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This bibliography does contain a full english-language reference list of the Socio-Technical Systems Design (STSD) paradigm. Its notation system is in accordance with contemporary American Psychological Association (APA) reference standards.

This bibliography has been produced in several consecutive stages over a period of three years. From 1990 until 1992 four successive releases have been sent out to key authors in fourteen countries, covering four continents. Most of these colleagues have kindly responded with ample corrections or have suggested new entries. Therefore it is no platitude to say that thus scholars from all over the world have helped to (further) complete this main STSD reference base. During the whole collecting and editing process much effort is put on additionally cross-checking each reference as it resembles in the literature.

Despite the fact that STSD articles and books have been published in almost all languages of the world, this bibliography only contains english-language literature. Of course this set certain limits to the overall representativeness of the reference list in question.

In order to enable the user also to consult relevant context-literature, the definite selection of entries has been done on a rather broad basis, at the same time both covering key STSD sources and main antecedent roots. Also many references on related issues have been included.

In the second half of 1992 a thematic partition will be developed for bibliometric study or individual content scanning activities. A preliminary list of distinctive categories has been added here for illustration purposes.

To encourage searching this bibliography has been processed on an electronic medium and will be made available on a floppy disk to accompany the STSD monograph Van Eijnatten (1992) "The paradigm that changed the work place", Assen/Maastricht, The Netherlands: Van Gorcum Publishers.

This bibliography was also made possible through a contribution of the Dutch research stimulation programme TAO (Technology, Work and Organization), industrial sector.
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