

An extension of a theorem of Cauchy with applications to probability theory

Citation for published version (APA):

Vanderperre, E. J. (1975). *An extension of a theorem of Cauchy with applications to probability theory*. (EUT report. WSK, Dept. of Mathematics and Computing Science; Vol. 75-WSK-05). Eindhoven University of Technology.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/1975

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy


If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

TECHNISCHE HOGESCHOOL EINDHOVEN
NEDERLAND
ONDERAFDELING DER WISKUNDE

TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY EINDHOVEN
THE NETHERLANDS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS



An extension of a theorem of Cauchy with
applications to Probability Theory

by

E.J. Vanderperre

T.H.-Report 75-WSK-05

August 1975

Abstract

The paper deals with an extension of Cauchy's theorem for improper integrals. We use the theorem to solve an integral equation of the Pollaczek type [7] and to study a Markov chain $\{w_n, n \geq 0\}$ with state space $[0, \infty[$ closely related to Queueing Theory.

1. An extension of a theorem of Cauchy

1.1. Introduction

Let S be the complex ω -plane, $S_{\pm} := \{\omega \mid \text{Im } \omega \gtrless 0\}$ and $\mathbb{R} := \{\sigma \mid -\infty < \sigma < +\infty\}$.

A. Let $f(\omega)$ be a function, holomorphic in S_{-} and continuous in $S_{-} + \mathbb{R}$ including the point at infinity i.e., $f(\omega) = f(\infty) + o(1)$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ in $S_{-} + \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}f(\infty) & \text{if } \omega \in S_{+} , \\ -f(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}f(\infty) & \text{if } \omega \in S_{-} . \end{cases}$$

The symbol C indicates the principal value (Cauchy value) of the integral.

B. Let $f(\omega)$ be a function, holomorphic in S_{+} and continuous in $S_{+} + \mathbb{R}$ including the point at infinity. Then

$$(b) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} f(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}f(\infty) & \text{if } \omega \in S_{+} , \\ -\frac{1}{2}f(\infty) & \text{if } \omega \in S_{-} . \end{cases}$$

The formulae (a) and (b) are called "Cauchy formulae" for the regions S_{-} and S_{+} respectively.

A simple proof of (a) and (b) is given in [6].

Remark. The condition that $f(\omega) = f(\infty) + o(1)$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ in $S_{-} + \mathbb{R}$ (or in $S_{+} + \mathbb{R}$) is not necessarily satisfied when $f(\omega)$ is a Fourier-Stieltjes transform (F.S.T.). Therefore, we shall prove the following extension related to Probability Theory.

1.2. The theorem

Let $\{\Omega, B, P\}$ be a probability space with $\Omega :=]-\infty, +\infty[$ the sample space, B the smallest σ -algebra containing all open subsets (Borel sets) of Ω and P a probability measure on B . Introduce a B -measurable mapping $\underline{x}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (a random variable) with probability distribution function (p.d.f.)

$$F(\underline{x}) := P[\underline{x} < x], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and define

$$E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}\} := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\sigma x} dF(x), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Denote by (A) de indicator of the event A , i.e.

$$(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ occurs,} \\ 0 & \text{if not .} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} E(\underline{x} = 0) - E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}}(\underline{x} \geq 0)\} & \text{if } \omega \in S_- , \\ -\frac{1}{2} E(\underline{x} = 0) + E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}}(\underline{x} \leq 0)\} & \text{if } \omega \in S_+ . \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $(\underline{x} < 0) + (\underline{x} = 0) + (\underline{x} > 0) = 1$, we have $\forall \omega \mid \omega \in S_+ \cup S_-$

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} + \\ + E(\underline{x} = 0) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}(\underline{x} < 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega},$$

provided that all principal values involved exist.

We shall now prove that

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} -E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\}, & \omega \in S_- , \\ 0 & \omega \in S_+ . \end{cases}$$

In order to show the statement, let $\omega \in S_-$, i.e., put $\omega = Re^{i\theta}$, $\pi < \theta < 2\pi$, $0 < R < \infty$.

Consider a closed curve Γ consisting of a segment $[-T, T]$ of the real line and of a semi-circle γ lying in S_- , with center at the origin and with radius $T \mid 0 < R < T < \infty$. Finally, let $\omega' = Te^{i\theta'}$, $\pi < \theta' < 2\pi$. The contour integration is clockwise.

From the above definition of Γ , we obtain for $\omega \in S_-$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} E\{e^{-i\xi \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\xi}{\xi - \omega} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-T}^{+T} E\{e^{-i\sigma \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} E\{e^{-i\omega' \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega} . \end{aligned}$$

But

$$E\{e^{-i\omega' \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} = \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega' x} dF(x) .$$

Whence, by Cauchy's theorem, we obtain for $\omega \in S_-$

$$\begin{aligned} -E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-T}^{+T} E\{e^{-i\sigma \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega' x} dF(x) \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega} . \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega' x} dF(x) \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega} \right| &\leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-Tx \sin \theta'} dF(x) d\theta'}{\min_{\pi < \theta' < 2\pi} |Te^{i\theta'} - Re^{i\theta}|} \\ &\leq \frac{T}{T - R} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-Tx \sin \varphi} d\varphi dF(x) . \end{aligned}$$

The permutation of both integrals in the right-hand side of the first inequality is justified by Fubini's theorem.

It may be verified that for any $\ell \in]0, \pi/2[$

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} e^{-Tx \sin \varphi} d\varphi \leq \sec \ell \int_0^{\ell} e^{-Tx \sin \varphi} \cos \varphi d\varphi + (\pi/2 - \ell) e^{-Tx \sin \ell} .$$

After some algebra we obtain (ℓ fixed),

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega' x} dF(x) \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega} \right| \leq (\pi/2 - \ell) \frac{T}{T - R} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-Tx \sin \ell} dF(x) +$$

$$+ \frac{T}{T-R} \tan \ell \{F(T^{-1} \operatorname{cosec} \ell) - F(0+)\} + \frac{T}{T-R} \sec \ell \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{1}{Tx} \chi_a(x) dF(x),$$

where $a :=]T^{-1} \operatorname{cosec} \ell, \infty[$ and

$$\chi_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in a, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin a. \end{cases}$$

Since $\frac{1}{Tx} \chi_a(x) \leq 1$, we have by a well-known dominated convergence theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega'x} dF(x) \frac{d\omega'}{\omega' - \omega} = o_T(1) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Finally, we remark that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \omega \in S_-, \\ +\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \omega \in S_+. \end{cases}$$

In a similar way, we can prove that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{i\sigma \underline{x}'}(\underline{x}' > 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} E\{e^{i\omega \underline{x}'}(\underline{x}' > 0)\}, & \omega \in S_+, \\ 0 & \omega \in S_-. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for $\underline{x}' = -\underline{x}$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma \underline{x}}(\underline{x} < 0)\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}(\underline{x} < 0)\}, & \omega \in S_+, \\ 0 & \omega \in S_-. \end{cases}$$

By (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-i\sigma \underline{x}}\} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}E(\underline{x} = 0) - E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}(\underline{x} > 0)\}, & \text{if } \omega \in S_-, \\ +\frac{1}{2}E(\underline{x} = 0) + E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}(\underline{x} < 0)\}, & \text{if } \omega \in S_+. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1. Let \underline{x} be a nonnegative random variable (r.v.) with characteristic function (c.f.), $E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}\}$, $\operatorname{Im} \omega \leq 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{-it \underline{x}}\} \frac{dt}{t - \omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}P[\underline{x} = 0] - E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}}\}, & \omega \in S_-, \\ \frac{1}{2}P[\underline{x} = 0], & \omega \in S_+. \end{cases}$$

(1.4)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E\{e^{it\underline{x}}\} \frac{dt}{t-\omega} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}P[\underline{x} = 0] + E\{e^{i\omega\underline{x}}\}, & \omega \in S_+ , \\ -\frac{1}{2}P[\underline{x} = 0], & \omega \in S_- . \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2. Let $\langle \underline{x}_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of nonnegative r.v.'s with corresponding sequence of c.f.'s $\langle E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}_n}\} \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\text{Im } \omega \leq 0$.

For $\omega \in S_-$ and $z \in [0, 1[$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n E\{e^{-it\underline{x}_n}\} \frac{dt}{t-\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P[\underline{x}_n = 0] - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}_n}\},$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n E\{e^{it\underline{x}_n}\} \frac{dt}{t-\omega} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n P[\underline{x}_n = 0] .$$

Proof. Let $\langle p_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a discrete proper probability distribution, then

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{x}_n}\}$, $\text{Im } \omega \leq 0$, is a c.f. of a nonnegative r.v. and we may apply

(1.4). Since p_n is arbitrary, we may put $p_n = (1-z)z^n$, $\forall z \mid z \in [0, 1[$.

2. An integral equation of the Pollaczek type

In this section, we use the results of section 1 to solve an integral equation of the Pollaczek type [7].

In order to introduce the equation, let $\{\tau_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of independent, identically distributed r.v.'s with p.d.f. $A(\tau)$ concentrated on \mathbb{R} and with c.f. $a(\sigma) = E\{e^{-i\sigma\underline{\tau}}\}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define

$$\underline{s}_n := \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .$$

$\{\underline{s}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a random walk generated by $A(\cdot)$. Let us introduce the following functions for $z \in [0, 1[$

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_+(\omega, z) = e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} E\{e^{-i\omega\underline{s}_n}(\underline{s}_n \leq 0)\}}, \quad \text{Im } \omega \geq 0 ,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_-(\omega, z) = e^{+\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{s}_n} (\underline{s}_n > 0)\}}, \quad \text{Im } \omega \leq 0 .$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain for $z \in [0, 1[$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.3) \quad \gamma_+(\sigma, z) - [1 - za(\sigma)]\gamma_-(\sigma, z) = 0 .$$

Define

$$\begin{cases} \underline{x}_n := \max\{0, \underline{s}_1, \underline{s}_2, \dots, \underline{s}_{n-1}\}, & n \geq 2, \\ \underline{x}_1 := 0 . \end{cases}$$

From the results of Fluctuation Theory, (see e.g. Cohen [1], pag. 151) we have for $z \in [0, 1[$ and $\text{Im } \omega \leq 0$

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} z^n E\{e^{-i\omega \underline{x}_n}\} = \frac{z}{1-z} e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} E(\underline{s}_n > 0)} \gamma_-(\omega, z) .$$

A similar expression can be given with respect to γ_+ .

To simplify notation, we define

$$\alpha(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} E(\underline{s}_n = 0) .$$

By (2.1), (2.2) we have

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\substack{\text{Im } \omega \rightarrow +\infty \\ \text{Re } \omega = 0}} \gamma_+(\omega, z) = e^{-2\alpha(z)} ,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\substack{\text{Im } \omega \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{Re } \omega = 0}} \gamma_-(\omega, z) = 1 .$$

Hence by corollary 1 and 2, (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain after simplification

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma_-(\omega, z) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_-(\sigma, z) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} , \quad \omega \in S_- ,$$

$$(2.8) \quad -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\alpha(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_+(\sigma, z) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} , \quad \omega \in S_- .$$

Rewriting (2.3) in the form

$$\gamma_+(\sigma, z) - \gamma_-(\sigma, z) = za(\sigma)\gamma_-(\sigma, z)$$

yields by (2.7) and (2.8),

$$(2.9) \quad \gamma_-(\omega, z) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + e^{-2\alpha(z)}] - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(\sigma)\gamma_-(\sigma, z) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} .$$

Define

$$(2.10) \quad u_-(\omega, z) := \frac{\gamma_-(\omega, z)}{\frac{1}{2}[1 + e^{-2\alpha(z)}]} , \quad \text{Im } \omega \leq 0 .$$

By (2.9) and (2.10) we have that $u_-(\omega, z)$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$(2.11) \quad u_-(\omega, z) = 1 - \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a(\sigma)u_-(\sigma, z) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} , \quad \omega \in S_- .$$

Finally, we define

$$p_n := -\frac{z^n}{n} \frac{1}{\log(1-z)} , \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in]0, 1[.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n a^n(\sigma) = \frac{\log[1 - za(\sigma)]}{\log(1-z)}$$

is the c.f. of the p.d.f. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n A_n^*(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have for $\omega \in S_-$

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log[1 - za(\sigma)] \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \alpha(z) + \log \gamma_-(\omega, z) .$$

By (2.10) and (2.12) we finally obtain for $\omega \in S_-$, $z \in [0, 1[$

$$u_-(\omega, z) = \text{sech } \alpha(z) e^{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \log[1 - za(\sigma)] \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega}} .$$

Remarks. Integral equations similar to (2.11) have been studied in [7] and [6] using different methods. Pollaczek assumed that $a(\omega)$ is analytic in some strip $|\text{Im } \omega| < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, whereas Keilson and Kooharian made the assumption that $a(\sigma) = o(1)$ as $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$. (In this case $\text{sech } \alpha(z) = 1$.)

By theorem 1 and a combination of some of the techniques presented in [1], [2] and [7], we have shown that the above assumptions concerning $a(\sigma)$ are no longer necessary to solve equations similar to (2.11).

As a second application of theorem 1, we study:

3. The transient behaviour of a Markov chain $\{\underline{w}_n; n \geq 0\}$ with state space $[0, \infty[$

3.1. Introduction

Let $\{\underline{w}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a Markov chain with state space $[0, \infty[$ recursively determined by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \underline{w}_0 \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0, \\ \underline{w}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_H^{(n)} - \underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)}\}, & \text{if } \underline{w}_n = 0, \\ \max\{0, \underline{w}_n + \underline{\sigma}_F^{(n)} - \underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)}\}, & \text{if } \underline{w}_n \neq 0, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where $\underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are positive independent r.v.'s with p.d.f.

$P[\underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)} < t] = G(t)$ and $P[\underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)} \geq 0] = 1$ (ditto $\underline{\sigma}_H^{(n)}, \underline{\sigma}_F^{(n)}$).

We also assume that $\underline{\sigma}_G^{(n)}, \underline{\sigma}_H^{(n)}, \underline{\sigma}_F^{(n)}$ are mutually independent for each n .

Remark. The transient behaviour of the Markov chain $\{\underline{w}_n\}$ has been studied by J. Loris-Teghem [4], [5] using an algebraic method based on a theorem due to G. Baxter [5]. Our approach is based on a Wiener-Hopf integral equation of the second kind and its reduction to a functional equation on \mathbb{R} in terms of F.S.T.'s.

3.2. A Wiener-Hopf integral equation for the Markov chain $\{\underline{w}_n\}$

For $t > 0$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we define

$$(3.2) \quad W_n(t) := P[\underline{w}_n < t]$$

and $W_n(0+) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} W_n(t)$.

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$A_{\underline{F}}(t) := P[\underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(0)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(0)} < t] ,$$

$$A_{\underline{H}}(t) := P[\underline{\sigma}_{\underline{H}}^{(0)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(0)} < t] .$$

Whence for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.3) \quad A_{\underline{F}}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} F(t + \tau) dG(\tau) ,$$

$$(3.4) \quad A_{\underline{H}}(t) = \int_0^{\infty} H(t + \tau) dG(\tau) .$$

By (3.1) we have for $t > 0$ and $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{w}_{\underline{n}} < t) &= (\max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{H}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t) (\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} = 0) + \\ &+ (\max\{0, \underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} + \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t) (\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} > 0) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} > 0) = 1 - (\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} = 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{w}_{\underline{n}} < t) &= (\max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{H}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t) (\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} = 0) + \\ &- (\max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t) (\underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} = 0) + \\ &+ (\max\{0, \underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} + \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t) . \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} P[\underline{w}_{\underline{n}} < t] &= \{P[\max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{H}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t] \\ &- P[\max\{0, \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t]\} W_{\underline{n-1}}(0+) \\ &+ P[\max\{0, \underline{w}_{\underline{n-1}} + \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{F}}^{(n-1)} - \underline{\sigma}_{\underline{G}}^{(n-1)}\} < t] . \end{aligned}$$

But for $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P[\max\{0, \underline{w}_{n-1} + \sigma_{-F}^{(n-1)} - \sigma_{-G}^{(n-1)}\} < t] \\
 &= P[\underline{w}_{n-1} + \sigma_{-F}^{(n-1)} - \sigma_{-G}^{(n-1)} < t] , \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P[\underline{w}_{n-1} < t - \tau] dP[\sigma_{-F}^{(0)} - \sigma_{-G}^{(0)} < \tau] .
 \end{aligned}$$

Whence for $t > 0$ and $n \geq 1$ we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad W_n(t) = \{A_H(t) - A_F(t)\}W_{n-1}(0+) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{n-1}(t - \tau) dA_F(t) .$$

Since $W(0+) = 1$ and $W_0(t) = U_0(t)$ where $U_0(t)$ is the Heaviside unit-step function at $t = 0$, we have that equation (3.5) is also valid for $n = 1$ ($W_1(t) = A_H(t)$ for $t > 0$).

Remark. If $H \equiv F$, then (3.5) reduces to Lindley's integral equation for the Markov chain $\{\tilde{w}_n, n \geq 0\}$ recursively determined by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{w}_0 \text{ a.s. } 0 , \\ \tilde{w}_{n+1} = \max\{0, \tilde{w}_n + \sigma_{-F}^{(n)} - \sigma_{-G}^{(n)}\} . \end{cases}$$

3.3. Solution of the integral equation (3.5)

In order to apply a Wiener-Hopf technique to equation (3.5), we define for $t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $W_n^+(t) := W_n(t)$ (note that $W_n^+(0) = 0$ or $W_n^+(0) \neq W_n^+(0+) = W_n(0+)$), and for $t \leq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$; $W_n^-(t) := 0$.

For $t \leq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$W_n^-(t) := -\{A_H(t) - A_F(t)\}W_{n-1}(0+) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{n-1}(t - \tau) dA_F(\tau) .$$

Finally we define for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$W_0^+(t) := W_0^-(t) := W_0(t) \quad (= U_0(t)).$$

By (3.5) and the above definitions we have for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \geq 1$

$$(3.6) \quad W_n^+(t) = W_{n-1}(0+)\{A_H(t) - A_F(t)\} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{n-1}^+(t-\tau)dA_F(\tau) + W_n^-(t) .$$

We will now reduce equation (3.6) into a functional equation on \mathbb{R} in terms of Fourier-Stieltjes transforms. Therefore, we define for $z \in [0,1[$

$$w_-(\omega, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} dW_n^+(t), \quad \text{Im } \omega \leq 0 ,$$

$$w_+(\omega, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-i\omega t} dW_n^-(t), \quad \text{Im } \omega \geq 0 ,$$

$$W(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n W_n(0+),$$

$$a_F(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\omega t} dA_F(t), \quad \text{Im } \omega = 0 ,$$

$$a_H(\omega) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\omega t} dA_H(t), \quad \text{Im } \omega = 0 .$$

Put $\sigma = \text{Re } \omega$. For $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in [0,1[$ we obtain by (3.6) and the above definitions

$$(3.7) \quad w_+(\sigma, z) - \{1 - za_F(\sigma)\}w_-(\sigma, z) = zW(z)\{a_F(\sigma) - a_H(\sigma)\} .$$

Note that

$$a_F(\sigma) = E\{e^{-i\sigma\tau_{-F}^{(n)}}\}E\{e^{i\sigma\tau_{-G}^{(n)}}\} ,$$

$$a_H(\sigma) = E\{e^{-i\sigma\tau_{-H}^{(n)}}\}E\{e^{i\sigma\tau_{-G}^{(n)}}\} .$$

In order to simplify (3.7), we introduce a sequence of r.v.'s $\langle \tau_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the property that $\tau_n \stackrel{D}{=} \tau_{-F}^{(n)} - \tau_{-G}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $E\{e^{-i\sigma\tau_n}\} = a_F(\sigma)$, we have by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3)

$$1 - za_F(\sigma) = \frac{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R} .$$

Substituting in (3.7) yields

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{w_+(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} - \frac{w_-(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)} = zW(z) \frac{a_F(\sigma) - a_H(\sigma)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} .$$

Since $\frac{a_F(\sigma) - a_H(\sigma)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)}$ is a F.S.T. of a function of bounded variation, we have that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \frac{a_F(\sigma) - a_H(\sigma)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} d\sigma$$

exists and is finite. Hence we may put

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \frac{a_F(\sigma) - a_H(\sigma)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} d\sigma = M(z) .$$

Moreover

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \frac{w_-(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)} d\sigma = \lim_{\substack{\text{Im } \omega \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{Re } \omega = 0}} \frac{w_-(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)} = W(z) .$$

Whence

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \frac{w_+(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} d\sigma = W(z)\{1 + zM(z)\} .$$

Or equivalently

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{\substack{\text{Im } \omega \rightarrow +\infty \\ \text{Re } \omega = 0}} \frac{w_+(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} = W(z)\{1 + zM(z)\} .$$

By (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and corollary (2), we obtain for $\omega \in S_-$, $z \in [0, 1[$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{w_+(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_+(\sigma, z)} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = -\frac{1}{2}W(z)\{1 + zM(z)\}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{w_-(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - \omega} = \frac{1}{2}W(z) - \frac{w_-(\sigma, z)}{\gamma_-(\sigma, z)} .$$

By (3.11), (4.11) and (3.8) we have

$$(3.13) \quad w_-(\omega, z) = \gamma_-(\omega, z)W(z)\left\{1 + \frac{z}{2} M(z) + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}\right\}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{\omega \rightarrow 0 \\ \omega \in S_-}} w_-(\omega, z) = (1 - z)^{-1}.$$

Whence

$$(3.15) \quad W(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} \frac{1}{\gamma_-(0, z)\left[1 + \frac{z}{2} M(z) + \lim_{\substack{\omega \rightarrow 0 \\ \omega \in S_-}} \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}\right]}.$$

By (3.13) and (3.15) we have the following:

Theorem 2.

$$(3.16) \quad w_-(\omega, z) = \tilde{w}_-(\omega, z) \frac{1 + \frac{z}{2} M(z) + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}}{1 + \frac{z}{2} M(z) + \lim_{\substack{\omega \rightarrow 0 \\ \omega \in S_-}} \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}}$$

where

$$\tilde{w}_-(\omega, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z} e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n} E\{(1 - e^{-i\omega s_n})(s_n > 0)\}}.$$

Corollary 3. If $a_F(\sigma)$, $a_H(\sigma)$ are $o(1)$ as $|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$(3.17) \quad w_-(\omega, z) = \tilde{w}_-(\omega, z) \frac{1 + \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}}{1 + \lim_{\substack{\omega \rightarrow 0 \\ \omega \in S_-}} \frac{z}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)}{\gamma_+(\tau, z)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - \omega}}.$$

Proof. Since $\lim_{|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty} a_F(\sigma) = \lim_{|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty} a_H(\sigma) = 0$, we have by (3.7),

$$\lim_{|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty} w_+(\sigma, z) = \lim_{|\sigma| \rightarrow \infty} w_-(\sigma, z) = W(z) .$$

Hence $M(z) = 0$.

Remark 1. If $a_F(\sigma)$ and $a_H(\sigma)$ are Hölder continuous at infinity, then (3.17) can be obtained by the methods of the classical Hilbert problem [8], [10].

Remark 2. Finally, we remark that J. Loris-Teghem's result [5] can be obtained from (3.13). In order to show this we remark that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{w_-(\omega, z)}{\tilde{w}_-(\omega, z)} - \frac{w_-(i\varepsilon, z)}{\tilde{w}_-(i\varepsilon, z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{zW(z)\{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)\}}{\{1 - za_F(\tau)\}\tilde{w}_-(\tau, z)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau - \omega} - \frac{1}{\tau - i\varepsilon} \right\} d\tau .$$

Since $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{w_-(i\varepsilon, z)}{\tilde{w}_-(i\varepsilon, z)} = 1$, we have

$$w_-(\omega, z) = \tilde{w}_-(\omega, z) \left[1 + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{zW(z)\{a_F(\tau) - a_H(\tau)\}}{\{1 - za_F(\tau)\}\tilde{w}_-(\tau, z)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau - \omega} - \frac{1}{\tau - i\varepsilon} \right\} d\tau \right]$$

References

- [1] J.W. Cohen, "The single server queue". North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1969.
- [2] W. Feller, "An introduction to probability theory and its applications II". John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [3] J. Keilson and A. Kooharian, "On the general time dependent queue with a single server". Ann. Math. Stat. 31, 1960.
- [4] J. Loris-Teghem, "On the waiting time distribution in a generalized GI/G/1 queueing system". J. Appl. Prob. 8, 1971.
- [5] J. Loris-Teghem, "Une application d'un théorème de G. Baxter à la détermination de la distribution des temps d'attente dans un modèle GI/G/1 généralisé". CERO, vol. 10, no. 2, 1968.

- [6] N.I. Muskhelishvili, "Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity". P. Noordhoff Ltd. Groningen, 1962.
- [7] F. Pollaczek, "Problèmes stochastiques posés par le phénomène de formation d'une queue d'attente à un guichet et par des phénomènes apparentés". Gauthiers-Villars. Fas. CXXXVI. Paris, 1957.
- [8] B.W. Roos, "Analytic functions and distributions in physics and engineering". John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, 1969.
- [9] L. Takács, "On a method of Pollaczek". Stoch. Proc. and their applications. Vol. 1, 1973.
- [10] E.J. Vanderperre, "A nonhomogeneous Hilbert problem with applications to queueing theory". Memorandum COSOR 73-08. Eindhoven, University of Technology. Eindhoven, 1973.