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Promoted Iron Nanocrystals Obtained via Ligand Exchange as Active and Selective Catalysts for Synthesis Gas Conversion

Marianna Casavola, Jingxiu Xie, Johannes D. Meeldijk, Nynke A. Krans, Andrey Goryachev, Jan P. Hofmann, A. Iulian Dugulan, and Krijn P. de Jong

ABSTRACT: Colloidal synthesis routes have been recently used to fabricate heterogeneous catalysts with more controllable and homogeneous properties. Herein a method was developed to modify the surface composition of colloidal nanocrystal catalysts and to purposely introduce specific atoms via ligands and change the catalyst reactivity. Organic ligands adsorbed on the surface of iron oxide catalysts were exchanged with inorganic species such as Na2S, not only to provide an active surface but also to introduce controlled amounts of Na and S acting as promoters for the catalytic process. The catalyst composition was optimized for the Fischer−Tropsch direct conversion of synthesis gas into lower olefins. At industrially relevant conditions, these nanocrystal-based catalysts with controlled composition were more active, selective, and stable than catalysts with similar composition but synthesized using conventional methods, possibly due to their homogeneity of properties and synergic interaction of iron and promoters.
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INTRODUCTION

Supported metal nanoparticles have a wide range of applications, varying from drug delivery to heterogeneous catalysis. In particular, supported metal nanoparticles pave a route to rational catalyst design, and design strategies include optimal metal−support interaction, interparticle distance, uniform particle size, structure, and morphology. Established preparation methods such as precipitation (coprecipitation or deposition precipitation) and impregnation (incipient wetness impregnation or wet impregnation) are commonly used; however, other techniques including melt infiltration, colloidal synthesis, atomic layer deposition, and metal−organic frameworks have become increasingly popular.

To improve the catalytic performance of supported metal nanoparticles, other elements are often added, and they can be classified as structural, electronic, or reduction promoters. Ideally, promoters should be in close proximity with the catalytically active metal surface, but this is usually not achieved as promoters also deposit on the support surface. The promoters are typically introduced to supported catalytic systems via impregnation or coprecipitation with promoter metal salt precursor solution, and the drawback is a lack of control on the location of the promoters. However, strong electrostatic adsorption and controlled surface reactions (catalytic reduction or direct redox reaction) methods were proven to introduce promoters selectively onto the catalytically active metal surface.

The increase in global demand for lower olefins (ethylene, propylene, butylene) coupled with the regional diversification of carbon raw materials brings about opportunities for emerging technologies. The alternative carbon feedstocks, including coal, natural gas, and biomass, can first be converted to synthesis gas, a mixture of CO and H2, but direct routes, namely, oxide-zeolite (OX-ZEO) and Fischer−Tropsch to olefins (FTO), offer potentially higher efficiencies in volume, energy, materials, plant operations, and cost. The Fischer−Tropsch synthesis (FTS), recognized to be structure-sensitive and promoter-dependent, is a surface polymerization reaction, and its product distribution can be described using the Anderson−Schulz−Flory (ASF) model. Promoted iron-based catalysts as well as cobalt-based catalysts are promising candidates for the FTO reaction at elevated temperature.
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Typical promoters for Fe-based catalysts include, K, Cu, Mn, Na, and S, and they are introduced via coimpregnation or coprecipitation of promoter precursor.

Recently, Sasol presented the effects of various promoters on 306 bulk-Fe catalysts prepared via precipitation. It was concluded that bulk-Fe catalysts with Na/S ≥ 2 performed best in terms of activity and selectivity toward lower olefins, which is in line with Torres Galvis et al.

However, this study was performed on bulk-Fe catalysts, and the promotion effects on supported Fe-based catalysts require more investigation. As catalysts are synthesized by coimpregnation or coimpregnation of the different species, determining the amount of the different atoms per nanoparticle and their arrangement in the catalyst nanoparticle structure remains a challenge. This suggests that new strategies are required to incorporate promoters in catalyst nanoparticles in a controlled way to obtain nanocrystals (NCs) with a homogeneous composition.

NCs prepared by colloidal synthesis, offer delicate control over size, shape, composition, crystal structure, and surface properties. Thus, they are promising and appealing building blocks for advanced materials and devices, including hydrogen storage, electronics, drug delivery, and catalysis. In particular, NCs were used to study structure sensitivities and metal–support interfaces in catalytic applications.

We recently demonstrated that colloidal NCs with very narrow size dispersion (10% standard deviation) and tunable size in the 3–20 nm range can be successfully used to fabricate active heterogeneous catalysts with exceptional stability.

The performance of these catalysts could be improved by the incorporation of promoter species on their surface, but this cannot be achieved with conventional methods. The key characteristic of colloidal NC is that they are capped with a layer of surfactants, which are essential to control their growth but hinder their implementation in devices and other applications. Therefore, a considerable scientific effort is devoted to develop methods to eliminate ligands while preserving NC stability.

On the other hand, the presence of ligands makes the NC surface a very versatile platform, and they even can be used as active agents to direct a catalytic process. In addition, ligands can be advantageously replaced with inorganic ligands, which are essential to control their growth and metal–support interfaces in catalytic applications.

Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that it is possible to replace the long-chain ligands on the NC surface with inorganic charged species, such as chalcogenides, OH−, and amide ions. Herein we propose to use Na2S and NaHS to replace organic ligands and to drive Na and S promoter species adsorption on the NC surface. Using the inorganic ligand exchange strategy, it is possible to direct promoters onto the catalytically active metal surface and provide a route to tune promoter concentration on the metal. For this purpose, catalysts based on iron oxide NCs supported on carbon nanotubes (CNTs) were treated with a solution of Na2S to replace the conventional oleate surfactants with Na2S, acting as an inorganic ligand. This strategy has the additional advantage of eliminating carbon-rich ligands which could deactivate the catalyst.

The synthesized catalysts, consisting of iron oxide NC with uniform size and distribution on the CNT support as well as controllable composition in promoters, were characterized with inductively-coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), scanning transmission electron microscopy-energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-EDX), and in situ Mössbauer spectroscopy. The catalytic performance of these catalysts was compared with that of conventional catalysts at industrially relevant conditions (340 °C and 10 bar).

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS


Iron oxide NCs of 7 nm diameter were synthesized according to literature procedures based on the aminolysis/alcoholysis of iron oleate. The as-synthesized, mainly oleate-capped, iron oxide NCs were then anchored to a CNT support, successively treated with Na2S and dried. A detailed description of the different synthesis paths is reported below.

**Synthesis of 7 nm Fe NC.** All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used as received. Initially, 0.43 g of oleic acid (C17H33CO2H or OLAC, 90%), 0.21 g of oleylamine (C17H33NH2 or OLAM, 70%), and 0.35 g of 1,2-hexadecanediol (C13H27(OH)2 ≥ 98%) in 10 mL of 1-octadecene (C18H36 or ODE, 90%) were mixed in a 100 mL three-neck round-bottom flask. The flask was connected to a Schlenk line through a reflux cooler. The mixture (magnetically stirred at 650 rpm) was degassed for 30 min at 120 °C under vacuum and subsequently purged with nitrogen flow. The temperature was lowered to 90 °C before 0.21 g of iron pentacarbonyl (Aldrich, 99.99%) in 1 mL of octadecene was injected. The temperature was then increased to 290 °C at a rate of 20 °C per minute, and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and further processed in air. The NC suspension was purified by three cycles of dispersion in 200 μL of toluene and precipitation in isopropanol; centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min was necessary to separate the NC from organic solution after each cycle.

**Attachment of 7 nm Fe NC on CNT (Approximately 3 wt % Fe Loading).** The synthesized Fe NC and 800 mg multwall CNT (Bayer, Baytubes C 150 HP, from 5 to 30 nm external diameter, sieve fraction 212–425 μm) were suspended in 10 mL of octadecene in a 100 mL three-neck round-bottom flask. The mixture (magnetically stirred at 400 rpm) was degassed for 30 min at 120 °C under vacuum and subsequently purged with nitrogen flow. The temperature was increased to 200 °C under nitrogen flow and kept at this temperature for 0.5 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and further processed in air. The Fe NC supported on CNT catalyst was washed 5 times with hexane and acetone (ratio 1:3) and finally dried at 60 °C for 1 h under static air, 120 °C for 3 h under static air, and 80 °C for 3 h under vacuum.

**Promotion using Na2S Inorganic Ligand Exchange.** In the first step, 0.24 g of sodium sulfide nonahydrate (≥ 98%) was sonicated in 20 mL of formamide (≥ 99.9%) for 1 h to obtain a 0.05 M stock solution. In order to have a molar ratio of Na2S/Fe = 1, 100 mg of the synthesized Fe NC on CNT catalyst was added into 1.2 mL of 0.05 M stock solution and magnetically stirred at 400 rpm for 10 min. The molar concentration of the Na2S solution was decreased to obtain lower promotion levels of Na2S/Fe = 0.5, 0.25, and 0.1. Catalyst cFeP0.5 was prepared with Na2S/Fe = 0.5. After the inorganic Na2S exchange step, the following washing procedure was used: 1× ethanol, 4× ethanol and acetone (ratio 1:3), and 1× acetone. Finally, the drying procedure was 1 h under static air, 120 °C for 3 h under static air, and room temperature for 3 h under vacuum.
Synthesis of Reference Catalysts. The reference catalysts were prepared using incipient wetness impregnation (IWI). To synthesize the unpromoted 3 wt % Fe reference catalyst, 0.207 g of ammonium iron citrate (Fluka, purum p.a., 14.5–16 wt % Fe) was first dissolved in 0.5 mL of demineralized water and 0.25 mL of methanol (Aldrich, 99.8%) was then added. This solution was impregnated in a single step on to 1.0 g of CNT, and the sample was dried in static air at 120 °C for 2 h. Heat treatment was performed at 500 °C for 2 h (5 °C/min; 100 mL/min for 1 g catalyst) under nitrogen flow, and after the catalyst was cooled to room temperature, it was passivated by increasing oxygen concentration stepwise (2% v/v increase every 30 min) until reaching 20% v/v. To synthesize the promoted 3 wt % Fe reference catalyst, 0.202 g of ammonium iron citrate (Fluka, purum p.a., 14.5–16 wt % Fe), 0.004 g of sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (Aldrich, ≥ 99%) and 0.005 g of iron(II) sulfate heptahydrate (Aldrich, ≥ 99%) were used, and the procedure was followed as described above. The reference catalysts were coded iFe and iFeP0.5, which indicate unpromoted and promoted Fe nanoparticles on CNT, respectively.

Characterization. The elemental loadings of Fe, Na, and S were determined with a Thermo Jarrell Ash model ICAP 61E trace analyzer ICP-AES. Thermo gravimetric analysis—mass spectrometry (TGA-MS) was used to determine the metal loadings and to verify the presence of organic ligands, which give rise to a mass loss at 200–300 °C and specific MS peaks. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to determine the iron particle size distribution and the spatial distribution of iron nanoparticles on the support, before and after catalytic tests. Scanning transmission electron microscopy—high angle annular dark field (STEM-HAADF) images and EDX analyses were obtained with an FEI Talos F200X transmission electron microscope, operated at 200 kV and equipped with a high-brightness field emission gun (X-FEG) and a Super-X G2 EDX detector. XPS spectra were acquired on a Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer using an Al Kα (hν = 1486.6 eV) monochromatic small-spot X-ray source operated at 75 W. Charging effects were corrected by using the adventitious carbon C 1s (sp3) peak as reference for all samples at a binding energy (BE) of 284.8 eV. Fitting of the spectra (BE, fwhm, peak shape, asymmetry, number of species) was performed with CasaXPS. The composition of the Fe phases before reaction, after reduction, and at various FTO conditions was determined in situ with transmission 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. 57Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected at 4.2 K with a sinusoidal velocity spectrometer using a 57Co(Rh) source. Velocity calibration was carried out using an α-Fe foil at room temperature. The temperature of the source and the absorbing samples was kept identical during the measurements. The Mössbauer spectra were fitted using the Mossbwin 4.0 program. The experiments were performed identically as a catalytic test in a state-of-the-art high-pressure Mössbauer in situ cell. The high-pressure beryllium windows used in this cell contain 0.08% Fe impurity whose spectral contribution was fitted and removed from the final spectra.

Catalyst Performance. Catalytic experiments were performed using a high throughput 16 parallel fixed-bed reactors setup (Flowrence, Avantium). Each reactor was loaded with 20 mg of catalyst (212–425 μm) and 200 mg SiC (212–425 μm) as diluent, except iFe in which 80 mg catalyst and 50 mg SiC were loaded, so as to attain similar catalyst bed lengths and CO conversions of 25–45% after 100 h. The catalysts were first reduced in situ at 340 °C (5 °C/min), 3 bar, He/H2 = 2, GHSV = 3600 h−1 for 2 h. The synthesis gas mixture (H2/CO/He = 60/30/10) with GHSV = 3600 h−1 was introduced at 280 °C and 3 bar, and temperature and pressure were subsequently increased to 340 °C (2 °C/min) and 10 bar. The product stream was analyzed using online gas chromatography (Agilent 7890A). Hydrocarbons (C1−C9) were separated on an Agilent J&W PoraBOND Q column, detected using an FID detector and quantified against the TCD signal of the internal standard He. The permanent gases (CO, H2, He, CO2, and CH4) were separated on a ShinCarbon ST (no. 19043) column and quantified against He as an internal standard using a TCD detector. Catalytic activity, in terms of iron time yield (FTY), was expressed as moles of CO converted per gram of Fe per second. CO conversion (%) was calculated as XCO = (molCO in − molCO out)/molCO in. The product selectivity to hydrocarbons up to C9 was determined with online gas chromatography (GC) and was calculated on a carbon atom basis. Selectivity toward CO2 was also measured.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An overview of the fresh catalysts and their properties is presented in Table 1. Different batches of catalysts were synthesized using the identical procedure and their elemental loadings are included in Table S1. Trace amounts of Na were found in blank CNT support and that might result in variations of Na loadings in the catalysts.

The promotion level was altered by varying the molar concentration of the Na2S solution while keeping the other parameters (e.g., duration, stirring rate, volume, and temperature) constant. Increasing the molar concentration of the Na2S solution to increase the promotion level was successful, as determined by the increased Na and S elemental loadings. It is noteworthy to point out that after correcting for Na present in the blank CNT, the Na/S molar ratio for all promoted catalysts was close to 2, as in the Na2S precursor. This indicates that both Na and S are adsorbed at the catalyst surface. XPS measurements proved that there were indeed increased Na and S concentration on the surface, as the peak intensities of S 2p (Figure S1a) and Na 1s (Figure S1b) increased upon increasing promotion. The S 2p peak positions indicated the presence of oxidized sulfur species such as sulfate (S6− or S6+, ∼168 eV) as well as reduced species (S2 to S2−, ∼164 eV) for the promoted catalysts, implying the oxidation of reduced S species upon air.

Table 1. Properties of Promoted and Unpromoted CNT-Supported Fe-Based Catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>bulk weight loading (wt %)</th>
<th>surface atomic ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNT</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iFe</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iFeP0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFe</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.5-iwi</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by ICP-AES. b Determined by XPS.
exposure since no oxidized S species were added during syntheses.

Representative TEM images relevant to catalyst cFeP0.5 are shown in Figure 1. The NCs distribution on the CNT support and average NC diameter (size statistics from TEM images) do not significantly change after Na2S treatment for 10 min, thereby demonstrating their stability upon inorganic ligand exchange. On the other hand, prolonged mixing of the catalyst powder with the Na2S solution (more than 30 min) and heating up of the mixture to 50 °C led to an aggregation of Fe NCs (Figure S4). This indicates that the inorganic ligand exchange can impact the stability of Fe-CNT catalysts even in relatively mild conditions.

Recent work on colloidal NC synthesis and processing shows that organic ligands such as oleate could be replaced with charged inorganic species in a ligand-exchange fashion, by mixing a suspension of colloidal NC in apolar solvent with a solution of inorganic ligands in a polar solvent with high dielectric constant. This procedure can be performed either in solution, where Fe NC stability is preserved by the adsorption of charged species, or with dispersed solids, where NC after deposition on a substrate are exposed to a solution of the inorganic charged ligands. The latter mode of exchange was applied because the NCs were already homogeneously distributed and stabilized on the support.

As a comparison, we used a different procedure by first exchanging oleate with S2− ligands in solution and successively assembling the NCs on CNTs. The iron oxide NC can be successfully transferred to polar formamide by exchanging oleate with S2− (from Na2S) ligands in solution. Nevertheless, the NCs formed aggregates rather than assembling homogeneously on the CNTs in formamide (Figure S5). A possible explanation is that charged species at the NC surface shield the van der Waals interaction of NC with the electron-rich CNT surface, so that the NCs just form aggregates upon drying.

STEM-EDX experiments allowed for mapping C, O, Fe, Na, and S through the sample, and a representative EDX map is included in Figure S6. The CNTs were distinguishable by the C mapping, whereas Fe was present as nanometric iron oxide particles. Oxygen was concentrated where the iron oxide nanoparticles were present. Although Na and S were detected by the EDX detector, the concentrations were too low for the detection limit of the instrument.

Reference catalysts (iFe and iFeP0.5) were prepared via incipient wetness impregnation, and HAADF-STEM images of iFe and iFeP0.5 are shown in panels a and b of Figure 2, respectively. Figure 2c,d display HAADF-STEM images of colloidal NC catalysts, cFe and cFeP0.5, respectively. Na2S impregnated onto Fe NC on CNT was used to compare with the introduction of Na2S via inorganic ligand exchange. The particle size and particle size distribution did not appear to be changed upon addition of promoters. Although the surface average Fe particle size of IWI and colloidal NC catalysts was similar, the particle size distribution of IWI catalysts was broader (Figure 2e).

The catalytic performance of these Fe-based catalysts under industrially relevant conditions, i.e. 10 bar, and 340 °C, H2/CO = 2 v/v was investigated. Figure 3 displays the catalytic activity as a function of time, thereby providing insights in the stability
of these catalysts at high temperature and pressure. The initial activities of the colloidal catalysts (cFe, cFeP0.5, and cFeP0.5-iwi) were higher than the reference IWI catalysts (iFe and iFeP0.5), and the addition of promoters also increased initial activities.

The general higher activity of colloidal catalysts (cFe over iFe, and both cFeP0.5 and cFeP0.5-iwi over iFeP0.5) could be explained by their superior homogeneity, in terms of nanocrystal catalyst size, faceting, distribution on the support, so that the contribution of bigger particles and aggregates can be neglected at the initial stage of the FTO. The higher initial activity of promoted colloidal catalysts compared to promoted catalysts obtained by IWI could be explained accordingly by the more uniform composition of promoters on the catalyst surface. After activation, the unpromoted catalysts (cFe and iFe) were relatively stable over 100 h. On the other hand, iFeP0.5 showed increasing activity but cFeP0.5 and cFeP0.5-iwi showed decreasing activities. Although both cFeP0.5 and cFeP0.5-iwi deactivated over time, the activity of cFeP0.5 dropped by approximately 33% and that of cFeP0.5-iwi dropped by approximately 50%. This suggested that the inorganic ligand exchange approach resulted in a more stable catalyst.

The activities and product selectivities of these catalysts after 100 h are summarized in Table 2. The product distribution was similar for the unpromoted catalysts, but the colloidal catalyst cFe was more active than the reference IWI catalyst iFe. After 100 h, the promoted catalysts were more active and more selective toward lower olefins. The catalysts with impregnated Na and S promoters (iFeP0.5 and cFeP0.5-iwi) showed similar activities and product selectivities. However, the catalyst with Na and S promoters introduced via inorganic ligand exchange showed the highest activity and selectivity toward lower olefins.

The improved product selectivity of cFeP0.5 relative to iFeP0.5 is also evident from the ASF plots (Figure 4) in which the C4 fraction deviates from the ASF statistical distribution. The promoted catalysts contained similar level of Na and S promoter loadings but displayed different catalytic performances, and this is tentatively proposed to be due to the location of the Na and S promoters. S2− and NaS− ions have a high affinity for the Fe+3-rich Fe NC surface and thereby they can replace organic ligands, such as oleate, thus justifying Na and S adsorption specifically on the catalytic NC surface.

Table 2. Catalytic Performance of Fe-Based CNT-Supported Catalysts under FTO Conditions (340 °C, 10 bar, H2/CO = 2, TOS = 100 h)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO conv. (%)</th>
<th>FTY (10−3 molCO/gFe/s)</th>
<th>CO2 sel. (%)</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>C2−C4 olefins</th>
<th>C2−C4 parafins</th>
<th>C5+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iFe</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFe</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iFeP0.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFeP0.5-iwi</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The C4 fraction deviates from the ASF statistical distribution. The promoted catalysts contained similar level of Na and S promoter loadings but displayed different catalytic performances, and this is tentatively proposed to be due to the location of the Na and S promoters. S2− and NaS− ions have a high affinity for the Fe+3-rich Fe NC surface and thereby they can replace organic ligands, such as oleate, thus justifying Na and S adsorption specifically on the catalytic NC surface.
Figure 4c illustrates the influence of the promotion level on the activity and product selectivity at industrially relevant conditions, i.e. 340 °C, 10 bar, H₂/CO = 2, GHSV = 3600 h⁻¹. Interestingly, there is an optimum level of promotion at 10 bar which is not apparent at 3 and 5 bar. At 3 and 5 bar, increasing promotion was beneficial for both activity and product selectivity for all promotion levels. However, at 10 bar the activity shows a maximum around 0.6 molar ratio, while a further increase of promoter concentrations does not give rise to activity enhancement but rather reduces the overall activity, albeit producing the highest C₂−C₄ selectivity and methane as low as 11%. There is further potential to increase C₂−C₄ olefins selectivity by optimizing the promotion level, while keeping activity high.

The effect of promotion level at varied pressures (3, 5, and 10 bar) on the Fe NC catalysts was also evaluated. Several observations were made on the effects of promotion level and pressures. First, the increase in pressure led to an increase in activity (Figure S7). Second, the increase in promotion level led to increase in activity at various pressures, except for the most promoted catalyst, cFeP1.0 at 10 bar (Figure S7). With increasing pressure, C₂−C₄ olefins selectivity decreased while C₂−C₄ paraffins selectivity increased (Figure S8). With increasing promotion level, the increase in C₂−C₄ olefins selectivity corresponded to an increase in C₅+, selectivity and a decrease in CH₄ and C₂−C₄ paraffins' selectivity (Figure S8). This suppression of methane formation was made evident by the deviation of the C₁ content from the ASF distribution prediction (Figure S9). Both increase in pressure and addition of Na and S promoters increased α (Figure S9).

In situ Mössbauer spectroscopy was carried out for unpromoted cFe and promoted cFePO.5 catalysts at different reaction stages, i.e. after reduction, FTO at 3 and 5 bar, and FTO at 10 bar. Figure 5 summarizes the results on the composition in iron species of the different catalysts at different reaction stages, while detailed spectra and fits were included as Figure S11 and Table S5, respectively. Both cFe and cFePO.5 were partially reduced upon reduction, the latter showing nearly 40% composition in metallic iron. Upon exposure to synthesis gas at 340 °C and higher pressure, cFe was partially carbizided and carbidization increased slightly with increase in pressure from 5 to 10 bar. On the other hand, cFePO.5 was already significantly carburized after exposure to synthesis gas at 340 °C and higher pressure, the extent of carbidization increasing by increasing the pressure from 5 to 10 bar. Thus, the two key findings from the catalytic tests, i.e. the higher catalytic activity of promoted catalysts and the increased activity of cFePO.5 upon increase in pressure from 5 to 10 bar, can both be explained by the higher concentration of active iron carbide species of the promoted catalysts compared to their unpromoted counterparts.

The spent catalysts after FTO (TOS = 100 h) were characterized with TEM, as shown in Figure 6. All catalysts had...
similar average particle size after synthesis as mentioned earlier, albeit broader particle size distributions were measured for iFe and iFeP0.5. However, the particle size distributions of spent catalysts were remarkably different. For the unpromoted catalysts (iFe and cFe), average Fe nanoparticles grew from 7 to 11 nm upon FTO reaction. Notably, the spent catalyst cFe possessed a bimodal particle size distribution in comparison to iFe. For the catalysts promoted via impregnation (iFeP0.5 and cFeP0.5-iwi), average Fe nanoparticles grew from 7 to 18 nm upon FTO reaction, and there were significant number of Fe nanoparticles larger than 25 nm. For the catalyst promoted via inorganic ligand exchange (cFeP0.5), average Fe nanoparticles grew from 7 to 14 nm upon FTO reaction, and no Fe nanoparticles larger than 25 nm were observed. In addition, the spent catalyst of cFeP0.5 had the narrowest particle size distribution. Thus, growth of Fe nanoparticles appeared to be less when Na and S promoters were introduced via inorganic ligand exchange, and this is in good agreement with the constant trend of the catalyst activity over time (Figure 3).

The size and promoter effects on stability were previously investigated and Fe particle growth was proposed to be the main cause of deactivation for the promoted catalysts. With reference to Figure S12, this current study reiterates the preposition that loss of active Fe surface area resulted in loss of activity.57

**CONCLUSION**

A novel approach toward promoter incorporation in metal nanocrystal catalysts was presented, and Fe-based FTO catalysts were used as a showcase. New FTO catalysts were synthesized based on colloidal NCs in which promoters are adsorbed on the surface of catalytic NCs via ligand exchange. This method conveniently eliminates organic ligands, which could interfere in the catalytic FT process, and more importantly allows for active catalysts with a controlled amount of promoters to be synthesized. Catalysts with different amounts of promoters were tested under industrially relevant FT conditions at different pressures and the process was optimized to achieve the best combination of activity and product selectivity. These colloidal-based catalysts outperformed catalysts with similar composition but synthesized with conventional coimpregnation methods.

A correlation of these data with in situ Mössbauer spectroscopy and ex situ microscopy revealed that promoted colloidal catalysts are more stable, easier to reduce and convert into active carbide species, possibly due to the close contact of the promoters with the iron catalyst.

These results suggest that wet chemical methods, such as the combination of colloidal synthesis, assembly and ligand exchange approaches, are a viable route to fabricate heterogeneous catalysts with superior properties control. The development of stable catalysts with controlled characteristics acting as a model system is an essential step toward understanding structure and composition-related catalytic features. Notably, the method described was applied to an extremely complex catalytic system, since iron catalysts undergo severe transformations in the course of a FTO process, but could be further extended to several NC catalyst systems and types of promoters. In addition, this preliminary study strengthens the idea that recent achievements in the ligand-driven chemistry, such as controlling the number and the position of heteroatoms on specific NC facets, could be applied to manipulate the composition, and thus the reactivity, of catalytic surfaces.
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