

# Hitting times and the running maximum of Markovian growth collapse processes

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Lopker, A. H., & Stadje, W. (2009). *Hitting times and the running maximum of Markovian growth collapse processes*. (Report Eurandom; Vol. 2009011). Eurandom.

**Document status and date:**

Published: 01/01/2009

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

**Please check the document version of this publication:**

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

[www.tue.nl/taverne](http://www.tue.nl/taverne)

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

[openaccess@tue.nl](mailto:openaccess@tue.nl)

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

# Hitting Times and the Running Maximum of Markovian Growth Collapse Processes

Andreas Löpker\* and Wolfgang Stadje†

May 11, 2009

## Abstract

We consider a Markovian growth collapse process on the state space  $\mathcal{E} = [0, \infty)$  which evolves as follows. Between random downward jumps the process increases with slope one. Both the jump intensity and the jump sizes depend on the current state of the process. We are interested in the behavior of the first hitting time  $\tau_y = \inf\{t \geq 0 | X_t = y\}$  as  $y$  becomes large and the growth of the maximum process  $M_t = \sup\{X_s | 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We consider the recursive sequence of equations  $\mathcal{A}m_n = m_{n-1}$ ,  $m_0 \equiv 1$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the extended generator of the MGCP, and show that the solution sequence (which is essentially unique and can be given in integral form) is related to the moments of  $\tau_y$ . The Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$  can be expressed in closed form (in terms of an integral involving a certain kernel) in a similar way. We derive asymptotic results for the running maximum: (i) if  $m_1(y)$  is of rapid variation, we have  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} 1$ ; (ii) if  $m_1(y)$  is of regular variation with index  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and the MGCP is ergodic, then  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a$ , where  $Z_a$  has a Fréchet distribution. We present several examples.

## 1 Introduction and known results

A Markovian growth collapse process (MGCP) is a Markov process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on the state space  $\mathcal{E} = [0, \infty)$  with no upward jumps and piecewise deterministic right-continuous paths. The process  $X_t$  increases linearly with slope one between the jumps. Hence it

---

\*Eindhoven University of Technology and EURANDOM, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands (lopker@eurandom.tue.nl)

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Osnabrück, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany (wolfgang@mathematik.uos.de)

can be written in the form

$$X_t = X_0 + t - \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} B_k, \quad t \geq 0$$

where  $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a state-dependent counting process and the downward jump sizes  $B_k > 0$  also depend on the current state. MGCPs can be encountered in a large variety of applications, of which we mention growth population models, risk processes, neuron firing, and window sizes in transmission control protocols, and have been studied in [14, 8, 7, 28]. They form a special class of piecewise deterministic Markov processes [11, 10, 6].

We are interested in the behavior of the first hitting time

$$\tau_y = \inf\{t \geq 0 | X_t = y\}$$

of the level  $y \geq 0$  and in the running maximum process

$$M_t = \sup\{X_s | 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

Note that  $X_{\tau_y} = X_{\tau_y-} = y$  almost surely. The main objective of this paper is to evaluate the Laplace transform  $\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y}$  and the moments of  $\tau_y$  for the introduced growth collapse model, in particular the function  $m(y) = \mathbb{E}\tau_y$ , and to derive a quite general result for the convergence of  $M_t$ .

More formally, let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  denote the times of the successive collapses (jumps) of the MGCP and let  $\lambda(\cdot)$  be the jump intensity of the process, so that the probability of a jump during  $[t, t+h]$  given that  $X_t = x$  is  $\lambda(x)h + o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . The probability of a jump from  $x$  into the set  $[0, y]$  is given by  $\mu_x(y)$  where  $\mu_x$  is the distribution function of a probability measure on  $[0, x)$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{E}$ . We assume that

- $\lambda : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is locally integrable and  $\int_0^\infty \lambda(u) du = \infty$  so that  $\mathbb{P}(T_1 = \infty) = 0$ .
- $\mathbb{E}(N_t) < \infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}_x$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x$  denote conditional probability and expectation given that  $X_0 = x$ . It is easy to see that under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  the first hitting time of level  $y > x$  has the same distribution as  $\tau_y - \tau'_x$  under  $\mathbb{P}_0$ , where  $\tau'_x$  is independent of  $\tau_y$  and has the same distribution as  $\tau_x$ . The process  $X_t$  can also be viewed as a regenerative process if we define cycles as the times between successive visits to some fixed recurrent state  $z \in [0, \infty)$ . Let  $C_k$  denote the length of the  $k$ th cycle, where the first cycle starts at time  $C_0 = \tau_z$ . Let  $S_k = \sum_{i=0}^k C_i$  and let  $K(t)$  denote the current cycle at time  $t$ . Then  $S_{K(\tau_y)-1} \leq \tau_y = S_{K(\tau_y)-1} + \bar{\tau}_y$ ,

where  $\bar{\tau}_y$  is distributed as the first hitting time of level  $y$ , starting from  $z$  and given that the process stays above  $z$ . Renyi's theorem states that if  $\mu_C = \mathbb{E}(C_1) < \infty$  then

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(K(\tau_y) = 1)}{\mu_C} S_{K(\tau_y)-1} \xrightarrow{d} Z, \quad y \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\xrightarrow{d}$  denotes weak convergence and  $Z$  is an exponential random variable with unit mean (see the extended version given as Theorem 2.4 in [17]). Let  $\xi_i = \max\{X_t | t \in [S_i, S_{i+1}]\}$  denote the  $i$ th cycle maximum and  $G(y) = \mathbb{P}(\xi_1 \leq y) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(K(\tau_y) = 1)$  the common distribution function of the  $\xi_i$ . If  $\bar{\tau}_y$  is small compared to  $S_{K(\tau_y)-1}$ , then we can expect that

$$\frac{1 - G(y)}{\mu_C} \tau_y \xrightarrow{d} Z, \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

The fact that this is indeed true if  $X_t$  is ergodic is known as Keilson's theorem [18]. Propositions 2 and 3 in [8] imply that for an MGCP  $\mathbb{E}\tau_y^n < \infty$  for all  $y \geq 0$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and that  $X_t$  is ergodic if  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(x) \int_0^x \mu_x(y) dy > 1$ . Moreover, it can be shown (see e.g. [3], Proposition 4.1) that the convergence in (1) also holds in expectation so that

$$m(y) \sim \frac{\mu_C}{1 - G(y)}, \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

Consequently, any asymptotic result for the function  $m$  is at the same time a result for the tail of  $G$ . It then follows from (1) and (2) that in the ergodic case

$$\frac{\tau_y}{m(y)} \xrightarrow{d} Z, \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3)$$

Clearly  $M_t \geq y$  if and only if  $\tau_y \leq t$ , so that various probabilistic properties of  $\tau_y$  can be expressed in terms of properties of  $M_t$ , in particular via the relation  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_y \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(M_t \geq y)$ . Clearly  $\max_{i \leq K(t)-1} \xi_i \leq M_t \leq \max_{i \leq K(t)} \xi_i$ , and since  $K(t) \approx t/\mu_C$  it is to be expected that  $\mathbb{P}(M_t \leq y)$  is close to  $G(y)^{t/\mu_C}$ . Indeed, it is shown in [26] that  $\sup_{y \geq 0} |\mathbb{P}(M_t \leq y) - G(y)^{t/\mu_C}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence classical extreme value theory for i.i.d. variables can be applied to find possible limits of the (properly normalized) process  $M_t$ . The following results are known for general regenerative processes (see [26, 2]). Let  $\vec{G}(t) = \inf\{x : 1 - G(x) \leq 1/t\}$ . A function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called regularly varying if

$$\frac{f(\lambda y)}{f(y)} \rightarrow \lambda^a, \quad y \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and we then write  $f \in \mathcal{R}_a$ . Suppose that  $X_t$  is ergodic. Then, if  $1 - G \in \mathcal{R}_{-a}$  for some  $a > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{M_t}{\vec{G}(t/\mu_C)} \xrightarrow{d} Z_a, \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbb{P}(Z_a \leq x) = e^{-x^{-a}}$  (Frechet distribution). If  $1 - G(y) = \exp(-\int_0^y [1/\delta(u)] du)$  for some absolutely continuous function  $\delta > 0$  having density  $\delta'(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\frac{M_t - \vec{G}(t/\mu_C)}{\delta(\vec{G}(t/\mu_C))} \xrightarrow{d} Z_G, \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}(Z_G \leq x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$  (Gumbel distribution).

In this paper we supplement the above known results by the following contributions. In Section 2 we consider the recursive sequence of equations  $\mathcal{A}m_n = m_{n-1}$ ,  $m_0 \equiv 1$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the extended generator of the MGCP, and show that the solution sequence (which is essentially unique and can be given in integral form) is related to the moments of  $\tau_y$ : we have for example  $\mathbb{E}\tau_y = m_1(y)$  and  $\mathbb{E}\tau_y^2 = 2(m_1(y)^2 - m_2(y))$ . The Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$  can be expressed in closed form (in terms of an integral involving a certain kernel) in a similar way. We also prove the alternative series expansion

$$1/\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(x)s^n, \quad s, x \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Without assuming ergodicity of the MGCP it can be shown (using (5)) that the relation  $m_2(y) = o(m_1(y))$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$  implies (3). In Section 3 we derive asymptotic results for the running maximum: (i) if  $m_1(y)$  is of rapid variation, we have  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} 1$ ; (ii) if  $m_1(y)$  is of regular variation with index  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and the MGCP is ergodic, then  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a$ . In Section 4 we present several examples. In the case of separable jump measures (i.e.,  $\mu_x(y) = \nu(y)/\nu(x)$  for some function  $\nu(x)$ ) we give various explicit results on  $\tau_y$ . Moreover, we prove that if  $\nu$  is regularly varying with index  $b$  and  $x\lambda(x)$  tends to some limit  $a \in (b + 1, \infty]$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a$  if  $a < \infty$  and  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a$  if  $a = \infty$ . In applications  $\lambda(x)$  is usually nondecreasing, leading to  $a = \infty$ ; a typical case is  $\lambda(x) = \lambda x^\beta$  for some  $\beta > 0$ . If  $\nu(x) = x$ , a collapse causes the cut-off of a uniform fraction of the current value, which can be modeled by the multiplication by a random variable that is uniform on  $(0, 1)$ . We also present several closed-form expressions in the general case of jumps generated by multiplication by  $(0, 1)$ -valued random variables. Finally, the results on regularly and rapidly varying functions that are used throughout are collected in an appendix.

We note that instead of studying models with linear increase, we could also study MGCPs  $Y_t$  with a more general deterministic inter-jump behavior, say  $dY_t = r(Y_t) dt$ , where  $r(x)$  is a Lipschitz continuous function. It turns out that we can easily transform  $X_t$  into  $Y_t$  and vice versa by means of the transformation  $X_t = \theta(Y_t)$ , where  $\theta(x) = \int_z^x 1/r(u) du$  measures the time the process  $Y_t$  needs to increase from 0 to  $x$ . It then follows indeed that  $dX_t = (d\theta(Y_t)/dt)(dY_t/dt) = 1$  in between jumps. If  $\widehat{\tau}_y$  and  $\widehat{M}_t$  denote the first

hitting time and the maximum process of  $Y_t$ , then it is easy to see that  $\tau_y = \widehat{\tau}_{\theta^{-1}(y)}$  and  $M_t = \theta(\widehat{M}_t)$ .

## 2 Integral equations and series representations

Our derivations require the notion of the extended generator of the Markov process  $X_t$ . A measurable function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  belongs to the domain of the extended generator if the process

$$M_t^f = f(X_t) - \int_0^t g(X_s) ds, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

is a martingale for some measurable function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . In this case we write  $\mathcal{A}f(x) = g(x)$  and call  $\mathcal{A}$  the extended generator. Note that  $\mathcal{A}$  can be multi-valued.

[11] gives broad sufficient conditions for a function to be a member of the domain. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  denote the set of absolutely continuous functions  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with locally bounded non-negative Lebesgue density  $f'(x)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{abs}$ , then  $f$  is non-decreasing and since  $X_t \leq t$  a.s. we have  $f(X_t) \leq f(t)$  a.s., yielding the bound

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{n=0}^{N_t} |f(X_{T_i-}) - f(X_{T_i})| \leq 2f(t)\mathbb{E}N_t < \infty$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . It follows from [11], Theorem (26.14), that the functions in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  belong to the domain of the extended generator and that  $\mathcal{A}f(x)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - \lambda(x) \int_0^x (f(x) - f(y)) d\mu_x(y)$$

which, after applying Fubini's theorem, can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - \lambda(x) \int_0^x f'(y)\mu_x(y) dy. \quad (7)$$

Note that the actual domain of the extended generator may be much larger than  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$ , but  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  suffices here, since the relevant functions that appear throughout this paper belong to  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$ .

In the sequel we need the kernel  $K_s(x, y) = \lambda(x)\mu_x(y) + s$ , where  $x \geq y \geq 0, s \geq 0$ , and its iterates  $K_s^1(x, y) = K_s(x, y)$  and

$$K_s^n(x, y) = \int_y^x K_s(x, u)K_s^{n-1}(u, y) du, \quad n \geq 2.$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$K_s^n(x, y) \leq (\lambda(x) + s) \frac{\left( \int_y^x (\lambda(u) + s) du \right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad (8)$$

(cf. Lemma 1 in [27]). Hence, the resolvent kernel

$$R_s(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K_s^k(x, y)$$

is well-defined and converges for all  $s \geq 0$  and all  $x \geq y \geq 0$ . Moreover, it follows from (8) that

$$R_s(x, y) \leq 1 + (\lambda(x) + s) \cdot \exp\left( \int_y^x (\lambda(u) + s) du \right).$$

**Theorem 1.** 1. Let  $m_0(x) = 1$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a unique solution  $m_n \in \mathcal{M}_{abs}$  of the equation  $\mathcal{A}m_n(x) = m_{n-1}(x)$  with initial condition  $m_n(0) = 0$ . Moreover,

$$m_n(y) = \int_0^y \left( m_{n-1}(x) + \int_0^x R_0(x, u) m_{n-1}(u) du \right) dx, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (9)$$

2. We have  $\mathbb{E}\tau_y = m_1(y)$ , so that  $m(y) = m_1(y)$ , and  $\text{Var} \tau_y = m_1(y)^2 - 2m_2(y)$ .

3. For all  $s \geq 0$  there is a unique solution  $\psi(s, \cdot)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  of the equation  $\mathcal{A}\psi(s, x) = s\psi(s, x)$  with initial condition  $\psi(s, 0) = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\psi(s, y) = 1 + s \int_0^y \left( 1 + \int_0^x R_s(x, u) du \right) dx. \quad (10)$$

4. The Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$  is given by  $\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y} = 1/\psi(s, y)$ .

*Proof.* A generator equation  $\mathcal{A}f(x) = z(x)$  with  $z \in \mathcal{M}_{abs}$  can be written as an integral equation for the density  $f'$ , namely

$$f'(x) = z(x) + \int_0^x K(x, y) f'(y) dy, \quad (11)$$

where  $K(x, y) =_{def} K_0(x, y) = \lambda(x)\mu_x(y)$ . Similarly, the equation

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = sf(x), \quad f(0) = 1,$$

is equivalent to

$$f'(x) = sf(x) + \int_0^x K(x, y) f'(y) dy = s + \int_0^x K_s(x, y) f'(y) dy. \quad (12)$$

It is well-known that a solution of (11) is given by

$$f'(x) = z(x) + \int_0^x R_0(x, y)z(y) dy. \quad (13)$$

and that (12) is solved by

$$f'(x) = s \left( 1 + \int_0^x R_s(x, y) dy \right). \quad (14)$$

Note that certainly  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{abs}$ , since  $f$  is absolutely continuous and  $f'$  is locally bounded and non-negative. The homogeneous equation

$$h'(x) = \int_0^x K_s(x, y)h'(y) dy,$$

is solved in the set of absolutely continuous functions only by constant functions  $h$ . This is immediate from the fact that iteration yields

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x K_s^n(x, y)h'(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq (\lambda(x) + s) \int_0^x \frac{\left( \int_y^x (\lambda(u) + s) du \right)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} |h'(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $h'(x) = 0$ . Consequently, solutions of (11) and (12) are unique in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$ , once we specify  $f(0)$ .

Since  $m_1(x) = 1$ , it follows that the process  $U_{1,t} = m_1(X_t) - t$  is a martingale. Now, since  $\mathbb{E}\tau_y < \infty$  then on  $\{\tau_y > t\}$  we have  $|U_{1,t}| \leq t + m_1(X_{\tau_y}) \leq t + m_1(y) = O(t)$ , so that

$$\mathbb{E}(U_{1,t}; \tau_y > t) = \mathbb{E}(U_{1,t} | \tau_y > t) o(1/t) \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This justifies optional stopping for the martingale  $U_{1,t}$  at time  $\tau_y$  (see [15]) and it follows from  $m_1(0) = 0$  that  $m_1(y) = m(y) = \mathbb{E}\tau_y$ .

The integrated process  $I_t = \int_0^t s d(m(X_s) - s)$  is also a martingale (see again [15]) and it follows by partial integration that

$$I_t = tm(X_t) - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - m_2(X_t) + D_t,$$

where  $D_t = m_2(X_t) - \int_0^t m(X_s) ds$  is the Dynkin martingale of the function  $m_2$ . Hence the difference

$$U_{2,t} = tm(X_t) - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - m_2(X_t)$$

of  $I_t$  and  $D_t$  is a martingale, too. Optional stopping, which can be justified as in (15), leads to

$$\text{Var } \tau_y = \mathbb{E}\tau_y^2 - (\mathbb{E}\tau_y)^2 = m(y)^2 - 2m_2(y),$$

showing part 2. We now turn to the function  $\psi$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}\psi(s, x) = s\psi(s, x)$  with  $\psi(0, x) = 1$ , we have

$$\psi'(s, x) = s + \int_0^x K_s(x, y)\psi'(s, y) dy,$$

which is tantamount to (12). Following the discussion above we conclude that a unique solution in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  exists and  $\psi(s, \cdot)$  is given in terms of the associated resolvent kernel as in (10), so that part 3 is proved.

It is known that the process  $e^{-st}\psi(s, X_t)$  is a martingale (see e.g. [15], p.175, or [25]). Optional stopping at  $\tau_y$ , which can be justified as in [19], leads to  $\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y}\psi(s, y) = \psi(s, 0) = 1$ , so that part 4 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** For  $n = 1$  one can prove the equation  $\mathcal{A}m(x) = 1$  by an alternative probabilistic reasoning, avoiding the use of martingales. The equation to be solved becomes

$$m'(y) = 1 + \lambda(y) \int_0^y m'(u)\mu_y(u) du. \quad (16)$$

Consider the first jump time  $T_1$ . If  $T_1 \geq y$  then  $\tau_y = y$ , while if  $T_1 < y$  then  $\tau_y$  is equal to  $T_1$  plus the hitting time of  $y$ , starting at  $X_{T_1}$ . Hence,

$$\tau_y \stackrel{d}{=} y\mathbb{1}_{\{T_1 \geq y\}} + (T_1 + \tau'_y - \tau''_{X_{T_1}})\mathbb{1}_{\{T_1 < y\}},$$

where the families  $(\tau'_y)_{y \geq 0}$  and  $(\tau''_y)_{y \geq 0}$  are independent of each other, both are independent of  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and  $\tau''_y \stackrel{d}{=} \tau'_y \stackrel{d}{=} \tau_y$  for all  $y \geq 0$ . It follows that

$$m(y) = y + \frac{\mathbb{E}(T_1 - \tau''_{X_{T_1}}; T_1 < y)}{\mathbb{P}(T_1 \geq y)}.$$

Conditioning on  $T_1$  yields

$$m(y) = y + \frac{\int_0^y \left(t - \int_0^t m(u)d\mu_t(u)\right)\mathbb{P}(T_1 \in dt)}{\mathbb{P}(T_1 \geq y)}.$$

Using  $\frac{d}{dy}\mathbb{P}(T_1 \leq y) = \lambda(y)\mathbb{P}(T_1 \geq y)$ , we obtain (16) after a short calculation.

**Theorem 2.** *We have the power series representation*

$$\psi(s, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(x) s^n \quad (17)$$

for all  $s \geq 0$  and all  $x \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* To show (17), we first prove by induction that  $m_n(y) \leq m(y)^n/n!$ , which is certainly true for  $n = 1$ . Moreover, if the assumption holds for  $n - 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(y) &= \int_0^y \left( m_{n-1}(x) + \int_0^x R_0(x, u) m_{n-1}(u) du \right) dx \\ &\leq \int_0^y m_{n-1}(x) m'(x) dx \leq \int_0^y \frac{m(x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} m'(x) dx = \frac{m(x)^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the series in (17) converges for all  $s \geq 0$  and all  $x \geq 0$ . The function

$$h(x) =_{\text{def}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n m_n(x) \leq e^{sm(x)}$$

is in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  and  $h_k(x) =_{\text{def}} \sum_{n=0}^k s^n m_n(x)$  converges to  $h(x)$  pointwise as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$\mathcal{A}h_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k s^n m_{n-1}(x) = s \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} s^n m_n(x) = sh_k(x) - s^k m_k(x),$$

it follows that  $|\mathcal{A}h_k(x) - sh_k(x)| \leq (sm(x))^k/k!$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}h_k(x) - sh_k(x)$  tends to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}h(x) = sh(x)$  and, by the uniqueness property,  $\psi(s, x) = h(x)$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is needed in the next section.

**Corollary 1.** *The function*

$$s \mapsto \frac{\psi(s, y) - 1}{s}$$

is increasing for all  $y \in [0, \infty)$  and in particular  $\psi(s, y) \geq 1 + sm(y)$ .

*Proof.*  $\frac{\psi(s, y) - 1}{s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n(y) s^{n-1}$ .  $\square$

The next theorem gives a sufficient criterion for  $\tau_y/m(y)$  to be asymptotically exponential without the assumption of ergodicity.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $m_2(y) = o(m(y)^2)$ , then  $\frac{\tau_y}{m(y)} \xrightarrow{d} Z$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We carry out an induction proof to show that  $m_n(y) = o(m(y)^n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . We have  $m_2(y) = o(m(y)^2)$  by assumption. If the assertion is true for  $n - 1$ , we obtain, using the representation (9) and monotonicity of the functions  $m_n(y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_n(y) &= \int_0^y (m_{n-1}(x) + \int_0^x R_0(x, u)m_{n-1}(u) du) dx \\ &\leq m_{n-1}(y) \int_0^y (1 + \int_0^x R_0(x, u) du) dx \\ &= o(m^{n-1}(y))m(y) = o(m(y)^n). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} e^{-s\tau_y/m(y)} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi(s/m(y), y)^{-1} = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \frac{m_n(y)}{m(y)^n} \right)^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

Since  $\sup_y m_n(y)/m(y)^n \leq 1/n!$  and  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} m_n(y)/m(y)^n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , we can use Lebesgue's convergence theorem and conclude that the right-hand side of (18) tends to  $1/(1+s)$ , as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., to the Laplace transform of  $Z$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Asymptotics of the running maximum

We now consider the asymptotic behavior of  $M_t$  in two cases: (i)  $m(x)$  is regularly varying and (ii)  $m(x)$  is rapidly varying. Assuming ergodicity, case (i) is a straightforward consequence of known results. Case (ii) is more complicated. Let  $m^{-1}(t)$  be the inverse function of the monotone increasing function  $m(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $m \in \mathcal{R}_a$  for some  $a \in (0, \infty)$  and  $X_t$  is ergodic, then*

$$M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\vec{G}(t)$  denotes the generalized inverse function of  $t \mapsto 1 - G(1/t)$ . According to relation (2) we have  $[1 - G(\vec{G}(y))]m(\vec{G}(y)) \rightarrow \mu_C$  and hence  $m(\vec{G}(y)) \sim \mu_C y$  or

$$m(y) \sim \mu_C \vec{G}^{-1}(y), \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, as  $m \in \mathcal{R}_a$ , we have  $\vec{G}^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}_a$ . It follows that  $\vec{G} \in \mathcal{R}_{1/a}$  and, from the definition of  $\vec{G}(t)$ , that  $1 - G \in \mathcal{R}_{-a}$ . Hence the conditions for convergence in (4) are fulfilled. Since  $\vec{G}^{-1}$  is increasing and unbounded, a result in [12] implies that  $\vec{G}(t) \sim m^{-1}(\mu_C t)$ , yielding  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_a$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *If  $m \in \mathcal{R}_\infty$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{M_t}{m^{-1}(t)}\right)^n\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad (20)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . In particular

$$M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} 1, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* We define  $\mu_n(t) = \mathbb{E}M_t^n$  and show that

$$\left(m^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)\right)^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\mu_n(t) \rightarrow 1, \quad s \rightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Karamata's Tauberian theorem, (22) implies that  $\mathbb{E}(M_t^n) \sim (m^{-1}(t))^n$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence we have proved (20). Since the constant moment sequence obviously satisfies Carleman's criterion, (19) follows immediately.

To prove (22), let  $y = m^{-1}(1/s)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\mu_n(t) &= y^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbb{E}M_t^n) dt \\ &= y^{-n} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^\infty nu^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(M_t > u) du \right) dt \\ &= y^{-n} \int_0^\infty nu^{n-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \mathbb{P}(\tau_u \in dt) du \\ &= y^{-n} \int_0^\infty \frac{nu^{n-1}}{\psi(s, u)} du \\ &= J_0^\infty(y), \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$J_a^b(y) =_{\text{def}} \int_a^b \frac{nu^{n-1}}{\psi(1/m(y), yu)} du.$$

We show that  $J_0^\infty(y) \rightarrow 1$  by dividing the range of integration in three parts:

(A)  $J_w^\infty(y) \rightarrow 0$  for any  $w > 1$ :

According to Corollary 1 we have  $\psi(s, y) \geq 1 + sm(y)$ . Hence,

$$\psi\left(\frac{1}{m(y)}, yu\right) \geq 1 + \frac{m(yu)}{m(y)} = 1 + \frac{r(yu)}{r(y)} u^{n+1},$$

where  $r(x) =_{\text{def}} x^{n+1}m(x)$  is again rapidly varying. The convergence  $r(yu)/r(y) \rightarrow \infty$  is uniform for  $u \geq w > 1$  (see (34) in the Appendix). In particular, for all  $K > 0$  we ultimately have  $\inf_{u \geq w} r(yu)/r(y) \geq K$  for large  $y$ , yielding

$$J_w^\infty(y) \leq \int_w^\infty \frac{nu^{n-1}}{1 + [r(yu)/r(y)]u^{n+1}} du \leq \int_w^\infty \frac{nu^{n-1}}{1 + Ku^{n+1}} du$$

for  $w$  sufficiently large, so that  $J_w^\infty(y)$  tends to zero as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ .

(B)  $J_1^w(y) \rightarrow 0$  for any  $w > 1$ :

This is clear, since the integrand tends to zero and is uniformly bounded by  $nw^{n-1}$  on the bounded interval  $[1, w]$ .

(C)  $J_0^1(y) \rightarrow 1$ :

Let  $u \in (0, 1)$  and choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; then it follows from (18) that

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(\frac{s}{m(y)}, yu\right) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(\frac{s}{m(yu)} \frac{m(yu)}{m(y)}, yu\right) \leq \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{m(yu)}, yu\right) = 1 + \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, again using Corollary 1,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \psi\left(\frac{s}{m(y)}, yu\right) \geq \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + s \frac{m(yu)}{m(y)}\right) = 1,$$

and hence  $J_0^1(y) \rightarrow \int_0^1 nu^{n-1} du = 1$ . □

## 4 Applications to special cases

We have seen that  $m(y) = \mathbb{E}(\tau_y)$  serves as a normalizing function in (3) (in the ergodic case) and in Theorem 3, while its inverse  $m^{-1}(t)$  plays a similar role in Theorems 4 and 5. Therefore explicit formulas for these functions are of special interest. In several examples one can compute  $m(y)$  via the unique solution in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  of the integral equation given in Theorem 1 for  $n = 1$ , which reads as

$$m'(y) = 1 + \lambda(y) \int_0^y m'(u) \mu_y(u) du. \quad (23)$$

Similarly we can solve the equations

$$\psi'(s, y) = s\psi(s, y) + \lambda(y) \int_0^y \psi'(s, u) \mu_y(u) du \quad (24)$$

$$m_2'(y) = m(y) + \lambda(y) \int_0^y m_2'(u) \mu_y(u) du \quad (25)$$

in  $\mathcal{M}_{abs}$  to find  $\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y} = 1/\psi(s, y)$  and  $\text{Var } \tau_y = m_1(y)^2 - 2m_2(y)$ . Let us consider a few examples.

### 4.1 Separable jump measures

Suppose that the jump measures  $\mu_x$  are given in the form

$$\mu_x(y) = \frac{\nu(y)}{\nu(x)}, \quad x \geq y \geq 0$$

for some non-decreasing function  $\nu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  (defining  $0/0$  as  $0$ ). We give some examples at the end of this subsection.

**Theorem 6.** *For an MGCP with  $\mu_x(y) = \nu(x)/\nu(y)$  as above and general intensity function  $\lambda(x)$ , the mean of the first hitting time  $\tau_y$  is given in closed form by*

$$m(y) = y + \int_0^y \frac{\lambda(x)}{\nu(x)} \int_0^x \nu(w) \exp\left(\int_w^x \lambda(v) dv\right) dw dx. \quad (26)$$

The variance of  $\tau_y$  can be computed from (26) and

$$m_2(y) = \int_0^y \left[ m(x) + \frac{\lambda(x)}{\nu(x)} \int_0^x m(w) \nu(w) \exp\left(\int_w^x \lambda(v) dv\right) dw \right] dx. \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{abs}$  be arbitrary and define  $z(x) = \int_0^x z'(u) du$  by setting

$$z'(y) =_{def} A(y) + \frac{\lambda(y)}{\nu(y)} \int_0^y A(w) \nu(w) \exp\left(\int_w^y \lambda(v) dv\right) dw.$$

A straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^y \nu(u)(z'(u) - A(u)) du = \int_0^y \lambda(u) \int_0^u A(w) \nu(w) \exp\left(\int_w^u \lambda(v) dv\right) dw du \\ &= \int_0^y A(w) \nu(w) \int_w^y \lambda(u) \exp\left(\int_w^u \lambda(v) dv\right) du dw \\ &= \int_0^y A(w) \nu(w) \left( \exp\left(\int_w^y \lambda(v) dv\right) - 1 \right) dw \\ &= \int_0^y A(w) \nu(w) \exp\left(\int_w^y \lambda(v) dv\right) dw - \int_0^y A(u) \nu(u) du \\ &= \frac{\nu(y)}{\lambda(y)} (z'(y) - A(y)) - \int_0^y A(u) \nu(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$A(y) = z'(y) - \lambda(y) \int_0^y z'(u) \frac{\nu(u)}{\nu(y)} du.$$

Letting  $A(y) = 1$  and  $A(y) = m(y)$  we obtain equations (26) and (27), respectively.  $\square$

Regarding the Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$ , the required solution to  $\mathcal{A}f(x) = sf(x)$  does not seem easy to find. If all functions involved are smooth enough we can transform the generator equation into

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(s, x) - (s + \xi(x) + \lambda(x)) \psi'(s, x) + s\xi(x) \psi(s, x) = 0, \quad \psi(0, x) = 1, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\xi(x) = \frac{\lambda'(x)}{\lambda(x)} - \frac{\nu'(x)}{\nu(x)}.$$

Fixing  $s$  and defining  $h(x)$  by  $\psi(s, x) = e^{h(x)}$  we arrive at the Riccati equation

$$h'^2(x) + h''(x) - (s + \xi(x) + \lambda(x)) h'(x) + s\xi(x) = 0, \quad h'(0) = s,$$

which is difficult to solve in general.

Now we turn to the running maximum. For regularly varying  $\nu(x)$  we have the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *Suppose that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x\lambda(x) = a \in (1, \infty]$$

and that  $\nu \in \mathcal{R}_b$  for some  $b < a - 1$ .

(a) *If  $a < \infty$ , then*

$$M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} Z_{a-b}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) *If  $a = \infty$ , then  $M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} 1$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $b \geq 0$  because  $\nu(x)$  is nondecreasing. By Proposition 3 in [8],  $X_t$  is ergodic if  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(x) \int_0^x \mu_x(y) dy > 1$ . In our case, for  $a < \infty$  this lim sup is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(x) \int_0^x \frac{\nu(y)}{\nu(x)} dy &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a}{x\nu(x)} \int_0^x \nu(y) dy \\ &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a}{x\nu(x)} \frac{x\nu(x)}{b+1} \\ &= \frac{a}{b+1} > 1 \end{aligned}$$

(where in we have used Theorem 8, part 1, in the Appendix for the second equality) and for  $a = \infty$  it is infinite. By Theorems 4 and 5, it remains to show that  $m \in \mathcal{R}_{a-b}$ . This is done in the following lemma (in which no inequality between  $a$  and  $b$  is assumed).  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $\nu \in \mathcal{R}_b$  for some  $b < \infty$  and that  $x\lambda(x) \rightarrow a \in (0, \infty]$ . Then  $m \in \mathcal{R}_{1 \vee (a-b)}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x\lambda(x) \rightarrow a$ , then  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_{-1}$  and it follows that

$$x \mapsto \frac{\lambda(x)}{\nu(x)} \exp\left(\int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{a-1-b}.$$

If  $b - a \geq -1$ , then by Theorem 9 in the Appendix

$$x \mapsto \frac{\lambda(x)\exp\left(\int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right)}{\nu(x)} \int_0^x \nu(u)\exp\left(-\int_0^u \lambda(s) ds\right) du \in \mathcal{R}_0,$$

yielding  $m \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . If  $b - a < -1$ , then  $\int_0^\infty \nu(u)\exp\left(-\int_0^u \lambda(s) ds\right) du < \infty$  and

$$x \mapsto \frac{\lambda(x)\exp\left(\int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right)}{\nu(x)} \int_0^x \nu(u)\exp\left(-\int_0^u \lambda(s) ds\right) du \in \mathcal{R}_{-1-b+a}$$

and  $m \in \mathcal{R}_{a-b}$ . Note that  $a - b > 1$  implies that  $y/m(y) \rightarrow 0$ . If  $a = \infty$ , then  $x \mapsto \nu(x)\exp\left(-\int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right)$  is slowly varying and  $x \mapsto \int_0^x \nu(u)\exp\left(-\int_0^u \lambda(s) ds\right) du$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . Thus  $m \in \mathcal{R}_\infty$ .  $\square$

**Examples. (A) Renewal age processes.** If  $\nu(x) \equiv 1$ , then  $\mu_x(y) \equiv 1$ , i.e., the process restarts at zero after each jump. This is the age process from renewal theory, where the renewal epochs have a distribution with density  $x \mapsto \lambda(x)\exp\left(-\int_0^x \lambda(u) du\right)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . Note that  $\tau_y$  is the first time at which the current lifetime reaches  $y$ . Equations (26) and (27) yield after some further calculations

$$\begin{aligned} m(y) &= \int_0^y \exp\left(\int_w^y \lambda(v) dv\right) dw \\ m_2(y) &= \int_0^y (y-w)\exp\left(\int_w^y \lambda(v) dv\right) dw. \end{aligned}$$

The Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$  is given by

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y} = \left(1 + s \int_0^y \exp\left(\int_u^y (\lambda(w) + s) dw\right) du\right)^{-1}.$$

This follows immediately from (24) which reads as

$$\psi'(s, y) = s\psi(s, y) + \lambda(y)(\psi(s, y) - 1).$$

The case where  $\lambda(x) \equiv \lambda$  is constant has been discussed in [20].

**(B) Coupled intensity rate and jump measure.** Let  $\nu(x) = \lambda(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Then we obtain from (26)

$$m(y) = \int_0^y \exp\left(\int_0^x \lambda(w) dw\right) dx.$$

Moreover, it follows from (28) that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \psi(s, x) = (s + \lambda(x)) \psi'(s, x)$$

and thus  $\psi'(s, x) = s \exp\left(sx + \int_0^x \lambda(w) dw\right)$ . Hence

$$\psi(s, y) = 1 + s \int_0^y \exp\left(sx + \int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right) dx$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-s\tau_y} = \left(1 + s \int_0^y \exp\left(sx + \int_0^x \lambda(s) ds\right) dx\right)^{-1}.$$

This generalizes the result for the particular case  $\lambda(x) = \lambda x$  and  $\mu_x(y) = y/x$  in [8].

## 4.2 MGCPs with multiplicative jumps

Consider the case where at each jump time the current level of the process is multiplied by an independent random variable  $Q$  having a distribution function  $F$  whose support is contained in  $[0, 1)$  (i.e.,  $F(1-) = 1$ ). Due to their importance in applications these MGCPs have been frequently studied [23, 24, 21, 9, 16, 22, 4, 13, 1]. Clearly  $\mu_y(u) = F(u/y)$  and if we assume that  $\lambda(x) \equiv \lambda$ , then

$$m'(y) = 1 + \lambda \int_0^y m'(u)F(u/y) du, \quad (29)$$

$$\psi'(s, y) = s\psi(s, y) + \lambda \int_0^y \psi'(s, u)F(u/y) du. \quad (30)$$

Suppose that  $m(\cdot)$  and  $\psi(s, \cdot)$  can be expanded into power series:  $m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  and  $\psi(s, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k x^k$ . Then

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k k x^{k-1} - \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{k+1}(k+1) - \lambda \theta_k a_k) x^k,$$

where  $\theta_k = 1 - \mathbb{E}Q^k$ . Hence,

$$a_{k+1} = \lambda \frac{\theta_k}{k+1} a_k, \quad a_1 = m'(0) = 1$$

yielding

$$m(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_i}{k!} (\lambda x)^k. \quad (31)$$

Similarly, the power series of  $\psi(s, x)$  satisfies

$$s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_{k+1}(k+1) - \lambda \theta_k b_k) x^k$$

which means that

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{\lambda\theta_k + s}{k+1}b_k, \quad b_0 = \psi(s, 0) = 1$$

and therefore leads to

$$\psi(s, x) = 1 + s \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (\lambda\theta_i + s)}{k!} x^k. \quad (32)$$

The two power series in (31) and (32) obviously have infinite radius of convergence and satisfy the defining equations in Theorem 1, so that they are indeed the desired solutions.

A more comprehensive treatment of multiplicative MGCPs, including the asymptotic behavior of  $m$ , is given in [21].

**Two special cases.** (a) The collapse consists of a multiplication by a deterministic constant  $q \in [0, 1)$ , i.e.,  $F(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x \geq q\}}$ . Then  $\theta_a = 1 - q^a$  and, using the  $q$ -series symbols  $(q)_k = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - q^i)$  and  $(c; q)_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - cq^i)$ , we obtain

$$m(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(q)_{k-1}}{k!} (\lambda x)^k$$

and

$$\psi(s, x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + s)^k (\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}; q)_k}{k!} x^k.$$

(b)  $Q = U^{1/\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and a uniform random variable  $U$  on  $(0, 1)$ . Then

$$m(x) = \alpha\lambda^{-1} \int_0^{\lambda x} u^{-\alpha} e^u \int_0^u t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt du$$

and

$$\psi(s, x) = H\left(\alpha \frac{s}{s+\lambda}, \alpha; (\lambda + s)x\right).$$

where  $H(a, b; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k x^k}{(b)_k k!}$  is a standard hypergeometric function.

### 4.3 The Cramér-Lundberg model in risk theory

The classical risk-reserve process in the Cramér-Lundberg model is given by

$$R_t = t - \sum_{k=1}^{N_t} U_k$$

where the claims  $U_k$  are independent, have a common distribution function  $B$ , and  $N_t$  is a Poisson process with intensity  $\lambda$  which is independent of the  $U_k$ . Let  $\underline{R}_t = \inf_{s \leq t} R_s$  and consider the reflected process

$$X_t = R_t - \underline{R}_t.$$

$X_t$  can be interpreted as a risk-reserve process, where successive ruins are ignored. It is easy to see that  $X_t$  is an MGCP with  $\lambda(x) = \lambda$  and  $\mu_y(u) = 1 - B(y - u)$  and

$$m'(y) = 1 + \lambda \int_0^y m'(u)(1 - B(y - u)) du = 1 + (m' * B_\lambda)(y),$$

where  $B_\lambda(x) = \lambda \int_0^x (1 - B(u)) du$  and  $*$  denotes convolution. In what follows we write  $\mu_n = \int_0^\infty u^n dB(u)$ .

The above renewal equation has the unique solution

$$m'(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_\lambda^{k*}(x).$$

Therefore the asymptotic behavior of  $m(y)$  can be deduced from known results in renewal theory.

**Theorem 8.** 1. If  $\lambda\mu_1 = 1$  and  $\mu_2 < \infty$ , then  $m(y) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda\mu_2} \cdot y^2$ .

2. If  $1 < \lambda\mu_1 < \infty$  or  $\lambda\mu_1 = \infty$  and  $\int_0^\infty e^{-\delta x} dB_\lambda(x) = 1$  for some real  $\delta$ , then

$$m(y) \sim \frac{e^{\gamma y}}{\gamma^2 \int_0^\infty u e^{-\gamma u} dB_\lambda(u)},$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  is the solution of  $\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma x} dB_\lambda(x) = 1$ . In this case we have

$$M_t/m^{-1}(t) \xrightarrow{d} 1.$$

3. If  $\lambda\mu_1 < 1$ , then  $m(y) \sim \frac{y}{1 - \lambda\mu_1}$ . In addition, if there is a solution  $\beta$  of the equation  $\int_0^\infty e^{\beta x} dB_\lambda(x) = 1$  with  $\int_0^\infty u e^{\beta u} dB_\lambda(u) < \infty$ , then  $|\frac{y}{1 - \lambda\mu_1} - m(y)|$  tends to a constant as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* The three cases follow from Propositions 6.1 and 7.2 and Theorem 7.1 in [3].

Note that

$$\int_0^\infty u^n dB_\lambda(u) = \lambda \int_0^\infty u^n (1 - B(u)) du = \frac{\lambda}{n+1} \int_0^\infty u^{n+1} dB(u) = \frac{\lambda\mu_{n+1}}{n+1}. \quad \square$$

Regarding the Laplace transform of  $\tau_y$ , the equation for  $\psi$  is given by

$$\psi'(s, y) = s\psi(s, y) + \lambda \int_0^y \psi'(s, y)(u)(1 - B(y - u)) du = s\psi(s, y) + (\psi(s, \cdot)' * B_\lambda)(y)$$

and does not seem to be solvable in general. However, for the transform

$$\Psi_s(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \psi(s, dx)$$

there is a nice explicit formula in terms of the Laplace transform  $\beta(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} dB(x)$  of  $B$ . We obtain

$$\Psi_s(t) = s \frac{\Psi_s(t) + 1}{t} + \lambda \Psi_s(t) \frac{1 - \beta(t)}{t}.$$

Hence,

$$\Psi_s(t) = \frac{s}{t - \lambda(1 - \beta(t)) - s}.$$

## 5 Appendix: regular and rapid variation

We state here some useful results about regular variation, which are needed in this paper. For further information regarding regular variation the reader is referred to the comprehensive monograph [5].

A function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is *regularly varying* with index  $a \in [0, \infty]$  if for all  $\lambda > 0$

$$\frac{f(\lambda y)}{f(y)} \rightarrow \lambda^a, \quad y \rightarrow \infty. \quad (33)$$

In this case we write  $f \in \mathcal{R}_a$ .  $f$  is called *rapidly varying* if  $a = \infty$  and *slowly varying* if  $a = 0$ . The convergence in (33) is uniform for

$$\lambda \in \begin{cases} [c_1, \infty), c_1 > 0 & , a < 0 \\ [c_1, c_2], c_1 > 0 & , a = 0 \\ (0, c_2], c_2 > 0 & , a > 0 \\ (0, c_1) \cup (c_2, \infty), c_1 < 1 < c_2 & , a = \infty \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

$f$  is regularly varying with index  $a < \infty$  if and only if  $f$  is of the form

$$f(x) \sim c \cdot \exp\left(\int_1^x U(w) dw\right) \quad (35)$$

where  $c > 0$  and  $wU(w) \rightarrow a$ . On the other hand, if

$$f(x) \sim c(x) \cdot \exp\left(\int_1^x V(w) dw\right), \quad (36)$$

where  $c(x)$  is non-decreasing and  $wV(w) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f$  is rapidly varying. For  $f$  to be rapidly varying it is sufficient to show that  $f(\lambda y)/f(y) \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $\lambda > 1$ , or that

$$\frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} \rightarrow \infty, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{R}_a$  with index  $a > 0$  and is increasing, then its inverse, denoted by  $f^{-1}$ , is regularly varying with index  $1/a$  and vice versa, where we agree to understand  $1/\infty = 0$  (see [5], Theorems 1.5.12 and 2.4.7). Finally, Karamata's theorem (see [5], Section 1.6) clarifies the behavior of the integral of a function in  $\mathcal{R}_a$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_a$  and  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(w) dw$ .*

1. *If  $f$  is locally bounded and  $a > -1$ , then  $F(x) \sim \frac{x}{a+1}f(x)$ .*
2. *If  $a = -1$  and  $xf(x)$  is locally integrable, then  $x \mapsto \int_0^x f(u) du$  is slowly varying and  $\int_0^x f(u) du/(xf(x)) \rightarrow \infty$ . If additionally  $\int_0^\infty f(u) du < \infty$ , then  $x \mapsto \int_x^\infty f(u) du < \infty$  is slowly varying.*
3. *If  $a < -1$ , then  $\int_x^\infty f(u) du < \infty$  for large  $x$  and  $\int_x^\infty f(u) du \sim \frac{xf(x)}{|a+1|}$ .*
4. *If  $a = \infty$ , then  $F \in \mathcal{R}_\infty$ .*

## References

- [1] E. Altman, K. Avrachenkov, A.A. Kherani, and B.J. Prabhu. Performance analysis and stochastic stability of congestion control protocols. *Proceedings of IEEE Infocom*, 2005.
- [2] S. Asmussen. Extreme value theory for queues via cycle maxima. *Extremes*, 1(2):137–168, 1998.
- [3] S. Asmussen. *Applied Probability and Queues*. Applications of Mathematics 51. Springer, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [4] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Levy processes. *Probability Surveys*, 2:191–212, 2005.
- [5] N.H. Bingham, C.M. Goldie, and J.L. Teugels. *Regular Variation*, Volume 27 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [6] K. Borovkov and G. Last. On level crossings for a general class of piecewise-deterministic Markov processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 40(3):815–834, 2008.

- [7] K. Borovkov and D. Vere-Jones. Explicit formulae for stationary distributions of stress release processes. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 37(2):315–321, 2000.
- [8] O. Boxma, D. Perry, W. Stadje, and S. Zacks. A Markovian growth-collapse model. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 38(1):221–243, 2006.
- [9] P. Carmona, F. Petit, and M. Yor. Exponential functionals of Lévy processes. Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E. et al. (ed.), *Lévy Processes. Theory and Applications*. Boston: Birkhäuser. 39-55, 2001.
- [10] M.H.A. Davis. Piecewise deterministic Markov processes: A general class of non-diffusion stochastic models. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. B*, 46:253–388, 1984.
- [11] M.H.A. Davis. *Markov Models and Optimization*, Volume 49 of *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*. London: Chapman & Hall, 1993.
- [12] D. Djurčić and A. Torgašev. Some asymptotic relations for the generalized inverse. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 335(2):1397–1402, 2007.
- [13] V. Dumas, F. Guillemin, and Ph. Robert. A Markovian analysis of additive-increase, multiplicative-decrease (AIMD) algorithms. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 34(1):85–111, 2002.
- [14] I. Eliazar and K. Klafter. A growth-collapse model: Lévy inflow, geometric crashes, and generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck dynamics. *Physica A*, 334:1–21, 2004.
- [15] S.N. Ethier and T.G. Kurtz. *Markov Processes. Characterization and Convergence*. John Wiley & Sons, 1986.
- [16] F. Guillemin, Ph. Robert, and B. Zwart. AIMD algorithms and exponential functionals. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(1):90–117, 2004.
- [17] V. Kalashnikov. *Geometric Sums: Bounds for Rare Events with Applications: Risk Analysis, Reliability, Queueing*. Mathematics and its Applications. Dordrecht, 1997.
- [18] J. Keilson. A limit theorem for passage times in ergodic regenerative processes. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 37:866–870, 1966.
- [19] O. Kella and W. Stadje. On hitting times for compound Poisson dams with exponential jumps and linear release rate. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 38(3):781–786, 2001.
- [20] A.H. Löpker and J.S.H. van Leeuwen. Connecting renewal age processes and M/D/1 processor sharing queues through stick breaking. (EURANDOM report 2008-17, ISSN 1389-2355), 2008.
- [21] A.H. Löpker and J.S.H. van Leeuwen. Transient moments of the TCP window size process. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 45(1):163–175, 2008.
- [22] K. Maulik and B. Zwart. Tail asymptotics for exponential functionals of Lévy processes. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 116(2):156–177, 2006.
- [23] T.J. Ott and J.H.B. Kemperman. Transient behavior of processes in the TCP paradigm. *Probab. Eng. Inf. Sci.*, 22(3):431–471, 2008.
- [24] T.J. Ott and J. Swanson. Asymptotic behavior of a generalized TCP congestion avoidance algorithm. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 44(3):618–635, 2007.
- [25] Z. Palmowski and T. Rolski. A technique for exponential change of measure for Markov processes. *Bernoulli*, 8(6):767–785, 2002.
- [26] H. Rootzén. Maxima and exceedances of stationary Markov chains. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 20(2):371–390, 1988.
- [27] D.R. Yafaev. On the asymptotics of solutions of Volterra integral equations. *Ark. Mat.*, 23:185–201, 1985.
- [28] Xiaogu Zheng. Ergodic theorems for stress release processes. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 37(2):239–258, 1991.