

Comprehensive theory of flow properties of ^3He moving through superfluid ^4He in capillaries

Citation for published version (APA):

Kuerten, J. G. M., Castelijns, C. A. M., Waele, de, A. T. A. M., & Gijssman, H. M. (1986). Comprehensive theory of flow properties of ^3He moving through superfluid ^4He in capillaries. *Physical Review Letters*, 56(21), 2288-2290. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2288>

DOI:

[10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2288](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.2288)

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1986

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Comprehensive Theory of Flow Properties of ^3He Moving through Superfluid ^4He in Capillaries

J. G. M. Kuerten, C. A. M. Castelijns, A. T. A. M. de Waele, and H. M. Gijnsman

Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

(Received 15 November 1985)

We propose a new set of hydrodynamic equations for flow of ^3He through superfluid ^4He . They differ from earlier work by including both a mutual friction force between ^3He and ^4He and a viscous force. Both the mechanical vacuum model, in which mutual friction is neglected, and an earlier model by the authors, without viscous force, are limiting cases of this new description. An experimental setup is devised in which both forces play a significant role. The results of this experiment are in agreement with the theory.

PACS numbers: 67.60.Fp, 47.25.Cg, 47.60.+i, 67.40.Vs

One of the most intriguing questions with respect to the hydrodynamics of ^3He - ^4He mixtures is the interrelation between the model in which the mutual friction between ^3He and ^4He is neglected^{1,2} and the model in which the mutual friction plays the dominant role.³ When mutual friction is absent, the flow properties are determined by the viscous forces on the ^3He quasiparticle gas. The validity of this point of view was supported by experimental evidence obtained by Wheatley, Rapp, and Johnson⁴ at rather low ^3He flow rates (typically $50 \mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$). On the other hand, experiments performed at the Eindhoven University of Technology at larger flow rates (typically $500 \mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$) showed that the mutual friction force plays the dominant role.^{3,5} In the latter experiments it was observed that the pressure changes in tubes in which ^3He moves through ^4He were negligible (typically smaller than 1 Pa). The new point of view presented in this paper is that both sets of experiments have been performed in regimes where either the viscous force or the mutual friction force dominates the other. In this paper we will show that the results in both flow regimes can be unified in one theoretical description that includes both forces. Results of an experiment in which for the first time the effects of both forces have been measured are reported. The new description connects the ^3He - ^4He hydrodynamics to the theory on superfluid turbulence in pure ^4He II.⁶ The results are also of practical importance for calculating the properties of ^3He -circulating dilution refrigerators, such as the intrinsic minimum temperature.

We consider a flow of ^3He through a long tube with length L and with arbitrary uniform cross section of area A , and assume that the total molar flow rate of the ^4He component equals zero. In our discussion we use the hydrodynamic equations derived by Khalatnikov,¹ and add a mutual friction force between the normal and superfluid components to the equation of motion for the superfluid component. In our point of view a nonzero relative velocity between ^3He and ^4He maintains a vortex tangle in the superfluid which leads

to this mutual friction force. This idea is based on the similarity with the situation of counterflow in pure ^4He II. In the stationary situation and at the low velocities and temperatures under consideration, the energy conservation law in case of adiabatic flow can be written as⁷

$$\dot{Q} + \dot{n}_3(\mu_3 + TS_F) = \text{const}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu_3 + TS_F$ is the molar ^3He osmotic enthalpy and \dot{n}_3 the molar ^3He flow rate. The heat flow through the fluid is denoted by \dot{Q} . Using the Gibbs-Duhem relation in Eq. (1) yields

$$\frac{d\dot{Q}}{dl} + \dot{n}_3 \left[T \frac{dS_F}{dl} + V_3 \frac{dp}{dl} - \frac{1-x}{x} \frac{d\mu_4}{dl} \right] = 0, \quad (2)$$

where T is the temperature, x the molar ^3He concentration, l the distance from the entrance of the tube, and p the pressure. The volume and entropy per mole ^3He are denoted by V_3 and S_F , respectively, and μ_4 is the molar ^4He chemical potential. A treatment of the thermodynamic properties of liquid ^3He - ^4He mixtures is given in Ref. 7.

From the momentum conservation law follows an equation for the pressure difference: $\nabla p = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v}_3$, where η is the viscosity of the mixture and \mathbf{v}_3 the ^3He velocity. Similar to the situation of superfluid turbulence in pure ^4He there could exist a frictional force between the ^4He component and the wall, which would give rise to an excess pressure difference.⁶ We will not consider this possibility in this paper. In contrast with Ref. 3 we assume that there is Poiseuille flow and that \mathbf{v}_3 equals zero at the wall. It follows that

$$dp/dl = -\eta \dot{n}_3 V_3 Z/L, \quad (3)$$

where Z is a geometrical factor, called the impedance factor.

To the equation of motion for the superfluid component in the steady state we add a mutual-friction-force density \mathbf{F}_{43} , caused by the interaction between the ^3He and the vortex tangle: $\nabla \mu_4 = -V_4 \mathbf{F}_{43}$, where

V_4 is the volume of 1 mole of ^4He . In analogy with counterflow in ^4He II it is assumed that the mutual friction force is proportional to the cube of the relative velocity of the normal and superfluid components.^{8,9} Because of the translational symmetry of the flow channel the velocities are directed along the axis of the tube. Therefore, the chemical potential of the ^4He component is uniform in a cross section of the tube and thus the relative velocity is uniform too. The average velocity of the ^4He component equals zero in our situation, so that the mutual friction force can be written as

$$d\mu_4/dl = \chi(\dot{n}_3/A)^3. \quad (4)$$

This relation, with the empirical constant $\chi = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ kg s m⁷ mol⁻⁴, is in agreement with our earlier experiments,³ where a \dot{n}_3^α dependence of $d\mu_4/dl$ was found with $\alpha = 2.8 \pm 0.4$. In pure ^4He there is a critical value of the relative velocity between the normal and superfluid components, below which mutual friction does not occur. For the flow channels in consideration the effect of a critical value of the relative velocity has not been observed. Therefore, we will not consider this possibility in this discussion.

For ^3He concentrations close to the concentration of the saturated solution at zero temperature, x_0 , and temperatures below 50 mK, the following relations⁷ hold within 2%: $S_F = C_0 T$, $\eta = \eta_0/T^2$, $\dot{Q} = -A(\kappa_0/T)dT/dl$, and $V_3 = V_{3d}$. The numerical values of the constants C_0 , η_0 , κ_0 , and V_{3d} are given in Ref. 3. Substitution of (3) and (4) in (2) and definition of t , λ , and β by $t = T/T_0$, $\lambda = l/L_0$, and

$$\beta = \frac{\eta_0 V_{3d}^2 C_0 \kappa_0}{[(1-x_0)\chi\kappa_0/x_0]^{3/2}} \frac{A^4 Z}{L \dot{n}_3^3},$$

where

$$T_0 = \left(\frac{1-x_0}{x_0} \frac{\chi\kappa_0}{C_0^2} \right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{n}_3}{A} \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$L_0 = \left(\frac{x_0}{1-x_0} \frac{\kappa_0}{\chi} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{A}{\dot{n}_3} \right)^2,$$

yields the dimensionless equation for the temperature distribution:

$$-\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{t} \frac{dt}{d\lambda} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dt^2}{d\lambda} - 1 - \frac{\beta}{t^2} = 0. \quad (5)$$

The term β/t^2 gives the ratio of the contributions to the temperature rise due to the viscous force and the mutual friction force, respectively. Equation (5) has been solved analytically by van Haeringen, Staes, and Geurst¹⁰ in both the limiting cases that mutual friction is absent ($\beta = \infty$) and that the viscous force is absent

($\beta = 0$).

For large values of β/t^2 , like in the experiment described in Ref. 4 ($\beta/t^2 \approx 2 \times 10^3$), the viscous force is dominant. From Ref. 4 and Eq. (3) follows a value for the pressure difference across the flow tube Δp , on the order of 20 Pa, which is easily measured. For small values of β/t^2 like in the experiments performed by Castelijns *et al.*,³ with β/t^2 on the order of 4×10^{-3} , the viscous force is negligible. Solution of Eq. (5) yields a large temperature rise in the impedance. Since the viscosity decreases strongly with temperature, Eq. (3) gives a pressure drop over the flow channel on the order of 1 Pa. This is difficult to measure accurately, because hydrostatic pressure differences due to density variations in the liquid are on the same order of magnitude. In the range where the value of β/t^2 is on the order of 1 both forces play an equally important role.

In order to test the theory in the intermediate range we devised a flow impedance consisting of 28 cylindrical tubes in parallel, each of diameter 0.28 mm and length 23 mm, installed at the dilute-exit tube of a mixing chamber as described in Ref. 3. For ^3He flow rates in the range of 0.15 to 0.5 mmol s⁻¹, β ranges from 500 to 15 and T_0 ranges between 2.3 and 4.3 mK. The mixing chamber temperature T_m varied between 30 and 60 mK. On the basis of Eq. (3) it is expected that for this flow impedance the pressure difference will be well above 1 Pa. Since a difference in the chemical potential of the ^4He component is also detectable, it should be possible to demonstrate the effects of both the viscous and the mutual friction forces




FIG. 1. Cube root of the difference in ^4He chemical potential over the flow impedance as a function of the molar ^3He flow rate. The symbols correspond to different values of T_m (squares, 30 mK; circles, 40 mK; triangles, 50 mK; inverted triangles, 60 mK). The line obeys $\Delta\mu_4 = 1.2 \times 10^{-8}(\dot{n}_3/A)^3 L$.




FIG. 2. Pressure differences Δp over the flow impedance as functions of the molar ^3He flow rate for several values of T_m : squares, 30 mK; circles, 40 mK; triangles, 50 mK; inverted triangles, 60 mK. The lines are for visual aid only. The viscosity parameter η_0 is determined from the dashed parts of the curves.

in one experiment. The pressure differences Δp were measured as functions of \dot{n}_3 for several values of T_m . From the measured temperatures and ^3He concentrations at both ends of the impedance, μ_4 is calculated. In Fig. 1, the cube root of the difference in ^4He chemical potential between the exit and the entrance of the impedance, $\Delta\mu_4$, is plotted as a function of \dot{n}_3 . It can be seen that mutual friction is present ($\Delta\mu_4 \neq 0$) and satisfies Eq. (4). In Fig. 2 the measured pressure differences for various values of T_m are plotted as functions of the ^3He flow rate. From the linear part of these graphs the values of the parameter η_0 is calculated. It varied from $(5 \pm 1) \times 10^{-8} \text{ Pa s K}^2$ at $T = 30 \text{ mK}$ to $(6.5 \pm 1) \times 10^{-8} \text{ Pa s K}^2$ at $T = 60 \text{ mK}$. These values are in good agreement with the measurements of Kuenhold, Crum, and Sarwinski,¹¹ who found a value for η_0 increasing with temperature, with a low-temperature limit of $5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Pa s K}^2$. For higher flow rates the increasing temperature in the tube leads to a

decreasing viscosity of the mixture. This effects results in a concave Δp - \dot{n}_3 relationship.

In conclusion, we set up a new theory to describe the hydrodynamics in ^3He - ^4He mixtures, which includes both a mutual friction force, presumably caused by the interaction between the ^3He and a ^4He vortex tangle, and a viscous force. This theory is in agreement with experiments, in which one force dominates the other, as well as with our measurements in a regime where both forces are equally important. With this description one of the main problems in ^3He - ^4He hydrodynamics at low temperatures is solved. Presently we are investigating the effects of an eventual critical velocity and friction between ^4He and the walls, which were neglected in this paper, and the microscopic derivation of Eq. (4).

We wish to thank Mr. P. W. A. Stijnman for his contribution to this research. One of the authors (J.G.M.K.) thanks Professor J. T. Tough and Professor D. O. Edwards for many useful discussions and their hospitality during his stay at the Ohio State University, and the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO) for making this visit possible.

¹I. M. Khalatnikov, *An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity* (Benjamin, New York, 1965).

²J. C. Wheatley, O. E. Vilches, and R. T. Johnson, *Physics* (N.Y.) **4**, 1 (1968).

³C. A. M. Castelijns, J. G. M. Kuerten, A. T. A. M. de Waele, and H. M. Gijsman, *Phys. Rev. B* **32**, 2870 (1985).

⁴J. C. Wheatley, R. E. Rapp, and R. T. Johnson, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **4**, 1 (1971).

⁵G. M. Coops, A. T. A. M. de Waele, and H. M. Gijsman, *Phys. Rev. B* **25**, 4879 (1982).

⁶J. T. Tough, in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics VIII*, edited by D. F. Brewer (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), p. 133, and the references therein.

⁷J. G. M. Kuerten, C. A. M. Castelijns, A. T. A. M. de Waele, and H. M. Gijsman, *Cryogenics* **25**, 419 (1985).

⁸C. J. Gorter and J. H. Mellink, *Physica* (Utrecht) **15**, 285 (1949).

⁹K. W. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 283 (1982).

¹⁰W. van Haeringen, F. A. Staes, and J. A. Geurst, *Philips J. Res.* **34**, 107, 127 (1979).

¹¹K. A. Kuenhold, D. B. Crum, and R. E. Sarwinski, *Phys. Lett.* **41A**, 13 (1972).