

A formal definition of derivation trees in systems of natural deduction

Citation for published version (APA):

Balsters, H. (1982). *A formal definition of derivation trees in systems of natural deduction*. (Eindhoven University of Technology : Dept of Mathematics : memorandum; Vol. 8220). Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1982

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

696975

EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Department of Mathematics and Computing Science

Memorandum 82-20

November 1982

A formal definition of derivation trees
in systems of natural deduction

by

H. Balsters

P.O. Box 513
5600 MB Eindhoven
The Netherlands

A FORMAL DEFINITION OF DERIVATION TREES
IN SYSTEMS OF NATURAL DEDUCTION

by

H. Balsters

Introduction

The motivation behind this note was a certain dissatisfaction with regard to the lack of formal rigour in familiar natural deduction presentations of first order logic. Usually such presentations are only in part formal and often use is made of intuitive pictures of so-called "derivation trees", without actually giving precise rules of their construction. The technique of "cancelling of hypotheses", for example, ususally lacks a proper formal treatment. Which hypotheses are to be cancelled and what cancelling formally amounts to is not made clear. Sometimes one has the impression that the cancelling technique is governed only by some pragmatic rule of thumb.

In this paper a formal recursive definition will be given of the set of derivation trees in a classical first order system of predicate logic, based on natural deduction. For an exposition of the intuitive background of our subject, see [1].

I. Preliminaries

0. Language

0.0. Alphabet

Our alphabet consists of the following symbols:

- (i) k-ary predicate symbols : $p_0^k, p_1^k, p_2^k, \dots, p_n^k, \dots$
- (ii) k-ary function symbols : $f_0^k, f_1^k, f_2^k, \dots, f_n^k, \dots$
- (iii) constant symbols : $c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, \dots$
- (iv) variables : $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$
- (v) connectives : $\wedge, \rightarrow, \perp, \forall$
- (vi) the cancellation symbol : \$ (from cancelled \$entence)
- (vii) auxiliary symbols : (,), ,

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

The set of variables is denoted by VAR. Furthermore, we shall use meta-variables c (for constant symbols) and x, y (for variables).

0.1. We introduce three syntactical categories: TERM (the set of *terms*), FORM (the set of *formulas*) and CANCEL (the set of *cancelled formulas*).

0.1.0. Definition

TERM is the smallest set X such that

- (i) $\text{VAR} \subset X$
- (ii) $c_n \in X$
- (iii) if $t_1, \dots, t_k \in X$ then $f_n^k(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in X$

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

0.1.1. Definition

FORM is the smallest set X such that

- (i) $\perp \in X$
- (ii) if $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \text{TERM}$ then $p_n^k(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in X$
- (iii) if $\varphi, \psi \in X$ and $\square \in \{\wedge, \rightarrow\}$ then $(\varphi \square \psi) \in X$
- (iv) if $\varphi \in X$ then $\forall x_n \varphi \in X$

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The formulas in (i) and (ii) are called *atoms*. In what follows we shall use $\neg\varphi$ as an abbreviation for $(\varphi \rightarrow \perp)$.

0.1.2. Definition

CANCEL := $\{(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{FORM}\}$.

Our language now consists of FORM \cup CANCEL.

0.1.3. Remark. From now on we shall omit indices and just write an f or p instead of f_n^k or p_n^k to avoid messy notation.

1. Free variables

1.0. Definition

Let $t \in \text{TERM}$, then the set FV(t) of *free variables of* t is defined by

- (i) $\text{FV}(x) := \{x\}$
- (ii) $\text{FV}(c_n) := \emptyset$
- (iii) $\text{FV}(f(t_1, \dots, t_k)) := \bigcup_{j=1}^k \text{FV}(t_j)$

where $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.1. Definition

Let $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$, then the set $\text{FV}(\varphi)$ of *free variables of* φ is defined by

- (i) $\text{FV}(\perp) := \emptyset$
- (ii) $\text{FV}(p(t_1, \dots, t_k)) := \bigcup_{j=1}^k \text{FV}(t_j)$
- (iii) $\text{FV}(\varphi \square \psi) := \text{FV}(\varphi) \cup \text{FV}(\psi)$
- (iv) $\text{FV}(\forall x \varphi) := \text{FV}(\varphi) - \{x\}$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\square \in \{\wedge, \rightarrow\}$.

2. The substitution operator

2.0. Definition

Let $s, t \in \text{TERM}$, then $[x := t]s$ is defined by

- (i) $[x := t]y := \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } x \equiv y \\ y, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (ii) $[x := t]c := c$
- (iii) $[x := t]f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = f([x := t]t_1, \dots, [x := t]t_k)$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By \equiv we denote syntactical equality.

2.1. Definition

Let $t \in \text{TERM}$ and $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$, then $[x := t]\varphi$ is defined by

- (i) $[x := t]\perp := \perp$
- (ii) $[x := t]p(t_1, \dots, t_k) := p([x := t]t_1, \dots, [x := t]t_k)$
- (iii) $[x := t](\varphi \square \psi) := ([x := t]\varphi \square [x := t]\psi)$
- (iv) $[x := t]\forall y \varphi := \begin{cases} \forall y \varphi & , \text{ if } x \equiv y \\ \forall y [x := t]\varphi & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.2. Definition

Let $t \in \text{TERM}$ and $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$.

t is free for x in φ iff

- (i) φ is an atom
- (ii) $\varphi \equiv (\varphi_1 \square \varphi_2)$ and t is free for x in both φ_1 and φ_2 ($\square \in \{\wedge, \rightarrow\}$)
- (iii) $\varphi \equiv \forall y \psi$ and
 - a) $x \equiv y$,
 - or b) $x \notin \text{FV}(\psi)$,
 - or c) $y \notin \text{FV}(t)$ and t is free for x in ψ .

II. Derivation trees

In this section we define the set of *derivation trees* in a system of natural deduction in a completely formal way, without resorting to two-dimensional diagrams. Ordered pairs will be denoted by $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and ordered triples by $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$.

0. Definition

The set of *derivation trees* T is the smallest set X such that

- (i) $\text{FORM} \cup \text{CANCEL} \subset X$
- (ii) if $T \in X$ and $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$ then $\langle T, \varphi \rangle \in X$
- (iii) if $T_1, T_2 \in X$ and $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$ then $\langle T_1, T_2, \varphi \rangle \in X$.

1. Definition

Let $T \in T$, then the root $R(T)$ of tree T is defined by

- (i) if $q \in \text{FORM} \cup \text{CANCEL}$ then $R(q) := q$
- (ii) $R(\langle T, \varphi \rangle) := \varphi$
- (iii) $R(\langle T_1, T_2, \varphi \rangle) := \varphi$.

2. Definition (cancelling of leaves of a tree)

C is the function defined by $C \in T^{T \times \text{FORM}}$ and

- (i) if $q \in \text{FORM} \cup \text{CANCEL}$ then $C(q, \psi) := \begin{cases} \$(q) & , \text{ if } q \equiv \psi \\ q & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (ii) $C(\langle T, \varphi \rangle, \psi) := \langle C(T, \psi), \varphi \rangle$
- (iii) $C(\langle T_1, T_2, \varphi \rangle, \psi) := \langle C(T_1, \psi), C(T_2, \psi), \varphi \rangle$

C is called the *cancel function*.

3. Definition

Let $T \in T$, then $L(T)$ the set of *uncancelled leaf labels* of T is defined by

- (i) if $q \in \text{FORM}$ then $L(q) := \{q\}$
if $q \in \text{CANCEL}$ then $L(q) := \emptyset$
- (ii) $L(\langle T, \varphi \rangle) := L(T)$
- (iii) $L(\langle T_1, T_2, \varphi \rangle) := L(T_1) \cup L(T_2)$

4. Definition

The set of *derivation trees* $\mathcal{D} \subset T$ is the smallest set X such that

- (i) $\text{FORM} \subset X$
- (ii) if $D_1, D_2 \in X$ then $\langle D_1, D_2, (R(D_1) \wedge R(D_2)) \rangle \in X$
(\wedge -introduction)
- (iii) if $D \in X$ and $R(D) = (\varphi \wedge \psi)$ then $\langle D, \varphi \rangle \in X$ and $\langle D, \psi \rangle \in X$
(\wedge -elimination)
- (iv) if $D_1, D_2 \in X$, $R(D_1) = \varphi$ and $R(D_2) = (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ then $\langle D_1, D_2, \psi \rangle \in X$
(\rightarrow -elimination)
- (v) if $D \in X$, $R(D) = \psi$ and $\varphi \in \text{FORM}$ then $\langle C(D, \varphi), (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rangle \in X$
(\rightarrow -introduction)
- (vi) if $D \in X$, $R(D) = \neg\neg\varphi$ then $\langle D, \varphi \rangle \in X$
(double negation)

(vii) if $D \in X$, $R(D) = \varphi$ and $x \in \text{VAR} - \cup \{\text{FV}(\psi) \mid \psi \in L(D)\}$

then $\langle D, \forall x\varphi \rangle \in X$ (\forall -introduction)

(viii) if $D \in X$, $R(D) = \forall x\varphi$, $t \in \text{TERM}$ and t is free for x in φ then

$\langle D, [x := t]\varphi \rangle \in X$ (\forall -elimination).

What we have presented here is a simple set-theoretic definition of the concept of derivation tree which is completely formal; it does not depend on any extra information or suggestive visual aids.

References

- [1] Van Dalen, D., : Logic and Structure Springer Verlag, Berlin 1980.
- [2] Prawitz, D.: Natural Deduction, Almqvist & Wiksell, Stockholm 1965.