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Abstract

In this study two different types of nano-silica (nS) were applied in self-compacting concrete (SCC), both having similar particle size distributions (PSD), but produced through different processes: fumed powder silica and precipitated silica in colloidal suspension. The influence of nano-silica on SCC was investigated with respect to the properties of concrete in fresh (workability) and hardened state (mechanical properties and durability). Additionally, the densification of the microstructure of the hardened concrete was verified by SEM and EDS analyses. The obtained results demonstrate that nano-silica efficiently used in SCC can improve its mechanical properties and durability. Considering the reactivity of the two applied nano-silicas, the colloidal type showed a higher reactivity at early age, which influenced the final SCC properties.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, the micro-level does not provide enough insights into building materials. Therefore, all around the world, increasing amounts of funding are being directed to research projects dealing with material properties on the nano-level, which is claimed to have a tremendous potential for the future [1]. The fundamental processes that govern the properties of concrete are affected by the performance of the material on nano-scale. The main hydration product of cement-based materials, the C–S–H gel, is a nano-structured material [1–4]. The mechanical properties and the durability of concrete mainly depend on the refinement of the microstructure of the hardened cement paste and the improvement of the paste-aggregate interface zone (ITZ) [5].

One of the most referred to and used cementitious nano-materials is amorphous silica with a particle size in the nano-range, even though its application and effects on concrete have not been fully understood yet. It has been reported that the nano-silica addition enhances the compressive strength and reduces the overall permeability of hardened concrete due to its pozzolanic properties, which result in finer hydrated phases (C–S–H gel) and densified microstructure (nano-filler and anti-Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}-leaching effect) [6–20]. These effects may enhance the durability of concrete elements and structures.

There are different commercial types of nano-silica additives available on the market, which are produced in different ways such as precipitation, pyrolysis, sol–gel and others [19]. The main characteristics of nano-silica, such as the particle size distribution, specific density, specific surface area, pore structure and reactivity (surface silanol groups) depend on the production method [6–20]. Despite the presence of several studies describing the main properties and characteristics of concrete containing nano-silica particles, most of them focus on the application of nano-silica as an anti-bleeding [20–28] and compressive strength enhancing additive [12,13,27–31]. Furthermore, the durability and sustainability of concrete is becoming of vital importance for the construction industry. In this context, SCC is a type of concrete that has generated tremendous interest since its initial development in Japan by Okamura [21].

SCC was developed to obtain durable concrete structures due to an increased homogeneity. For this purpose, a concrete with low yield stress, moderate viscosity and high resistance to segregation, which also can be cast on-site or into precast molds without compaction, was developed. The special flow behavior of SCC is obtained by the use of superplasticizers, high amounts of fine particles, and, in some cases, viscosity modifying agents (added to reduce segregation and bleeding). Due to the presence of high amounts of fines, the pore structure of SCC differs from the pore structure of traditional concrete. According to Audenaert et al. [22], the application of SCC might be somewhat risky due to the lack of knowledge concerning the actual durability of this material.

So far, only a few reports on the effects of nano-silica on the durability of SCC are available [27,29,31]. In addition, the...
difference in the reactivity of nano-silica due to its production route has not been reported yet.

In this study, two different types of nano-silica were applied in SCC, both having similar particle size distributions (PSD), but produced through two different processes: fumed powder silica and precipitated silica in colloidal suspension. The influence of the nano-silica on SCC was investigated with respect to the properties of the concrete in fresh (workability) and hardened state (mechanical properties and durability indicators). Additionally, the densification of the microstructure of the hardened concrete was analyzed by SEM and EDS techniques.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and SCC mix design

The Portland cement used was CEM I 42.5N (ENCI, The Netherlands), as classified by [32]. This cement consists of at least 95% Portland cement clinker; the initial setting time is 60 min, a water demand of 38.9% by weight, and a compressive strength of 21 ± 3 N/mm² after 2 days and 51 ± 4 N/mm² after 28 days, respectively, are obtained [32]. The coarse aggregates used were composed of broken granite in the fractions 2–8 mm and 8–16 mm. Two different sands were used: dredged river sand (0–4 mm) and microsand (0–1 mm). The microsand (Granite Import B.V., the Netherlands) is mainly composed of natural sandstone waste that is generated during the crushing process of coarser fractions. A ground limestone powder was applied as filler. Two different commercial nano-silica additives were selected to produce two different SCC batches: one colloidal nano-silica suspension and one fumed powder nano-silica. Both nano-silica additives have similar PSD and specific surface area measured by BET (Brunauer–Emmet–Teller method [33]), following the standard DIN-ISO 9277-2005 [34]. Furthermore, a superplasticizer (SP) based on polycarboxylate ethers was added to adjust the workability of the mix. A summary of the general characteristics of all materials used in this study is presented in Table 1 and their PSDs are depicted in Fig. 1.

For the composition of SCC mixes, the mix design concept described in [35] was used. This design concept makes use of an optimization algorithm described in [36] to compute the mix proportions of all solid ingredients of the concrete mix, following the theory of continuously graded granular mixtures. In the optimization process, a distribution modulus \( q \) of 0.25 was used together with the following constraints: cement content of 340 kg/m³, w/c ratio of 0.45 and air content in the fresh mix of 1% by volume. The cement content and the w/c ratio were selected based on NEN-EN 206-1 (2008) [37] for the exposure class XS3 (aggressive exposure to chlorides originating from seawater). In addition, a superplasticizer (SP) based on polycarboxylate ethers was added to adjust the workability of the mix. A summary of the general characteristics of all materials used in this study is presented in Table 1 and their PSDs are depicted in Fig. 1.

Table 1 Properties of the used materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Specific density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>BET (m²/g)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Solid content (% w/w)</th>
<th>Loss on ignition (LOI) (%)</th>
<th>Computed SSA (^b) (m²/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEM I 42.5N</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1,699,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloidal nano-silica</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46,110,081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder nano-silica</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5.0(^a)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48,175,461</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone powder</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,234,362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsand (sandstone)</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>193,514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 0–4</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14,251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite 2–8</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite 8–16</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superplasticizer</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 4% w/w in water.

\(^b\) SSA is the specific surface area assuming spherical shape for nano-silica and the angularity factor of every other used granular material, following [35].
2.2.3. Hardened concrete: durability tests

2.2.3.1. Permeable (water accessible) porosity. The permeable porosity affects the transport properties of fluids in concrete and therefore also its durability. It is related to many deterioration processes driven by the transport properties of concrete [43]. In this context, three cores (diameter of 100 mm, height of 150 mm) were drilled with a diamond coring bit from three cubes for each mix. Afterwards, using a diamond saw at medium speed lubricated with water, six concrete discs (height of approximately 15 mm) for each SCC mix were cut from the inner layers of the drilled cores. No polishing of the surfaces was performed. In total 18 discs were used to determine the permeable porosity, following the procedure described in the ASTM 1202 [44]. The vacuum-saturation technique was applied to fill the accessible pores with water, as this technique is concluded to be the most efficient by Safiuddin and Heran [43].

2.2.3.2. Penetration of water under pressure. The depth of penetration of water under pressure was tested according to BS-EN 12390-8 [45] at the age of 28 days. The samples (three cubes for the reference and two for each mix with nano-silica) were exposed to water under pressure (5 bar) for 72 h and subsequently split in order to determine the maximum depth of the water penetration.

2.2.3.3. Pore size distribution and porosity of the paste. The pore size distribution was measured using the mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) technique (Autopore IV, Micromeritics). The maximum applied pressure of mercury was 228 MPa, the mercury contact angle was 130° and the equilibrium time was 20 s. The pore size range of 0.0063–900 μm was investigated. Pieces of hardened mortar (approximately 2 grams in total) were carefully selected to avoid the presence of coarse sand and gravel. The mortars, extracted from cured SCC samples at 28 days, were first dried in an oven (80 °C) until a constant mass was reached, and then tested by MIP technique.

2.2.3.4. Rapid chloride migration test (RCM) and conductivity test. Two specimens for the RCM test were retrieved from each extracted core, as described in Section 2.2.3.1, giving in total six test specimens (discs, diameter of 100 mm and height of 50 mm) for each mix. Three of these specimens were tested at the age of 28 days and the remaining three at 91 days. One day prior to the RCM test, the specimens were pre-conditioned (vacuum-saturation with limewater). The RCM test was performed according to NT Build 492 [46], using the test set-up described in [47]. The duration of the RCM test for all samples was 24 h. After the test, the penetration depth of chlorides was measured on split samples by applying a colourimetric indicator for chlorides (0.1 M AgNO₃ solution) and the values of the chloride migration coefficients (DRCM) were calculated according to [46].

Before the RCM test, the electrical resistance was measured on the same saturated samples by using the so-called 'two electrodes' method [48]. For this, an AC test signal (f = 1 kHz) was applied between two stainless-steel electrodes and the resistance of the concrete sample placed between the electrodes was registered. Finally, the conductivity of the samples was calculated taking into account their thicknesses and transversal areas.

2.2.3.5. Chloride diffusion test. As the addition of nano-silica changes the ionic strength, the pH and the conductivity of the pore solution [49], the results obtained using the RCM test may be influenced, as the procedure of this test is based only on experience with OPC systems [50]. At the same time, the natural chloride diffusion test is only affected by the pore structure (permeability and tortuosity of the pores), chloride binding and chloride concentration gradient. Thus, the chloride diffusion test may be more reliable for SCC with nano-silica addition than the RCM test.

For each prepared mix, three specimens (cylinders, diameter of 100 mm and height of 50 mm) were extracted from different cubes by drilling and then cutting. The diffusion test began 28 days after casting the concrete, following the procedure described in [51]. Prior to the test, all external faces of the specimens were coated with an epoxy resin except for one flat surface, left uncovered to allow the chlorides to penetrate the samples just from that side. Then, the specimens were immersed in a sodium chloride solution (concentration of 165 g/dm³) for 63 days at room temperature in a sealed and de-aired container with the uncoated surface on top. After the exposure period, one specimen from each test series was split in order to measure the penetration depth of chlorides (using 0.1 M AgNO₃ solution as a colourimetric chloride indicator). The remaining samples were dry-ground in layers for determining chloride concentration profiles. The grinding was performed on an area of 73 mm in diameter using the Profile Grinder 1100 (Germann Instruments). The obtained powder was collected for determining chloride profiles, following the procedure described in [52]. An automatic potentiometric titration unit was used for the Cl⁻ concentration measurements, applying a 0.01 M AgNO₃ solution as titrant. The obtained chloride concentration values were fit to the solution of Fick’s 2nd law, in order to estimate the apparent chloride diffusion coefficient (Dapp) and the surface chloride concentration.

2.2.3.6. Freeze–thaw resistance (surface scaling test). As a further durability assessment, the freeze–thaw test was performed on SCC samples, even though the air content in the fresh mix was less than the recommended value of 4% by volume [37]. The freeze–thaw resistance, expressed by the surface scaling factor (Sn), was determined following NEN-EN 12390-9 [53]. Nevertheless, the test samples differed from the specifications in the standard. For practical reasons, cylinders were used instead of slabs. The 150 mm cubes were cured in water after demolding until the age of 14 days, when the cores (100 mm in diameter) were extracted and sliced (two cylinders of 50 mm in height were obtained from each core). Afterwards, the obtained cylinders were cured under water and then sealed after 25 days with tight rubber sleeves. The sealed samples were placed in polyurethane insulations of 10 mm thickness and surface-saturated with demineralized water for 3 days. Due to a limited volume of the used climate chamber, only three specimens were tested for each mix, resulting in a total exposed surface area of 0.024 m² (the area recommended in [53] is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Colloidal nS</th>
<th>Powder nS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEM I 42.5N</td>
<td>340.0</td>
<td>340.0</td>
<td>340.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano-silica</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone powder</td>
<td>179.4</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>151.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micronsand (sandstone)</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>141.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand 0–4</td>
<td>624.3</td>
<td>617.9</td>
<td>618.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite 2–8</td>
<td>733.8</td>
<td>735.6</td>
<td>735.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite 8–16</td>
<td>274.7</td>
<td>274.2</td>
<td>274.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>153.0</td>
<td>153.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air (%V)-estimated | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
Density (g/cm³) | 2.427 | 2.427 | 2.430 |
w/c | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
w/p | 0.267 | 0.270 | 0.270 |
Powder content (dm³/m³) | 194.2 | 192.7 | 192.6 |
Composed surface (m²/m³) | 277,972 | 547,950 | 554,428 |
SP content (g/m²) | 0.0122 | 0.0119 | 0.0117 |
SP content (% bwoc) | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |

bwoc: based on the weight of cement.
of 0.08 m². After the saturation, the demineralized water was replaced by a 3 mm layer of 3% by weight NaCl solution, poured on the top surfaces of the samples and then the freeze–thaw cycles were started. The applied temperature profile was following the recommendations given in NEN-EN 12390-9 [53]. The level of solution on the surface of concrete was adjusted regularly. In total, 56 freeze–thaw cycles were performed, during which the surface scaling was measured after 7, 14, 28, 42 and 56 cycles.

2.2.4. Microstructural characterization and analysis

The microstructural morphology of the prepared concrete was analyzed using a high resolution scanning electron microscope (FEI Quanta 600 FEG-SEM) with a Schottky field emitter gun (at voltage of 10 keV and 0.6 mbar of low-vacuum pressure). Furthermore, a general chemical analysis was performed using EDAX energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) detector. Several fracture surfaces were investigated in natural conditions (without any sample preparation). The fracture surfaces of the SCC samples were analyzed in this study to avoid the effect of sample preparation (cutting, grinding, polishing, etc.), which may alter the microstructure of concrete. In the analyses of the fracture surfaces it is possible to characterize the interfacial transition zone (ITZ) and the formation of ettringite.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Fresh concrete properties

The fresh concrete properties of the tested mixes are presented in Table 3. All three mixes fulfill the requirements for the flow class F7 (630–800 mm of spread diameter), specified in BRL 1801 [38]. Only the mix with colloidal nano-silica resulted in a spread flow close to the lower limit of this target range. Another interesting finding is that the SP content, based on the composed surface area, is nearly constant for all mixes and amounts to an average value of 0.0119 g/m². This shows that in the present case the required amount of SP can be calculated if the composed surface area of all solid ingredients is known. Nevertheless, the SP dosage depends mainly on the mineralogy and the surface charge of the fine particles [54, 55]. Another implication of this result is that the SP requirement is rather related to changes in the specific surface area of the mix than to the concentration (by mass) of the nano-silica addition, as it was previously reported by Sobolev et al. [17]. These researchers concluded that 0.21% of additional SP is needed for each 1% of nano-silica added to a standard concrete composition.

As also can be seen in Table 3, the mixes with nano-silica have higher air content compared to the reference mix, which is caused by the higher viscosity of the paste due to the application of particles with high specific surface area (SSA). The assumed air entrainment was also confirmed by comparing the difference between the designed and the measured concrete density (see Tables 2 and 3).

3.2. Hardened concrete: mechanical properties

3.2.1. Compressive strength

The 1-day compressive strength of the reference mix was higher than the strength of mixes with nano-silica (Fig. 2a). At this age, the mix with powder-type nano-silica showed the lowest compressive strength. This behavior can be related to the difference in the reactivity of both nano-silica used. The colloidal nano-silica is synthesized at a low temperature and has a higher concentration of silanol groups on its surface (around 5.3 Si–OH/nm²), which increases its reactivity [59, 60]. On the contrary, the pyrogenic type of nano-silica has a concentration of silanol groups on its surface in the range of 1.2–2.5 Si–OH/nm² [59]. It was demonstrated in [9] that the reactivity of nano-silica is controlled by its specific surface area and the amount of Q²⁻³ (silanol) Si–OH groups, which cover the nano-particle. These groups constitute condensation sites for monomeric silica units released from the clinker phases and, consequently, the setting of the cement paste is accelerated. Some researchers reported a strong and instantaneous interaction between nano-silica (independently from its state: colloidal, powder or in slurry) and some chemical species dissolved in the liquid phase of fresh cement pastes, leading to a typical characteristic of SCC with increased amount of limestone powder [56–58].

![Fig. 2. Mechanical properties of the tested SCC mixes. (a) compressive strength development and (b) 28 and 91 days splitting tensile strength.](image-url)
the formation of a destabilizing gel with high water retention capacity (in form of bound water) [61–63]. Even in a well-dispersed colloidal dispersion, the nano-particles still exist as clusters (agglomerates) when incorporated into the highly alkaline environment such as the cement pastes [64]. By using colloidal silica, it is known that the mono-dispersed nano-silica can act as filler and seed to form C–S–H gel much more effectively than the agglomerates of silica particles generated from pyrogenic silica powders. Nevertheless, Kong et al. [64] revealed that the colloidal silica reacts to form a gel or coagulates immediately when the cement is mixed in water containing sol due to the rapid increase of ionic strength in the paste, the rising pH and the adsorption of Ca$^{2+}$ ions on the silica particles. As a result, no matter which source of nano-silica is used, it is the behavior of the final agglomerates, rather than that of the individual nano-particles, which controls the flowability, the filling ability, the pozzolanic and acceleration effects on the cement hydration and the improvement of the final concrete microstructure.

During the development of strength over time, the mix with colloidal nano-silica showed the highest increase in the compressive strength from 3 until 91 days. An interesting observation is that at 7 days, the mix with powder nano-silica shows similar compressive strength as the reference mix, as the result of a pozzolanic reaction with Ca(OH)$_2$ generated during the OPC hydration. At 91 days, the powder nano-silica developed a higher compressive strength than the reference SCC. In the case of the SCC with colloidal nano-silica, the 91 days compressive strength was almost the same as at 28 days. This fact is mainly caused by the higher reactivity of that silica, so in turn it was consumed earlier. It can be deduced from various investigations [23,26,29,65] that concretes made with nano-silica have a finer pore structure, with a lower proportion of fairly coarse capillary pores. For the same composition, these concretes are, therefore, generally denser than pure Portland cement concretes. One reason for this is a lower content of coarse Ca(OH)$_2$ crystals in the matrix and the aggregate surface zones of these concretes. A shift of the pore structure towards finer pores as a result of the pozzolanic reaction, is also observed when nano-silica is used in concrete [6,13,23–26,65]. The reaction of the coarse Ca(OH)$_2$ crystals to form microcrystalline C–S–H phases is recognized to be the cause of this densification of the microstructure. In addition, several researchers reported that concretes with very fine pozzolans, such as nano-silica, have significantly higher impermeability than conventional concretes. This is attributed in particular to a considerable improvement of the aggregate’s interfacial transition zone (ITZ) [6,65,66]. In normal concretes there is an increased concentration of well crystallized Ca(OH)$_2$ and ettringite needles aligned uniformly towards the aggregate surface in the ITZ, which increases the porosity when compared to the surrounding matrix. Pozzolans that are substantially finer than cement improve the packing of particles at the aggregate surface from the outset, which also favors the pozzolanic reaction in this zone, i.e. the formation of denser and high stiffness C–S–H phases [6,13,67]. The changes in the microstructure in the presence of both types of nano-silica are responsible for the slightly increased compressive strength (about 10% higher) of SCC. A further explanation and support is presented in Section 3.4.

An important factor to take into account is that the 28-day compressive strength results are statistically close between all the mixes. The average compressive strength values for all SCCs lay within the error band of the measurements. The error (standard deviation) in the compressive strength results is higher for the SCC with colloidal nano-silica additions ($\pm$9.4 N/mm$^2$), including the 91-days strength standard deviation ($\pm$6.5 N/mm$^2$). The standard deviations for the reference and powder SCC amount to $\pm$1.6 and $\pm$4.5 N/mm$^2$, respectively. The standard deviations for the nano-silica SCC are higher than the recommended range ($3.5–4.8$ N/mm$^2$) for high strength concrete according to [68]. This could be caused by the increased reactivity and the higher viscosity of the paste, due to the fact that colloidal silica leads to an inhomogeneous dispersion of the mix components. From the industrial point of view it would be a factor to consider in the application of colloidal nano-silica in the future. Higher standard deviation of the 28-day compressive strength should produce SCC mix with “poor” standard of control classification in real conditions [68], based on the fact that higher standard deviations are expected in the field than in the laboratory conditions.

3.2.2. Tensile splitting strength

The determined average 28 days tensile splitting strength of the reference mix was 4.51 N/mm$^2$. The equivalent values for the mixes with colloidal and powder nano-silica are higher and amount to 4.92 N/mm$^2$ and 5.48 N/mm$^2$, respectively (Fig. 2b). A possible explanation for the higher tensile splitting strength of the samples containing nano-silica is that the bond between the hardened paste and the aggregates is stronger. The nano-silica improves the quality of the interfacial zone (ITZ) due to precipitation of smaller and stronger (higher stiffness) C–S–H gel and an accelerated rate of hydration of the paste, as it is also reported by several researchers [6–20,65–67]. Similar trends in the tensile splitting strength at 91 days were observed. Further data are presented in Section 3.4. The distinctions on the tensile splitting strength produced by the colloidal nano-silica and the powder nano-silica originate from their different reactivities. As the powder nano-silica is less reactive at early age, a better wet packing or filling of the space between the aggregates is obtained due to a lower viscosity of the paste. Then, with the age and the progress of the pozzolanic reaction, the ITZ is densified, improving the bonding between the matrix and the aggregates. Nevertheless, similarly to the compressive strength results, the average tensile splitting strength values of the SCCs with both types of nano-silica are within the error band of the measurements. It is only evident at 91 days that the compressive and tensile splitting strength of the SCC are improved by 13–18% due to the use of both types of nano-silica. In the case of the standard deviation values obtained for all the SCC in the tensile splitting strength, all of them are lower than the maximum recommended value of $\pm$0.40 N/mm$^2$ to be classified as a “good” standard control according to [68].

3.3. Hardened concrete: durability

3.3.1. Permeable porosity

The results of the measurements of the permeable porosity of the SCC mixes are presented in Table 4. These results are surprisingly showing that the SCC reference mix has slightly lower total porosity (12.1%) compared to the mixes containing the two types of nano-silica (12.5%). The porosity and the tortuosity of the pores in the hardened cement paste are normally reduced when pozzolanic materials are added, and this influences many properties such as the compressive and splitting tensile strength [69]. Nevertheless, Yogendran and Langan [70] stated that for the addition of micro-silica the total pore volume is not necessarily changed, but larger pores appear to be subdivided into smaller pores. Apparently, the same behavior was found for the SCC in the present case. The air content of the fresh mix influenced, probably, the final porosity. Some researchers [43] state that the vacuum-saturation technique is also able to take into account the volume of the entrapped air voids. This means that the porosity values shown Table 4 consider also the differences in the air content of the mixes (the lower the air content the lower the permeable porosity).
ability decreased by one order of magnitude. Additionally, where the total porosity was not affected, but the permeability was reduced by Yogendran and Langan [70] for HPC with micro-silica or finer pore structure. A similar phenomenon was already reported by Raiess-Ghasemi et al. [29] for conventional concrete with micro and nano-silica addition. The results also suggest that, despite the similar permeability improvements were reported by Yogendran and Langan [70] for HPC with micro-silica addition, where the total porosity was not affected, but the permeability decreased by one order of magnitude.

### 3.3.2. Penetration of water under pressure

The test results of the penetration of water under pressure are shown in Table 4. According to [29], all tested samples are in the low permeability range (penetration depth of less than 30 mm). Additionally, the two SCC with both types of nano-silica presented penetration depth lower than 5 mm. This implies that the addition of 3.8% nano-silica results in concrete which is highly resistant to the penetration of water under pressure of 0.5 MPa (5 bar). Similar permeability improvements were reported by Raiess-Ghasemi et al. [29] for conventional concrete with micro and nano-silica addition. A similar phenomenon was already reported by Yogendran and Langan [70] for HPC with micro-silica addition, where the total porosity was not affected, but the permeability decreased by one order of magnitude.

### 3.3.3. Pore size distribution and porosity of the concrete matrix

To support the findings presented in Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 the hardened mortar (matrix) of the concrete samples was analyzed using mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP). The obtained results are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 5. In Fig. 3a and b it is possible to notice that the addition of 3.8% of nano-silica slightly increases the volume of pores lower than 20 nm (indicated by black arrows in Fig. 3a and b). Similar trends were obtained for the overall parameters that were extracted from the mercury intrusion test. These parameters are shown in Table 5. It is clearly shown in this table that the addition of nano-silica decreased the median pore diameter (by volume and area) and the average pore diameter, which is reduced from 27.8 nm (for the reference) to 24.3 and 25.9 nm for the colloidal nano-silica and powder nano-silica, respectively. Apparently, a reduction of 4 nm in the average pore diameter was enough to significantly reduce the permeability of the SCC containing nano-silica. According to Mindess et al. [71], the pores in cement paste are divided into large capillary pores (>10 μm), medium capillary pores (5–10 nm) and gel pores (<10 nm). This means that the modification of the SCC pore structure due to nano-silica addition is reflected by the changes in the medium capillary pores and the gel pores (the minimum pore size detected with MIP was 8 nm). Permeability and penetration of harmful substances into concrete are affected mainly by the large and medium capillary pores [68]. Apparently, nano-silica made the pore structure of paste more homogeneous by increasing medium capillary porosities. In similar way, the gel pores volume was increased (see Table 5). The increased gel porosity can be caused by an increased amount of C–S–H gel in the paste (acceleration effect of the nano-silica [9]). The increased gel porosity could result from a higher hydration degree and from the properties of the gel produced by the pozzolanic reaction of the nano-silica particles. Pozzolanic C–S–H gels, normally, have a lower Ca/Si ratio (1–0.8) and different gel porosities [72]. Similar changes in the pore structure of blended cement with slag and nano-silica addition were reported in [66].

Another interesting fact, also presented in Table 5, is that the apparent (skeletal) density of the hardened mortar was higher for the SCC with nano-silica (both types). This demonstrates that the addition of nano-silica promotes the densification of the cement matrix. Despite this densification, the apparent porosity of paste increases with the addition of nano-silica (see Table 5), similarly to the results obtained for the permeable porosity of SCC. This means that other factors are improving the resistance to water penetration of the SCC with nano-silica. These factors can be e.g. changes of the shape of pores (cylindrical vs. bottle-neck type), changes of the tortuosity or pores interconnectivity and an improvement of the ITZ of cement paste/aggregates. During the intrusion cycles, the complete pore space is filled with mercury. When the pressure is released, the mercury is sucked out of the pore space except for the ink-bottle and dead-end pores. Isolated (non-accessible) pores do not contribute to the transport properties of fluids and cannot be detected by MIP. Nevertheless, taking into account the differences between the intrusion and the extrusion cycles of mercury (Fig. 3a) and the definition of effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Colloidal nS</th>
<th>Powder nS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median pore diameter (volume) (nm)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median pore diameter (area) (nm)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average pore diameter (4 V/A) (nm)</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (at 0.1 MPa) (g/ml)</td>
<td>2.216</td>
<td>2.222</td>
<td>2.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent (skeletal) density* (g/ml)</td>
<td>2.415</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>8.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined excluding the Hg accessible pores.

---

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Colloidal nS</th>
<th>Powder nS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permeability porosity (vol.)</td>
<td>12.07 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.45 ± 0.26</td>
<td>12.48 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetration depth of WUP</td>
<td>26 ± 7</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Colloidal nS</th>
<th>Powder nS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median pore diameter (volume) (nm)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median pore diameter (area) (nm)</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average pore diameter (4 V/A) (nm)</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (at 0.1 MPa) (g/ml)</td>
<td>2.216</td>
<td>2.222</td>
<td>2.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent (skeletal) density* (g/ml)</td>
<td>2.415</td>
<td>2.438</td>
<td>2.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>8.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined excluding the Hg accessible pores.
porosity and ink-bottle porosity defined in [73], it is possible to observe that the addition of nano-silica increased the amount (volume) of ink-bottle pores. The increment is around 7% (+0.0028 ml/g) for both types of nano-silicas. The transport of species through the ink-bottle pores is more difficult due to their constricitivity. These differences can explain the changes observed in the pores structure of the cement pastes and can partially explain the results of water pressure penetration test. Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by structure of the cement pastes and can partially explain the results of water pressure penetration test. Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test). Similar results were reported by Shih et al. [74] who found a small difference in the porosity (2.84% of water pressure penetration test).

3.3.4. Conductivity

Fig. 4a shows the average values of the conductivity, measured on cylindrical SCC samples that were extracted from the cast cubes. It is clearly shown that the conductivity of the SCC with nano-silica addition is reduced by more than 50% compared to the SCC reference mix. Meanwhile, the SCC with the colloidal nano-silica presented a slightly lower conductivity than samples with the powder nano-silica. This behavior is an indication of the ability of the water-saturated pore structure of the concrete to transport electrical charge. Different authors [75–77] established that the conductivity is directly related to the porosity, the pore structure (tortuosity, connectivity and conductivity) and to the pH of the pore solution (the pH in the presence of amorphous silica is decreased, as was established in [47]). In general, more pore water as well as more and larger pores with higher degree of connectivity and lower tortuosity results in higher electrical conductivity values [48]. The lower conductivity values shown by the SCC with nano-silica are the result of the pore structure refinement (less connected pores) due to the progressive pozzolanic reaction and higher hydration degree (see the microstructural analysis section). The small difference between the two types of silica is mainly related to the higher reactivity of the colloidal nano-silica, which promotes a more compact and finer microstructure (higher stiffness C–S–H gel) than the powder nano-silica. Nevertheless, the values are in line with the results of the penetration of water under pressure and the determined compressive strength. Conductivity or its inverse value (resistivity) can also be related to the compressive strength as it was demonstrated by Andrade et al. [76]. These authors concluded that the electrical resistivity is a suitable test for providing the estimated compressive strength with a high level of reliability. The resistivity is related with the compressive strength because it reflects the ability of the porous medium to transfer the electrical charge through the aqueous phase. This property promotes the satisfactory relation between the resistivity, porosity and connectivity of pores in saturated concrete. Due to the refinement of the pore structure (progressive hydration during time), the resistivity increases over time. It was demonstrated [76] that this evolution is very similar to the development of the compressive strength in time, and a linear relationship between the compressive strength and the resistivity was proposed. Nevertheless, the improvements in the compressive strength of the SCCs were much lower than the improvement obtained in the conductivity test. This is probably due to the fact that the total pore volume of the SCC is not reduced by the pozzolanic reaction promoted by the nano-silica additions, but the pore structure becomes more discrete. In addition, the electrical conductivity of concrete depends on the pore solution chemistry and chemical binding of various ions by the hydration products [78], whereas these phenomena do not affect the strength. The use of nano-silica dilutes the pore solution and increases the binding of different ions such Na⁺ and K⁺ by the reaction products. Consequently, the pH of the pore solution is reduced (OH⁻ concentration drop). Because the important role of hydroxyl ions in the conductivity of concrete, the reduction of the pH of the pore solution of concrete due to the use of supplementary cementitious materials such as nano-silica can increase the electrical resistance of concrete [78].

3.3.5. Rapid chloride migration test (RCM)

The Rapid chloride migration test (performed according to NT Build 492 [46]) is a commonly used accelerated technique for determining the chloride transport rate in concrete. The output of the test – the so-called chloride migration coefficient $D_{\text{RCM}}$ – can be employed in service-life design models for concrete elements and structures exposed to chlorides. In this context, Fig. 4b presents the average values of the calculated chloride migration coefficients ($D_{\text{RCM}}$) of each SCC mix studied. Like the conductivity test results, the migration coefficients are much lower for the mixes containing nano-silica. Additionally, the SCC mix with colloidal nano-silica shows again the best performance. The explanation of this behavior is the same as previously discussed for the conductivity test results. A finer porosity, greater tortuosity and more precipitated C–S–H gel reduce the ingress speed of chlorides into the concrete. It can also be noticed that the 91 days $D_{\text{RCM}}$ values are lower compared to the 28 days $D_{\text{RCM}}$. This can be explained by the progressing hydration of cement and a related densification of the microstructure of concrete.
The 28-day $D_{RCM}$ can be employed in service-life design models [79,80] for concrete elements and structures exposed to chlorides. When comparing all the obtained 28-day $D_{RCM}$ to the values suggested in the CUR Durability Guideline [80] for 100 years of service life (see Table 6), the SCC reference mix is out of the range of the aimed exposure class XS3. In contrast, both SCC mixes with nano-silica addition satisfy the exposure class XS3 when a concrete cover depth of 50 mm is provided. This demonstrates how a relatively small addition of the nano-silica (3.8% based on the weight of cement) can effectively increase the resistance of concrete to the intrusion of chlorides, and opens, therefore, a possibility for reducing the thickness of the concrete cover, based on the values suggested in [80], which are shown in Table 6. On the other hand, comparing the obtained $D_{RCM}$ values to similar SCC mixes published in literature, the values obtained for the reference mix are in line with SCC mixes having high amount of limestone powder (between 8 and 12 $10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s at 28 days [22]). The values obtained for the SCC mixes with nano-silica are comparable to reported values of SCC composed of slag cement or fly ash with similar w/b ratio and cement content (between 4 and 5 $10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s [22]).

3.3.6. Chloride diffusion test

In Fig. 5a the obtained apparent chloride diffusion coefficients ($D_{app}$) of the three SCC mixes are shown. A trend similar to the $D_{RCM}$ was obtained for the diffusion test. The largest $D_{app}$ was computed for the reference SCC, $9.61 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s. The $D_{app}$ of $4.45 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s and $3.55 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$/s were obtained for powder nano-silica and colloidal nano-silica, respectively. Even though the chemical balance of the pore solution is changed due to the addition of nano-silica and the dominating chloride transport mechanisms in both methods are different (migration due to the electrical field and diffusion due to the concentration gradient), the obtained $D_{app}$ and $D_{RCM}$ have comparable values for each tested SCC.

3.3.7. Freeze–thaw resistance

The results of the freeze–thaw surface scaling of the three selected SCC mixes are shown in Fig. 5b. The failure of the SCC reference mix, taking into account the maximum scaling criteria of 1.5 kg/m$^2$ at 28 cycles as recommended in [81], occurred about the 11th cycle. On the other hand, the SCC mixes with nano-silica addition resulted in a surface scaling factor lower than the recommended value for non-air entrained concrete after 28 cycles. These SCC mixes failed the 1.5 kg/m$^2$ criteria after 48 cycles. The freeze–thaw resistance depends on the compressive strength, porosity, air void content and other parameters such as the air–voids distribution and pore size [68]. A better resistance to the freeze–thaw induced damage of the SCC with nano-silica addition can be attributed to different factors, such as the formation of highly stiff C–S–H gel (with $E$ modules of 26 GPa reported by [67]) and the refined pore structure (higher tortuosity and constrictivity of the pores), which results in a limited intrusion of water and improved resistance to the changes of temperature near the surface of the concrete. Despite the better freeze–thaw resistance of the SCC with nano-silica compared to the reference mix, its scaling values are larger than the recommended value of 0.5 kg/m$^2$ after 56 cycles, suggested by Stark and Wicht [82] for a concrete classified as having good resistance against freeze–thaw exposure. Nevertheless, with an air entrainment admixture that guarantees a minimum air content of 4%, the freeze–thaw resistance of SCC with nano-silica should result in a mix having a high resistance to freeze–thaw.

3.4. Microstructural analysis

The objective of the microstructural analysis is to support the findings shown in the previous sections. It is important to notice,
that the performed microstructural analyses were qualitative, with
the objective to give additional information that can explain the re-
results obtained in the other executed tests. In this context, Fig. 6
shows some selected morphological characteristics of the micro-
structure of the SCC reference mix. The SCC reference mix has
an apparent dense structure and a relatively good ITZ (Fig. 6a). A good
ITZ is defined in the present investigation as the zone (10–20 µm
long) in the vicinity of the sand and gravel aggregates, with a
relatively lower amount of gel and air pores. The microstructure
presented heterogeneous morphologies, with high amounts of
small pores and big sized C–S–H gel like structures. Additionally,
acicular (needle-shaped) structures (Fig. 6b) were identified and
possibly formed of ettringite or other AFt phases that are rich in
$\mathrm{CO}_3^2-$ (verified by EDS). The formation of the needle-shaped AFt
phases in cement pastes with a high calcium carbonate concentra-
tion was reported in [83]. Another expected phase that was not
possible to identify is monocarboaluminate. The influence of the
presence of limestone on the hydration of Portland cement was
investigated by [84]. Blending of Portland cement with limestone
was found to influence the hydrate assemblage of the hydrated
cement. Thermodynamic calculations as well as experimental
observations indicated that in the presence of limestone, monocar-
bonate instead of monosulfate was stable. Thermodynamic modell-
ing shows that the stabilisation of monocarbonate indirectly also
stabilises ettringite. This leads to a corresponding decrease of the
total volume of the hydrated phase and an increase of porosity.
Similarly, Bonavetti et al. [85] reported that in limestone-blended
cement (20% limestone based on the weight of cement), calcium
monocarboaluminate can be easily detected at 3 days and its
amount increases after 28 days of hydration. The excess of carbon-
ate ions in cement paste causes the transformation of monosulfola-
uminate to monocarboaluminate. This promotes the reconversion
of monosulfoaluminate to ettringite in limestone blended cements
due to the sulfates liberated during the carbonate substitution
reaction. The conversion of ettringite to monocarboaluminate is
defayed by the presence of limestone filler. Probably, similar
hydrates phase assemblies take place in the reference SCC, which
has a limestone concentration of 179.4 kg/m$^3$ (34% based on the
weight of cement). Nevertheless, without complementary charac-
terization techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) and differen-
tial thermogravimetric analysis (DTG) it is not possible to confirm
the formation of monocarbonates phases in the reference SCC. In
addition, in the reference SCC, well crystallized hexagonal portlan-
dite (Ca(OH)$_2$) plates were formed in the cement matrix and in the
largest air-voids (Fig. 6c) due to the absence of any pozzolanic
additives. Normally, the presence of significant amounts of
Ca(OH)$_2$ results in a lower chloride intrusion resistance and lower
compressive strength [13]. These findings are in line with the
mechanical and durability test results discussed previously.

As it can be observed in Fig. 7a, the SCC with colloidal nano-sil-
ica shows a more homogeneous microstructure compared to the
reference mix. A homogenous microstructure is defined by a more
regular morphology and similar size of the hydrates. This micro-
structure is characterized by apparent compact and small-sized
C–S–H gel and the absence of abundant gel porosity. As a conse-
quence, a relatively denser ITZ was also confirmed by SEM
(Fig. 7b). It is important to notice, that the needle-type structures
or well grown Ca(OH)$_2$ crystals were not found in the microstruc-
tural analysis. The absence of needle-type hydrates in the cement
matrix is unexpected. In general, as was explained for the reference
SCC, ettringite is one of the hydrated phases formed in cement sys-

tem with high amount of limestone additions. In the case of the
SCC with colloidal nano-silica the concentration of limestone was
slightly decreased (from 179.4 to 151.8 kg/m$^3$), but this decrease
should not influence the hydrates formation. Similarly, in system
with amorphous nano-silica it was predicted [72] that one of the
stable phases formed is ettringite. Ettringite in long-term is only
unstable when the pH of the pore solution falls below 10 due to
further replacement of cement by silica. The dropping of the pore
solution pH to values lower than 10 is predicted for amorphous sil-
ica additions higher than 35% by mass [72]. In the present research
only 3.8% (based on the weight of cement) of nano-silica is added.
One possibility that can explain the absence of ettringite is that the
addition of nano-silica decreased the size of ettringite crystals,
making it much more difficult to be identified by the used magnifi-
cation of the ESEM. Also, smaller ettringite crystals are more
susceptible to be decomposed by drying and vacuum conditions
as was reported by [86]. As was mentioned before, well grown
Ca(OH)$_2$ crystals were not found in the microstructural analysis.

Fig. 6. Microstructure low vacuum (0.6 mbar) FEG–SEM photomicrographs of the
SCC reference mix, (a) cement matrix and aggregate ITZ, (b) Ettringite and AFt
needles and (c) precipitated hexagonal Portlandite plate crystals.
Changes in the amount and size of portlandite crystals are expected in the presence of amorphous silica as it was established by different authors [6–20]. The absence of well-crystallized portlandite and the lower volumes of gel pores confirm that the addition of the nano-silica causes a refinement of the microstructure and probably induces the precipitation of small-sized C–S–H gel that normally has a high stiffness and lower Ca/Si ratio [67]. The improvement of the microstructure is also reflected by the mechanical properties (compressive and splitting tensile strength) due to the fact that the pozzolanic gel structure has better mechanical properties than the C–S–H gel precipitated in OPC concrete. These differences in the local C–S–H gel properties were reported by Mondal et al. [67] using nanoindentation techniques. The study complemented with ²⁹Si MAS-NMR spectra of the cement pastes with nano-silica proved that its addition increases the average chain length of C–S–H gel. Therefore, it is expected that nano-silica increases either the amount of high-stiffness C–S–H gel (E modulus of 26 GPa) or the strength of the ITZ. Nanoindentation studies proved that the elastic moduli of the two types of C–S–H phases (low and high stiffness type) did not change with the addition of nano-silica [67]. However, they stated that nano-silica increased the volumetric amount of high-stiffness C–S–H gel. For example, they reported that in samples with 6% by mass of nano-silica, the volume fraction of high-stiffness C–S–H was as high as 38% by vol. of the total gel. In contrast, reference samples (without nanoparticles) showed only 19% by vol. of high stiffness C–S–H gel.

Fig. 7. Microstructure low vacuum (0.6 mbar) FEG–SEM photomicrographs of the SCC colloidal nano-silica mix, (a) cement matrix, (b) aggregate dense ITZ and (c) agglomerates in a pore.

Fig. 8. Microstructure low vacuum (0.6 mbar) FEG–SEM photomicrographs of the SCC powder nano-silica mix, (a) cement matrix, (b) aggregates ITZ and (c) AFt needles precipitates.
Thus, the increased volume of the high-stiffness C–S–H gel might explain the positive impact of nano-silica on the durability of SCC in the present study. In the case of SCC with powder nano-silica, the obtained results of the microstructural analysis were similar to the colloidal nano-silica. Its microstructure is also found to be refined (as it is shown in the selected image in Fig. 8a), but not as much as with the colloidal nano-silica. Apparently, due the fact that this nano-silica was produced at high temperature (more compact, lower concentration of silanol groups) its pozzolanic reactivity is lower than the colloidal one. Nevertheless, a relatively homogeneous matrix was observed with more small pores distributed in it (Fig. 8b). Even though the microstructure was refined due to the addition of the powder silica, it was still possible to observe some remnant needle-shaped AFT phases in the matrix (Fig. 8c). As it was explained for the reference and SCC with colloidal nano-silica, the presence of ettringite is expected in blended cements with amorphous silica additions. In the case of the powder nano-silica, the size of the ettringite needle appears to be smaller than the needle-type hydrates identified by the reference SCC. This can confirm that the presence of amorphous nano-silica and probably also the higher amount of SP in the SCC, could modify the morphology of the ettringite-type hydrates. Taylor [86] reported that the amount of available space, pH and sulfate ion concentration control the ettringite morphology.

In summary, the resistance to the intrusion of chlorides and water under pressure in the SCC containing nano-silica was improved due to the densification of the microstructure and the high specific surface area of the produced gel [49]. The high reactivity of the colloidal nano-silica was also confirmed in Fig. 8c, where small C–S–H gel precipitates were observed around the limestone powder and agglomerates of nano-particles. The differences between the SCC with both types of nano-silica observed in the microstructural analysis can explain the results that were obtained for these two SCCs, where the SCC containing colloidal nano-silica presented better results in most of the performed tests. The SCC with colloidal nano-silica appears to have a denser matrix and ITZ than the SCC with powder nano-silica, leading to a refined microstructure. The refinement of the microstructure was confirmed by the increased volumes of ink-bottle pores in the SCC. In addition, this refinement causes better mechanical and durability properties of the tested SCC. Nevertheless, further research is needed to relate the results obtained in this study with the progressing hydration of the SCC paste in the presence of nano-silica particles. In addition, further research is also needed to determine which type of nano-silica is better for a real scale production of SCC.

4. Conclusions

Based on the mechanical and durability properties obtained by the tests on a reference SCC and SCC mixes containing two types of nano-silica, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- The results of the fresh state behavior of SCC demonstrated that concrete with an addition of 3.8% nano-silica (based on the weight of cement) shows similar flow properties and viscosity as the reference mix without nano-silica. Slightly increased air content in the mixes with nano-silica was measured due to a higher viscosity of the paste.

- Under the laboratory conditions, the compressive and tensile splitting strength of the reference SCC was improved by the addition of both types of nano-silica. The highest compressive strength was found for the colloidal nano-silica, while the highest splitting tensile strength was found for the powder type nano-silica. Small differences in the reactivity at early age (1-day) were confirmed by the compressive strength measurements for both types of nano-silica studied.

- The water permeable porosity of the three SCC mixes was found to be similar. On the other hand, when nano-silica was added, the concrete becomes almost impermeable to the penetration of water under pressure. This can be explained by a refinement of the microstructure of the paste due to higher amount of pores with ink-bottle shape, as confirmed by MIP measurements.

- All durability indicators of the SCC studied (conductivity, chloride migration and diffusion coefficients, and freeze–thaw resistance) were significantly improved with the addition of 3.8% of both types of the nano-silica. Moreover, the SCC with colloidal nano-silica showed slightly better properties than the SCC with powder nano-silica.

- The microstructural analysis of the hardened SCC reveals that the addition of nano-silica resulted in a homogeneous microstructure, characterized by compact and small-sized C–S–H gel. As a consequence, a denser ITZ was produced. The addition of nano-silica caused a refinement of the microstructure (less interconnected and finer pore structure) and induced the precipitation of small-sized C–S–H gel, probably having a higher stiffness and lower Ca/Si ratio.

- The improvement of the microstructure resulted in higher mechanical properties (compressive and splitting tensile strength) due to the fact that the pozzolanic gel structure shows better mechanical properties than the C–S–H gel precipitated in OPC concrete. In addition, permeability of concrete was significantly reduced (good resistance against the penetration of chlorides and water under pressure) because of the microstructural densification and increased tortuosity of the pore system.

- The high reactivity and faster pozzolanic behavior of the colloidal nano-silica particles at early age produced a more refined microstructure than obtained for the SCC with powder nano-silica. Nevertheless, the higher reactivity and agglomerated state appears to be the reason for the higher standard deviation obtained in the compressive strength results.
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