

On the infimum attained by a reflected Lévy process

Citation for published version (APA):

Debicki, K. G., Kosinski, K. M., & Mandjes, M. R. H. (2011). *On the infimum attained by a reflected Lévy process*. (Report Eurandom; Vol. 2011005). Eurandom.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2011

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

EURANDOM PREPRINT SERIES
2011-005

**ON THE INFIMUM ATTAINED
BY A REFLECTED LÉVY PROCESS**

K. DĘBICKI, K.M. KOSIŃSKI, AND M. MANDJES
ISSN 1389-2355

ON THE INFIMUM ATTAINED BY A REFLECTED LÉVY PROCESS

K. DEBICKI, K.M. KOSIŃSKI, AND M. MANDJES

ABSTRACT. This paper considers a Lévy-driven queue (i.e., a Lévy process reflected at 0), and focuses on the distribution of $M(t)$, that is, the minimal value attained in an interval of length t (where it is assumed that the queue is in stationarity at the beginning of the interval). The first contribution is an explicit characterization of this distribution, in terms of Laplace transforms, for spectrally one-sided Lévy processes (i.e., either only positive jumps or only negative jumps). The second contribution concerns the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u)$ (for different classes of functions T_u and u large); here we have to distinguish between heavy-tailed and light-tailed scenarios.

1. INTRODUCTION

The class of processes with stationary and independent increments, known as *Lévy processes*, form a key object in applied probability. A substantial body of literature is devoted to Lévy processes that are reflected at 0, sometimes also referred to as *Lévy-driven queues*, and are regarded as a valuable generalization of the classical M/G/1 queues; also the important special case of reflected Brownian motion is covered.

These reflected Lévy processes are defined as follows. Let $X \equiv \{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a Lévy process with (without loss of generality) zero drift: $\mathbb{E}X(1) = 0$. Then define the queueing process (or: workload process, storage process) $Q \equiv \{Q(t) : t \geq 0\}$ through

$$Q(t) := \sup_{s \leq t} (X(t) - X(s) - c(t - s)),$$

where it is assumed that the workload is in *equilibrium* (stationarity) at time 0, i.e., $Q(0) =_d Q_e$.

We refer to this process Q as the reflection of the Lévy process $Y = \{Y(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ at 0, where $Y(t) := X(t) - ct$. In the sequel we normalize time such that $c = 1$.

When considering the steady state Q_e of the reflected process introduced above, the literature can be roughly divided into two categories. (A) In the first place there are results on the full distribution of Q_e , in terms of the corresponding Laplace transform. Particularly for the case of *one-sided jumps*, these transforms are fairly explicit. If X is such that it has only positive jumps, $X \in \mathcal{S}^+$ (which is often referred to as the *spectrally positive case*), then a generalization of the classical Pollaczek-Khintchine formula was derived [20], while in the case of only negative jumps, $X \in \mathcal{S}^-$ (*spectrally negative*), Q_e was seen to be exponentially distributed. In the Lévy processes literature [5, 16], this type of results can be found under the denominator *fluctuation theory*. We recall that there are powerful tools available for numerical inversion of Laplace transforms [1, 13]. (B) In the second place there are results that describe the *asymptotics* of $\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u)$ for u large. Then one has to distinguish between results in which the upper tail of the Lévy increments is light on one hand, sometimes referred to as the Cramér case, and results that correspond to the heavy-tailed regime on the other hand; see for instance [15] and references therein.

Date: December 4, 2010.

Key words and phrases. Lévy processes, fluctuation theory, Queues, heavy tails, large deviations.

KD was supported by MNiSW Grant N N201 394137 (2009-2011) and by a travel grant from NWO (Mathematics Cluster STAR).

KK was supported by NWO grant 613.000.701.

KD and MM thank the Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge, for hospitality.

In the present short communication, we consider a related problem: we analyze how long the process consecutively spends above a given level. More formally, we consider the distribution of $M(t) := \inf_{s \in [0, t]} Q(s)$, i.e., the minimum value attained by the workload process in a window of length t , where it is assumed that the queue is in stationarity at the beginning of the interval. This problem has various applications: one could for instance think of the analysis of persistent overload in an element of a communication network or a node in a supply chain; see e.g. [17]. A related study on the situation of infinitely-divisible self-similar input is [2].

Our results correspond to both branches (A) and (B) mentioned above: in Section 2 we present results on the Laplace transform of $M(t)$, relying on known results for Lévy fluctuation theory; we also consider the special case of Brownian motion. Section 3 identifies the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u)$ for different classes of functions T_u and u large; as expected, we need to distinguish between heavy-tailed and light-tailed input.

Recall that

$$Y(t) = X(t) - t, \quad Q(t) = \sup_{s \leq t} (Y(t) - Y(s)), \quad M(t) = \inf_{s \in [0, t]} Q(s);$$

we will also extensively use the following notation:

$$K(t) := \inf_{s \in [0, t]} Y(s)$$

so that $M(t) = Q(0) + K(t)$. Notice that due to the independent increments property of X , the random variables $Q(0)$ and $K(t)$ are independent, and hence $M(t) =_d Q_e + K(t)$.

2. TRANSFORMS FOR THE SPECTRALLY ONE-SIDED CASE

In this section we evaluate the double transform, with $x \geq 0, y > 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} e^{-yt} d\mathbb{P}(M(t) \leq u) dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} e^{-xM(t)} e^{-yt} dt.$$

As indicated in the introduction, we do so for Lévy processes with one-sided jumps. We separately treat the spectrally-positive and spectrally-negative case.

Let us start with computations that are valid for any Lévy process X as introduced in Section 1. Integration by parts yields

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-yt} \left(1 - x \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} \mathbb{P}(M(t) > u) du \right) dt = \frac{1}{y} - x \mathcal{K}(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x, y) &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} e^{-yt} \mathbb{P}(M(t) > u) dudt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} e^{-yt} \mathbb{P}(Q(0) + K(t) > u) dudt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-xu} e^{-yt} \int_u^\infty \mathbb{P}(K(t) > u - z) d\mathbb{P}(Q(0) \leq z) dudt. \end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to evaluate the ‘double transforms’ $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(x, y)$ that uniquely determine the distribution of $M(t)$.

Let $R_z := \inf\{t \geq 0 : -Y(t) > z\}$ denote the first passage time of $-Y$ over level $z > 0$; note that Y has a negative drift, and therefore R_z is finite almost surely. As the event $\{K(t) > -z\}$ coincides with $\{R_z > t\}$, we obtain, after interchanging the order of integration,

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^z e^{-xu} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-yt} \mathbb{P}(R_{z-u} > t) dt \right) dud\mathbb{P}(Q(0) \leq z).$$

2.1. Spectrally positive case.

Theorem 1. Let $X \in \mathcal{S}^+$. Denote $\vartheta(s) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{-sY(1)}$. Then with $x \geq 0, y > 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = \frac{x}{\vartheta(x)y} + \frac{x\vartheta^{-1}(y)}{(x - \vartheta^{-1}(y))y^2} - \frac{x^2}{(x - \vartheta^{-1}(y))y\vartheta(x)}.$$

Proof. It is well-known that $\mathbb{E}e^{-yR_z} = e^{-z\vartheta^{-1}(y)}$. Noting that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-yt} \mathbb{P}(R_{z-u} > t) dt = \frac{1}{y} (1 - \mathbb{E}e^{-yR_{z-u}}) = \frac{1}{y} (1 - e^{-(z-u)\vartheta^{-1}(y)}),$$

it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \left(\left(\frac{1 - e^{-xz}}{xy} \right) - \left(\frac{e^{-z\vartheta^{-1}(y)} - e^{-xz}}{(x - \vartheta^{-1}(y))y} \right) \right) d\mathbb{P}(Q(0) \leq z).$$

Recalling that $Q(0) \stackrel{d}{=} Q_e$ and using ‘Pollaczek-Khintchine’ we know that $\mathbb{E}e^{\alpha Q_e} = \alpha\vartheta'(0)/\vartheta(\alpha)$. Therefore the claim follows from (1) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \frac{1}{xy} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\vartheta(x)} \right) - \frac{1}{(x - \vartheta^{-1}(y))y} \left(\frac{\vartheta^{-1}(y)}{y} - \frac{x}{\vartheta(x)} \right).$$

□

2.2. Spectrally negative case.

Theorem 2. Let $X \in \mathcal{S}^-$. Denote by $\eta^{-1}(x) = \sup\{s \geq 0 : \eta(s) = x\}$ the right-inverse of $\eta(s) = \log \mathbb{E}e^{sY(1)}$. Then with $x \geq 0, y > 0$,

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = \frac{1}{y} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\eta^{-1}(0) + x} \frac{\eta^{-1}(y)}{\eta^{-1}(0) + \eta^{-1}(y)} \right).$$

Proof. Notice that in this case $Q(0) \stackrel{d}{=} Q_e$ is exponentially distributed with parameter $\alpha := \eta^{-1}(0) > 0$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^z e^{-xu} \cdot \frac{1}{y} (1 - \mathbb{E}e^{-yR_{z-u}}) \alpha e^{-\alpha z} du dz = \frac{1}{(\alpha + x)y} \left(1 - \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}e^{-yR_z} \alpha e^{-\alpha z} dz \right).$$

The second factorization identity [16], states that

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}e^{-yR_z} e^{-\alpha z} dz = \frac{\kappa(y, \alpha) - \kappa(y, 0)}{\alpha\kappa(y, \alpha)},$$

where in this spectrally negative case $\kappa(y, x) = \eta^{-1}(y) + x$. Now the claim follows from (1) and the fact that

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + x)y} \frac{\kappa(y, 0)}{\kappa(y, \alpha)}.$$

□

2.3. Brownian motion.

Theorem 3. Let X be a standard Brownian motion $B \equiv \{B(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then, for each $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(M(t) > u) = \exp(-2u) \left(2(1+t)\Psi(\sqrt{t}) - \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}\right) \right),$$

where $\Psi(x) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} > x)$ for a standard normal random variable \mathcal{N} .

Proof. Because $B \in \mathcal{S}^-$, $Q(0) =_d Q_e$ has an exponential distribution with mean $1/2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M(t) > u) &= \mathbb{P}\left(Q(0) + \inf_{s \in [0, t]} (B(s) - s) > u\right) \\ &= \int_u^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{s \in [0, t]} (B(s) - s) > u - x\right) 2 \exp(-2x) dx \\ &= 2 \exp(-2u) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} (B(s) + s) < y\right) \exp(-2y) dy \\ &= \exp(-2u) \mathbb{E} \exp\left(-2 \sup_{s \in [0, t]} (B(s) + s)\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows after some elementary computations (see also [7, Eqn. (1.1.3)] or [4]). \square

3. ASYMPTOTICS

In this section we consider the asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u)$ for a variety of functions T_u and u large. As usual, heavy-tailed and light-tailed scenarios need to be addressed separately.

3.1. Heavy-tailed case. In this section we shall work with the following assumption about the Lévy process X :

Assumption 1. For $\alpha > 1$, let $X(1) \in \mathcal{RV}(-\alpha)$ – the class of distributions with a complementary distribution function that is regularly varying at ∞ with index $-\alpha$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, then in addition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X < -x)}{\mathbb{P}(X > x)} = \rho \in [0, \infty).$$

We start with the following general proposition.

Proposition 1. For a Lévy process X such that $\mathbb{E}X(1) = 0$, as $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$K(u)/u \rightarrow -1 \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

Proof. Observe that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any fixed $T \leq u$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{K(u)}{u} + 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y(u)}{u} > -1 + \varepsilon\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\inf_{t \in [0, T]} Y(t) < \inf_{t \in (T, u]} Y(t)\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{u} \inf_{t \in [0, T]} Y(t) < -1 - \varepsilon\right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which can be realized due to the fact that $Y(u)/u \rightarrow -1$ and $\inf_{t \in [0, T]} Y(t)/u \rightarrow 0$, almost surely. \square

In the sequel we say that $f(n) \sim g(n)$ if $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2. Assume that the Lévy process X satisfies Assumption 1.

(i) If $f(n) \geq n$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(X(n) > f(n)) \sim n \mathbb{P}(X(1) > f(n)),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) As $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u) \sim \frac{u}{\alpha - 1} \mathbb{P}(X(1) > u).$$

Proof. *Ad (i).* These asymptotics can be found in, e.g., [10] for $\alpha \geq 2$ and [8, 9] for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$; see also [14] for a recent treatment. *Ad (ii).* See, e.g., [3, 15]. \square

We now state the main result of this subsection: the exact asymptotics of $\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u)$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that the Lévy process X satisfies Assumption 1. Then*

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) \sim \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + T_u) + T_u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > u + T_u), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

The asymptotics in Theorem 4 can be made more explicit. Part (ii) of Proposition 2 immediately leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Assume that the Lévy process X satisfies Assumption 1. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > u) & \text{when } T_u = o(u), \\ \frac{A+\alpha}{\alpha-1} (A+1)^{-\alpha} T_u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > T_u) & \text{when } u \sim AT_u, \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} T_u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > T_u) & \text{when } u = o(T_u), \end{cases}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof consists of an upper bound and a lower bound. We use the notation $T_u^- := \lfloor T_u \rfloor$ and $T_u^+ := \lceil T_u \rceil$.

Upper bound. To prove an (asymptotically) tight upper bound for $\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u)$, first we observe that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, using that $Q(0) =_d Q_e$ is independent of $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) &\leq \mathbb{P}(M(T_u^-) > u) \leq \mathbb{P}(Q_e + X(T_u^-) \geq u + T_u^-) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(Q_e > (1-\varepsilon)(u + T_u^-)) + \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^-) > (1-\varepsilon)(u + T_u^-)) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}(Q_e > \varepsilon(u + T_u^-)) \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^-) > \varepsilon(u + T_u^-)) \\ &=: \pi_1^+(u) + \pi_2^+(u) + \pi_3^+(u). \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) of Proposition 2 and the strong law of large numbers for X , it is easy to show that $\pi_3^+(u) = o(\pi_1^+(u))$ for a fixed ε . It is standard now to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_1^+(u)}{\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + T_u)} = 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_2^+(u)}{T_u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > u + T_u)} = 1,$$

due to item (i) in Proposition 2. This establishes the upper bound.

Lower bound. As for the lower bound observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) &\geq \mathbb{P}(M(T_u^+) > u) \geq \mathbb{P}(Q_e + K(T_u^+) > u, X(T_u^+) - T_u^+ - K(T_u^+) < \varepsilon T_u^+) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(Q_e + X(T_u^+) > u + (1+\varepsilon)T_u^+) \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^+) - T_u^+ - K(T_u^+) < \varepsilon T_u^+) \\ &=: \pi_1^-(u) \pi_2^-(u). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, $\pi_2^-(u) \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1^-(u) &\geq \mathbb{P}(Q_e + X(T_u^+) - \varepsilon T_u^+/2 > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+, X(T_u^+) > -\varepsilon T_u^+/2) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(\{Q_e > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+, X(T_u^+) > -\varepsilon T_u^+/2\} \cup \{X(T_u^+) > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+\}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+) \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^+) > -\varepsilon T_u^+/2) + \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^+) > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+) \mathbb{P}(X(T_u^+) > u + (1+\varepsilon/2)T_u^+) \\ &=: \pi_3^-(u) \pi_4^-(u) + \pi_5^-(u) - \pi_6^-(u) \pi_7^-(u), \end{aligned}$$

where we again used that $Q(0) =_d Q_e$ and $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$ are independent. By the strong law of large numbers, $\pi_4^-(u) \rightarrow 1$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, it is easy to show that $\pi_6^-(u)\pi_7^-(u) = o(\pi_3^-(u))$. Now the lower bound follows by noting that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_3^-(u)}{\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + T_u)} = 1,$$

and that (i) of Proposition 2 yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_5^-(u)}{T_u \mathbb{P}(X(1) > u + T_u)} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.1.1. Stable Lévy processes. Following the notation from [19], let $S_\alpha(\sigma, \beta, \mu)$ be a stable law with index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, scale parameter $\sigma > 0$, skewness parameter $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ and drift $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. We call X an (α, β) -stable Lévy process if X is a Lévy process and $X(1)$ has the same distribution as $S_\alpha(1, \beta, 0)$.

Let

$$B(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)}{\pi} \sqrt{1 + \beta^2 \tan^2\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)} \sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} + \arctan\left(\beta \tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\right)\right),$$

and let X be an (α, β) -stable Lévy process with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\beta \in (-1, 1]$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(X(1) > u) \sim \frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{\alpha} u^{-\alpha},$$

see, e.g., [18, Prop. 2.1]. Now Theorem 4 can be rephrased as follows.

Corollary 2. For an (α, β) -stable Lévy process X with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ and $\beta \in (-1, 1]$,

$$\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{\alpha} u^{1-\alpha} & \text{when } T_u = o(u), \\ \frac{A+\alpha}{\alpha-1} (A+1)^{-\alpha} \frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{\alpha} T_u^{1-\alpha} & \text{when } u \sim AT_u, \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \frac{B(\alpha, \beta)}{\alpha} T_u^{1-\alpha} & \text{when } u = o(T_u), \end{cases}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. Light-tailed case. In this subsection, we consider the light-tailed situation, also frequently referred to as the Cramér case. Throughout, with $\phi(\vartheta) := \log \mathbb{E} \exp(\vartheta X(1))$ denoting the *cumulant function*, we impose the following assumption.

Assumption 2. Let

$$\beta^* := \sup\{\beta : \mathbb{E} e^{\beta X(1)} < \infty\}$$

Assume that $\beta^* > 0$ and there exists $\vartheta^* \in (0, \beta^*)$, such that $\phi(\vartheta^*) = \vartheta^*$. Moreover, assume that 0 is regular for X , that is, $\mathbb{P}(\inf\{t > 0 : X(t) > 0\} = 0) = 1$.

For $r \geq 0$, define

$$I(r) := \sup_{\vartheta > 0} (\vartheta r - \phi(\vartheta)).$$

Proposition 3. Under Assumption 2, the following statements hold.

(i) As $u \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\log \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u) \sim -\vartheta^* u.$$

(ii) For all $u > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u) \leq e^{-\vartheta^* u}.$$

(iii) The function I obeys

$$I(1) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad I'(1) \leq \vartheta^*.$$

(iv) For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{u} \log \mathbb{P}(K(u) > -\varepsilon u) \geq -I(1)$$

Proof. For (i) and (ii), we refer to [6]. For (iii), notice that $I(1) = \sup_{\vartheta > 0} (\vartheta - \psi(\vartheta))$ is attained for $\vartheta \in (0, \vartheta^*)$; therefore also $I'(1) \leq \vartheta^*$. As for (iv), observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(K(u) > -\varepsilon u) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{Y(u \cdot)}{u} \in A_\varepsilon\right),$$

where

$$A_\varepsilon := \{f \in D[0, 1] : f(t) > -\varepsilon, \forall t \in [0, 1]\}$$

and $D[0, 1]$ is the space of càdlàg functions on $[0, 1]$. Using sample-path large deviations results for Lévy processes, see [11, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2], we now obtain that

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{u} \log \mathbb{P}(K(u) > -\varepsilon u) \geq -\inf\{\psi(f) : f \in A_\varepsilon \cap C[0, 1]\},$$

where $\psi(f) := \int_0^1 I(f'(t) + 1) dt$. Now observe that the path $f^* \equiv 0$ is in A_ε . The stated follows by realizing that $\psi(f^*) = I(1)$. \square

Now we can proceed with the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 5. *Assume that the Lévy process X satisfies Assumption 2. Then*

$$\log \mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) \sim -u\vartheta^* - T_u I(1), \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

The asymptotics in Theorem 5 can trivially be made more explicit by comparing both exponential decay rates. The intuition behind the following corollary is that, in large deviations language, the most likely path corresponding to the rare event under study first builds up from an empty system to level u (at time 0), and then remains at level u for the next T_u time units; both parts of the path result in both contributions to the decay rate (i.e., $-u\vartheta^*$ and $-T_u I(1)$). Then, depending on whether T_u is small or large with respect to u , one of these two contributions dominates.

Corollary 3. *Assume that the Lévy process X satisfies Assumption 2. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) \sim \begin{cases} -u\vartheta^* & \text{when } T_u = o(u), \\ -T_u(A\vartheta^* + I(1)) & \text{when } u \sim AT_u, \\ -T_u I(1) & \text{when } u = o(T_u), \end{cases}$$

as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 5. The proof again consists of two bounds.

Lower bound. Observe that the probability of interest is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, bounded from below by

$$\mathbb{P}(Q_e > u + \varepsilon T_u) \mathbb{P}(K(T_u) > -\varepsilon T_u).$$

Now the lower bound follows by combining parts (i) and (iv) of Proposition 3, and then sending $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Upper bound. Observe that

$$K(t) \leq (X(t) - t) \mathbb{I}(t),$$

where $\mathbb{I}(t)$ denotes the indicator function $1_{\{X(t)/t \in (0,1)\}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(M(T_u) > u) &\leq \mathbb{P}(Q_e + (X(T_u) - T_u)\mathbb{I}(T_u) > u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u - xT_u + T_u\mathbb{I}(\cdot)) d\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X(T_u)}{T_u}\mathbb{I}(T_u) \leq x\right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(Q_e > u - xT_u + T_u) d\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X(T_u)}{T_u}\mathbb{I}(T_u) \leq x\right) \\ &\leq e^{-\vartheta^* u} \int_0^1 e^{-\vartheta^* T_u(1-x)} d\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X(T_u)}{T_u}\mathbb{I}(T_u) \leq x\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from part (ii) of Proposition 3. The sequence $\{X(u)\mathbb{I}(u)/u\}$ satisfies the large deviations principle on $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}(0, 1))$ with rate u and rate function $I(\cdot)$. Thus, Varadhan's Lemma [12, Theorem. 4.3.1] implies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T_u} \log \int_0^1 e^{-\vartheta^* T_u(1-x)} d\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{X(T_u)}{T_u}\mathbb{I}(T_u) \leq x\right) = - \inf_{x \in (0,1)} (\vartheta^*(1-x) + I(x)) = I(1),$$

where the last equality is due to part (iii) of Proposition 3 and convexity of $I(\cdot)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Abate and W. Whitt. Numerical inversion of Laplace transforms of probability distributions. *ORSA J. Comput.*, 7:36–43, 1995.
- [2] J. Albin and G. Samorodnitsky. On overload in a storage model, with a self-similar and infinitely divisible input. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14:820–844, 2004.
- [3] S. Asmussen. Subexponential asymptotics for stochastic processes: extremal behavior, stationary distributions and first passage probabilities. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 8:354–374, 1998.
- [4] G. Baxter and M. Donsker. On the distribution of the supremum functional for processes with stationary independent increments. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85:73–87, 57.
- [5] J. Bertoin. *Lévy Processes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996.
- [6] J. Bertoin and A. Doney. Cramér’s estimate for Lévy processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 21:363–365, 1994.
- [7] A. Borodin and P. Salminen. *Handbook of Brownian Motion – Facts and Formulae*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1996.
- [8] A. Borovkov. Estimates for the distribution of sums and maxima of sums of random variables when the Cramér condition is not satisfied. *Siberian Math. J.*, 41:811–848, 2000.
- [9] A. Borovkov and O. Boxma. On large deviation probabilities for random walks with heavy tails. *Siberian Adv. Math.*, 13:1–31, 2003.
- [10] D. Cline and T. Hsing. Large deviation probabilities for sums of random variables with heavy or subexponential tails. Technical report, Texas A&M University, 1994.
- [11] A. de Acosta. Large deviations for vector-valued Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 51:75–115, 1994.
- [12] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer, New York, USA, 2nd edition, 1998.
- [13] P. den Iseger. Numerical transform inversion using Gaussian quadrature. *Probab. Engrg. Inform. Sci.*, 20:1–44, 2006.
- [14] D. Denisov, Dieker A., and V. Shneer. Large deviations for random walks under subexponentiality: the big-jump domain. *Ann. Probab.*, 36:1946–1991, 2008.
- [15] A. Dieker. Applications of factorization embeddings for Lévy processes. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 38:768–791, 2006.
- [16] A. Kyprianou. *Introductory Lectures on fluctuations of Lévy process with applications*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- [17] A. Pacheco and H. Ribeiro. Consecutive customer losses in regular and oscillating $M^X/G/1/n$ systems. *Queueing Syst.*, 58:121–136, 2008.
- [18] S. Port. Stable processes with drift on the line. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 313:805–841, 1989.
- [19] G. Samorodnitsky and M. Taqqu. *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes: stochastic models with infinite variance*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1994.
- [20] V. Zolotarev. The first passage time of a level and the behaviour at infinity for a class of processes with independent increments. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 9:653–661, 1964.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND.
E-mail address: Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl

KORTEWEG-DE VRIES INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS; EURANDOM, EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
E-mail address: K.M.Kosinski@uva.nl

KORTEWEG-DE VRIES INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS; EURANDOM, EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, THE NETHERLANDS; CWI, AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: M.R.H.Mandjes@uva.nl