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We report on Sb surfactant growth of InAs nanostructures on GaAs0.51Sb0.49 layers deposited on InP (001) and on (113)B oriented substrates. On the (001) orientation, the presence of Sb significantly favors the two-dimensional growth regime. Even after the deposition of 5 mono-layers of InAs, the epilayer film remains flat and InAs/GaAs0.51Sb0.49 type-II quantum wells are achieved. On (113)B substrates, same growth runs resulted in formation of high density InAs islands. Microscopic studies show that wetting layer is missing on (113)B substrates, and thus, a Volmer-Weber growth mode is concluded. These different behaviors are attributed to the surface energy changes induced by Sb atoms on surface. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4891505]

The hetero-epitaxy of strained semiconductor layers has been the subject of extensive research for the last couple of decades. Due to the lattice misfit between the epilayer thin film and the substrate, the deposited materials often self-organize into nanostructured three-dimensional (3D) islands in order to relax the strain energy. These islands are better known as quantum dots, and they are appreciated for their unique properties and applications in optoelectronic devices. Typical strained material systems producing quantum dots are Ge/Si, (Ga)InAs/GaAs (001), and InAs/InP (001). In typical strained material systems producing quantum dots unique properties and applications in optoelectronic devices.

Typical strained material systems producing quantum dots are Ge/Si, (Ga)InAs/GaAs (001), and InAs/InP (001). In these systems, the epilayers are formed under the so-called Stranski-Krastanow (S-K) growth mode. The deposited material remains flat and organizes into islands only after a critical thickness is reached. The coexistence of islands and a two-dimensional (2D) underlying wetting layer is an important characteristic of the S-K growth mode. The formation of islands is energetically favored by the strain relaxation; it however creates extra free surface area that increases the total system energy. The coexistence of islands and wetting layer is, thus, considered to result from the balance of the strain energy and surface (interface) energies present in the epilayer growth system. Nevertheless, the formation of 3D islands can be suppressed by deliberate introduction of certain surfactants, among which Sb is commonly used. Supplied during the epilayer growth, the Sb atoms tend to segregate and they virtually ‘float’ on the growth front. Although barely incorporated into subsequent epilayer deposition, they can significantly modify the underlying growth processes and extend 2D growth regimes.

Surfactant effects are widely reported for epilayer growth on standard (001) substrate, but its influence on the InAs deposition on high-index substrate is rarely reported. In this paper, we investigate and compare Sb effects during InAs deposition on (113)B and on (001)-oriented InP substrates. Samples were grown by solid source molecular beam epitaxy on n-type InP (001) and on (113)B substrates. The substrate temperature was fixed at 450 °C. Diatomic As2 and Sb2 fluxes were used, and the beam equivalent pressure ratio between group V and III elements was kept near unity during the growth of GaAs0.51Sb0.49 alloy to ensure good composition control. The lattice-matching condition of GaAs0.51Sb0.49 was checked by X-ray diffraction on test samples. After the growth of 40 nm-thick GaAs0.51Sb0.49 layers, the InAs was deposited at 0.3 Å/s on a (001) and a (113)B substrates during the same growth run. Although the growth rate was measured by X-Ray diffraction on (001) substrates, it is considered the same on (113)B substrates since the sticking coefficient of indium is equal to unity under the given conditions. After the InAs deposition, a 30 s growth intertemp under As2 was performed. Three sets of samples were elaborated for atomic force microscopy (AFM), photoluminescence (PL), and cross-sectional scanning tunneling microscopy (X-STM), respectively. The AFM samples were cooled down after the InAs deposition. The AFM measurements were performed in contact mode. On samples dedicated to PL measurement, a 40 nm GaAs0.51Sb0.49 capping layer was deposited. Two additional GaAs0.56Sb0.44 layers were added to suppress type-II transitions occurring at GaAsSb/InP interfaces. PL signal was excited by a 532 nm laser. Finally, for the X-STM sample, four planes of InAs from 3 mono-layers (ML) to 6 ML were grown and separated by 40 nm-thick GaAs0.51Sb0.49 layers. The X-STM measurements were performed on (110) cleavage planes.

Figure 1 shows AFM images and associated height profiles recorded on 4 ML samples. For deposit performed on (001) sample, small height fluctuations are observed. A root mean square roughness of 0.2 nm is measured, which is comparable to those observed on initial GaAsSb surface. Without Sb supply on surface, a critical thickness for island nucleation about 2 ML has been reported. The absence
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of InAs islands on GaAsSb (001) surface, thus, reflects the commonly observed surfactant effect of Sb, which helps to maintain a 2D growth mode of strained epitaxial layer.\textsuperscript{15,16}

For deposits performed during the same growth run on (113)B substrate (Figure 1(b)), a large number of islands are observed and its height profile reveals a large height undulation. The sizes of the InAs islands are quite uniform, and no dislocated island\textsuperscript{23} is detected. Figure 2 shows island densities and average heights versus amount of InAs deposited extracted from AFM images. The island densities increase monotonously with the amount of deposited InAs. A high island density of about $1 \times 10^{11}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2} was achieved after a deposition of 5 ML InAs. At the same time, the islands average height is constantly rising as the InAs deposition increases.\textsuperscript{22} The enhanced island density obtained under Sb demonstrates that its usual surfactant effect is not working for deposit performed on (113)B orientation.

Figure 3(a) shows PL spectra from (001) samples recorded under room temperature and low excitation power (about 20 W/cm\textsuperscript{2}). Two PL peaks are observed. The high-energy peaks appear independent of the amount of InAs deposited, and their energies are close to band gap of GaAs\textsubscript{0.51}Sb\textsubscript{0.49} (0.75 eV).\textsuperscript{24} We attributed them to recombination within GaAsSb. The low energy PL peaks red-shift with the amount of InAs. Moreover, they show a one-third power dependence with excitation power (inset of Figure 3(a)), which is the signature of a type-II band lineup. We assign low energy peaks to recombination between electrons confined within InAs layer and holes within GaAsSb barriers. Transition energies calculated for strained InAs quantum wells using a 6-band $k \cdot p$ code (not shown) agree well with experimental values. Such agreement shows that InAs layers are flat and thick InAs quantum wells can be achieved on (001) substrates using Sb surfactant effect.

PL spectra recorded from (113)B samples at 15 K are reported in Figure 3(b). As previously, we assign the high-energy peaks to recombination within GaAsSb. The second peaks are broad and are more separated from GaAsSb peak than those observed from (001) samples. Such results can be attributed to the formation of quantum dots on (113)B substrates and confirm the results obtained by AFM. It is worth noting that InAs PL peak observed from the 1 ML sample is broad and its energy is lower than that calculated for a one-monolayer quantum well. Moreover, wetting layer related PL signals are not detected for any of these samples. These results suggest a very low critical thickness and the possibility of missing wetting layer.

The structural characterization of InAs deposit on (113)B in presence of Sb was performed by X-STM. Figure 4 reports two large-scale X-STM images from planes in which 3 ML and 4 ML InAs have been deposited. High-quality cleaved
surfaces were not obtained from zones containing 5 ML and 6 ML layers, probably due to their high levels of strain. The images were taken under constant-current mode with a large negative sample bias of $-3.2$ V. The contrast is related to electronic position of the tunneling states so that materials of larger band gap appear with darker contrasts. The bright zones in the images correspond to InAs islands, while their surroundings with randomly distributed bright dots reflect GaAsSb layers. In Figure 4(a), the two InAs islands formed by 3 ML of InAs deposition have flat lens shape, and their centers are separated by a relative long distance of about 50 nm. Such inter-island spacing is equivalent to an areal density of $4 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is in the same order of magnitude as that observed by AFM. For 4 ML deposit, island heights increase while the inter-island space decreases almost by a factor of two. Such observations are consistent with the monotonously growing island height and sharply increasing island density observed by AFM on unburied islands (Figure 2). The islands formed after 4 ML InAs deposition show clear facets. Such facets are composed of {001}, {110}, and {111} crystallographic planes. For both images, the image contrast is homogeneous inside the islands while only a few bright spots representing Sb atoms are present in their peripheries. Such observations show that InAs islands are composed of almost pure InAs and that Sb intermixing does not occur during growth. Moreover, wetting layers between islands are not observed by X-STM. In a previous paper, we studied InAs islands grown on (113) B substrates for which the Sb is supplied on surface after island formation. In that case, wetting layers are obviously observed on X-STM images recorded in similar conditions. Furthermore, at the interface between GaAsSb matrix and GaAsSb capping layer, a dark line is observed which corresponds to a high band gap material and a low band gap InAs layer can be excluded. Arsenic rich GaAsSb alloy, formed during the growth interruption under As$_2$ after InAs formation by Sb depletion, is probably at the origin of the dark line. Therefore, Sb on surface during the deposition of InAs had opposite effects on (001) and on (113) B substrates. On (001) substrates, an increase of the 2D growth is observed as usual for a surfactant. In contrast, on (113)B substrates, islands of high density are formed, and the wetting layer is missing, which is the signature of a Volmer-Weber growth mode.

The surfactant effect of Sb is frequently interpreted as reduced mass transport under Sb surface coverage. Under such framework, the use of Sb reduces the indium surface diffusion length and blocks indium migration to island nucleation site. Such an argument agrees with what we have observed earlier on (001) substrate, where the formation of InAs islands is prevented. However, it cannot explain why islands of high density are formed on (113)B substrate in spite of the presence of Sb.

Surfactant effect has been also related to the change of surface energy, induced by surfactant adsorption on surface. If we here simply assume that Sb reduces surface energies independently of crystallographic orientations, the experimental observations above cannot be explained. Actually, by reducing the surface energy, surfactant adsorption would reduce the energy cost associated with creating extra facets and so favors the island formation. However, InAs islands made on (001) and on (113)B substrates demonstrate facets of different crystallographic orientations. InAs islands formed on InP (001) substrates show high-index facets like {114}, while the islands obtained on (113)B substrates are found to have low-index facets in {001}{110} and {111} families. If we assume that the adsorption of Sb noticeably reduces the surface energy of low-index facets like (001), (110), or (111) while it reduces the high-index ones like {113} or {114} to a smaller extent or even increases their energies, the drastically different effects of Sb versus substrate orientations observed can then be explained (Figure 5). On (001) substrate, the use of Sb would stabilize the low-index (001)-oriented wetting layer while it does not encourage the formation of high-index facets of InAs islands. Therefore, the presence of Sb annihilates the formation of InAs islands and extends 2D growth regime on InP (001) substrates. On InP (113)B substrates, because InAs islands show low-index facets, adsorption of Sb on facets reduces the energy cost associated with island formation and hence favors island nucleation. Moreover, the reduced energy of islands can shift the balance between the wetting layer and the islands, so that it may destabilize the high-index InAs wetting layer. Therefore, the surface orientation dependent surface energy modification induced by Sb allows a simple explanation to the experimental observations presented in this paper. It is worth noting that anisotropic changes of surface energy induced by Sb have been observed in other material systems. However, further investigation is required to measure surface energy change with surfactant.

In this paper, the growth of InAs on Sb-rich surface of GaAsSb layers deposited on InP (001) and on (113)B substrates is studied. On InP (001) substrates, the presence of Sb annihilates the formation of high-density InAs islands and InAs/GaAsSb type-II quantum wells are achieved. In contrast, on (113)B substrate, formation of InAs/GaAsSb islands under Volmer-Weber mode is observed. We show that such substrate orientation dependent behaviors can be interpreted by surface orientation dependent surface energy modification induced by the presence of Sb.
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