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Abstract. This article addresses the design of a new photocatalytically active gypsum plaster. A hemihydrate in beta type is used as binder to design this material and a carbon modified TiO₂ that can be activated by visible light is used as photocatalyst. An own developed test setup to assess the indoor air purification capacity of the developed material is presented. The new product possesses the indoor air pollutants removal capacity. The air pollutants removal ability is related with not only the dosage of the applied photocatalyst but also strongly with the physical property of the resulting matrix such as the porosity.

Introduction

Indoor air quality (IAQ) has received great attention because of the very important role it plays on human comfort and health. The US Environmental Protection Agency pointed out that indoor air pollution poses a greater risk than outdoor air pollution because people spend 80-90% of their time indoors. In fact, indoor air pollution was listed in 2009 as one of the major causes for cancer [1]. So, it is of vital importance to remove the indoor air pollutants to improve the IAQ. Traditional air purifying methods such as controlling pollutant sources, increasing air exchange and using air purifiers have certain disadvantages. Source control is difficult to achieve in many places. Increasing the air exchange may even transport more pollutants from the outdoor environment. Common air purifiers often use sorption materials to adsorb gases or odors which only transfer the contaminants to another phase rather than eliminating them and additional disposal or handling steps are subsequently required.

The present study addresses the development of a novel gypsum plaster applying the heterogeneous photocatalytic oxidation (PCO) technology. PCO is a process involving a nano-solid semiconductor catalyst, e.g. titanium dioxide (TiO₂), which can be activated by ultraviolet light [2, 3]. PCO has been investigated as an effective method for water or air purification due to: (1) the PCO only needs solar light irradiation with suitable wavelength and can be spontaneously triggered when the photocatalyst is under irradiation, (2) the widely used photocatalyst TiO₂ is inexpensive, chemically stable and has high efficiency, (3) it can degrade most of the indoor air pollutants, (4) no other additives are required. However, pure TiO₂ can only be activated by UV radiation, which only amounts to 0.001-0.05 W/m² under indoor conditions. Therefore, here a modified TiO₂ is applied, which can be activated by visible light due to the extended absorption ability of the catalyst to visible light spectrum. Gypsum plaster is widely used as indoor finishing material, in the present study it is used as substrate for the application of the photocatalyst. An accelerator is used to adjust the setting of the designed material.

Experimental

Calcium sulphate systems are characterized by three solid phases, depending on the stoichiometric amount of the crystallized water: calcium sulphate dihydrate (also called gypsum, CaSO₄·2H₂O), calcium sulphate hemihydrate (CaSO₄·0.5H₂O, including the α- and β-types) and calcium sulphate
anhydrite (CaSO₄) [4]. Gypsum plaster (calcium sulphate hemihydrate in β-type) was already used in Egyptian pyramids at least 4000 years ago [5], and is still extensively applied in buildings along with other cementitious materials such as cement and lime. In the present study, a commercially available photocatalyst, a carbon doped TiO₂ kindly provided by Kronos International (Germany), is chosen to be used here. The basic properties of the used photocatalyst is listed in Table 1.

Table 1: The basic properties of the used photocatalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Photocatalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ content (ISO 591)</td>
<td>&gt;87.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal modification</td>
<td>anatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (ISO 787, Part 10)</td>
<td>3.9 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystallite size</td>
<td>approx. 15 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface area (BET)</td>
<td>&gt;225 m²/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density</td>
<td>350 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. processing temperature</td>
<td>150 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application pH-range</td>
<td>4-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five mixes are designed here and are listed in Table 2. A detailed mixing procedure as well as curing method can be found in [6].

Table 2: Mix design of the PCO gypsum plaster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>β-hemihydrate (g)</th>
<th>Photocatalyst (g)</th>
<th>Water (g)</th>
<th>Accelerator (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix 1</td>
<td>255.9</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 2</td>
<td>255.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 3</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>190.4</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 4</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>190.4</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>255.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO are generated from the reaction of nitrogen and oxygen gases in the air during combustion, especially at high temperatures. The two major emission sources are transportation vehicles and stationary combustion sources such as electric utilities and industrial boilers, as well as indoor sources such as cooking, heating and smoking. NO is a typical inorganic pollutants available indoors. Therefore, here NO is chosen as the target pollutant to assess the PCO performance. The ISO standard 22197-1:2007 is used as a reference to perform the PCO experiments.

An own developed test setup following ISO standard 22191-1:2007 as a reference is used here to carry out the PCO experiments, as shown in Figure 1. The reactor, made from non-adsorbing plastic materials with a size of 100 × 200 mm² (W × L), allows a flat sample to be embedded. After placing the test sample inside, the top of the reactor is tightly covered with a plate made from borosilicate glass allowing UV and/or visible light to pass through with almost no resistance. A more detailed description is presented in Hüskens et al. [7] and Yu and Brouwers [8]. The reactor’s height, which is the distance between the paralleled surface of the test sample and the covering glass plate, can be adjusted by screws in the bottom of the reactor. The target pollutant gas can only pass through the reactor along the longitudinal direction by means of appropriate sealing.
Visible light is used in the experiment. The applied light source consists of three cool day light lamps of 25 W each (Philips, the Netherlands), emitting a visible radiation in the range of 400-700 nm. The irradiance can be adjusted by a light intensity controller. The light intensity is measured with a VIS-BG radiometer (Dr. Gröbel UV-Elektronik GmbH, Germany). The VIS-BG radiometer measures a wavelength range of 400-600 nm with the maximum relative sensitivity at about 460 nm. The reason that the VIS-BG radiometer with this range is chosen lies in the characteristics of the photocatalyst used in the experiment. The used carbon-doped TiO$_2$ can be activated until the bluish green light region which is the same as the measurement range that the radiometer has. The VIS-BG has a measuring range of 2000 W/m$^2$ with the resolution of 0.1 W/m$^2$.

Figure 1: Schematic diagram of photocatalytic degradation set-up. 1. NO gas supply. 2. NO$_2$ gas supply. 3. Synthetic air supply. 4. Mass controller meter. 5. Humidifier. 6. Humidity controller. 7. Temperature and relative humidity sensor. 8. Valve. 9. Valve. 10. Light source. 11. Reactor. 12. NO$_x$ analyzer. 13. Computer. 14 Vent.

NO is used as target pollutant. The used gas consists of 50 ppm NO stabilized in nitrogen (N$_2$). Because the final target NO concentration ranges in the order of ppb with the maximum concentration of 1.0 ppm, a transport fluid synthetic air which is composed of 20.5 vol.% of oxygen (O$_2$) and 79.5 vol.% of N$_2$ is employed, which is humidified by flowing through a demineralized water filled bottle. The target concentration of NO is adjusted by the the mass controller meters. Pollutant (NO) and intermediate product (NO$_2$) are analyzed using a chemiluminescent NO$_x$ analyzer (HORIBA 370, Japan). The analyzer, with a detection limit of 0.5 ppbv, measures the concentration in steps of 5 seconds. During the measurement, the analyzer constantly samples gas with a rate of 0.8 L/min.

A standard experimental condition is set in the present study as follows. An initial NO concentration is chosen as 500 ppb and the volumetric flow rate of 3.0 L/min; the visible light intensity is 10.0 W/m$^2$ and the relative humidity of 50%. The experiments are carried out at room temperature.

Results analysis

The photocatalytic oxidation reaction takes place immediately when the sample is exposed to the visible light. After reaching the maximum degradation rate, the process will slightly slow down and reach a stable conversion condition finally. Figure 2 shows a typical concentration change of NO$_x$ during the photocatalytic oxidation reaction.
The photocatalytic oxidation efficiency of NO is calculated from:

\[ NO_{\text{con}}(\%) = \frac{C_{\text{NO,in}} - C_{\text{NO,out}}}{C_{\text{NO,in}}} \times 100\% \]  

(1)

where \( C_{\text{NO,out}} \) is defined as the average NO concentration during the last 5 minutes of the measurement (ppb).

However, not all the produced NO\(_2\) can be oxidized to HNO\(_3\) because a small part of it is released into the air due to desorption. So even when NO is used as single pollutant, the pollutants at the exit are composed of undegraded NO and the formed intermediate NO\(_2\). Therefore, the NO\(_x\) conversion is used to study the influence of the experimental conditions since NO\(_2\) is an undesired intermediate pollutant. The NO\(_x\) conversion is calculated from:

\[ NO_{x,\text{con}}(\%) = \frac{C_{\text{NO,x,in}} - C_{\text{NO,x,out}}}{C_{\text{NO,x,in}}} \times 100\% \]  

(2)

where \( C_{\text{NO,x,out}} \) is defined as the average NO\(_x\) concentration during the last 5 minutes of the measurement (ppb).

The results are listed in Table 3.

### Table 3: PCO test results with the photocatalytic gypsum plaster.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>NO(_{\text{con}}) (%)</th>
<th>NO(_{x,\text{con}}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix 1</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 2</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be clearly observed that the idea of dosing photocatalyst to degrade the indoor air pollutant is working. With a dosage of TiO$_2$ of 3\% by mass of the $\beta$-hemihydrate, samples of Mix 1 and Mix 3 already show considerable NO and NO$_x$ conversion rates. This indicates that the photocatalytic oxidation technique can be a promising technology for the indoor air quality improvement.

Table 4: Dosage of the used photocatalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>$w_0/h_0$</th>
<th>Photocatalyst Dosage (%)</th>
<th>Volumetric proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mix 1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix 4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The photocatalytic oxidation reaction is a surface reaction, i.e. the reaction only occurs on the surface of the photocatalyst. Therefore, the PCO performance would increase if the dosage of the photocatalyst increases, which is confirmed by the present result (see results of Mix 1 and Mix 2, and Mix 3 and Mix 4, respectively in Table 2). However, this does not mean that the more photocatalyst is used, the higher PCO efficiency is resulted. The PCO is also related to many other factors such as the photocatalyst surface area, the porosity of the substrate, surface roughness of the substrate etc.

Table 4 shows the volumetric proportion (VP) of the used photocatalyst in the matrix of the produced PCO gypsum plaster. It can be seen that although the VP of Mix 3 is lower than that of Mix 1, the PCO efficiency of Mix 1 is higher. This probably can be explained by the larger porosity of the gypsum plaster produced from Mix 3 (a larger water/hemihydrate ratio), which contributes to a higher pollutant absorption which in turn leads to a higher degradation rate of NO$_x$.

Summary

This article addresses the development of a novel gypsum plaster with the indoor air quality improvement property. A $\beta$-hemihydrate is used as binder and one type of carbon doped TiO$_2$ is used as the photocatalyst. The following conclusions are drawn:

(1) A new gypsum based product is presented. The experimental results indicate that the photocatalytic oxidation is an effective indoor air purification technology. All photocatalytic oxidation experiments are carried out at ambient conditions under visible light, which shows its convenience for the indoor air purification.

(2) Both the physical properties (e.g. the porosity) of the gypsum plaster and the dosage of the photocatalyst affect the NO degradation efficiency. Further investigation is still necessary, for instance to address the long term behavior of the developed material.
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