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Finite-size effects on bacterial population expansion under controlled flow conditions

Francesca Tesser1, Jos C. H. Zeegers1, Herman J. H. Clercx1, Luc Brunsveld2 & Federico Toschi1,3,4

The expansion of biological species in natural environments is usually described as the combined effect of individual spatial dispersal and growth. In the case of aquatic ecosystems flow transport can also be extremely relevant as an extra, advection induced, dispersal factor. We designed and assembled a dedicated microfluidic device to control and quantify the expansion of populations of E. coli bacteria under both co-flowing and counter-flowing conditions, measuring the front speed at varying intensity of the imposed flow. At variance with respect to the case of classic advective-reactive-diffusive chemical fronts, we measure that almost irrespective of the counter-flow velocity, the front speed remains finite at a constant positive value. A simple model incorporating growth, dispersion and drift on finite-size hard beads allows to explain this finding as due to a finite volume effect of the bacteria. This indicates that models based on the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov equation (FKPP) that ignore the finite size of organisms may be inaccurate to describe the physics of spatial growth dynamics of bacteria.

Many biological populations and communities live in liquid environment under the effect of a flow. This occurs both at large scales, for example for aquatic organisms and larvae in rivers and estuaries or marine organisms and plankton in the oceans1–2, and at smaller scales, for algae in bioreactors down to bacterial infections in human body3–4. The study of the expansion of biological species in these environments is relevant for ecology, for example to understand algal blooms or the spread of invasive species5, but also, in conservation biology, for the reintroduction and persistence of populations under difficult environmental conditions, like for instance organisms living in the silt of a river6. In all cases the complexity of these systems is challenging because of the interplay between living species, with their motility behaviours and their active strategies to persist under difficult conditions and the role of the flow, which is usually both a vehicle for nutrient and the cause of transport of organisms out of their initial environments7. Phenomena such as the spatial spreading of populations in new territories and the invasion of new species can dramatically change due to a streaming flow.

The natural generalisation of classic spatial models of growth in liquid environments is formulated in terms of reaction-diffusion-advection equations of the density of organisms, $c(x,t)$8–9. In these ecosystems, the spatial dynamics of a population is given by the combination of growth, individual own dispersion, and the transport by the flow as an extra biased migration factor10:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (uc) = D \nabla^2 c + \mu c (1 - c).$$

(1)

Diffusion models are commonly used for a wide range of living species, such as animals, plants and insects, since their dispersion in many cases is well described by an effective diffusion with a constant coefficient $D$11. Here, the reaction term is controlled by the logistic growth dynamics with rate $\mu$, which saturates at $c = 1$, and the advection term contributes for the transport by a flow field, $u(x,t)$.

In absence of any flow, eq. (1) is the well-known Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov (FKPP) equation12. For sharp enough initial conditions, like the ones relevant in biology, it admits traveling wave solutions with
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constant speed $v_F = 2 \sqrt{D/H}$ and front width $w$ of order $-\sqrt{D/t}$. This equation is generally used to describe propagation of population fronts into a homogeneous empty territory. Recently, the invasion speed of ciliate *Tetrahymena* cells has been measured in experiments in a liquid habitat showing a good agreement within the FKPP framework, with the population expansion described in terms of diffusive motility and doubling dynamics. When flows are present, instead, front propagation and transport properties are deeply linked and the flow influences not only the expansion process but can also have a dramatic impact on the population chance of survival in the habitat. The solution for eq. (1) in a one-dimensional homogeneous infinite habitat with constant velocity, of absolute value $u$, is simply given by a change in the reference frame. The two speeds sum up, producing a front propagating with increased speed, $v_F = v_F + u$, if the flow is supporting the growth or reduced speed, $v_F = v_F - u$, in the case of adverse flow. Persistence in the last case is guaranteed for $v_F > u$, otherwise the colony cannot propagate further and is simply flushed away. The dynamics gets richer, instead, when the landscape is not infinite or non-homogeneous or the flow is nonstationary as in realistic situations.

Several numerical studies have investigated eq. (1) as models for diluted plankton populations in the ocean under complex turbulent flows, also considering inertial effects. Alternative models have been developed to describe situations in which the transport is only partially felt by the populations, like benthic organisms which only occasionally enter into the stream feeling the drift before settling again. One experimental application of eq. (1), instead, considers the growth of bacteria on a ring-shaped agar landscape rotating with respect to a UV-light pattern to mimic an external advection. However, to our knowledge, no systematic and controlled experiments have been performed to quantify the biological front propagation under real flows.

Advection in the case of classic chemical reaction fronts has been instead more studied. The reaction-diffusion equation for this kind of reactions can differ from the FKPP equation in the order of the reaction term. For example, a cubic equation holds for autocatalytic iodate-arseneous acid fronts having self-sustained traveling fronts similar to the FKPP fronts. Experimental studies have been performed in Poiseuille and other laminar flows, and further experimental investigations have been done with series of vortices and disordered porous media flows. The main benchmark usually consists of the propagation front speed as a function of the mean flow velocity and for autocatalytic reactions under laminar flows there is a good agreement between experiments and advection-reaction-diffusion models. In the supportive-flow regime the reactive front is usually carried by the flow at a speed which is a linear function of the mean flow, while at counter-flow conditions its behaviour appears more diverse. In the Eikonal limit (front width much smaller than the channel width), even if highly distorted by the flow, the front does not slow down but maintains the zero-flow speed. Instead, in non-Eikonal regimes, it monotonically decelerates reversing the motion for a critical adverse flow. In the case of porous media flows, the front is observed to remain frozen for a wide range of counter velocities before starting to move downstream for relative high adverse flows.

Despite the relevance of these natural phenomena, spatial models for growth, to our knowledge, have never been tested under controlled flow conditions and it is not obvious whether the simple advection-reaction-diffusion scheme, which is usually proposed, can well describe real situations.\textsuperscript{6,8-10} Microfluidics is currently recognised to be a powerful tool for quantitative studies on microbiological processes and to be the best candidate to control flow at small scales.\textsuperscript{24-28}

In this article we present the design of a dedicated microfluidic device for the growth of *E. coli* bacteria populations under both co- and counter-flowing laminar conditions. We focus our attention to the front dynamics and its advancing speed with the idea of comparing the biological front behaviour to the results reported in literature for chemical species in the context of the advection-reaction-diffusion equation.\textsuperscript{12}

In the case of our experiment we do find a speed up of the front due to a supportive flow and a decrease in the propagation speed with respect to $v_F$ at small counter velocities, but, surprisingly, a stable regime is visible in which bacteria persist growing against the flow at a constant speed, irrespective of the intensity and even for relatively large opposing flows. This result is not explainable in terms of the FKPP equation and has no counterpart in the chemical reactions literature. We will discuss how this result is peculiar of the finite size of bacteria and will conclude that the FKPP is lacking in describing the spatial growth of colonies in this case. This last point shares close similarities with recent non-diffusive models of spatial growth of bacterial colonies on solid substrates.\textsuperscript{30}

### Results

In order to perform multiple measurements of bacterial front propagation at different flow conditions in a single experiment we designed a fluidic device made of multiple parallel channels with same cross section as sketched in Fig. 1A. Most of the sets of experiments reported here have been obtained with a device made of 15 channels (15ch), with 300 $\mu$m × 280 $\mu$m of rectangular cross section and 5.6 mm of length. Furthermore, also the results from a different preliminary device made of 42 channels (42ch), with same cross section but 11 mm long, are consistent with the 15ch geometry. Results from both the devices are presented here. The devices are made of PDMS and are sealed to glass from one side. A picture of a typical device is visible in the Supporting Information in Fig. S1. The experiment is characterised by two phases: the loading of bacteria in the device and the actual growth along the channels. For this reason, the device has two inlets and two outlets connected to on-off valves which can be independently set and allow the device to be used according to two different flow configurations. One flow configuration is used for the loading phase and prepares the initial conditions for the experiment by controlling the position of the laminar interface between bacterial suspension and clear medium as sketched in Fig. 1A. The second flow configuration is designed for generating variable flow rates in the parallel channels as depicted in Fig. 1B. The network of flow rates can be solved applying the conservation of mass at each fluidic junction, knowing the fluidic resistance of each branch, and solving for the Poiseuille pressure drop at each node: qualitatively, the pressure drop at the entrance of each channel generates a series of decreasing flow rates in the channels in the first half of the device and increasing flow rates in the opposite direction in the second half of the device. In the case of an odd number of channels, the middle one is characterised by zero flow, for symmetry.
The main result of this experiment concerns the speed of the bacterial population fronts along the channels. First of all, the existence of traveling waves propagating with constant speed along the channels is confirmed, as visible in Fig. 1D, for which it is possible to define a front speed function of the centreline velocity, \( v_{\text{max}} \) (see Supporting Information for details). The results concerning the front speed are summarised in Fig. 2, as a function of the centreline velocity, \( v_{\text{max}} \) of each channel. These values of the flow are the ones obtained from the LBM simulations. The experiment is reproduced at different inflow rates \( Q \) in order to span a wide range of flow speeds. Moreover, for \( Q = 0.1 \mu L/\text{min} \) and the 15ch device, four identical experiments are repeated in order to analyse the intrinsic variability of the process and to improve the estimation of the output values by averaging over independent tests. In this case only the mean value is shown instead of single measurements. The errors on single measurements are defined based on the technique for speed extraction (see Supporting Information).

**Bacterial front speed measurements.**  The main result of this experiment concerns the speed of the population fronts along the channels. First of all, the existence of traveling waves propagating with constant speed along the channels is confirmed, as visible in Fig. 1D, for which it is possible to define a front speed (see Supporting Information for details). The results concerning the front speed are summarised in Fig. 2, as a function of the centreline velocity, \( v_{\text{max}} \) of each channel. These values of the flow are the ones obtained from the LBM simulations. The experiment is reproduced at different inflow rates \( Q \) in order to span a wide range of flow speeds. Moreover, for \( Q = 0.1 \mu L/\text{min} \) and the 15ch device, four identical experiments are repeated in order to analyse the intrinsic variability of the process and to improve the estimation of the output values by averaging over independent tests. In this case only the mean value is shown instead of single measurements. The errors on single measurements are defined based on the technique for speed extraction (see Supporting Information).
and the errors on the mean values are obtained from the single measurements by usual error propagation. The fast co-flow cases are difficult to analyse in terms of sharp fronts propagating with a defined velocity. Indeed, in this case, the growth process appears to be driven by single individuals which are resuspended and carried downstream at early times and dramatically diluting the front. It is not possible to quantify this growth regime in terms of a collective front propagation on these time and length scales. This extreme co-flow regime is left out of the purpose of this paper and the design of the channel and acquisition procedure are optimised for the intermediate regimes. This choice implicates gradual increase in the error bars in the fast supportive flow regime. In the set of our experiments, a direct measure of the bacterial propagation speed in liquid medium at zero velocity is available, which results in $v_0 = (0.081 \pm 0.001) \mu \text{m/s}$. It is of the same order of magnitude but a factor four larger than the Fisher speed prediction, $v_F$. Figure 2 shows that the front speed varies with the fluid velocity, propagating faster for a flow supporting the growth and reducing for a flow in the opposite direction. In particular, in the co-flow regime the front motion can be explained as an advected front, propagating at a speed which is given by the growth speed at zero velocity, $v_0$, plus a contribution proportional to the fluid velocity. The slope obtained from a linear fit, $s = 0.086 \pm 0.003$, is much smaller than one, which means that the front is not carried downstream by the maximum fluid velocity nor by the mean fluid velocity but by a much smaller velocity. This is consistent with sedimentation of the bacteria used, since they are slightly denser than the medium, combined with a parabolic profile of the flow in the channel, which makes the bacteria experiencing a reduced velocity, closer to the wall. The effective velocity which is relevant for the front speed-up for these data can be estimated from $v_0$ to be at distance $d \ll h$, if $h = h/4 \approx 6 \mu \text{m}$ from the wall, where $h = 280 \mu \text{m}$ is the depth of the channel and a parabolic profile is assumed along the vertical direction $z$ with no-slip conditions at the walls $z = 0$ and $z = h$, and $v_{max}$ as centreline velocity at $z = h/2$. On the negative axis in Fig. 2, instead, the front speed is reduced with respect to $v_0$ but, remarkably, does not show a dependency on the flow intensity over a wide range of counter-flow velocities. A plateau front appears indeed, where the bacterial front propagates at a constant positive speed independent of the flow velocity. The average of the data in this regime gives $v_{min} = (0.044 \pm 0.002) \mu \text{m/s}$, which is smaller than $v_0$. The experiment is designed in a way that negative population front velocities are not measurable, since they would never enter the region of interest where the acquisition takes place. Anyhow the output of an eventual negative speed experiment would have given no front detection, while a very clear signal, with accurate speed, is always visible at relative high counter-flowing velocities. Independent observations in bright field (see Appendix 2), of this particular counter-flow regime, show that the population is expanding in its leading part as a monolayer at the level of the bottom wall. From this observation one can infer that boundary conditions are extremely important to sustain the growth upstream, both because the velocity is zero at the wall and because of eventual interaction, i.e. stickiness, between cells and walls. However it is not clear how this growth speed at the wall differs from the one at zero flow. An explanation is suggested below.

Interestingly, plateau regimes exist also for autocatalytic reactions, but only at zero velocity\cite{26} or at the Fisher velocity for channel flows in the Eikonal limit (front width much smaller than channel width)\cite{20}. It can be deduced, therefore, that the presence of two characteristic different velocities, the one at zero flow and the minimum one at strong enough counter-flows, indicates that the growth process is somehow different in the two cases. A possible interpretation of the results considers the fact that at the wall, and with strong opposite flow, any diffusion motion is suppressed and the only propagation possible is the duplication process and the cumulative mechanical forces between individual bacteria. At small velocities, instead, or without any flow, the contribution by the diffusive dynamics which, if alone, would produce the usual Fisher speed $v_F$, adds up to that minimal growth process, which alone would give a speed $v_{\text{min}}$, producing a front which is faster than the growth on a substrate ($v_0 > v_{\text{min}}$) and faster than the Fisher speed alone ($v_F > v_{\text{min}}$).

In order to validate this hypothesis we developed a simple model of hard (impenetrable) spheres in one space dimension which undergo a drift and diffusive dynamics with duplication as sketched in Fig. 3 (see Appendix 2 for further details).
**Figure 3.** (Top) Scheme for the 1-dimensional growth model of hard impenetrable spheres of radius r on a semi-infinite space with a wall at \( x = 0 \). Every particle undergoes a stochastic drift-diffusion process and gives birth to a new particle next to it (in red/light gray) at constant rate only if there is space. The duplication processes are indicated by the arrows. The front is defined as the position of the most advanced particle. (Bottom) Comparison between the experimental data of front speed of Fig. 2 and the numerical front speed from the 1d hard beads model, as a function of drift \( v \). Parameters for the model have been fixed in order to match the two velocities of the experiment, \( c_{\text{min}} = v_{\text{min}} \) and \( c_{\text{g}} = v_{\text{g}} \), \( D = 0.0036 \), \( \mu = 0.5 \), \( r = 0.088 \) and time step \( dt = 0.05 \). One more parameter is free to match the velocity in the channel \( v_{\text{max}} \) with the drift \( v \) in the model \( v = \alpha v_{\text{max}} \) with \( \alpha = 1/\text{s} \).

for details). Usual models for spatial growth, as the FKPP equation or discrete models as the stepping stone algorithm\(^{33}\), assume a growth contribution which acts only locally and diffusion or migration as spatial phenomena. In this model, instead, also a non local effect is introduced for the birth event, given by the excluded volume of the spheres. The concept of “motion by growth” is not new, as it is known that in very narrow channels, where bacteria motility vanishes, bacterial cells can still pass through by growth and division\(^{34}\). In the model that we propose, the diffusive motion vanishes due to the strong counter-drift which packs the spheres at the maximum density, and the minimal growth by duplication is the only mechanism left to the front for advancing. In Fig. 3 the front speed from our one dimensional model is compared against our experimental data of Fig. 2. This is done by tuning the parameters \( D, \mu \) and the radius of the spheres, \( r \), in the model to match the two velocities, \( v_{\text{g}} \) and \( v_{\text{min}} \) of the experimental data, and rescaling the drift of the model, to match also the slope of the experimental data in the supportive regime.

So far the discussion focused on the front speeds, however the propagation dynamics is also characterised by the front width and the density at the stationary state. As visible in Fig. 1D, and also confirmed by the data from other channels, the front appears to be sharper and denser in the counter-flow condition, while in the supportive case it looks systematically more dilute and broader (more data are shown in the Supporting Information in Figs S9 and S10). This observation is in agreement with the picture of the one-dimensional model, by which the density of beads is systematically higher for negative drift velocities, where they become highly compact, while positive drifts tend to dilute the front. However, the comparison is only qualitative. For these observed quantities the transversal dimension and the vertical variation of the flow field are expected to be relevant as well and, probably, a more microscopic information on the bacteria body distribution would be needed in order to improve the model.

**Discussion**

By the use of a microfluidic device we have performed experiments of bacterial colony growth under controlled flow conditions, observing traveling waves and measuring their propagation speed. In addition to a direct measure of the propagation speed at zero flow in liquid medium, we have observed the influence of the flow both in opposite and supportive directions. Surprisingly, a well visible plateau regime can be observed at non-zero front velocity, for the high counter-flow case, which leads us to consider two distinct contributions to the spatial dynamics: the Brownian diffusion on one side and the duplication of finite-size organisms on the other side. In the case of non-motile bacteria in liquid medium, these two mechanisms appear to contribute approximately with the same order of magnitude, so that both effects are visible, but, in general, one of the two contributions can be negligible for other living or chemical species. Indeed, in the case of chemical species, the local nature of the reaction term is legitimate down to the atomic scales, while in the case of cell size, the front velocity is dominated by the division replication process when the diffusive dynamics is suppressed (e.g. on solid substrates or under strong counter-flow conditions).

For the growth of bacteria in liquid medium, where both Brownian dynamics and advection take place we have suggested a simple model to capture the role of advection and diffusion for finite size duplicating beads. Even though very simple, the model includes the key essential features. In principle, the one-dimensional model can be extended by considering other dimensions, or introducing further details like the existing models for growth of bacteria on substrates, which solve the mechanical forces between bacteria allowing also some compressibility on the individual bodies\(^{30}\).
In conclusion our experiments indicate that advection-reaction-diffusion equations are useful to describe the dynamics of populations growing under flow but, in some circumstances, the FKPP equation needs to be extended to account for finite-volume effects. In particular, these finite-volume effects seem to be relevant when the diffusive dynamics is not dominant, like in the counter-flow regimes where it can be suppressed by advection. Additional investigations taking into account the complete flow field with its vertical profile, systematic analysis on the effect of varying the shear rate with similar centreline velocities by changing the channel geometry, can also provide additional understanding on the population growth dynamics under flowing conditions.

**Methods**

**Bacterial strain preparation.** DH5α bacteria were genetically modified to contain the pHIT84 plasmid\(^3^5\), coding for the expression of monomeric Yellow Fluorescent Protein (mYFP) out of a pTWIN vector with Ampicillin resistance. The bacterial strain was cultivated overnight in 6 mL of growth medium (LB medium) supplemented with Ampicillin. 2 mL of the bacterial culture was then diluted in 2 mL of fresh medium. Estimation of the bacterial density at this step is \(1.5 \cdot 10^{10} \) bacteria/mL, obtained by single colony counting procedure. Bacterial population during the experiment is visualised in absence of any IPTG in the medium, and the signal derives from background expression. Bacteria are loaded in the PDMS device using a BD plastic 3 mL syringe, controlled by a KDS Legato 180 syringe pump at flow rates set between 4 and 8 \(\mu\)L/min for 2 h.

**Microfluidic device and experimental protocol.** The device is made of PDMS and is fabricated following standard soft-lithographic procedures and sealed on a glass slide\(^3^6\). A new device is fabricated every time for a new experiment, since it is not possible to clean it completely after being used with bacteria. The experiment requires an accurate flow control at very small velocity scales, of order of \(\mu\)m/s and smaller, and also no flow in some parts of the device for a long period of time, of order of 5–10 hours. Because of PDMS permeability to water vapour\(^3^7\), which is not negligible at these velocity rates and time scales, the device is put under water at 50°C for minimum 1 hour before placing under the microscope, to saturate the PDMS with water. Then it is immersed inside a water bath for all the duration of the experiment to completely remove residual flows otherwise given by evaporation through the walls. The temperature control is provided by a hot plate in contact with the water bath set at the temperature of 43°C in order to maintain the bath and the PDMS at 37°C, optimal temperature for bacterial growth.

**Temperature calibration.** The device is then loaded with a 10 mL Hamilton glass syringe controlled by a separate KDS Legato 180 syringe pump. The medium is degassed with Biotech Degasi Classic before the use, then it is flushed in the device for 30 minutes to replace the water and to provide an homogeneous concentration of nutrient. Then the bacteria syringe is connected and the bacteria loading procedure can take place. The medium and bacteria syringe pumps are synchronised, so that they ramp down automatically after 2 h to the final flow rate, minimising the disturbance to the bacteria interface. Finally the valves are manually changed to the final flow configuration.

**Image acquisition.** Optical access to the device occurs through the glass by use of an upright fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX61) coupled to a CCD ATIK-4000 camera. The appropriate excitation and emission of fluorescent bacterial strain is provided with the filter cube MYFP-HQ by Olympus (excitation BP 490–500 nm, emission BP 515–560 nm) and light is focused and collected by a 2.5x magnification objective, which gives a field of view of \(6 \times 6 \text{ mm}^2\) (resolution \(\sim 3 \mu\text{m/pixel}\)). In general acquisition of fluorescence images is done at intervals of 343 seconds with an exposure time of 30 seconds. Bright field mode has been used in the experiment to check the initial state of the experiment and to monitor the leading front progression at high counter-speed with 10x and 20x magnification objectives and a Thorlabs camera.

**1D growth model with excluded volume.** The model considers a number of one dimensional hard beads of diameter \(2r\) living on a one dimensional continuous semi-infinite habitat \(x = [0, +\infty)\). The dynamics of a single bead is described by a stochastic displacement \(dx\) given by a constant drift \(v\) and diffusion \(D\):

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = v + \sqrt{2D} dW(t)
\]

with \(dW\) the increment of a Wiener process \(W(t)\) with unit variance: \(\langle dW(t) \rangle = 0\) and \(\langle (dW(t))^2 \rangle = dt\). The beads interact by excluded volume, in the sense that they are impenetrable and cannot overlap. Since the system is confined in 1 dimension, the order is also necessarily preserved, as in the single file dynamics and this has important consequences for the statistical behaviour of the constrained beads in the bulk\(^3^6\). Moreover growth is also implemented: each bead can give birth to an identical bead of the same size next to it, left or right, at a rate \(\mu\) and only if there is space. The actual probability for a particle in \(x\) to give birth on the right in the time interval \(dt\) is then \(\mu dx/2\) multiplied by the probability of the space between \(x + r\) and \(x + 3r\) being empty. A wall is placed at \(x = 0\) and it has the same effect of a fixed bead at \(x = -r\). The dynamics advances at intervals of time \(dt\) in which beads displace and have chance to duplicate in a random order, the overlap between beads is treated as a kind of inelastic interaction: the particle of interest is displaced as much as there is space and then, in case of overlap, it is placed next to the neighbouring bead, which means at the minimal distance \(2r\) from its centre. Note that here the advection is not implemented as a pure transport on the whole population, but as a biased drift on each individual movement. The front is defined as the position of the most advanced particle, \(x_f\), and the front speed as the derivative, \(c = dx/dt\). It is numerically estimated as the slope of linear regression on values of front position on time, averaged over independent realisations. Depending on the direction of the drift \(v\), the dynamics is classified in three classes: the no-flow \(v = 0\), the counter-flow \(v < 0\) or the co-flow \(v > 0\) case. At zero velocity the front speed is given by a constant, plus a term which scales as the Fisher speed with the square root of the diffusion coefficient:
$c_p(D) = c_{\text{min}} + A \sqrt{D}$, with constant coefficient $A$. However, in contrast with the FKPP dynamics, even in the limit $D \to 0$ the front can proceed at its minimum speed $c_{\text{min}}$ which is given by duplication of the most advanced particle, at velocity given by one body size $a = 2\tau$ per twice the duplication time $\tau = 2\mu$ (only growth on one of the 2 sides) $c_{\text{min}} = a/2 \tau = 2\mu$. The same minimum velocity appears at high negative values of drift, which have the effect of suppressing the contribution of diffusion. Positive values of drift instead transform the first bead freely ahead, and have the overall effect of a front moving, on average, at the converging speed $\varepsilon = c_0 + \nu$.
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