Effect of particle-size dynamics on properties of dense spongy-particle systems: Approach towards equilibrium
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Open-porous deformable particles, often envisaged as sponges, are ubiquitous in biological and industrial systems (e.g., casein micelles in dairy products and microgels in cosmetics). The rich behavior of these suspensions is owing to the elasticity of the supporting network of the particle, and the viscosity of permeating solvent. Therefore, the rate-dependent size change of these particles depends on their structure, i.e., the permeability. This work aims at investigating the effect of the particle-size dynamics and the underlying particle structure, i.e., the particle permeability, on the transient and long-time behavior of suspensions of spongy particles in the absence of applied deformation, using the dynamic two-scale model developed by Hütter et al. [Farad. Discuss. 158, 407 (2012)]. In the high-density limit, the transient behavior is found to be accelerated by the particle-size dynamics, even at average size changes as small as 1%. The accelerated dynamics is evidenced by (i) the higher short-time diffusion coefficient as compared to elastic-particle systems and (ii) the accelerated formation of the stable fcc crystal structure. Furthermore, after long times, the particle-size dynamics of spongy particles is shown to result in lower stationary values of the energy and normal stresses as compared to elastic-particle systems. This dependence of the long-time behavior of these systems on the permeability, that essentially is a transport coefficient and hence must not affect the equilibrium properties, confirms that full equilibration has not been reached.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.96.012604

1. INTRODUCTION

Open-porous, deformable particles are present widely in biological systems, for instance, casein micelles in dairy products, and in several industrial applications, for example, microgel particles in cosmetics and paints [1–3]. Their characteristic spongelike structure gives rise to elastic behavior due to the elasticity of the supporting network material, while at the same time the particle’s size change is hampered at high deformation rates or induced by high packing densities, due to the viscosity of the permeating solvent. Particles with these properties are sometimes referred to as poroelastic particles based on their internal structure [4,5]. On the other hand, because of their rate-dependent deformability, spongy particles are also known to be viscoelastic particles [6,7].

Particle systems, in general, show a transition from a liquidlike to a solidlike behavior as the packing fraction increases [8]. Particles are caged by their neighbors which inhibit their mobility and effectively form a soft glass. Unlike hard particle systems, soft particles can be packed at densities higher than that for random close packing, which is 0.64 for monodisperse hard spherical particles. High volume fractions can be reached owing to the different origins of particle softness. The elastic softness allows particles to elastically change their shape, mostly at constant volume, upon contact with their neighbors. In addition, as particles are pressed against each other in a jammed state, viscous solvent is squeezed out of the particle. This type of rate-dependent particle softness enables particles to, over time, change their volume in response to, for instance, steric effects [9]. In the absence of imposed deformation, the competition between the size and position dynamics, hence, governs the behavior of such jammed states.

Various modeling efforts have been dedicated to describing the effect of the elastic softness of particles on the emergence of solidity in suspensions of soft particles. The trap models [10,11] are phenomenological models that describe the main features of disordered systems, in particular, aging [11]. An element of these models is trapped in a cage formed by the surrounding elements and, occasionally, this element has enough energy to hop outside this cage due to thermal activation. The soft glassy rheology model (SGR) [12,13] assumes that hopping can be due to interactions between particles. While these models are used to give insight into the mechanical deformation and aging of these materials, they do not provide any information about the structure. Another limitation of both models is that the physical interpretation of the model parameters is not trivial [13], and the latter are not directly related to material parameters that describe the effective, overall behavior of suspensions.

Particle-based methods, on the other hand, provide more insight about the microscopic dynamics. They form another family of models that are suitable for numerical simulations. They can be categorized into athermal and thermal models. For example, thermal systems consist of particles that are small enough that they are affected by thermal fluctuations. In this case, Brownian forces are relevant. This applies for colloidal suspensions of particles which are a couple of hundred nanometers in size. Although these models have been the basis of the current understanding of the behavior of suspensions of soft particles, they are limited to considering the elasticity of the particle as the only origin of particle softness, for example, using a soft interparticle potential [14–16].
Spongy particles, however, can take up (expel) viscous solvent from their interior allowing them to swell (shrink) depending on their permeability, which renders their deformability rate dependent. Modeling of such particles requires an additional ingredient that is the particle size, to account for the rate-dependent deformability of particles.

This paper aims at studying the effect of the internal structure of spongy particles, in particular the particle permeability, on the equilibrium properties of suspensions of such particles. Understanding the behavior in equilibrium is an essential prerequisite for understanding the behavior under deformation (e.g., shear). The actual approach towards low energy and the corresponding equilibrium states in dense spongy-particle systems are intricate, which motivates the work in this paper. This is achieved by studying the relaxation of the system from a high-energy initial state through a long-lived intermediate state into a crystalline state, in terms of the transition time and the average local-order parameter, as well as the final overall properties of the system such as energy, stress, and diffusion coefficient.

In this paper, the dynamic two-scale model developed by Hütter et al. [17] is applied to dense suspensions of spongy particles. Based on principles of nonequilibrium thermodynamics, in particular the general equation for the nonequilibrium reversible-irreversible coupling (GENERIC) [18–20], the model accounts, in addition to the elastic origin of particle softness, for the effect of the viscous background fluid by treating the particle size of each particle as a separate degree of freedom. The developed model is expressed in the form of stochastic differential equations [17] suitable for particle-based simulations, specifically Brownian dynamics simulations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the dynamic two-scale model in the form of stochastic differential equations is revisited and applied to the system of interest by making a choice of the interaction potential. The numerical setup is described in Sec. III, with a study of the effect of numerical parameters. By making adequate choices of the numerical parameters, the behavior of suspensions of spongy particles is presented for different system densities in Sec. IV. The crystallization of particles is studied in Sec. V. Finally, the article is concluded with a discussion in Sec. VI.

II. EXTENDED BROWNIAN DYNAMICS MODEL

A. Particle interaction

In this section, a realization of the two-scale model developed in [17] is presented in short. For more details, the reader is referred to [17]. Consider a system of $N$ spherical particles in a Newtonian fluid with shear viscosity $\eta$. Each particle $i$ is described by the position of its center $Q_i$ and its radius $R_i$. For convenience, a variable $\xi$ of $4N$ dimensions is defined to denote all particle degrees of freedom:

$$\xi = \{Q_1, R_1, \ldots, Q_N, R_N\}. \quad (1)$$

The distribution function based on the microscopic states $\xi$ is denoted by $p$. In the absence of flow, the Fokker-Planck equation describing the evolution of the distribution function is expressed as

$$\partial_t p = -\nabla_\xi \cdot (\mu \cdot (\nabla_\xi \Phi) p - k_B T \nabla_\xi (\mu \cdot p)), \quad (2)$$

where $\mu$ is the symmetric and non-negative $4N \times 4N$ mobility tensor, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann’s constant, and $T$ is the absolute temperature. The driving force for $\xi$ dynamics, $-\nabla_\xi \Phi$, is derived from the potential energy of the system $\Phi$ for all degrees of freedom. The equilibrium distribution for the microscopic states is given by a Boltzmann distribution [17]

$$p = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left(-\frac{\Phi}{k_B T}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $Z$ is fixed by the normalization condition $\int p \, d\xi = 1$.

In order to make the model more specific, choices have to be made on the particle interaction potential. Dense suspensions of soft particles are stabilized to prevent aggregation and gelation by, for example, the attractive van der Waals forces [21]. For instance, soft particles in the form of star polymers or polymer-coated particles are stabilized by the outer layer that ensures that the distance between particles is large enough for attractive forces to be negligible [8,22]. To that end, the interaction between the particles is assumed in this work to be purely repulsive.

The elasticity of the particles gives rise to these repulsive interactions and is manifested in two different forms. The first form of elastic repulsive interactions is due to the fact that particles are soft and impinging of a particle by its neighbors is possible. The Hertzian potential describes this type of contact energy [23]. The pairwise Hertzian contact interaction potential, between a particle $i$ and its neighbors $j$, is [24,25]

$$\Phi_{ij}^H(\xi) = E R_{ij}^3 \tilde{\Phi}_{ij}^H, \quad (4)$$

with

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{ij}^H(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N_{ij}^{1/3}} \left( \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j \neq i}^N C h_{ij}^n R_{ij}^{3-n} + \hat{k} \right) & \text{if } h_{ij} > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where all particles have the same Young’s modulus $E$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu$. The freestanding equilibrium particle size is the same for all particles and is denoted by $R_{eq}$. The symbol $(\cdot)$ denotes the non-dimensionalized $(\cdot)$. The contact size $R_{c}$ is defined as $R_c = (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\nu}{3})^{-1}$ where $R_i$ and $R_j$ are the current particle radii of particles $i$ and $j$, respectively. The overlap between particles is defined as

$$h_{ij} = R_i + R_j - |Q_{ij}|, \quad (6)$$

where the magnitude of the vector connecting particle $i$ and $j$ is $|Q_{ij}| = |Q_i - Q_j|$. The dimensionless constants $C$ and $n$ in Eq. (5) depend on the degree of overlap between a pair of particles $h_{ij}$. The values of $C$, $n$, and $\hat{k}$ used throughout this work can be found in Appendix A.

The Hertzian potential interaction describes the force developed when the particle centers approach closer than the sum of their radii because the particles can deform. This potential gives a nonzero contribution only when particles are overlapping, while it vanishes when particles are not impinging, such as in the case of dilute systems. In the dilute limit, a particle with size that is different from its equilibrium size $R_{eq}$ will have a finite stored elastic energy [26]. Minimization of this energy drives the particle to recover its equilibrium size. In this work, we account for the stored
elastic energy in the total potential. The stored elastic energy, in this case, is
\[
\Phi_{\text{vol}}(\xi) = E R_{\text{eq}}^3 \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{vol}}^i, \tag{7}
\]
with
\[
\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{vol}}^i = \frac{2\pi}{9(1 - 2\nu)} \left( \frac{V_i - V_{\text{eq}}}{V_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2, \tag{8}
\]
where \( V_i \) is the current volume of particle \( i \), \( V_{\text{eq}} \) is its volume based on the equilibrium size, and \( (V_i - V_{\text{eq}})/V_{\text{eq}} \) is the volumetric strain. The total potential energy is defined, based on (4) and (7), as
\[
\Phi(\xi) = \sum_{i \neq j} \Phi_{ij}^{\text{Hertz}}(\xi) + \sum_i \Phi_{\text{vol}}^i(\xi) = E R_{\text{eq}}^3 \tilde{\Phi}(\xi). \tag{9}
\]
In practice, even if particles are not overlapping, an isolated particle strives to attain its equilibrium size, whereas every other (deviating) particle size is energetically penalized. This is exactly what is accounted for by the additive contribution in Eq. (9) as opposed to a total potential that consists of only Hertzian-contact contributions with a density-dependent prefactor. A density-dependent Hertzian potential automatically implies that this potential is only active if particles are impinging, while it vanishes in the dilute limit (i.e., for nonoverlapping particles). In contrast, Eq. (9) ensures that in the dilute limit a particle strives towards its equilibrium size based on the minimization of \( \Phi = \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{vol}} \). In addition, it is mentioned for completeness that the density dependence of the particle stiffness in the Hertzian interaction is incorporated in our approach in an effective way by using piecewise different expressions for the potential (5) (see Appendix A).

B. Particle dynamics

Equation (2) can be expressed in the form of stochastic differential equations using the Itô interpretation [27]. The position and size dynamics are, respectively, defined as
\[
d \tilde{Q}_i = \sum_k \mu_{Q, Q_i} (-\nabla Q_i \Phi) dt + \sum_k \mu_{R, R_i} (-\partial R_i \Phi) dt + k_B T \nabla \cdot \mu_{Q, Q_i} dt + [B d W_{i Q_i}] Q_i, \tag{10a}
\]
\[
d \tilde{R}_i = \sum_k \mu_{R, Q_i} (-\nabla Q_i \Phi) dt + \sum_k \mu_{R, R_i} (-\partial R_i \Phi) dt + k_B T \nabla \cdot \mu_{R, R_i} dt + [B d W_{i R_i}] R_i, \tag{10b}
\]
where \( B \) satisfies the fluctuation-dissipation relation \( B \cdot B^T = 2k_B T \mu \). The driving forces for position change and size change are \(-\nabla Q_i \Phi\) and \(-\partial R_i \Phi\), respectively.

For simplicity and in order to focus on the effect of the permeability, many-particle hydrodynamic interactions are neglected, which implies that \( \mu_{Q, Q_i} \) is nonzero only if \( i = k \), specifically [28]
\[
\mu_{Q, Q_i} = \xi_Q^{-1} \delta_{ik} I \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_Q = 6\pi \eta R_i, \tag{11}
\]
where \( I \) is the second rank identity tensor, and \( \xi_Q \) is the single-particle friction coefficient. Furthermore, it is assumed that the size dynamics of different particles are not coupled hydrodynamically, which is a reasonable assumption since the fluid is assumed to be (nearly) incompressible [17]. Therefore, one obtains \( \mu_{R, R_i} = 0 \), and the single-particle mobility component can be expressed as [17]
\[
\mu_{R, R_i} = \xi_R^{-1} \delta_{ik} \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_R = \frac{12\pi \eta R_i^4}{R_{\text{eq}}^2 \kappa}, \tag{12}
\]
where \( \chi \) is a dimensionless quantity which depends on the permeability of the particle. Using the theory of poroelasticity [29], it can be shown that
\[
\chi = \frac{\pi^2 \kappa}{R_0^2}, \tag{13}
\]
where \( \kappa \) is the particle permeability and \( R_0 \) is the initial particle size which is equal to \( R_{\text{eq}} \) in this work (see Sec. III A). Nonzero mobility components \( \mu_{Q, R_i} \) and \( \mu_{R, Q_i} \), imply that there is dynamic cross-coupling between size and position. For this work, we assume that there is no cross coupling, that is \( \mu_{Q, R_i} = 0 \) and \( \mu_{R, Q_i} = 0 \).

Based on the above-mentioned assumptions, Eqs. (10a) and (10b) are simplified to
\[
d \tilde{Q}_i = \xi_Q^{-1} E R_{\text{eq}} (-\nabla Q_i \tilde{\Phi}) dt + \sqrt{2k_B T \xi_Q^{-1}} [d W_{i Q_i}] Q_i, \tag{14a}
\]
\[
d \tilde{R}_i = \xi_R^{-1} E R_{\text{eq}} (-\partial R_i \tilde{\Phi}) dt + k_B T (\partial R_i \xi_R^{-1}) dt + \sqrt{2k_B T \xi_R^{-1}} [d W_{i R_i}] R_i. \tag{14b}
\]
These equations can be nondimensionalized if one scales all involved length scales by \( R_{\text{eq}} \):
\[
d \tilde{Q}_i = \xi_Q^{-1} E R_{\text{eq}} (-\nabla Q_i \tilde{\Phi}) dt + \sqrt{2k_B T \xi_Q^{-1} R_{\text{eq}}^2} [d W_{i Q_i}] Q_i, \tag{15a}
\]
\[
d \tilde{R}_i = \xi_R^{-1} E R_{\text{eq}} (-\partial R_i \tilde{\Phi}) dt + k_B T \xi_R^{-1} [d W_{i R_i}] R_i. \tag{15b}
\]

C. Time scales and dimensionless numbers

Equations (15) are expressed in terms two energy scales: the elastic interaction energy \( E R_{\text{eq}}^3 \) and the thermal energy \( k_B T \). The ratio between these energy scales defines the first dimensionless parameter describing the elastic nature of the particle softness, and is defined as
\[
S^* = \frac{k_B T}{E R_{\text{eq}}^3}. \tag{16}
\]
This parameter is similar to the softness defined by Ikeda et al. in [14] in terms of its physical interpretation, but we use a different form of the interaction energy.

The effect of the suspending fluid is described by the second dimensionless parameter, that is defined as the ratio of mobilities in the size dynamics and position dynamics, respectively,
\[
Z^* = \left| \frac{\xi_R^{-1} R_{\text{eq}}}{\xi_Q} \right|_{R_i = R_{\text{eq}}} = \frac{\chi}{2}. \tag{17}
\]
It follows from (13) that this parameter is based on the initial size of the particle as well as its permeability. Based on the above dimensionless parameters, several familiar systems can be recovered. For instance, hard particle systems are recovered by setting $S^* = 0$ and $\xi^* = 0$. Elastic (impermeable) particles are obtained for a finite value of $S^*$ and $\xi^* = 0$, while nonzero ($S^*, \xi^*$) describe soft porous particles.

The energy scales define different time scales for the position and size dynamics, respectively. The interaction energy is dissipated through viscous damping over a time scale, for position change and for volume change,

$$\tau_Q = \frac{\xi_{Q,i}}{ER_{eq}}$$

and

$$\tau_R = \frac{\xi_{R,i}}{ER_{eq}}$$

respectively. The Brownian time scale is

$$\tau_{Q,B} = \frac{\xi_{Q,i}R_{eq}^2}{kB T}$$

which is the time a particle takes to diffuse a distance equivalent to its radius, while a particle relaxes its size under the influence of thermal fluctuations over a time scale

$$\tau_{R,B} = \frac{\xi_{R,i}R_{eq}^2}{kB T}.$$  

Equation (15) can be expressed, in terms of the previously described time scales, as

$$d\dot{\mathbf{Q}}_i = \left(-\nabla_{Q,i}\hat{\mathbf{P}}\right)\frac{dt}{\tau_{Q,i}} + \sqrt{2} \frac{dW_i}{\sqrt{\tau_{Q,B}}}.$$  

$$d\dot{\mathbf{R}}_i = \left(-\partial_{R}\hat{\mathbf{P}}\right)\frac{dt}{\tau_{R,i}} + \left(\partial_{R}\ln t\right)^{\mathbf{1}}_\tau \frac{dt}{\tau_{R,B}} + \sqrt{2} \frac{dW_i}{\sqrt{\tau_{R,B}}}.$$  

### III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

#### A. Temporal discretization and simulation parameters

Equations (22) are used to model a system consisting of $N$ particles in a cubic box. The simulation box is subjected to Lees-Edwards periodic boundary conditions [30]. Monodisperse particles, with initial size $R_0 = R_{eq}$, are initially placed on a simple cubic (SC) configuration (unless otherwise specified) in the simulation box, suspended in a solvent of viscosity $\eta$. By changing the dimensions of the simulation box, the density of the system is modified while keeping the number of particles fixed. The parameters used throughout this paper are listed in Table I. The characteristic time scales, based on physical values in Table I, are shown in Table II. A forward-Euler integration scheme is used to solve Eq. (15) [31]. The time step used for the integration scheme, $\Delta t$, should be small enough to capture the fastest dynamics. Table II shows that the smallest time scale is $\tau_Q$. The time step is set to be two orders of magnitude smaller, that is, $\Delta t = 3.5 \times 10^{-6}$ s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Physical value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equilibrium particle radius</td>
<td>$R_{eq}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent shear viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ Pa s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>100 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>293 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of particles</td>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$12^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softness, dimensionless</td>
<td>$S^*$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability, dimensionless</td>
<td>$\xi^*$</td>
<td>$[0.0,5.5,5] \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional simulations were performed for $N = 22^3$ with no significant effect on the overall behavior (see Appendix B).

To provide an indication of typical values for the dimensionless parameters, some examples can be found in literature. For instance, the softness dimensionless number $S^*$ is estimated to be $10^{-7}$ for oil-in-water emulsions and between $10^{-4}$–$10^{-3}$ for polyacrylamide particles (e.g., PNIPAM) [8,14]. Furthermore, to get an estimate for the dimensionless permeability $\xi^*$, the permeability of polyacrylamide particles is considered (oil-in-water emulsions are impermeable), which is estimated to be higher than $10^{-17}$ m$^2$ depending on the cross-link density [32]. For submicron-sized polyacrylamide particles, one finds that $\xi^* > 10^{-3}$ [14]. The model parameters in Table I correspond to systems consisting of particles with permeability, and hence $\xi^*$, similar to the widely used polyacrylamide particles in water [14,32]. On the other hand, for the purpose of visualizing the particle-size change, the particle elastic properties, and consequently $S^*$, in Table I are one order of magnitude softer than those commonly reported for polyacrylamide particles. The elastic properties in Table I are, however, similar to those of systems used to mimic biological systems, for instance, alginate hydrogels used for cell encapsulating scaffolds [33], vinylpyridine (VP) microgels used in applications where pH sensitivity is important [34], and polysaccharides (PIC) hydrogels used as a biomimetic system [35]. It is noteworthy that, while $S^*$ given by (16) is convenient for scaling the evolution equations, it is more physical to express $S^*$ in terms of elastic energy for volume change, that is approximately equal to $k_B T/(3E R_{eq}^2 \Delta R)$ where $\Delta R$ is the radius change with respect to $R_{eq}$. One finds that, for a radius change of approximately $8 \times 10^{-4} R_{eq}$, the elastic energy for volume change is equal to the thermal energy $k_B T$.

### TABLE II. Characteristic time scales based on the model parameters in Table I, using $R_i = R_{eq}$. Values for $\tau_R$ and $\tau_{R,B}$ are based on $\xi^*$ in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time scale</th>
<th>Physical value (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_Q$</td>
<td>$1.88 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{Q,B}$</td>
<td>$7.28 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_R$</td>
<td>$[\infty, 3.77 \times 10^{-1}, 3.77 \times 10^{-2}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{R,B}$</td>
<td>$[\infty, 0.145, 0.146]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1. Evolution of energy per particle for a system with particle 
with \( \zeta^* = 0.005 \) and \( S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \). The volume fraction of 
the system is \( \phi_{\text{free}} = 0.766 \) \((n = 1.17 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3})\).

and to balance the forces acting on each particle. The evolution 
of the system over time is measured in terms of the energy per 
particle.

B. Evolution of energy

As a prototypical example, a system with number density \( n = 1.17 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \) is simulated. In this example, particles 
are initially placed randomly in the simulation box. The 
volume fraction can be calculated, based on the equilibrium 
size, as

\[
\phi_{\text{free}} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{\text{eq}}^3 n.
\]

That yields a volume fraction of 0.766 for this example. The 
particles used are porous with \( \zeta^* = 0.005 \). The simulation 
time is chosen to be large enough to allow the particles to travel 
longer distances than their own diameter. To obtain statistically 
relevant data, simulations long compared to the Brownian time 
scale \( \tau_{\text{Br}} \) are performed,

\[
\tau_{\text{sim}} = 28 \text{ s} \approx 384 \tau_{\text{Br}},
\]

where \( \tau_{\text{Br}} = 7.28 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s} \), rather than performing several 
shorter simulations of independent systems.

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the energy per particle 
for this system. Initially, the energy decreases slowly over a 
period of approximately \( 45.3 \tau_{\text{Br}} \). This is followed by a drop in 
energy of a value of \( \Delta \Phi \approx 0.75 k_B T \) per particle. This energy 
drop is found to be related to a transition from a disordered 
to an ordered state. This phenomenon depends primarily on the particle interactions \([36–38]\) and the size polydispersity \([8,39]\). Ordering occurs more readily 
when repulsive interactions are dominant while polydispersity 
inhibits crystal formation \([8]\).

The time-dependent response of the material, as seen in 
Fig. 1, implies that the simulation time should be long enough 
to allow particles to find the lower-energy state. The transition 
time involved in this process occurs at time scales significantly 
larger than the Brownian time scale (see Table II). This long 
transition time implies that the disordered state at intermediate 
energy, which occurs at \( 5 \lesssim t/\tau_{\text{Br}} \lesssim 30 \), is considered a 
long-lived glassy state. Intuitively, one expects that this slow 
dynamics is accompanied by large energy barriers. The system 
might be trapped in a metastable state and particles do not 
have enough energy to cross a high-energy barrier to reach 
a lower-energy state. However, such energy barriers were not 
observed for the range of parameters studied. This suggests that 
structural ordering occurs spontaneously and, therefore, small 
differences might have a significant effect on the subsequent
relaxation, which would imply a substantial dependence on the sample history. For the systems examined in this numerical study, the history represents the initial state of the system as well as the pathway followed by the particles in the relaxation process. History can be removed when deformation is applied [40], however, in this work we are interested in equilibrium properties where there is no applied deformation. In the case of no applied deformation, care should be taken when drawing conclusions about the absolute behavior of such systems as it might be a direct effect of its history. To this end and in order to isolate the effect of the history, the effect of the numerical parameters on the simulation results is studied in detail next.

C. Effect of numerical parameters

This section is dedicated to analyze the effect of numerical parameters on the behavior of the suspension at high volume fractions. As the density of the system increases, particles require more time to find a configuration that minimizes the total energy of the system, i.e., the glassy state lives longer. Dynamics is drastically slowed and the material increasingly remembers its history. The history-dependent behavior dictates a careful study of the simulation history that we identify as the initial configuration, and the realization of the noise [i.e., the last terms in Eqs. (22a) and (22b)]. In order to examine this slow relaxation towards equilibrium through or from intermediate long-lived states, two types of well-defined initial (high-energy) configurations are generated by (i) randomly placing particle centers in the simulation box, and by (ii) placing the particle on a simple cubic lattice. In both cases, a long-lived (glassy) state develops relatively rapidly due to the dynamics of the system (see Fig. 1,5≲t/τBr ≲60). The first relatively rapid transition from the initial state is not of interest in this paper, but the main focus is rather the transition from the long-lived intermediate state towards the low-energy state. The realization of noise for the thermal fluctuation terms in Eq. (22) can be changed by varying the random number seed.

Two sets of simulations are performed for suspensions with different particle volume fractions. The first set of simulations is done for five different random initial configurations while fixing the realization of the noise. In this case, the initial particle size for all particles is the equilibrium size. In the second set of simulations, the initial configuration is fixed by placing particles in a SC configuration at different realizations of the noise. The initial particle radius of porous particles in both sets of simulation is set to the equilibrium size. However, the initial size of impermeable particles is drawn from a Gaussian distribution around the equilibrium size. The reason for this is the following. Size dynamics allows for the radii of permeable particles to conform to a certain, evolving distribution. At equilibrium, this distribution is the Boltzmann distribution given by Eq. (3). If one considers the volumetric energy contribution only, Eq. (3) is reduced to

\[ p = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left( -\frac{\Phi_{\text{vol}}}{k_B T} \right). \]  

At equilibrium, the energy \( \Phi_{\text{vol}} \) and the driving force \(-\partial_R \Phi_{\text{vol}}\) vanish up to noise contributions. Particle-size fluctuations around \( R_{\text{eq}} \) result in an average contribution to the energy of \( k_B T/2 \), based on the equipartition theorem. To account for this finite energy contribution in the simulations with impermeable particles, a Monte Carlo algorithm is used to generate uncorrelated initial particle radii. The Monte Carlo algorithm generates radii with a Boltzmann distribution around \( R_{\text{eq}} \) with respect to the volumetric energy [Eq. (7)]. In so doing, the simulations of permeable and impermeable particles can be compared more easily.

The effect of the initial configuration and the realization of the noise at different volume fractions are studied in terms of the energy per particle (Fig. 3) and the transition time (Fig. 4). The energy per particle is calculated based on the average of energy over a period of \( t_{\text{end}} = t_{\text{start}} = 96.2 \tau_{Br} \), with \( t_{\text{start}} > \tau_1 \). The transition time \( \tau_1 \) is defined as the time at which the energy of the system is lowered as particles form an ordered phase. It is obtained by fitting the energy-time curve with a hyperbolic tangent function with the inflection point denoting...
the transition time. It is noteworthy that, no overlap criteria for placing the particles randomly in the simulation box is imposed, the initial overlap and contact force can be large for some configurations. Porous particles can change their size to reduce these large forces. This is not the case for impermeable particles and in order to resolve the dynamics, in this case, the time step needs to be reduced significantly. Therefore, the computational expense may become prohibitive. This is why results for \( \zeta^* = 0 \) are not shown in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a).

Figure 3 shows that the energy values are not affected by the choice of initial configuration or realization of the noise. This means that at longer times the history has already been removed. In other words, the system is not quenched and its history does not influence the behavior of the material, as far as the energy value is concerned. However, this does not apply for the transition time, Fig. 4. Initially, crystallization is slow, i.e., longer transition time, because of small saturation compared to the freezing point, that is the first density at which a crystal structure occurs. Based on our simulations, the freezing point is a value between \( 1.1 \times 10^{19} < n < 1.13 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \), that is \( 0.72 < \varphi_{\text{free}} < 0.74 \). Upon increasing the system density, the effective particle diffusion coefficient is reduced and particles require more time for structural rearrangement, hence the increased \( \tau_\text{t} \) on average (see Sec. IV B). The behavior of the transition time agrees qualitatively with the work of Pusey et al. [41] for hard particle suspensions. Furthermore, the transition time is highly affected by the choice of initial condition and the realization of the noise (see Fig. 4). The spread of data in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) increases with increasing system density. This has implications on the simulation time. It should be chosen long enough for the glassy state to elapse and to allow the particles to find a lower-energy configuration.

Based on the results shown in this section, one can draw the following conclusions. The simulation time should allow for the collective motion of the particles in the entire system to find a lower-energy state. The time scale involved in this process is complicated to estimate beforehand. The reason for this is that, first, it happens on time scales significantly larger than the Brownian time scale \( \tau_{\text{Br}} \). This transition-time scale grows as the density of the system increases. Second, we show that this transition-time scale depends on the system history (initial configuration), and pathway taken by the particles (the realization of the noise). Here, we relate this time scale to the transition time for structural ordering \( \tau_\text{t} \) (see Fig. 2). As a result, the transition time imposes an additional condition on the simulation time, that is, the simulation time should be larger than the transition time to measure material properties that are independent of the system history. Based on these arguments, the simulation time used in the remainder of the paper is

\[
\tau_{\text{sim}} \geq 2\tau_\text{t}. \tag{26}
\]

It is also found that impermeable particles have higher energy per particle than porous particles [see Fig. 3(b)]. In addition, they undergo a transition to an ordered state on longer time scales, on average, than porous particles in particular at higher densities [see Fig. 4(b)]. In contrast to comparing porous and nonporous particles, let us compare porous-particle systems with different permeability, i.e., \( \zeta^* \neq 0 \). The effect of the value of permeability of porous particles on the energy and transition time is unclear as can be seen in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. These observations will be studied further in the following sections. Henceforth, in order to compare results with systems consisting of impermeable particles, we focus on systems with fixed simple-cubic initial configuration and different realizations of the noise as in Fig. 3(b).

IV. PROPERTIES OF SPONGY-PARTICLE SUSPENSIONS

At \( t > \tau_\text{t} \), properties of suspensions of spongy particles are studied as a function of the system density, for simulations performed with different realizations of the noise. Their behavior is compared to the limiting case of soft elastic particles with zero permeability by setting \( \zeta^* = 0 \).

A. Energy and stresses

Figure 5 shows the different energy components per particle at \( t > \tau_\text{t} \) for systems with different dimensionless parameter \( \zeta^* \) and as a function of the volume fraction \( \varphi_{\text{free}} \). The behavior
Of these systems with respect to the system density can be divided into three regimes.

(a) Regime 1. This regime covers the following number densities and volume fraction ranges:

\[ n \leq 0.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ and } \phi_{\text{free}} \leq 0.524. \]

At low system densities, the energy per particle is less than the thermal energy \( k_B T \). Particles do not overlap and the total interaction potential (9) can be approximated by

\[ \Phi_i(\xi) = \Phi_i^\text{vol}(R_i). \]  

(27)

At equilibrium, the energy \( \Phi^\text{vol} \) and the driving force \( -\partial R \Phi^\text{vol} \) vanish up to noise contributions. The particle-size fluctuations around \( R_{\text{eq}} \) result in an average contribution to the energy of \( k_B T/2 \).

(b) Regime 2. In this regime, the number density and the corresponding volume fraction ranges are

\[ 0.8 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} < n \leq 1.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ and } 0.524 < \phi_{\text{free}} \leq 0.72. \]

While the volumetric energy remains independent of density as in the first regime, the contact between particles increases with the increasing particle volume fraction. In other words, particles deform due to contact but at no significant volume change. In this regime, the architecture of the individual particle, i.e., permeability, does not affect the overall behavior of the suspension.

(c) Regime 3. As the number densities and correspondingly volume fractions increase further,

\[ n > 1.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ and } \phi_{\text{free}} > 0.72, \]

a transition in behavior occurs. It is pointed out that volume fractions well above unity, \( \phi_{\text{free}} > 1 \), can be reached at the expense of the particle compression. Regime 3 is characterized by an initial drop in the energy. The energy drop does not depend on the structure, i.e., the permeability of the particles, as it occurs for both porous and nonporous systems. It is to be noted that the system at a volume fraction of \( \phi_{\text{free}} = 0.72 \) (\( n = 1.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \)) does not show a transition to an ordered state even for \( \tau_{\text{sim}} = 3072 \tau_{\text{eq}} \) compared to Eq. (24). Consequently, the density at which energy drop occurs does not depend on the simulation time.

As the density of the system increases, the contact forces increase and particles reorganize into an ordered structure (see Fig. 2). Upon further increasing the number density, the volumetric energy deviates from the equilibrium value \( (k_B T/2) \) to reduce contact forces between particles. Particle structure, i.e., the permeability, and respectively \( \tau^* \), affect the energy at number densities greater than at a volume fraction of \( \phi_{\text{free}} = 0.78 \) (\( n = 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \)), at which size change becomes significant. This agrees with the work of Romeo et al. [9] where they studied the deswelling behavior of microgels experimentally and they found that significant deswelling occurs at volume fractions higher than 0.8.

As discussed before, the main difference between poroelastic and elastic particles is the ability to change size depending on the rate of deformation. Elastic particles can be elastically deformed upon Hertzian contact. Poroelastic particles, on the other hand, have an additional degree of freedom, that is their size. The volume deformation involved is rate dependent as it depends on the permeating fluid as well as the structure of the particle expressed in terms of the permeability. This picture helps understanding the behavior shown in Fig. 5. This figure shows that at high system densities, porous particles change their size to reduce the Hertzian contact energy. Because elastic particles cannot accommodate volume changes, the resulting Hertzian contact energy is higher than in the case of porous particles.

It is to be noted that both contributions to the energy, the Hertzian contact energy and the volumetric contribution, share an explicit linear dependence on the particle elastic modulus [see Eqs. (4) and (7)], while there is an additional implicit dependence on the elastic modulus in terms of the attained microstructures. With respect to increasing volume fraction \( \phi_{\text{free}} \), our results show that the increase in the energy contribution due to volume change is smaller than the increase in the energy contribution due to Hertzian contact (see Fig. 5), which is considered a nontrivial result. The contribution of these two components of energy results in a total energy that is lower for porous particles than elastic impermeable particles.

The effect of permeability can be further explained by studying the particle-size distribution at a certain time. Figure 6 shows histograms of particle radii for some of the systems shown in Fig. 5 at a volume fraction of \( \phi_{\text{free}} = 0.916 \) (\( n = 1.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \)). It is obvious from Fig. 6 that the mean of this distribution \( \langle R \rangle \) is lower than \( R_{\text{eq}} \) for porous particles. The average size change observed for porous particles is small, approximately 1% of \( R_{\text{eq}} \). In contrast, the particle size for the case of vanishing permeability remains at the initial distribution around \( R_{\text{eq}} \). Both distributions result in nonvanishing volumetric contributions to the energy \( \Phi^\text{vol} \). However, in the case of impermeable particles, the particle size can not change because of a vanishing transport coefficient, and therefore the particles can not adapt in order to attain a more favorable configuration. In other words, this means that a smaller fraction of phase space is accessible to the nonporous...
the size-dynamics equation (22b). Since both the Hertzian potential and the volumetric energy depend on the particle size, the driving force for size change can be expressed as $F_R = F_{Hz} + F_{vol}$, where $F_{Hz} = -\partial R \Phi_{Hz}$ and $F_{vol} = -\partial R \Phi_{vol}$. The ratio of these contributions indicates their relative importance:

$$\rho = \frac{|F_{Hz}|}{|F_{vol}|},$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ denotes the average with respect to the statistical distribution from the simulation. For the specific system shown in Fig. 6 at $\psi_{free} = 0.916$, one finds $\rho \approx 17$, which shows quantitatively that the volumetric contribution is dominant in this case. It is pointed out that, for the system at hand, there is a significant difference between evaluating the forces using the average sizes and distances versus evaluating the forces using the full statistical distribution; this holds not only for the forces, but also for the potential energy contributions.

The stresses are calculated using the constitutive relation derived in [17]. Using the nondimensional interaction potential and scaling the length scales involved by $R_{eq}$, the stress tensor is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{E} = n R_{eq}^3 \left[ \left\langle \sum_i (\nabla \tilde{R}_i) \tilde{Q}_i \right\rangle + \alpha \left\langle (\partial R \tilde{Q}) \tilde{R}_i \right\rangle \right],$$

up to a constant pressure which takes into account the solvent pressure. The reader may refer to [17] for more information. The prefactor $\alpha$ indicates how much a particle follows the deformation of the containing volume. To put it more simply, one can think of this problem as a purely elastic problem in which a small volume change is applied to a volume that contains a spherical particle. If the particle is incompressible, the particle radius does not change and $\alpha = 0$. On the contrary, if the particle is made of the same material as the surrounding material, $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, akin to affinely deforming the particle. In intermediate cases, it can be shown that $\alpha$ depends on the bulk modulus of the particle $K_{part}$ and the surrounding material $K_{sol}$ as

$$\alpha = \frac{K_{sol}}{3K_{part}}.$$

Incompressible particles have an infinitely large bulk modulus $K_{part} \to \infty$ and $\alpha = 0$; this is the value used for nonporous particles. For highly porous particles used in this study, one can assume that they are formed mostly of the surrounding solvent, so that their bulk moduli are comparable. For this reason, we use $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ for porous particles.

Figure 7 shows the average normal stress for nonporous ($\zeta^* = 0$) and porous ($\zeta^* = 0.005$) systems with $S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The stress-density curve reflects the same features shown in Fig. 5 for the energy per particle. One can clearly see that the stress increases as the system density increases for both systems. However, in regime 3, this increase is stronger for nonporous particles. The reason for this is that, as explained before, in this regime the particle size change is large which reduces contact between particles and hence the stress is lower.

**B. Diffusion**

The mobility of particles can be described by means of the diffusion tensor. A single submicron-sized particle in a solvent
is subject to Brownian forces, and moves with a diffusion coefficient \(D_0\) given by

\[
D_0 = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi \eta R_{eq}},
\]

which is equal to \(8.58 \times 10^{-13}\) m²/s for the particles used in this study. The mean square displacement (MSD) of this particle is defined as

\[
\langle (\Delta r(t))^2 \rangle = \langle [r(t) - r(t_0)]^2 \rangle.
\]

For a single-particle system, the mean square displacement is a linear function in time with a slope that is twice \(D_0\). As more particles are added, their motion is hindered over longer times and the MSD \(-t\) relation becomes nonlinear. For a many-particle system, \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) is the average over all particles and all samples extracted from the same simulation. A sample is defined based on the longest time scale for particle displacement which is, in this case, the Brownian time scale (see Table II). A sample is defined to run from an initial time \(t_0\) for a period of \(10\tau_{Br}\). In general, if no deformation is applied, the MSD for a many-particle system is given by

\[
\langle (\Delta r(t)\Delta r(t)) \rangle = 2Dt,
\]

where \(D\) is the diffusion tensor. The off-diagonal components are zeros because the Brownian forces in different directions are uncorrelated. For a single-particle system, the diagonal components of the diffusion tensor are \(D_{xx} = D_{yy} = D_{zz} = D_0\). At short times, the slope of the MSD \(-t\) curve gives the short-time diffusion coefficient \(D^S\), which is equivalent to \(D_0\) in the dilute limit. At longer times, the particles interact and their motion is hindered, and their diffusion coefficient is lowered. The long-time diffusion coefficient is denoted by \(D^L\).

Figure 8 shows the short-time diffusion coefficient for both systems shown in Fig. 5. It is calculated from the initial slope of the MSD curve. In regime 1, the volume fractions are low enough that particles initially diffuse with a coefficient that is, approximately, equal as \(D_0\). This coefficient decreases as the particle volume fraction increases, in regimes 2 and 3. In regime 3, porous particles can diffuse more than impermeable particles because of their ability to change their size which gives them, locally, more space.

Figure 9 shows that the long-time diffusion of these systems is lower than the short-time diffusion, as particles encounter other particles in the system. The decrease in long-time diffusion coefficient with increasing the particle volume fraction is steeper than the decrease in short-time diffusion coefficient. This steep decrease in \(D^L\) is attributed to the fact that particles...
need to travel shorter distances to encounter neighboring particles as the system density increases and that the caging becomes more effective. However, porous and nonporous particle systems show the same diffusive behavior over long times. This proves that the long-time diffusion is not sensitive to size change, but is dominated mainly by the density of the system. Based on the long-time diffusion coefficient, ordering occurs at \( D^*/D_0 \approx 0.1 \), which gives a dynamic criterion to the onset of regime 3. This criterion \( (D^*/D_0 \approx 0.1) \) was also reported by Löwen and co-workers \[42,43\] as a universal value for freezing of colloidal liquids into crystals for Brownian systems which was also confirmed using simulations for particles under Yukawa potential.

V. STRUCTURE IN REGIME 3

Regime 3, as discussed before, is the regime in which particles form ordered structures in order to reduce the total energy of the system. The transition to an ordered state depends on the system density (see Fig. 5). The interplay between packing, elastic properties, and permeability is a significant factor in the formation of crystalline colloidal systems. In this section, the effect of permeability on the transition to ordered structures is studied in detail in terms of the structure obtained as well as the structure evolution for highly dense systems.

A. Average local-order parameter

The structure is characterized by means of the average local-order parameter \[44,45\] defined, for a particle \( i \) with a number of neighboring particles \( N_b \), as

\[
\bar{q}(i) = \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |q_{lm}(i)|^2, \tag{34}
\]

where

\[
q_{lm}(i) = \frac{q_{lm}(i) + \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} q_{lm}(k)}{N_b + 1}, \tag{35}
\]

and

\[
q_{lm}(i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_b} Y_{lm}(r_{ij})}{N_b}. \tag{36}
\]

In the above equations, \( q_{lm} \) is a complex number that depends on all the spherical harmonics \( Y_{lm} \) of order \( l \) with integers \( m = \{-l, \ldots, l\} \) for a pair of particles with interparticle vector \( r_{ij} \) measured relative to a fixed frame of reference. Particles are defined as neighbors when the interparticle separation corresponds to the first minimum in the radial distribution curve. In Eq. (35), \( q_{lm} \) is averaged over the neighbors of particle \( i \) in addition to particle \( i \) itself, which increases the accuracy in discriminating different crystal structures \[44\].

The order of the spherical harmonics used is \( l = 4 \) and 6 to capture structures with fourfold symmetry like the bcc, and structure with sixfold symmetry like hcp, respectively. Particles are considered to be solidlike if \( \bar{q}_6 \geq 0.29 \) and they are further categorized as bcc for \( \bar{q}_4 \leq 0.05 \), hcp for \( 0.05 < \bar{q}_4 \leq 0.1 \), and fcc for \( \bar{q}_4 > 0.1 \) \[44,46\]. Using the average local-order parameter, one can express the structure in terms of fractions of bcc, hcp, and fcc crystals as well as the amorphous phase.

B. Final structure

Figure 10 shows the average composition \( f \) of the different crystals for systems with \( \zeta^* = 0 \) and 0.005 at different system densities. The bcc is not favored for both systems because it has only fourfold symmetry and is unstable; even if it develops at earlier time during a simulation, it soon changes into the more stable fcc or hcp. The structure obtained, in both cases and for the entire range of number densities shown, is the random hexagonal close packing (rhcp) which is a mixture of hcp and fcc. hcp and fcc have energies that are a fraction of \( k_B T \) different in favor of fcc \[36,47\].

Figure 10 characterizes the structure in the low-energy states, that is, at times longer than \( \tau_e \). The fact that the error bars are relatively large points at a significant influence of the different realizations of the noise, i.e., of the history. It appears that, within standard errors, no clear trends can be seen in the density dependence of the structure obtained at times longer than \( \tau_e \), and a systematic difference between porous and nonporous systems is not evident. This is to be compared with the energy results presented in Fig. 5, which did show a dependence on the permeability. While the energy is a useful (single) physical quantity that captures some features of the structure, it is more illuminating to examine the various crystalline phases present in the system, and how these phases actually emerge, which is discussed in the following section.

C. Structure evolution

The evolution of structure of both systems, shown in Fig. 5, is studied in this section. As mentioned before, the average final structure of the suspensions studied depends on the realization of the noise used in a simulation. To that end, in this section, we compare two typical systems with \( \zeta^* = 0 \) and 0.005 at \( \varphi_{\text{free}} = 0.916 \) \((n = 1.4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}) \) and \( S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) using a certain realization of the noise. The dynamics of structure formation is expressed in terms of the transition time.
FIG. 11. Order parameter ($\bar{q}_4, \bar{q}_6$)-density maps at $t = 0.5\tau_t$ (top row), at $t = \tau_t$ (middle row), and at $t = 2\tau_t$ (bottom row) for systems with $\phi_{\text{free}} = 0.916$ ($n = 1.4 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$) and $\zeta^* = 0$ (left column), and $\zeta^* = 0.005$ (right column), respectively. The contour lines correspond to 5% of the maximum density, for better visualization.

Figure 11 shows the ($\bar{q}_4, \bar{q}_6$)-density maps as a function of time. The bottom row in Fig. 11 shows that at $2\tau_t$ most of the particles in both cases are solidlike, based on the definition given in Sec. VA, irrespective of their bias to one phase or the other (hcp or fcc). This is confirmed from Fig. 12 where the amount of crystalline structure, defined as $f_{\text{cryst}} = f_{\text{bcc}} + f_{\text{hcp}} + f_{\text{fcc}}$, for these systems is shown as a function of time. It becomes clear that at times greater than $\tau_t$ both systems have fully crystallized.

The left column in Fig. 11 shows the result for elastic particles with zero permeability. At $t = 0.5\tau_t$ (top row in Fig. 11), most of the particles are still in the amorphous state with some clusters forming hcp and fcc. At $t = \tau_t$ (middle row in Fig. 11), more clusters with hcp and fcc structure form, that grow over time. This result is qualitatively similar to results obtained for the Yukawa systems in [46] in which they studied the effect of the interaction energy, which can be related to $S^*$ in our model, on the crystallization dynamics. Here, we build on the knowledge about the crystallization process [46] by studying the effect of the additional degree of freedom, the particle radius. The right column in Fig. 11 shows the structure evolution for porous particles. Porous particles at $0.5\tau_t$ (top row in Fig. 11) show the same behavior as nonporous particles, that is, most of the particles are in the amorphous phase. At $\tau_t$ (middle row in Fig. 11), porous particles are mostly in the fcc domain, while nonporous particles are distributed over the fcc, hcp, and amorphous domains, respectively. This suggests that the formation of the stable fcc structure occurs faster for porous particles than for nonporous particles in terms of times relative to $\tau_t$. To further investigate this effect, the number of clusters of ordered particles is studied as a function of time.

The number of clusters is calculated based on particles that have a bcc, hcp, or fcc structure, in other words, particles with $\bar{q}_6 \geq 0.29$. If the distance between a particle and its neighbor is smaller than a certain threshold, they belong to the same cluster. The threshold in this case is set to the first minimum in the

FIG. 12. Evolution of fraction of crystalline phase for the system in Fig. 11 at a density of $\phi_{\text{free}} = 0.916$ ($n = 1.4 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$) with $S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\zeta^* = 0$ (black) and $\zeta^* = 0.005$ (red), respectively.

FIG. 13. Evolution of number of clusters of ordered particles for the system in Fig. 11 at a density of $\phi_{\text{free}} = 0.916$ ($n = 1.4 \times 10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$) with $S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\zeta^* = 0$ (black) and $\zeta^* = 0.005$ (red), respectively.
radial distribution function calculated based on the position of particles with \( \tilde{q}_0 \geq 0.29 \). Figure 13 shows the evolution of the number of clusters over time for both systems shown in Fig. 11. Clusters can be seen as nuclei from which ordered structures grow. Figure 13 shows that, in time units of \( \tau_\text{f} \), porous particles form slightly fewer clusters, and a slightly higher degree of crystallinity (see Fig. 12), than nonporous ones. This can be interpreted as the size dynamics allowing more particles to locally rearrange relative to their neighbors, which accelerates crystal growth into the stable structure, that is fcc for our system. This conclusion is supported by the fact that porous particles diffuse more than nonporous particles as indicated by the short-time diffusion coefficient shown in Sec. IV B.

The cluster-size distribution for the same systems, that is with \( \zeta = 0 \) and 0.005 at \( \psi_{\text{free}} = 0.916 \) (\( n = 1.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^{-3} \)) and \( S^* = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \) using five different realizations of the noise, is analyzed in terms of moments of the cluster-size distribution. Here, we use the definition of the number- and weight-average molecular weights \( M_n \) and \( M_w \), respectively [48], with \( M_w/M_n = 1 \) being representative of a monodisperse and \( M_w/M_n \gg 1 \) of a strongly polydisperse distribution. The cluster size is expressed in terms of the number of particles forming this cluster. Figure 14 shows that, at \( t < \tau_\text{f} \), the average cluster size given by \( M_n \) of porous particle systems is higher in comparison with nonporous particles. This confirms that porous particle systems grow larger clusters on shorter-time scales than nonporous systems. This is attributed to the fact that porous particles are locally more mobile, which is established by the higher short-time diffusion in regime 3 (see Sec. IV B). On the other hand, the polydispersity in cluster-size distribution, i.e., \( M_w/M_n \), is shown in Fig. 15. It can be seen that, on average, the polydispersity of nonporous particles is higher than the polydispersity of porous particles.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the rate-dependent behavior of spongy particles and its effect on the effective overall properties of suspensions made from such particles is studied in detail. The permeability of the particles and the viscosity of the permeating solvent are responsible for the rate-dependent size change of these particles. This work applies the dynamic two-scale developed by Hütter et al. [17] to suspensions of spongy particles. The model accounts for the rate-dependent size change of these particles by considering the particle size as a separate dynamic degree of freedom. The specific system realization is achieved by making a choice on the potential energy and the friction coefficients. Particles are assumed to interact through a purely repulsive potential due to (i) the elastic shape change upon contact, and (ii) the volume change of particles compared to their size in the dilute limit. Particle-based numerical simulations are performed with the purpose of studying the effect of the rate-dependent particle softness ascribed to the particle architecture, i.e., permeability, on the transient and long-time behavior of spongy-particle suspensions in the absence of applied deformation. Understanding the behavior in equilibrium, i.e., in the absence of applied deformation, is an essential prerequisite for understanding the behavior under deformation (e.g., shear).

At high densities, the suspension undergoes a fast transition from an initial high-energy state to a long-lived (glassy) state, which in turn evolves to an ordered low-energy state. The second transition to the ordered state occurs on time scales significantly larger than the Brownian time scale. By performing a careful study of numerical parameters, the transition time is found to depend significantly on the sample history while the final state is rather insensitive to the sample history, where the latter is changed by varying the initial state and the realization of the noise. At times larger than the transition time, the permeability has a significant effect on the overall response at packing fractions higher than 0.8. Porous particles at such high packing densities reduce their size in order to reduce the contact forces. Consequently, the energy and the normal stress are lower compared to impermeable particles. Porous particles are also found to be,
This work also addresses the crystallization phenomena for colloidal systems. Upon increasing the volume fraction of particles, the energy in the system increases gradually. At volume fractions around 0.72 the energy drops, which is found to coincide with the formation of crystals. Increasing the volume fraction even further, the energy of the crystalline structures gradually increases. Specifically at volume fraction of 0.72, the long-time diffusion coefficient is one-tenth of the single-particle diffusion coefficient, consistent with the universal value for the freezing point of colloidal liquids into crystals [42,43]. We have studied the structures formed and their evolution, in time units of the transition time \( \tau_i \) by means of the averaged bond-order parameter [44,45]. We show that, although the final structure is not qualitatively affected by the permeability of the particles, the growth of the stable fcc structure is accelerated for porous particle systems. In this case, bigger clusters are created faster, as opposed to their impermeable counterpart.

Previous models have captured part of the general features of soft-particle suspensions by considering only the elastic nature of softness. However, this work draws attention to taking into account the rate-dependent particle softness on the long-time behavior and dynamics of suspensions made from such particles, even at radius changes as small as 1%. On the one hand, the elastic softness alone, if applied to spongy particles, overestimates the long-time values of energy and stress at volume fractions higher than 0.8. This 80% limit is consistent with experimental observations that the compression of particles becomes more significant at these volume fractions [9]. It is pointed out that porous particles adjust their size in a dissipative way to reduce contact forces at high packing densities, which cannot be captured by considering solely the (nondissipative) elastic particle softness. On the other hand, we have found that, even at radius changes as small as 1%, the rate-dependent softness attributed to porous particles accelerates the dynamics of the system, as evidenced by the higher short-time diffusion coefficient. The effect of the accelerated dynamics is twofold. First, transitions to the ordered state occur on shorter time scales, and second the growth of stable structures, which is fcc for our systems, is accelerated.

In future work, this model will be applied to systems under deformation in order to study the mechanical behavior of these suspensions. It has been noted in the literature (see, for example, [14] and references therein) that not accounting for internal degrees of freedom fails short of explaining, for instance, the increase in yield stress of soft-particle systems compared to hard-particle systems. We hypothesize that incorporating size dynamics would provide insight in the description of such phenomena, particularly under strong flow conditions.
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APPENDIX A: HERTZIAN POTENTIAL

This appendix is concerned with determining the coefficients in Eq. (5) for the Hertz potential referred to in Sec. II A.

Consider two deformable particles \( i \) and \( j \) with radii \( R_i \) and \( R_j \) centered at \( \mathbf{Q}_i \) and \( \mathbf{Q}_j \), respectively. Particles are in contact with an amount of overlap of \( h_{ij} = R_i + R_j - |\mathbf{Q}_{ij}| \) where \( |\mathbf{Q}_{ij}| = |\mathbf{Q}_i - \mathbf{Q}_j| \). In this case, the energy associated with the elastic contact between these two particles is

\[
\Phi_{ij}^{Hz} = \begin{cases} 
E \frac{|h_{ij}|^{3-n}}{R_i^{3-n}} + k, & h_{ij} > 0 \\
0, & h_{ij} \leq 0.
\end{cases} \tag{A1}
\]

For small deformations, constants \( C, n, \) and \( k \) in Eq. (A1) follow from Hertz theory [23], \( C = \frac{8}{15}, n = \frac{2}{3}, \) and \( k = 0 \). This is valid for \( \frac{h_{ij}}{R_i + R_j} < 0.1 \). The values of these constants vary for larger deformations. Liu et al. [25] performed experiments on a single particle deformed between two flat plates. They found that the force is a function of indentations to the power 3 for values between 10% and 20% of the diameter of the particle and to the power 5 for values higher than 20%. Based on the information known about the small deformation range, the remaining constants can be calculated to ensure force and energy continuity assuming that all the particles are at their equilibrium size, i.e., \( R_i = R_j = R_{eq} \). This gives the following \( C, n, \) and \( k \) for different ranges of deformation

\[
C = \begin{aligned}
\frac{8}{15}, & \quad n = \frac{5}{2}, \quad k = 0, \quad h_{ij} < 0.1, \\
\frac{5}{6}, & \quad n = 4, \quad k = \frac{\sqrt{10}}{1250} E^* R_{eq}^3, \quad 0.1 \leq h_{ij} < 0.2, \\
\frac{125}{144}, & \quad n = 6, \quad k = \frac{89\sqrt{10}}{11250} E^* R_{eq}^3, \quad 0.2 \leq h_{ij} < 0.6,
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}
\]

where \( h_{ij} = \frac{h_{ij}}{R_i + R_j} \) and \( E^* = \frac{E}{3(1-v)^2} \).

APPENDIX B: EFFECT OF SYSTEM SIZE

In this appendix, the effect of the system size on the overall behavior is studied. This is done for the three regimes described

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2} )</th>
<th>( N_1 = 12^3 )</th>
<th>( N_2 = 17^3 )</th>
<th>( N_3 = 22^3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.7662 ± 0.00045</td>
<td>1.7691 ± 0.00094</td>
<td>1.7692 ± 0.00035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>2.5964 ± 0.1430</td>
<td>2.6172 ± 0.03992</td>
<td>2.7996 ± 0.1729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>4.6131 ± 0.08706</td>
<td>4.7178 ± 0.1543</td>
<td>5.1168 ± 0.3852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Transition time for systems with $N_1 = 12^3$, $N_2 = 17^3$, and $N_3 = 22^3$ at different number density. The standard error is based on the different realizations of the noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n \times 10^{15}$ m$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$N_1 = 12^3$</th>
<th>$N_2 = 17^3$</th>
<th>$N_3 = 22^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.5828 ± 1.1284 s</td>
<td>4.2550 ± 1.6232 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>10.4172 ± 5.1237 s</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.350</td>
<td>15.1270 ± 3.9855 s</td>
<td>11.6080 ± 1.9049 s</td>
<td>9.6067 ± 3.1361 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in Sec. IV. Three systems, with numbers of particles $N_1 = 12^3$, $N_2 = 17^3$, and $N_3 = 22^3$, are studied. Particles are initially placed on a simple-cubic lattice to ensure that the initial configuration is the same for all systems. For each system, averages are calculated from five simulations with different realizations of the noise.

Table III shows that the effect of the system size on the energy per particle is negligible in the first two regimes, and moderate in the third regime. The situation is different for the transition time. It can be seen in Table IV that the transition time systematically decreases upon increasing the number of particles, at the same number density. This trend is, as expected, in view of the periodic boundary conditions. For increasing system size, the influence of the boundary conditions on the system behavior becomes less. More precisely, the larger the system, the less does the system feel the constraints imposed by the boundary conditions. And, in turn, less constraints on the dynamics implies that the system manages to find more rapidly the path to a more favorable state.


