Hard superconducting gap in InSb nanowires
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**ABSTRACT:** Topological superconductivity is a state of matter that can host Majorana modes, the building blocks of a topological quantum computer. Many experimental platforms predicted to show such a topological state rely on proximity-induced superconductivity. However, accessing the topological properties requires an induced hard superconducting gap, which is challenging to achieve for most material systems. We have systematically studied how the interface between an InSb semiconductor nanowire and a NbTiN superconductor affects the induced superconducting properties. Step by step, we improve the homogeneity of the interface while ensuring a barrier-free electrical contact to the superconductor and obtain a hard gap in the InSb nanowire. The magnetic field stability of NbTiN allows the InSb nanowire to maintain a hard gap and a supercurrent in the presence of magnetic fields (∼0.5 T), a requirement for topological superconductivity in one-dimensional systems. Our study provides a guideline to induce superconductivity in various experimental platforms such as semiconductor nanowires, two-dimensional electron gases, and topological insulators and holds relevance for topological superconductivity and quantum computation.
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_A topological superconductor can host non-Abelian excitations, the so-called Majorana modes forming the basis of topological quantum computation._

Both the non-Abelian property and the topological protection of Majoranas crucially rely on the energy gap provided by the superconducting pairing of electrons that separates the ground state from the higher energy excitations. For most material systems that can support such a topological state, pairing is artificially induced by proximity, where the host material is coupled to a superconductor in a hybrid device geometry. Accessing the topological properties in hybrid devices requires a negligible density of states within the induced superconducting gap, i.e., an induced hard gap, which can be attained by a homogeneous and barrier-free interface to the superconductor. However, achieving such interfaces remains an outstanding challenge for many material systems, constituting a major bottleneck for topological superconductivity. Here we engineer a high-quality interface between semiconducting InSb nanowires and superconducting NbTiN, resulting in an induced hard gap in the nanowire by improving the homogeneity of the hybrid interface._

---

**Received:** February 8, 2017  
**Revised:** March 23, 2017  
**Published:** March 29, 2017
while ensuring a barrier-free electrical contact to the superconductor. Our transport studies and materials characterization demonstrate that surface cleaning dictates the structural and electronic properties of the InSb nanowires and determines the induced superconductivity together with the wetting of the superconductor on the nanowire surface. We show that both the induced gap and the supercurrent in the nanowire withstands magnetic fields (∼0.5 T), a requirement for topological superconductivity in one-dimensional systems.

InSb nanowires have emerged as a promising platform for topological superconductivity owing to a large spin–orbit coupling, a large g factor, and a high mobility. These ingredients, together with a high-quality interface to a magnetic field resilient s-wave superconductor, are necessary to maintain a finite topological gap in one dimension. The interface quality can be inferred using tunneling spectroscopy which resolves the induced superconducting gap for a tunnel barrier away from the interface. To date, tunneling spectroscopy studies on proximitized InSb

Figure 1. InSb nanowire hybrid device and induced superconducting gaps for different device realizations. (a) Top-view false-color electron micrograph of a typical device consisting of an InSb nanowire (blue) with a diameter ∼80 nm coupled to two superconducting electrodes (yellow) with ∼150 nm separation. (b) Schematic of the devices and the measurement setup with bias voltage $V$, monitored current $I$, and the voltage $V_{gate}$ applied on back gate (Si++ substrate) that is separated from the device by a 285 nm thick SiO$_2$ dielectric. (c, d) Spectroscopy of a device realized using sulfur cleaning followed by evaporation of superconducting Ti/Al (5/130 nm) electrodes. $T = 250$ mK. The differential conductance $dI/dV$ is plotted as a function of bias voltage $V$ for varying gate voltages $V_{gate}$. $dI/dV$ traces in panel d are vertical line cuts from panel c at gate voltages marked with colored bars. $dI/dV$ is symmetric around zero bias with two conductance peaks at $V \sim \pm 0.3$ mV seen for all gate voltages that result from the coherence peaks in the superconducting density of states at the edge of the induced gap $\Delta$. For our device geometry with two superconducting electrodes $2\Delta \sim 0.3$ meV. For sufficiently low $V_{gate}$, where $dI/dV \ll 2e^2/h$ at above-gap bias ($V > 2\Delta$), tunnelling is weak, which suppresses the Andreev reflection probability revealing a hard induced gap. Larger gate voltages decrease the tunnel barrier height where increased Andreev reflection probability results in finite subgap conductance. (e, f) Spectroscopy of a device realized using argon cleaning followed by sputtering of superconducting NbTiN (90 nm) electrodes. $T = 250$ mK. We find $2\Delta \sim 1$ meV, much larger than that of the Al-based InSb hybrid device shown above. $dI/dV$ traces in panel f show an above-gap conductance comparable to those in panel d. The induced gap is soft with a nonvanishing subgap conductance even for the weak tunnelling regime at low $V_{gate}$, indicating a deviation from Andreev transport.
nanowires have reported a significant density of states within the superconducting gap, a so-called soft gap, suggesting an inhomogeneous interface.\textsuperscript{7,10,11,16} These subgap states destroy the topological protection by allowing excitations with arbitrarily small energy. Soft gaps have been observed also in other hybrid systems for cases where tunneling spectroscopy is applicable.\textsuperscript{8,12,42,43} For other cases, interface inhomogeneity is indirectly inferred from a decreased excess current or supercurrent due to a deviation from Andreev transport,\textsuperscript{44} a common observation in hybrid systems.\textsuperscript{17,18,24} A hard gap has recently been realized in epitaxial InAs–Al materials\textsuperscript{29–31} and in Bi\textsubscript{2}Se\textsubscript{3} and Bi\textsubscript{2}Te\textsubscript{3} epitaxially grown on NbSe\textsubscript{2}, where the interface inhomogeneity can be minimized. However, these studies do not provide further insight into the soft gap problem in material systems for which either epitaxy remains a challenge or when a high structural quality does not guarantee a barrier-free interface (e.g., due to carrier depletion). Here we tackle the soft gap problem in InSb nanowire devices by focusing on the constituents of a hybrid device realization which are crucial for the interface.

In general, realizing a hybrid device begins with surface preparation of the host material followed by the deposition of a superconductor. In host materials with low surface electron density or a small number of electronic subbands such as semiconductor nanowires, the correct surface preparation is of paramount importance to ensure a barrier-free coupling to the superconductor. Here we also adopt this procedure for our nanowires\textsuperscript{35} whose native surface oxide forms an insulating layer that has to be removed. We describe the details of the nanowire growth, fabrication, and measurement setup in the Supporting Information (SI).

Figure 1a and b show a completed device with two lithographically defined superconducting electrodes having a small separation (~150 nm) on an InSb nanowire. A degenerately doped silicon substrate acts as a global back gate, tuning the carrier density in the wire. The small electrode separation allows us to electrostatically define a tunnel barrier in the wire section between the electrodes by applying negative gate voltages. Figure 1c and e shows the induced gaps measured by tunneling spectroscopy for two common realizations of an InSb nanowire hybrid device. For the device in Figure 1c, a sulfur-based solution\textsuperscript{46} is used to clean the wire surface followed by evaporation of Ti/Al with Ti the wetting layer, whereas Figure 1e is from a device for which the wire surface is in situ cleaned using an argon plasma followed by sputtering of NbTiN. Figure 1d shows the conductance traces of the sulfur-Ti/Al device indicating a hard induced gap $2\Delta \sim 0.3$ meV for low gate voltages when decreased transmission suppresses Andreev reflection. In contrast, Figure 1f demonstrates that the argon-NbTiN device shows a soft induced gap even for the lowest gate voltages, but with a gap $2\Delta \sim 1$ meV inherited from NbTiN, a superconductor with a large gap and high critical field. Both device realizations present a challenge toward topological protection. In the first case, the magnetic field (~0.5 T) required to drive the wire into the topological state destroys the superconductivity of Al (Figure S1). Al can withstand such fields when it is very thin (<10 nm) in the field plane; however, such thin Al films contacting a nanowire have so far only been achieved by epitaxy.\textsuperscript{13,14,30} In the NbTiN device prepared with argon cleaning, the subgap states render the topological properties experimentally inaccessible.

We now turn our attention to the surface of InSb nanowires prior to superconductor deposition. To determine the effects of surface cleaning on transport, we characterized long-channel nanowire devices with ~1 $\mu$m electrode separation (channel length) for argon-cleaned (pink), sulfur-cleaned (orange), and uncleaned pristine (cyan) channels. $T = 4$ K. Traces represent ensemble-averaged conductance over 6 (argon-cleaned), 3 (sulfur-cleaned), and 2 (uncleaned) different devices measured at bias voltage $V = 10$ mV, with the shades indicating the standard deviation (see the SI for the details of averaging). Argon-cleaned devices do not pinch off, a deviation from a semiconducting gate response, and show a low transconductance $\propto dG/dV_{\text{gate}}$ indicating a low mobility. In contrast, sulfur-cleaned channels show a gate response similar to the pristine channel but with a shift of the threshold voltage toward negative values. Insets show high-resolution electron micrographs of argon- and sulfur-cleaned channels. Argon cleaning typically rounds the otherwise hexagonal cross section of the InSb nanowire (bottom image) and leaves a rough surface (top image). A sulfur cleaning yielding comparable contact resistances etches the InSb nanowire much less and leaves behind a smoother surface.

**Figure 2.** Effects of different surface cleaning on transport properties. Gate voltage dependent conductance $G$ of InSb nanowire devices with ~1 $\mu$m electrode separation (channel length) for argon-cleaned (pink), sulfur-cleaned (orange), and uncleaned pristine (cyan) channels. $T = 4$ K. Traces represent ensemble-averaged conductance over 6 (argon-cleaned), 3 (sulfur-cleaned), and 2 (uncleaned) different devices measured at bias voltage $V = 10$ mV, with the shades indicating the standard deviation (see the SI for the details of averaging). Argon-cleaned channels do not pinch off, a deviation from a semiconducting gate response, and show a low transconductance $\propto dG/dV_{\text{gate}}$ indicating a low mobility. In contrast, sulfur-cleaned channels show a gate response similar to the pristine channel but with a shift of the threshold voltage toward negative values. Insets show high-resolution electron micrographs of argon- and sulfur-cleaned channels. Argon cleaning typically rounds the otherwise hexagonal cross section of the InSb nanowire (bottom image) and leaves a rough surface (top image). A sulfur cleaning yielding comparable contact resistances etches the InSb nanowire much less and leaves behind a smoother surface.
cleaning, which removes ∼5 nm of the wire, leaves a smoother InSb surface. TEM studies on the cleaned wire surface confirm this observation (Figure S2). Comparable contact resistances between argon and sulfur cleaning were achievable (e.g., in Figure 1e and f) when the argon plasma significantly etches the nanowire surface (>15 nm), while different plasma parameters resulting in less etching gave consistently higher contact resistances. This indicates that a complete removal of the native oxide (∼3 nm) does not guarantee a barrier-free interface to the superconductor for InSb nanowires, which could be related to the surface depletion of InSb previously reported for a (110) surface, the orientation of our nanowire facets. In the rest of the Letter we use sulfur cleaning to remove the native oxide on the nanowire surface prior to superconductor deposition.

Next, we investigate the wetting of the superconductor on the nanowire surface. Figure 3a shows the conductance averaged over different nanowire devices realized with and without a thin layer of NbTi (5 nm), a reactive metal deposited immediately before the NbTiN to ensure its wetting on the wire. The inclusion of a NbTi wetting layer substantially improves the contact resistance of the devices. Tunneling spectroscopy (Figure 3b–d) reveals the differences in superconducting properties of the devices with and without the wetting layer. Figure 3b shows an induced gap $2\Delta \sim 1 \text{ meV}$ for a device with NbTi wetting layer. Low gate voltages bring the device into the tunneling regime revealing a hard gap, shown in Figure 3c. In contrast, Figure 3d and e show that omitting the wetting layer results in no clearly identifiable induced gap and a tunneling conductance dominated by Coulomb blockade with irregular diamonds. Finally, to verify the importance of the wetting of the superconductor on the wire surface we realized InSb-Al nanowire devices without a Ti wetting layer. These devices also showed very high contact resistances, while the inclusion of Ti wetting layer gave low contact resistances and a finite supercurrent (Figure S3), in addition to a hard gap shown in Figure 1c and d. In the SI we comprehensively discuss our observations related to the improvement due to inclusion of a wetting layer.

The devices prepared with sulfur cleaning and NbTi/NbTiN electrodes in Figure 3 did not show a supercurrent, a requirement for a nanowire-based topological quantum bit. We attribute the lack of a supercurrent to a residual interface barrier effective at small bias. This could be related to the ex situ nature of sulfur cleaning, leaving the wire surface exposed to ambient which cannot exclude adsorbents at the interface. To improve the small bias response of our devices, we perform an additional in situ argon cleaning of sufficient low power to avoid a damage to the InSb nanowire surface. After including this low-power argon cleaning, we find a high yield of devices showing a finite supercurrent measured at 250 mK.
For another chip with 18 nanowire devices but measured at 50 mK, we find a clear supercurrent for all devices (Figure S5) while obtaining an induced gap $2\Delta \sim 1$ meV or larger (Figures S6 and S9).

Finally we study the magnetic field response of the optimized hybrid devices combining sulfur cleaning followed by an in situ low-power argon cleaning, and NbTi/NbTiN superconducting electrodes. Figure 4a and b shows the differential conductance $dI/dV$ of the same device as a function of bias voltage $V$ for varying gate voltages $V_{\text{gate}}$. $dI/dV$ traces in panel b are vertical line cuts from panel a at gate voltages marked with colored bars. $dI/dV$ is symmetric in bias with two peaks at $V \sim \pm 1.5$ mV seen for all gate voltages from which we find $2\Delta \sim 1.5$ meV. The induced gap is hard with vanishing subgap conductance in the tunneling regime.

(c, d) $dI/dV$ traces in panel d are vertical line cuts from panel c at magnetic fields marked with colored bars. The induced gap remains hard up to $\sim 0.5$ T. Increasing fields decrease the induced gap size and increase the subgap conductance, but induced superconductivity persists up to 2 T where $dI/dV$ shows a gap feature with suppressed conductance at small bias and symmetrically positioned coherence peaks. (e) Differential resistance $dV/dI$ of an identical device is plotted as a function of bias current $I$ for an increasing magnetic field $B$ along the nanowire. Dark regions with vanishing resistance indicate the supercurrent which remains finite up to 1 T. Gate voltage $V_{\text{gate}} = 20$ V. (f) Current–voltage traces from panel e at magnetic fields marked with colored bars. We find a switching current of $\sim 40$ nA at zero magnetic field, which decreases to $\sim 10$ nA at 0.25 T, and to $\sim 0.5$ nA at 1 T. Both devices in this figure have an electrode separation of $\sim 150$ nm. Data are taken at $T = 50$ mK.

The extracted conductance suppression at small bias compared to the above-gap conductance at large bias is $\sim 100$ (Figure S7).

Next, we choose a gate voltage where the device is in the tunneling regime (orange trace in Figure 4b) and perform spectroscopy for increasing magnetic fields along the wire axis, shown in Figure 4c. In Figure 4d we plot the conductance traces taken at different magnetic fields showing an induced gap which remains hard up to $\sim 0.5$ T (see Figure S8 for a logarithmic plot). Increasing fields decrease the induced gap size and increase the subgap conductance, but a gap feature can be identified up to 2 T revealing the large critical field of...
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