

# Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic Burgers equations and applications

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Wang, F. Y., Wu, J. L., & Xu, L. (2010). *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic Burgers equations and applications*. (Report Eurandom; Vol. 2010038). Eurandom.

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/01/2010

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EURANDOM PREPRINT SERIES  
2010-038

**Log-Harnack Inequality for Stochastic  
Burgers Equations and Applications**

Feng-Yu Wang, Jiang-Lun Wu, Lihu Xu  
ISSN 1389-2355

# Log-Harnack Inequality for Stochastic Burgers Equations and Applications\*

Feng-Yu Wang<sup>a),b)</sup>, Jiang-Lun Wu<sup>b)</sup>, Lihu Xu<sup>c)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> School of Math. Sci. and Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

<sup>b)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK

<sup>c)</sup> PO Box 513, EURANDOM, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

September 28, 2010

## Abstract

By proving an  $L^2$ -gradient estimate for the corresponding Galerkin approximations, the log-Harnack inequality is established for the semigroup associated to a class of stochastic Burgers equations. As applications, we derive the strong Feller property of the semigroup, the irreducibility of the solution, the entropy-cost inequality for the adjoint semigroup, and entropy upper bounds of the transition density.

AMS subject Classification: 60J75, 60J45.

Keywords: stochastic Burgers equation, log-Harnack inequality, strong Feller property, irreducibility, entropy-cost inequality, entropy bounds.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  be equipped with the usual Riemannian metric, and let  $d\theta$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{H} := \left\{ x \in L^2(d\theta) : \|x\|^2 := \int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta)d\theta = 0 \right\}$$

is a separable real Hilbert space with inner product

---

\*Supported in part by WIMCS and NNSFC(10721091).

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta)y(\theta)d\theta.$$

For  $x \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$ , the Laplacian operator  $\Delta$  is given by  $\Delta x = x''$ . Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be the closure of  $(-\Delta, C^2(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{H})$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , which is a positively definite self-adjoint operator on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then

$$V := \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}), \quad \langle x, y \rangle_V := \langle A^{1/2}x, A^{1/2}y \rangle$$

gives rise to a Hilbert space, which is densely and compactly embedded in  $\mathbb{H}$ . By the integration by parts formula, for any  $x \in C^2(\mathbb{T})$  we have

$$\|x\|_V^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (xAx)(\theta)d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |x'(\theta)|^2 d\theta.$$

Moreover, for  $x, y \in C^1(\mathbb{T}) \cap \mathbb{H}$ , set  $B(x, y) := xy'$ . Then  $B$  extends to a unique bounded bilinear operator  $B : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  with (see Proposition 2.1 below)

$$(1.1) \quad \|B\|_{V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}} := \sup_{\|x\|_V, \|y\|_V \leq 1} \|B(x, y)\| \leq \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Consider the following stochastic Burgers equation

$$(1.2) \quad dX_t = -\{\nu AX_t + B(X_t)\}dt + QdW_t,$$

where  $\nu > 0$  is a constant,  $B(x) := B(x, x)$  for  $x \in V$ ,  $Q$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on  $\mathbb{H}$ , and  $W_t$  is the cylindrical Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{H}$ . According to [4, Chapter 5] (see also [6, Theorem 14.2.4]), for any  $x \in \mathbb{H}$ , this equation has a unique solution with the initial  $X_0 = x$ , which is a continuous Markov process on  $\mathbb{H}$  and is denoted by  $X_t^x$  from now on. If moreover  $x \in V$ , then  $X_t^x$  is a continuous process on  $V$  (see Proposition 2.3 below). We are concerned with the associated Markov semigroup  $P_t$  given by

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}f(X_t^x), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, t \geq 0$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H})$ , the set of all bounded measurable functions on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate regularity properties of  $P_t$ , such as strong Feller property, heat kernel upper bounds, contractivity properties, and entropy-cost inequalities. To do this, a powerful tool is the dimension-free Harnack inequality introduced in [14] for diffusions on Riemannian manifolds (see also [1, 2] for further development). In recent years, this inequality has been established and applied intensively in the study of SPDEs (see e.g. [10, 15, 9, 7, 8, 17] and references within). In general, this type of Harnack inequality can be formulated as

$$(1.3) \quad (P_t f)^\alpha(x) \leq (P_t f^\alpha)(y) \exp[C_\alpha(t, x, y)], \quad f \geq 0,$$

where  $\alpha > 1$  is a constant,  $C_\alpha$  is a positive function on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{H}^2$  with  $C_\alpha(t, x, x) = 0$ , which is determined by the underlying stochastic equation.

On the other hand, in some cases this kind of Harnack inequality is not available, so that the following weaker version (i.e. the log-Harnack inequality)

$$(1.4) \quad P_t \log f(x) \leq \log P_t f(y) + C_\alpha(t, x, y), \quad f \geq 1$$

becomes an alternative tool in the study. In general, according to [16, Section 2], (1.4) is the limit version of (1.3) as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . This inequality has been established in [11] and [16], respectively, for semi-linear SPDEs with multiplicative noise and the Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds.

As for the stochastic Burgers equation (1.2), by using  $A^{1+\sigma}$  for  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$  to replace  $A$  (i.e. the hyperdissipative equation is concerned), the first and the third named authors established an explicit Harnack inequality of type (1.3) in [18], where a more general framework, which includes also the stochastic hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equations, was considered. But, when  $\sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , the known arguments (i.e. the coupling argument and gradient estimate) to prove (1.3) are no longer valid. Therefore, in this paper we turn to investigate the log-Harnack inequality for  $P_t$  associated to (1.2), which also provides some important regularity properties of the semigroup (see Corollary 1.2 below). Note that the stochastic Burgers equation does not satisfy the Lipschitz and monotone conditions required in [11], the present study can not be covered there.

To state our main result, we introduce the intrinsic norm induced by the diffusion part of the solution. For any  $x \in H$ , let

$$\|x\|_Q := \inf \{ \|z\|_H : z \in H, Q^* z = x \},$$

where  $Q^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $Q$ , and we take  $\|x\|_Q = \infty$  if the set in the right hand side is empty. Moreover, let  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$  denote the operator norm and the Hilbert-Schmidt norm respectively for bounded linear operators on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\nu^3 \geq 4\pi \|A^{-1/2}Q\|^2$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H})$  with  $f \geq 1$ ,*

$$(1.5) \quad P_t \log f(x) \leq \log P_t f(y) + \frac{2\pi \|Q\|_{HS}^2 \|x - y\|_Q^2}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t]} \exp \left[ \frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} (\|x\|^2 \vee \|y\|^2) \right]$$

*holds for  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ .*

Before introducing consequences of Theorem 1.1, let us recalled that the invariant probability measure of  $P_t$  exists, and any invariant probability measure  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu(V) = 1$ . These follows immediately since  $V$  is compactly embedded in  $\mathbb{H}$  and due to the Itô formula one has

$$\mathbb{E} \|X_t^0\|_H^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|X_s^0\|_V^2 ds \leq \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t.$$

Next, for any two probability measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathbb{H}$ , let  $W_c(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  be the transportation-cost between them with cost function

$$(x, y) \mapsto \mathbf{c}(x, y) := \|x - y\|_Q^2 \exp \left[ \frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} (\|x\|^2 \vee \|y\|^2) \right].$$

That is,

$$W_c(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \int_{\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}} \mathbf{c}(x, y) \mu(dx, dy),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is the set of all couplings of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . Finally, let

$$B_V(x, r) = \{z \in V : \|z - x\|_V < r\}, \quad x \in V, r > 0.$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Assume that  $\nu^3 \geq 4\pi \|A^{-1/2}Q\|^2$ .*

(1) *For any  $t > 0$ ,  $P_t$  is intrinsic strong Feller, i.e.*

$$\lim_{\|x-y\|_Q \rightarrow 0} P_t f(y) = P_t f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H}).$$

(2) *Let  $\mu$  be an invariant probability measure of  $P_t$  and let  $P_t^*$  be the adjoint operator of  $P_t$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Then the entropy-cost inequality*

$$\mu((P_t^* f) \log P_t^* f) \leq \frac{2\pi \|Q\|_{HS}^2}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t]} W_c(f\mu, \mu), \quad f \geq 0, \mu(f) = 1$$

*holds for all  $t > 0$ .*

(3) *Let  $\|\cdot\|_Q \leq C\|\cdot\|_V$  hold for some constant  $C > 0$ . Then*

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(X_t^y \in B_V(x, r)) > 0, \quad x, y \in V, t, r > 0.$$

*Consequently,  $P_t$  has a unique invariant probability measure  $\mu$ , which is fully supported on  $V$ , i.e.  $\mu(V) = 1$  and  $\mu(G) > 0$  for any non-empty open set  $G \subset V$ . Furthermore,  $\mu$  is strong mixing, i.e. for any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H})$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t f(x) = \mu(f), \quad \forall x \in V.$$

(4) *Under the same assumption as in (3),  $P_t$  has a transition density  $p_t(x, y)$  w.r.t.  $\mu$  on  $V$  such that the entropy inequalities*

$$(1.7) \quad \int_V p_t(x, z) \log \frac{p_t(x, z)}{p_t(y, z)} \mu(dz) \leq \frac{2\pi \|Q\|_{HS}^2 \mathbf{c}(x, y)}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t]}$$

*and*

$$(1.8) \quad \int_V p_t(x, y) \log p_t(x, y) \mu(dy) \leq -\log \int_V \exp \left[ -\frac{2\pi \|Q\|_{HS}^2 \mathbf{c}(x, y)}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t]} \right] \mu(dy)$$

*hold for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in V$ .*

To prove the above results, we present in Section 2 some preparations including a brief proof of (1.1), a convergence theorem for the Galerkin approximation of (1.2), and the continuity of the solution in  $V$ . Finally, complete proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are addressed in Section 3.

## 2 Some preparations

Obviously, (1.1) is equivalent to the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\|B(x, y)\|^2 \leq \pi \|x\|_V^2 \|y\|_V^2$  holds for any  $x, y \in V$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\int_{\mathbb{T}} x(\theta) d\theta = 0$ , there exists  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $x(\theta_0) = 0$ . For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $\gamma : [0, d(\theta_0, \theta)] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be the minimal geodesic from  $\theta_0$  to  $\theta$ , where  $d(\theta_0, \theta) (\leq \pi)$  is the Riemannian distance between these two points. By the Schwartz inequality we have

$$|x(\theta)|^2 = \left| \int_0^{d(\theta_0, \theta)} \frac{d}{ds} x(\gamma_s) ds \right|^2 \leq d(\theta_0, \theta) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |x'(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \pi \|x\|_V^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\|B(x, y)\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |(xy)'(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq \pi \|x\|_V^2 \|y\|_V^2.$$

□

**Remark.** From the proof we see that (1.1) is a property in one-dimension, since for  $d \geq 2$  there is no any constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|x\|_{\infty}^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla x|^2(\theta) d\theta, \quad x \in C^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$$

holds. The invalidity of (1.1) in high dimensions is the main reason why we only consider here the stochastic Burgers equation rather than the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation.

Next, due to that fact that to prove the log-Harnack inequality we have to apply the Itô formula for a reasonable class of reference functions which is, however, not available in infinite-dimensions, we need to make use of the finite-dimensional approximations. To introduce the Galerkin approximation of (1.2), let us formulate  $\mathbb{H}$  by using the standard ONB  $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for the complex Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; d\theta)$ , where

$$e_k(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ik\theta}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Obviously,  $\Delta e_k = -k^2 e_k$  holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and an element

$$x := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} x_k e_k, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{C}$$

belongs to  $\mathbb{H}$  if and only if  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $\bar{x}_k = x_{-k}$  for all  $k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} |x_k|^2 < \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} x_k e_k : \bar{x}_k = x_{-k}, \sum_{k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}} |x_k|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$\mathbb{H}_m = \{x \in \mathbb{H} : \langle x, e_k \rangle = 0 \text{ for } |k| > m\},$$

which is a finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $\pi_m : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m$  be the orthogonal projection. Let  $B_m = \pi_m B$  and  $Q_m = \pi_m Q$ . Consider the following stochastic differential equation on  $\mathbb{H}_m$ :

$$(2.1) \quad dX_t^{(m)} = -\{\nu A X_t^{(m)} + B_m(X_t^{(m)})\} dt + Q_m dW_t.$$

Since coefficients in this equation are smooth and

$$d\|X_t^{(m)}\|^2 \leq 2\|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 dt + 2\langle X_t^{(m)}, Q_m dW_t \rangle,$$

we conclude that for any  $x \in \mathbb{H}_m$  this equation has a unique solution  $X_t^{m,x}$  which is non-explosive. Let

$$P_t^{(m)} f(x) = \mathbb{E} f(X_t^{m,x}), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{H}_m, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H}_m).$$

In the spirit of [4, Theorem 5.7], the next result implies

$$(2.2) \quad P_t f(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_t^{(m)} f(x_m), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}, f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$$

for  $\{x_m \in \mathbb{H}_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  such that  $x_m \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $\{x_m \in \mathbb{H}_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  such that  $\|x - x_m\|_H \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\|X_t^x - X_t^{m,x_m}\| \rightarrow 0$  in probability as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, (2.2) holds.*

*Proof.* Simply denote  $X_t(m) = X_t^{m,x_m}$  and  $X_t = X_t^x$ . It is easy to see that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (\|X_s\|_V^2 + \|X_s(m)\|_V^2) ds \leq C(1+t)$$

holds for some constant  $C > 0$ . By the Itô formula we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|X_t - X_t(m)\|^2 \\ &= -2 \int_0^t \left\{ \nu \|X_s - X_s(m)\|_V^2 + \langle B(X_s) - B(X_s(m)), X_s - X_s(m) \rangle \right\} ds + \eta_t(m), \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\eta_t(m) := \|Q - Q_m\|_{HS}^2 t + \|x - x_m\|^2 + 2 \sup_{r \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^r \langle X_s - X_s(m), (Q - Q_m) dW_s \rangle \right|,$$

which goes to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since by (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle B(x) - B(y), x - y \rangle| &= |\langle B(x, x - y) + B(x - y, y), x - y \rangle| \\ &\leq \pi \|x - y\| (\|x\|_V + \|y\|_V) \|x - y\|_V, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (2.4) that

$$\|X_r - X_r(m)\|^2 \leq \frac{\pi}{\nu} \int_0^r \|X_s - X_s(m)\|^2 (\|X_s\|_V^2 + \|X_s(m)\|_V^2) ds + \eta_t(m), \quad r \in [0, t].$$

Therefore,

$$\|X_t - X_t(m)\|^2 \leq \eta_t(m) \exp \left[ \frac{\pi}{\nu} \int_0^t (\|X_s\|_V^2 + \|X_s(m)\|_V^2) ds \right].$$

Combining this with (2.3) we obtain that for any  $N > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_t - X_t(m)\|^2 \geq \eta_t(m) e^{N\pi/\nu}) \leq \mathbb{P} \left( \int_0^t (\|X_s\|_V^2 + \|X_s(m)\|_V^2) ds \geq N \right) \leq \frac{C(1+t)}{N}$$

which goes to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\eta_t(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , this implies that  $\|X_t - X_t(m)\| \rightarrow 0$  in probability as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

Finally, we have the following result for the continuity of the solution in  $V$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *For any  $x \in V$ ,  $X_t^x$  is a continuous process in  $V$ .*

*Proof.* For fixed  $x \in V$  and  $T > 0$ , we introduce the map

$$Y : C([0, T]; V) \rightarrow C([0, T]; V),$$

such that for any  $u \in C([0, T]; V)$ ,  $\{Y_t(u)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$  solves the deterministic equation

$$(2.5) \quad \dot{Y}_t(u) = -\{\nu AY_t(u) + B(Y_t(u) + u_t)\}, \quad Y_0(u) = x.$$

Then  $Y(u) \in C([0, T]; V)$ , see e.g. [13, Theorem 3.2] (the theorem is for 2D Navier-Stokes equation, so it is of course true for our case).

Next, let

$$Z_t = \int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-s)A} Q dW_s.$$

Since  $Q$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $Z_t$  is a continuous process on  $V$  (see e.g. [5, Theorem 5.9]). Therefore,  $X_t^x = Y_t(Z) + Z_t$  is also continuous in  $V$ .  $\square$

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

According to [11], the key step to prove the log-Harnack inequality for  $P_t^{(m)}$  is the gradient of type

$$|Q_m D P_t^{(m)} f|^2(x) \leq (P_t^{(m)} |Q_m D f|^2)(x) C(t, x), \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}_m)$$

for some continuous function  $C$  on  $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{H}_m$ , where  $D$  is the gradient operator on  $\mathbb{H}_m$ , i.e. for any  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{H}_m)$ , the element  $Df(x) \in \mathbb{H}_m$  is determined by

$$\langle Df(x), h \rangle = D_h f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \varepsilon h) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}, \quad h \in \mathbb{H}_m.$$

To derive the desired gradient estimate, we need the following exponential estimate for  $X_t^m$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $x \in \mathbb{H}_m$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left[ \frac{\nu}{2 \|A^{-1/2} Q_m\|^2} \left( \|X_t^{m,x}\|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|X_s^{m,x}\|_V^2 ds \right) \right] \leq \exp \left[ \frac{\nu(\|x\|^2 + \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 t)}{2 \|A^{-1/2} Q_m\|^2} \right].$$

*Proof.* By the Itô formula, we have

$$(3.1) \quad d\|X_t^{m,x}\|^2 + 2\nu \|X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2 dt = \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 dt + 2\langle X_t^{m,x}, Q_m dW_t \rangle.$$

Let

$$\tau_n = \inf \{t \geq 0 : \|X_t^{m,x}\| \geq n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have  $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$M_t^{(n)} = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \langle X_s^{m,x}, Q_m dW_s \rangle.$$

Then for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$t \mapsto \exp [2\lambda M_t^{(n)} - 2\lambda^2 \langle M^{(n)} \rangle_t]$$

is a martingale. Therefore, it follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \exp \left[ \lambda \|X_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{m,x}\|^2 + 2\nu \lambda \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \|X_s^{m,x}\|_V^2 ds - 2\lambda^2 \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \|Q_m^* X_s^{m,x}\|^2 ds \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left[ \lambda(\|x\|^2 + t \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2) + 2\lambda M_t^{(n)} - 2\lambda^2 \langle M^{(n)} \rangle_t \right] \\ & = \exp [\lambda(\|x\|^2 + t \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\|Q_m^*x\| = \|Q_m^*A^{-1/2}A^{1/2}x\| \leq \|Q_m^*A^{-1/2}\| \|x\|_V = \|A^{-1/2}Q_m\|^2 \|x\|_V, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}_m,$$

by letting  $n \uparrow \infty$  in (3.2) and taking

$$\lambda = \frac{\nu}{2\|A^{-1/2}Q_m\|^2},$$

we complete the proof. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\nu^3 \geq 4\pi\|A^{-1/2}Q_m\|^2$ . Then for any  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}_m)$ ,*

$$\|Q_m DP_t^{(m)}f\|^2(x) \leq (P_t^{(m)}\|Q_m Df\|^2)(x) \exp\left[\frac{2\pi}{\nu^2}(\|x\|^2 + t\|Q_m\|_{HS}^2)\right]$$

holds for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{H}_m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathbb{H}_m$ . According to e.g. [4, Section 5.4],

$$D_h X_t^{m,x} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{X_t^{m,x+\varepsilon h} - X_t^{m,x}}{\varepsilon}, \quad t \geq 0$$

exists and solves the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_h X_t^{m,x} = -\{\nu A D_h X_t^{m,x} + \tilde{B}_m(X_t^{m,x}, D_h X_t^{m,x})\},$$

where  $\tilde{B}_m(x, y) := B(x, y) + B(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_m$ . By (1.1), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|D_h X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2 &= -2\nu \|A D_h X_t^{m,x}\|^2 - 2\langle A D_h X_t^{m,x}, \tilde{B}_m(X_t^{m,x}, D_h X_t^{m,x}) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\nu} \|\tilde{B}_m(X_t^{m,x}, D_h X_t^{m,x})\|^2 \leq \frac{2\pi}{\nu} \|X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2 \|D_h X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|D_h X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2 \leq \|h\|_V^2 \exp\left[\frac{2\pi}{\nu} \int_0^t \|X_s^{m,x}\|_V^2 ds\right].$$

Since  $\nu^3 \geq 4\pi\|A^{-1/2}Q_m\|^2$  implies that

$$\frac{\nu^2}{2\|A^{-1/2}Q_m\|^2} \geq \frac{2\pi}{\nu},$$

by Lemma 3.1 and using the Jensen inequality we arrive at

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{E}\|D_h X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2 \leq \|h\|_V^2 \exp\left[\frac{2\pi}{\nu}(\|x\|^2 + t\|Q_m\|_{HS}^2)\right].$$

Consequently, by the dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad D_h P_t^{(m)} f(x) = \mathbb{E} \langle Df(X_t^{m,x}), D_h X_t^{m,x} \rangle, \quad f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{H}_m), x \in \mathbb{H}_m, t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Q_m D P_t^{(m)} f\|^2 &= \sup_{\|\tilde{h}\| \leq 1} \langle Q_m D P_t^{(m)} f, \tilde{h} \rangle^2 = \sup_{\|\tilde{h}\| \leq 1} \langle D P_t^{(m)} f, Q_m^* \tilde{h} \rangle^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|h\|_{Q_m} \leq 1} |D_h P_t^{(m)} f|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|h\|_{Q_m} := \inf \{ \|z\| : z \in \mathbb{H}_m, Q_m^* z = h \}$$

and  $\|h\|_{Q_m} = \infty$  if the set on the right hand side is empty. Now, for any  $h \in \mathbb{H}_m$  with  $\|h\|_{Q_m} \leq 1$ , let  $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{H}$  be such that  $Q_m^* z_n = h$  and  $\|z_n\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ . By (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_h P_t^{(m)}|^2(x) &= (\mathbb{E} \langle Df(X_t^{m,x}), D_h X_t^{m,x} \rangle)^2 = (\mathbb{E} \langle Q_m Df(X_t^{m,x}), D_{z_n} X_t^{m,x} \rangle)^2 \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E} \|Q_m Df(X_t^{m,x})\|^2) \mathbb{E} \|D_{z_n} X_t^{m,x}\|^2 = (\mathbb{E} \|Q_m Df(X_t^{m,x})\|^2) \mathbb{E} \|A^{-1/2} D_{z_n} X_t^{m,x}\|_V^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.3) and (3.5) and letting  $n \uparrow \infty$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

According to the  $L^2$ -gradient estimate in Lemma 3.2, we are able to prove the log-Harnack inequality for  $P_t^{(m)}$  as in [11].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\nu^3 \geq 4\pi \|A^{-1/2} Q_m\|^2$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{H}_m)$  with  $f \geq 1$ ,*

$$P_t^{(m)} \log f(x) \leq \log P_t^{(m)} f(y) + \frac{\pi \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 \|x - y\|_{Q_m}^2 \exp[\frac{2\pi}{\nu^2} (\|x\|^2 \vee \|y\|^2)]}{1 - \exp[-\frac{2\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 t]}$$

holds for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{H}_m$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove for  $\|x - y\|_{Q_m} < \infty$ . Let  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{H}_m$  be such that  $Q_m^* z_n = x - y$  and  $\|z_n\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|_{Q_m}^2 + \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $\gamma \in C^1([0, t]; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(t) = 1$ . Finally, let  $x_s = (x - y)\gamma(s) + y, s \in [0, t]$ . Then, by Lemma 3.2 we have (see [11, Proof of Theorem 2.1] for explanation of the second equality)

$$\begin{aligned}
P_t^{(m)} \log f(x) - \log P_t^{(m)} f(y) &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} \{P_s^{(m)} \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\}(x_s) ds \\
&= \int_0^t \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} P_s^{(m)} \|Q_m D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\|^2 + \gamma'(s) \langle x - y, D P_s^{(m)} \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f \rangle \right\} (x_s) ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t P_s^{(m)} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|Q_m D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + |\gamma'(s)| \cdot \|z_n\| e^{2\pi(\|x_s\|^2 + \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 s)/\nu^2} \|Q_m D \log P_{t-s}^{(m)} f\| \right\} (x_s) ds \\
&\leq \frac{\|z_n\|^2}{2} \int_0^t |\gamma'(s)|^2 e^{4\pi(\|x_s\|^2 + \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 s)/\nu^2} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x_s\| \leq \|x\| \vee \|y\|$ , by taking

$$\gamma(s) = \frac{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 s]}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q_m\|_{HS}^2 t]}, \quad s \in [0, t]$$

we obtain

$$P_t^{(m)} \log f(x) - \log P_t^{(m)} f(y) + \frac{2\pi \|Q\|_{HS}^2 \|z_n\|^2}{1 - \exp[-\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} \|Q\|_{HS}^2 t]} \exp\left[\frac{4\pi}{\nu^2} (\|x\|^2 \vee \|y\|^2)\right].$$

This completes the proof by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It suffices to prove for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{H})$  with  $f \geq 1$ . Let  $\|x - y\|_Q < \infty$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $Q^* z = x - y$  and  $\|z\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|_Q^2 + \varepsilon$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $Q_m^* z = \pi_m x - \pi_m y$ . Let  $x_m = \pi_m x$ ,  $z_m = \pi_m z$  and  $y_m = \pi_m y + Q_m^*(z - \pi_m z)$ . Then  $z_m \in \mathbb{H}_m$  and  $Q_m^* z_m = x_m - y_m$ , so that

$$\|x_m - y_m\|_{Q_m}^2 \leq \|z_m\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|_Q^2 + \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that  $x_m \rightarrow x$  and  $y_m \rightarrow y$  hold in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Combining these with Proposition 3.3 and (2.2), and letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* The intrinsic strong Feller property follows from [16, Proposition 2.3], while the entropy-cost inequality in (2) follow from the proof of Corollary 1.2 in [11]. So, it remains to prove (3).

(a). Applying (1.5) to  $f := 1 + m1_{B(x,r)}$  for  $m \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad P_t \log(1 + m1_{B_V(x,r)})(x) \leq \log \{1 + mP_t 1_{B_V(x,r)}(y)\} + \alpha(t) \mathbf{c}(x, y), \quad t > 0, m \geq 1$$

for some function  $\alpha : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  independent of  $x, y$  and  $m$ . By Proposition 2.3 we have  $\|X_t^x - x\|_V \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Then there exists  $t_0 > 0$  depending only on  $x$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_t^x - x\|_V < r) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad t \in [0, t_0].$$

Thus, if  $\mathbb{P}(X_t^y \in B_V(x, r)) = 0$  for some  $t \in (0, t_0]$ , then (3.6) yields that

$$\frac{1}{2} \log(1 + m) \leq P_t \log(1 + m1_{B_V(x, r)})(x) \leq \alpha(t)\mathbf{c}(x, y), \quad m \geq 1,$$

which is impossible since  $\|\cdot\|_Q \leq C\|\cdot\|_V$  implies that  $\mathbf{c}(x, y) < \infty$  for  $x, y \in V$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t^z \in B_V(x, r)) > 0, \quad t \in (0, t_0], z \in V.$$

Combining this with the Markov property we see that for  $t > t_0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_t^y \in B(x, r)) = \int_V \mathbb{P}(X_{t_0}^z \in B(x, r))P_{t-t_0}(y, dz) > 0,$$

where  $P_{t-t_0}(y, dz)$  is the distribution of  $X_{t-t_0}^y$ . Therefore, (1.6) holds.

(b). The existence of the invariant measures for Burgers equation is well known ([6, Section 14.4]). Since (1) and  $\|\cdot\|_Q \leq C\|\cdot\|_V$  imply the strong Feller property of  $P_t$  on  $V$ , by classical Doob's Theorem, see Theorem 4.2.1 in [6], Eq. (1.2) has a unique invariant measure  $\mu$ , which is strong mixing and supported on  $V$ . The full support property of  $\mu$ , together with the strong Feller of  $P_t$ , implies the existence of transition density  $p_t(x, y)$ . Finally, due to [16, Proposition 2.4(2)], (1.7) is equivalent to the log-Harnack inequality (1.5), while (1.8) follows from (1.5) according to the proof of [11, Corollary 1.2].  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below*, Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006), 223233.
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119 (2009), 3653–3670.
- [3] S. G. Bobkov, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux, *Hypercontractivity of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 80 (2001), 669–696.
- [4] G. Da Prato, *Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.
- [5] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.
- [6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 229, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

- [7] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 99–117.
- [8] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. v. Renesse and M. Scheutzow, *Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 14 (2009), 560–565.
- [9] W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342 (2008), 651–662.
- [10] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack and functional inequalities for generalized Mehler semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), 237–261.
- [11] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Log-harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences*, Infin. Dim. Anal. Quat. Probab. Relat. Top. 13 (2010), 27–37.
- [12] F. Otto, C. Villani, *Generalization of an inequality by Talagrand, and links with the logarithmic Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 173 (2000), 361–400.
- [13] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, (1995), xiv+141.
- [14] F.-Y. Wang, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 109 (1997), 417–424.
- [15] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, Ann. Probab. 35 (2007), 1333–1350.
- [16] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94 (2010), 304–321.
- [17] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds*, to appear in Annals of Probab. arXiv:0911.1644
- [18] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, *Bismut type formula and its application to stochastic hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes/Burgers equations*, arXiv:1009.1464