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) approaches a steady-state value \(\epsilon\), i.e., \(|u|_{\text{max}} = \epsilon U_{\text{sub}}\). In this regime, the motion of the substrate is the dominant driving force for the flow in the wedge and not the temperature gradient along the liquid-air boundary. In general, the thermocapillary flow speed \(U_{lc}\) can be estimated as

\[
\frac{h}{2\mu} \approx \frac{w}{2\mu} \tan \theta_c \frac{d\theta}{dT} \frac{\Delta T_{\text{max}}}{w} \approx \frac{1}{2\mu} \tan \theta_c \frac{d\theta}{dT} \frac{\Delta T_{\text{max}}}{w}.
\]

Since \(Pe_w \gg 1\) in this regime, the maximum temperature rise is determined by convective effects and scales approximately as \(1/U_{\text{sub}}\). Approximating the typical temperature rise with \(\Delta T_{\text{max}} \approx C/U_{\text{sub}}\), we can estimate the value of the constant to be \(C \approx 40 \, \text{K/mm/s}\) from the blue curve in Fig. 6. At the transition point where \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) approaches the constant \(\epsilon\), \(U_{lc}\) and \(U_{\text{sub}}\) by definition are of the same order. Therefore, Eq. (31) results in \(U_{\text{sub}} = \sqrt{(1/2\mu) \tan \theta_c (d\theta/dT) C} \approx 5 \, \text{mm/s}\).

This agrees well with the transition observed in Fig. 6.

Using the analytic solution for the flow in the wedge (in case of constant viscosity and no shear stress), it can be shown that

\[
\frac{\Delta T_{\text{max}}}{w} \approx \frac{1}{2\mu} \tan \theta_c \frac{d\theta}{dT} \frac{\Delta T_{\text{max}}}{w}.
\]

FIG. 5. Left axis: \(|u|_{\text{max}}/U_{\text{sub}}\) as a function of \(U_{\text{sub}}\), where \(|u|_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum speed along the liquid-air boundary. The dashed, horizontal line represents Eq. (32). Right axis: Maximum temperature rise \(\Delta T_{\text{max}}\) along the liquid-air boundary as a function of \(U_{\text{sub}}\).
\[ \epsilon = \frac{\sin(\theta_i) - \theta_i \cos(\theta_i)}{\theta_i - \sin(\theta_i) \cos(\theta_i)} \]  

(32)

The dashed line in Fig. 6 represents Eq. (32).

Figure 7(a) shows the temperature distribution \( \Delta T \) along the liquid-air boundary and the top boundary of the PC substrate (indicated by the green line in Fig. 2) for different values of \( d \). When \( d \approx w_0 \), there is no absorption of the IR laser intensity in the EG wedge. In this case, the temperature increases smoothly with \( x \) and reaches a steady value when \( x > d + w_0 \). When \( d \leq w_0 \), part of the IR laser intensity is directly absorbed in the liquid. The temperature rise increases, since \( x_{\text{EG}} \gg x_{\text{PC}} \). The temperature distribution displays a local maximum along the liquid-air boundary left of the contact line, for \( d < 0.4 \) mm.

Figure 7(b) shows the temperature distribution \( \Delta T(x) \) for different values of the absorption coefficient of the liquid \( x_{\text{liq}} (d = 0.2 \) mm). For comparison, the absorption coefficient of water \( x_{\text{liq}} \) for an IR wavelength \( \lambda = 1470 \) nm is \( x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 3100 \) m\(^{-1}\). When \( x_{\text{liq}} \ll x_{\text{PC}} \), the temperature smoothly increases towards the contact line along the liquid-air boundary. For higher values of \( x_{\text{liq}} \), the temperature distribution again displays a local maximum along the liquid-air boundary.

Figure 7(c) shows the temperature distribution \( \Delta T(x) \) for different values of the laser power \( P \). The temperature rise is approximately linear with the laser power.

For “high” values of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) (i.e., when the flow induced by the thermocapillary shear stress is negligible), the temperature rise increases with increasing \( \theta_i \) (data not shown). With increasing \( \theta_i \), the liquid wedge absorbs a larger fraction of the incident IR laser intensity. For “low” values of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) (i.e., when the flow induced by the thermocapillary shear stress is dominant), the temperature rise decreases with increasing \( \theta_i \) (data not shown). The convection of heat away from the contact line increases with increasing \( \theta_i \), thus lowering the temperature rise.

IV. RESULTS FROM COUPLED MODELS

In this section, we present results from the coupled heat-transfer and CL displacement models. Figure 8(a) shows the receding contact angle \( \theta_i \) (determined from the moving contact line model) as a function of \( U_{\text{sub}} \). The red circles are obtained for the case of no laser heating, i.e., \( P = 0 \) W/m. We assume that the temperature and thus the material parameters are uniform and \( \tau = 0 \). \( \theta_i \) decreases with increasing \( U_{\text{sub}} \). Beyond a critical value of \( U_{\text{sub}} \approx (1.65 \pm 0.05) \) mm/s, it is no longer possible to find a steady state value of \( \theta_i \). For substrate speeds higher than this value, a Landau-Levich film is deposited on the substrate. When the laser is switched on, we assume that the material parameters \( \mu(T) \) and \( \gamma(T) \) depend on the local temperature and we include the thermocapillary shear stress \( \tau \) from the heat-transfer model. The location of maximum laser intensity in the contact line model is \( x_{\text{IR}} - x_1 = 0.43 \) mm. When the laser power is increased to \( P = 500 \) W/m, the green triangles in Fig. 8(a)

FIG. 7. Temperature distribution \( \Delta T = T(x) - T_0 \) along the liquid-air boundary and the top boundary of the PC substrate. (a) \( \Delta T(x) \) for different values of \( d \) (with \( U_{\text{sub}} = 0.8 \) mm/s, \( P = 500 \) W/m, and \( \theta_i = 15^\circ \)). (b) \( \Delta T(x) \) for \( d = 0.2 \) mm and different values of the absorption coefficient \( x_{\text{liq}} \). (c) \( \Delta T(x) \) for \( d = 0.2 \) mm and different values of the laser power \( P \).

FIG. 8. (a) Receding contact angle \( \theta_i \) as a function of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) for \( P = 500 \) W/m and \( x_{\text{IR}} - x_1 = 0.43 \) mm. Red circles: Constant material parameters, thermocapillary effects not included, \( \tau = 0 \). Green triangles: Variable material parameters, \( \tau \neq 0 \). Blue squares: Variable material parameters, \( \tau = 0 \). Black squares: Variable material parameters, \( \tau = 0 \). (b) Receding contact angle \( \theta_i \) as a function of \( Ca_{\text{CL}} \).
are obtained. The critical speed \( U_{\text{sub}} \approx (2.57 \pm 0.06) \text{ mm/s} \) is now more than 50% higher. Moreover, \( \theta_t \) strongly increases for low \( U_{\text{sub}} \) compared to the case of \( P = 0 \text{ W/m} \).

In order to identify the dominant mechanisms behind the increase in critical speed and the overall increase in \( \theta_t \), we performed separate series of simulations where we neglected either the temperature dependency of the viscosity or the effect of the thermocapillary shear stress \( \tau \). The blue triangles in Fig. 8(a) correspond to the case of uniform viscosity, \( \mu = \mu(T_0) \) but the influence of \( \tau \) is taken into account in the CL model. The black squares in Fig. 8(a) correspond to the case of temperature dependent viscosity, \( \mu = \mu(T) \) but the influence of \( \tau \) is not taken into account in the CL model. In the heat-transfer model, however, we include \( \tau \) and assume the material parameters are variable in all cases.

The comparison of the green and black, as well of the blue and red curves, shows that the increase in \( \theta_t \) for low \( U_{\text{sub}} \) is caused by the thermocapillary shear stress. For the black squares, we do not include \( \tau \) and we retrieve the same \( \theta_t \) as for the red circles in the limit \( U_{\text{sub}} \rightarrow 0 \). For low \( U_{\text{sub}} \), the maximum temperature rise occurs at the location of the contact line. The shear stress is thus always directed away from the contact line, which causes the increase of \( \theta_t \) for low \( U_{\text{sub}} \). The blue triangles show that for increasing \( U_{\text{sub}} \) the effect of the thermocapillary shear stress diminishes, since the magnitude of \( \tau \) itself decreases. For sufficiently high speeds, \( \theta_t \) can even be lower than the laser-off case (\( P = 0 \text{ W/m} \), red line). In this regime, the maximum temperature rise occurs left of the location of the contact line. The shear stress is thus directed towards the contact line, which decreases \( \theta_t \). A steady-state value of \( \theta_t \) can be found up to \( U_{\text{sub}} \leq 1.5 \text{ mm/s} \). The influence of the shear stress on the critical speed is thus quite small.

The black squares show the effect of temperature dependent viscosity. In this case, a steady-state value of \( \theta_t \) was found up to \( U_{\text{sub}} \leq 2.5 \text{ mm/s} \). The critical speed increased by more than 50% relative to the red curve.

Figure 8(b) shows the receding contact angle \( \theta_r \) as a function of the capillary number of the contact line \( C_{a,\text{CL}} \equiv \mu(T_{\text{CL}})U_{\text{sub}}/\gamma(T_{\text{CL}}) \). Here, \( T_{\text{CL}} \) is the temperature at the contact line. The curves correspond to the same contact angle data sets \( \theta_t \) as the curves in Fig. 8(a), but plotted as a function of \( C_{a,\text{CL}} \). For the red circles and blue triangles, \( T_{\text{CL}} \) corresponds to the initial temperature \( T_0 \). The curves essentially overlap for sufficiently high values of \( U_{\text{sub}} \), corroborating the conclusion that reduction of viscous friction is the dominant phenomenon in this regime. The fact that utilization of the capillary number at the CL position collapses the curves is a consequence of the fact that the viscous stress exhibits a strong local maximum there.

An equivalent point of view is provided by a comparison of the magnitudes of the thermocapillary and the total viscous shear stress

\[
\mu \left[ \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} | \gamma = 0 \right] = - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} h + \tau. \quad (33)
\]

In the limit \( U_{\text{sub}} \rightarrow 0 \), the total viscous shear stress is dominated by the thermocapillary contribution. In contrast, for \( U_{\text{sub}} \geq 1 \text{ mm/s} \) in Fig. 8(a), the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (33) by far exceeds \( \tau \).

Figure 9(a) shows the receding contact angle \( \theta_r \) as a function of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) for different values of the laser power \( P \). In all cases, the temperature dependence of the material parameters and the thermocapillary shear stress are taken into account. The increase of \( \theta_t \) at low values of \( U_{\text{sub}} \), caused by the shear stress \( \tau \), becomes more prominent for increasing \( P \). The largest value of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) at which a stationary value of \( \theta_t \) can be found increases with increasing \( P \). The dotted lines represent polynomial fitting functions of the form

\[
C_0 + C_1\theta_r + C_2\theta_r^2 + C_3\theta_r^3 = U_{\text{sub}}. \quad (34)
\]

The values of \( \theta_r \) for low \( U_{\text{sub}} \) where the thermocapillary shear stress is dominant, are not included for the fit. We define the critical velocity \( U_c \) as the value of \( U_{\text{sub}} \) where the fitting function has a vertical tangent line, i.e., where \( \partial U_{\text{sub}}/\partial \theta_r = 0 \). Figure 9(b) presents the relative enhancement of the critical speed \( U_c/U_r(P = 0) \) as a function of laser power \( P \). Despite the 3D-nature of the experiments and the 2D-nature of the simulations, the relative increase of \( U_c \) is of comparable magnitude\(^{66}\) (see Fig. 7 of Paper I).

V. SUMMARY

We studied the increase in mobility of stationary contact lines on a moving, partially wetting substrate by using infrared laser illumination of its receding contact line. Below a certain critical speed, the receding contact angle maintains a finite, i.e., non-zero value, and no liquid is entrained on the substrate. The transition towards a Landau-Levich film, i.e., residual liquid left behind on the substrate, occurs at the critical speed. We were specifically interested in the increase in critical speed due to the laser irradiation.

We developed a model for the liquid flow and heat transfer in the vicinity of the contact line, where we take into account thermocapillary shear stresses and the temperature induced variation of the liquid density and viscosity. For
values of the substrate speed higher than the critical speed, the flow near the contact line is primarily driven by the motion of the substrate. For values significantly lower than the critical speed, the thermocapillary shear stress is the dominant driving mechanism of the flow.

We developed a second, coupled model to describe the displacement of the receding contact line as a consequence of the laser-induced non-uniform temperature distribution. We found that the thermocapillary shear stress at low substrate speeds is directed away from the contact line and increases the receding contact angle. However, its effect on the critical speed is minimal since the magnitude of the thermocapillary stress diminishes with increasing substrate speed. Thus, the temperature induced decrease of viscosity, i.e., the reduction of the viscous friction, is identified as the dominant mechanism for the increase in critical speed.

The experiments described in Paper I are intrinsically three-dimensional in nature. The receding contact develops a pointed shape for sufficiently high substrate speeds. Although our two-dimensional model does not include this effect, the relative increase in critical speed that we found in the simulations agrees reasonably well with the experimental results for comparable laser intensities.
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APPENDIX A: OPTICAL PATH LENGTH

Figure 10 shows a schematic illustration of the laser beam coordinate system \((x_b, y_b)\) and its relation to the coordinate system \((x, y)\) expressed by Eqs. (20) and (21).

In the EG wedge \((x_b < -d \cos \beta)\), the parameter \(F\) in Eq. (22) is given by

\[
F_{EG} = \alpha_{EG}(y_b - h_1),
\]

where \(h_1\) is given by

\[
h_1 = (x_b + d \cos \beta) \tan(\beta - \theta_x) - d \sin \beta.
\]

In the PC substrate, \(F\) is given by

\[
F_{PC} = \alpha_{PC}(y_b - h_1) + \alpha_{PC}(y_b - h_2),
\]

where \(h_1\) for \(x_b < -d \cos \beta\) is given by Eq. (A2) and for \(x_b \geq -d \cos \beta\) by

\[
h_1 = x_b \tan \beta, \quad \text{for} \quad x_b \geq -d \cos \beta,
\]

\[
F_g = \alpha_{EG}(h_2 - h_1) - \alpha_{PC} \frac{dp_c}{\cos \beta} + \alpha_{glass}(y_b - h_3),
\]

where \(h_1\) is given by Eqs. (A2) or (A4), \(h_2\) is given by Eq. (A5), and \(h_3\) is defined as

\[
h_3 = x_b \tan \beta - \frac{dp_c}{\cos \beta}.
\]

APPENDIX B: MATERIAL PARAMETERS

We assume the following material parameters: density \(\rho_{PC} = 1200 \text{ kg/m}^3\), \(\rho_{glass} = 2550 \text{ kg/m}^3\), specific heat capacity \(c_p_{EG} = 2300 \text{ J/(kg K)}\), \(c_p_{PC} = 1240 \text{ J/(kg K)}\), \(c_p_{glass} = 750 \text{ J/(kg K)}\), and thermal conductivity \(k_{EG} = 0.24 \text{ W/(m K)}\), \(k_{PC} = 0.22 \text{ W/(m K)}\), \(k_{glass} = 1.0 \text{ W/(m K)}\). The temperature dependent material parameters of EG are given by

\[
\mu[\text{mPa s}] = \exp\left(-3.61 + \frac{986.52}{\Delta T + 127.86}\right),
\]

\[
\gamma[\text{mN/m}] = 50.21 - 0.09\Delta T,
\]

\[
\rho[\text{kg/m}^3] = 1127.68 - 0.66\Delta T - 6.2 \cdot 10^{-4}(\Delta T)^2,
\]

where \(\Delta T \equiv T - 273 \text{ K}\) is the temperature in degrees Celsius and \(T\) is the absolute temperature in Kelvin.
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