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ABSTRACT: Area-selective atomic layer deposition (ALD) is rapidly gaining interest because of its potential application in self-aligned fabrication schemes for next-generation nanoelectronics. Here, we introduce an approach for area-selective ALD that relies on the use of chemoselective inhibitor molecules in a three-step (ABC-type) ALD cycle. A process for area-selective ALD of SiO$_2$ was developed comprising acetylacetone inhibitor (step A), bis(diethylamino)silane precursor (step B), and O$_2$ plasma reactant (step C) pulses. Our results show that this process allows for selective deposition of SiO$_2$ on GeO$_2$, SiN$_x$, SiO$_2$, and WO$_3$ in the presence of Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and HfO$_2$ surfaces. In situ Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy experiments and density functional theory calculations underline that the selectivity of the approach stems from the chemoselective adsorption of the inhibitor. The selectivity between different oxide starting surfaces and the compatibility with plasma-assisted or ozone-based ALD are distinct features of this approach. Furthermore, the approach offers the opportunity of tuning the substrate-selectivity by proper selection of inhibitor molecules.
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Directing matter to create structures with atomic-level control of physical and chemical properties is a long sought-after goal in nanotechnology. The deposition of atoms at specific locations on a surface can boost advances in catalysis,$^{1-3}$ energy harvesting,$^4$ and semiconductor device fabrication.$^5$ Today, the semiconductor industry is one of the main driving forces that pushes for advancing the field of area-selective deposition.$^6$ As the state-of-the-art technology is entering the sub-10-nm scale, the conventional patterning of thin films is becoming extremely challenging in terms of atomic-scale precision and reliable processing. Current semiconductor manufacturing requires many lithography and etching steps to fabricate multilayered 3D devices with perfectly aligned features.$^6$ Especially alignment issues leading to the so-called edge placement errors (EPEs) have become the prime bottleneck for continued downscaling.$^5$ Therefore, innovative bottom-up techniques are required to replace or complement top-down fabrication schemes.

One of the main emerging solutions is to implement self-aligned fabrication schemes, in which a material is deposited on a predetermined area in a selective manner. Area-selective deposition will reduce the number of photolithography and etching steps and thereby enable cost-effective options to create these structures in a bottom-up approach. Hence, a significant effort is underway in both industry and academia to develop robust area-selective deposition techniques.$^10$

Atomic layer deposition (ALD) enables layer-by-layer growth with atomic-level thickness control. The technique relies on the cyclewise and alternate exposure of a substrate to various vapor-phase precursors that undergo self-limiting surface reactions, thereby allowing for uniform and 3D-conformal film deposition.$^{11}$ However, ALD typically leads to deposition on the entire surface, and therefore the process needs to be adapted to enable area-selective deposition.$^7$ To date, most of the efforts in the field of area-selective ALD include substrate patterning steps before the area-selective ALD.$^{12,16,17}$ On the
other hand, in self-aligned fabrication, a partially processed device architecture is taken as the starting point, where patterning has been performed in a previous processing step. Thus, the sample surface consists of several different materials, and the challenge is to selectively deposit on the surface of only one or a subset of these materials. The area-selective ALD processes developed so far often concern metal-on-metal or oxide-on-oxide deposition. However, self-aligned fabrication can also require other material combinations to be deposited in an area-selective manner. For example, some applications might demand area-selective ALD on a specific metal oxide in the presence of other oxide surfaces.

In this work, an approach for area-selective ALD is introduced. It is based on a three-step (ABC-type) ALD cycle, where step A is the exposure of the surface to inhibitor molecules, and B and C are the steps of a regular ALD process. Earlier investigations in chemical vapor deposition illustrate some of the advantages of using inhibitors for achieving area-selective deposition. Our approach is inspired by the work of Yangas-Gil et al., who used inhibitor molecules (e.g., ethanol, acetone, hexane) in ABC-type ALD cycles to improve the doping efficiency in ALD-grown materials. Instead of reducing the growth per cycle by partial blocking of the adsorption sites for the precursor molecule, we intend to completely block precursor adsorption on specific starting materials.

Our approach for achieving area-selective ALD is illustrated in Figure 1. In step A, we use an inhibitor molecule that selectively adsorbs on certain materials on which no deposition is desired (referred to as the non-growth area). The inhibitor blocks the precursor adsorption during the subsequent step B. The cycle is completed in step C by removing the precursor ligands together with the inhibitor molecules and by activating the surface for the next cycle. This approach should ideally allow for area-selective ALD on surfaces where the inhibitor does not adsorb (referred to as the growth area).

A pronounced benefit of this approach is that the selectivity no longer relies solely on the chemoselective adsorption of an ALD precursor on a specific surface. Chemoselective adsorption is decoupled from the precursor by inserting an inhibitor step, and this will therefore yield more freedom for developing area-selective ALD processes. Similar to previous work on the application of self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) for area-selective ALD, this approach relies on the blocking of surface sites for precursor adsorption. However, the main difference is that the inhibitor molecules are reapplied every single cycle, which can potentially lead to a more robust approach that does not suffer from a gradual degradation of the blocking layer. Another advantage of reapplying the inhibitor every cycle is that it renders area-selective deposition compatible with (or accessible to) more types of ALD processes, including plasma-assisted or ozone-based ALD. This will therefore extend the set of materials that can be deposited by ALD in an area-selective manner.

In this article, we demonstrate a proof-of-concept for using ABC-type ALD cycles to achieve area-selective ALD of SiO₂, a low-k material that is ubiquitous in many devices. The process consists of acetylacetone (abbreviated in the literature as Hacac) as the inhibitor, bis(diethylamino)silane (BDEAS) as the Si precursor, and O₂ plasma as the co-reactant. In contrast to previous area-selective ALD studies in which typically only two surfaces were considered, the nucleation behavior of this ABC-type ALD process is investigated here on as many as 14 different starting surfaces. As a proof-of-concept, the process was tested on patterned Al₂O₃/GeO₂ samples, and the selectivity was probed using surface analysis techniques. Theoretical calculations as well as in situ studies were performed to determine the mechanistic aspects of the chemoselective inhibitor adsorption and the precursor blocking. The basic understanding obtained this way can be used to further improve the selectivity of the current approach. Finally, the opportunities enabled by this area-selective ALD approach will be discussed.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Impacts of Hacac Adsorption on SiO₂ Nucleation. Saturation curves for the ABC-type ALD cycle, included in the Supporting Information (Figure S1), demonstrate that the saturation behavior and the growth per cycle are not influenced by the addition of the Hacac step. ABC-type ALD cycles of SiO₂ were carried out on various starting surfaces to identify on which surfaces deposition of SiO₂ is obtained and on which ones it is blocked. Figure 2 depicts the nucleation curves as measured by in situ spectroscopic ellipsometry (SE). The graph shows that SiO₂ grows without any nucleation delay on GeO₂, SiO₂, WO₃, and Si₃N₄. On these substrates a growth per cycle of approximately 0.09 nm/cycle was obtained. This is comparable to the growth per cycle of the regular two-step ALD process, consisting of BDEAS precursor and O₂ plasma pulses (referred to as the BC process). Figure 2 also shows that growth delays for ABC-type ALD of SiO₂ are observed on Al₂O₃ (15 cycles), TiO₂, and HfO₂ (both 10 cycles). The growth delay of 15 cycles is that obtained when using the ABC-type cycles on Al₂O₃ means that a SiO₂ film of ~1 nm thickness can be selectively deposited on GeO₂ in the presence of Al₂O₃. Nucleation curves for the BC process are shown in Figure S2, demonstrating that there is no nucleation delay for the regular
ALD process on these starting materials. Additional data for ABC-type ALD cycles of SiO2 on Pd, Pt, Ru, ZnO, FeOx, MoOx, and CoOx starting surfaces, presented in Figure S3a, show immediate deposition on Pd, short nucleation delays (of ∼5 cycles) on Ru, ZnO, FeOx, and Pt, and longer delays (of ∼8–12 cycles) on MoOx and CoOx. Figure S3b depicts that the deposition temperature also plays a role in determining the extent of the nucleation delay, and slightly better results were obtained at 200 °C.

To gain insights into the inhibited deposition on Al2O3 and the immediate deposition on SiO2, SE measurements were conducted after every individual subcycle. Figure 3a,b show the results for a sequence of AC, ABC, and BC cycles on Al2O3 and SiO2 starting surfaces. Similar data are presented for other starting surfaces such as TiO2, GeO2, and HfO2 in Figure S4. The data for the AC cycles on Al2O3 in Figure 3a show a thickness increase after each A step and a decrease after every subsequent C step. This suggests that Hacac adsorbs on Al2O3 and can be removed by an O2 plasma pulse. The thickness increase does not correspond directly to the thickness of the Hacac monolayer, because its dielectric function is unknown and not taken into account in the SE modeling. However, the thickness increase can be considered as a measure for the amount of adsorbed material and is therefore represented as an apparent thickness. Pulse C removes the adsorbed Hacac by combustion reactions and prepares the surface for the subsequent cycle. The thickness does not decrease completely to zero during the first O2 plasma step, which is attributed to a slight change of the optical response of the underlying Al2O3 film affecting the ellipsometry modeling. The middle part of Figure 3a representing the ABC cycles indicates that after exposing the Al2O3 surface to Hacac (A), no significant amount of BDEAS adsorption takes place (B). Conversely, when exposing the Al2O3 surface to BDEAS (B) and O2 plasma (C), as shown at the right-hand side in the graph, there is a net thickness increase indicating deposition of SiO2 with a growth per cycle of ∼0.09 nm/cycle.

Marked differences are observed when performing AC and ABC cycles on a SiO2 substrate (Figure 3b). There is virtually no change in apparent thickness during AC cycles on SiO2, which indicates that Hacac adsorbs, at most, in minute and ineffective amounts on a SiO2 surface. Consequently, ABC-type cycles on SiO2 result in the same growth per cycle (∼0.09 nm/cycle) as observed for regular ALD using BC cycles. This demonstrates that the addition of Hacac does not significantly influence the SiO2 deposition on SiO2 starting surfaces. To conclude, Figure 3 suggests that the selectivity of the ABC-type process stems from the chemoselective adsorption of Hacac and that Hacac—once adsorbed—effectively blocks the precursor adsorption.

**Proof-of-Concept of Area-Selective Deposition.** ABC-type ALD of SiO2 was performed on Al2O3 and GeO2 surfaces. GeO2 was selected as a starting surface because it shows similar behavior to SiO2, that is, the addition of an Hacac pulse to the cycle does not significantly affect the deposition of SiO2 on this surface. Moreover, the GeO2 surface allows for quantification of the amount of SiO2 deposited using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectroscopy (TOF-SIMS). Figure S5 shows the Si 2p signals for SiO2 after 12, 15, and 21 ABC-type ALD cycles of SiO2 on both GeO2 and Al2O3 starting surfaces. After 15 ABC-type ALD cycles the ratio of the Si 2p integrated peak areas on Al2O3 and GeO2 is ∼0.11. Consistent with the SE data, the integrated peak areas for the Si 2p peak confirm the blocking effect of Hacac.

The selectivity was further investigated on a patterned Al2O3/GeO2 sample. To this end, an ALD-prepared Al2O3 layer...
was patterned on a GeO$_2$ surface using a regular lift-off method. Figure 4a–c show the TOF-SIMS elemental mapping images for the Ge$^+$, Al$^+$, and Si$^+$ secondary ions for the patterned sample after 15 ABC-type ALD cycles of SiO$_2$. The mappings confirm that ALD of SiO$_2$ occurred predominantly on the GeO$_2$ regions. The line scans, presented in Figure 4d, show a high Si$^+$ signal in the regions not covered by Al$_2$O$_3$, indicating area-selective deposition of SiO$_2$ on GeO$_2$. Only a very small amount of Si is also present on Al$_2$O$_3$, which was quantified to correspond to a 0.01 nm thick SiO$_2$ layer, as derived from calibration measurements (see the Methods section). Also, lateral broadening of ~1 nm, the so-called mushrooming effect, is expected to take place given the nature of the ALD technique. This will mainly impact (and be observable for) nanoscale patterns, and the effect will be investigated on such samples in future work.

**Surface Chemistry of the ABC SiO$_2$ ALD Process.** In order to investigate the Hacac and BDEAS adsorption on SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ starting surfaces, in situ infrared absorption spectroscopy experiments were performed on pellet-pressed SiO$_2$ powder using a Fourier transformed spectrometer (FTIR). To this end, the SiO$_2$ powder was first coated using
30 ALD cycles of SiO2 or Al2O3 respectively. FTIR spectra were collected after coating the powder and after the subsequent Hacac and the BDEAS dosing steps. Figure 5a shows the results on Al2O3 as reference spectra, using the spectrum collected after Al2O3 coating as the reference. The results confirm that Hacac adsorbs on Al2O3 as indicated by the absorption peaks in the wavenumber range 1300−1650 cm−1.26,27 Subsequent dosing of BDEAS precursor causes only a minor change in the spectrum, which confirms that the preadsorbed Hacac molecules act as inhibitors and block the impinging BDEAS molecules from chemisorbing onto the Al2O3 surface. It is estimated that the minor change corresponds to a fraction of ~8% of the amount of BDEAS molecules adsorbed on bare Al2O3. This indicates that Hacac is not fully effective in blocking the precursor adsorption.

A similar experiment on the SiO2-coated sample, using identical Hacac and BDEAS dosing times, revealed a distinctly different behavior, as shown in Figure 5b. Now, only 8% of Hacac adsors on SiO2 as compared to the amount that adsors on the Al2O3-coated surface. Consequently, the adsorption of BDEAS is not significantly affected on this surface, as shown by the large (positive) absorption peaks. BDEAS adsorption results in positive peaks in the wavenumber ranges 2800−3000 cm−1 and 2130−2240 cm−1, originating from the C−H and Si−H stretching vibrations of the adsorbed BDEAS molecules.28,29 Concurrently, there is a loss of absorbance around 3740 cm−1, characteristic for the O−H stretching vibrations of the surface hydroxyl groups that are consumed during BDEAS precursor adsorption.28,29

In conclusion, the FTIR studies confirm that Hacac selectively adsorbs on Al2O3 and subsequently inhibits the BDEAS adsorption. Yet, the studies also provide insights for further improvement of the process that will be discussed below.

**Mechanism Underpinning the Chemoselective Adsorption of the Hacac Inhibitor.** Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to better understand the mechanism of the chemoselective Hacac adsorption at a molecular level. Hydroxylated surfaces of α-Al2O3 (0001) and α-SiO2 (0001) were employed to represent the experimentally used Al2O3 and SiO2 surfaces. The calculations revealed that Hacac prefers to bind in a chelate configuration on both surfaces, with both of its oxygen atoms bonded to Al3+/Si4+ surface sites. Alternative binding configurations were also found, as discussed below. Concertedly, H2O is predicted to form as a byproduct on both surfaces through a proton transfer to a hydroxyl surface group. The H2O byproduct is expected to stick to the surface, where it plays an important role in stabilizing the chemisorbed acac− product through H-bond interactions (see Supporting Information, Figure S7 and the accompanying discussion).

The structures and associated relative energies were calculated for the stationary points along the suitable reaction pathways (i.e., minimum energy paths) for the adsorption of the Hacac inhibitor on Al2O3 and SiO2 (Figure 6). For the first step in Hacac binding, i.e., physisorption, two distinctive binding orientations were identified by the DFT calculations (horizontal and vertical; see Figure S6 and detailed description in the Supporting Information). As evident from Figure 6a, the dissociative binding of Hacac on Al2O3 is associated with an overall exothermic reaction with an energy gain of 0.49 eV. The chemisorption involves the formation of an intermediate complex through a slightly exothermic (∆E = −0.07 eV) process with near-zero barrier (Ek ≈ 0.01 eV). The intermediate complex comprises Hacac that is bonded to the Al3+ site through one of its oxygen atoms (Figure S7a). This intermediate species is predicted to lose its hydroxyl proton through a kinetically accessible process (Ek = 0.25 eV), producing a monodentate adduct (type A, Figure S7b) alongside H2O. However, this adduct corresponds to a transition-state species on the energetically downhill path that yields the chelate end-product (type B, Figure S7c) through binding of acac− via its second oxygen to the same surface Al3+ site. Considering that the Hacac would have already gained
sufficient kinetic energy by physisorbing on the Al₂O₃ surface \((i.e., \Delta E_p = -0.75 \text{ eV}, \text{ physisorption energy})\), it would readily overcome the overall barrier of 0.25 eV and be converted to the chelate (type B) end-product. Therefore, none of the monodentate (type A) species (intermediate or adduct) are expected to accumulate on the Al₂O₃ surface.

Similar to the Al₂O₃ case, a chelate (type B, Figure S7d) species is the end-product of Hacac adsorption on SiO₂, whereas the monodentate (type A) complex is only a transition state (Figure 6b). More importantly, the overall chemisorption reaction on SiO₂ is calculated to be endothermic and to require an energy of 0.98 eV, accompanied by an extremely high kinetic barrier \((E_a = 2.35 \text{ eV})\). In accordance with the SE and FTIR results, these DFT findings suggest that Hacac binds readily on hydroxylated Al₂O₃, while the overall reaction on hydroxylated SiO₂ is both thermodynamically and kinetically hindered. This explains the selectivity of Hacac adsorption on Al₂O₃ as opposed to SiO₂ during the ABC-type ALD of SiO₂.

**Role of Surface Acidity on Hacac Adsorption.**

The chemoselective adsorption of Hacac on various starting surfaces can also be interpreted in terms of surface acidity.\(^{31}\) This allows explaining the results obtained on the experimentally used starting surfaces. Hacac has a pKₐ value of 9.0 in aqueous solution at 25 °C, and therefore it should behave as a weak acid.\(^{32}\) A reaction with Hacac is not likely to occur with the hydroxyl groups that possess an acidic character (e.g., SiO₂).\(^{33}\)

Conversely, hydroxyl groups with more basic character can react with Hacac. In this framework, an acid/base reaction between Hacac and the surface hydroxyl groups of a given oxide (e.g., Al₂O₃) can be written as

\[
\text{M-OH}^* + \text{Hacac}_{(g)} \rightarrow \text{M(acac)}^* + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{(g)}
\]

where M is a generic atom of the oxide (either metal or nonmetal) and the asterisks represent the surface species. A generalization can be made in order to explain which surfaces allow for the chemoselective adsorption of the Hacac inhibitor (and potential blocking of the precursor) and which surfaces will lead to immediate deposition instead. The acidity of an oxide can be predicted from the electronegativity of the cation element, M.\(^{34}\) The relative acidity of several oxide surfaces was calculated using Sanderson’s electronegativity scale and the electronegativity equalization principle, in order to explain the data of Figures 2 and S3, as presented in Figure S8. The agreement of the calculated values with the experimental data underlines that the distinct acidic/basic behavior of different oxide compounds governs the chemoselective adsorption of Hacac. Therefore, this provides a framework for predicting the area selectivity on different starting surfaces.

**Merits and Opportunities Provided by the Approach.**

The approach introduced in this article shows similarities with the approach introduced in this article shows similarities with area selectivity on different oxide compounds governs the chemoselective adsorption of Hacac. Therefore, this provides a framework for predicting the area selectivity on different starting surfaces.

The ABC approach provides the opportunity of tailoring the substrate-selectivity of an ALD process by selection of the chemoselective inhibitor molecule. There is a wealth of information in surface science and catalysis literature about the adsorption of organic molecules on inorganic surfaces,\(^{36,37}\) which can serve as a good starting point for selecting suitable inhibitor molecules.\(^{25}\) The ideal case would be to use an inhibitor molecule that adsorbs on all surfaces, except for the particular surface on which deposition is needed. Additional research is required to investigate whether such selectivity is achievable and to further explore the toolbox of using inhibitor molecules for area-selective ALD. We expect that this approach can enable area-selective ALD for different materials by using other precursors and for example H₂ or NH₃ plasma co-reactants. To this end, the interaction of the inhibitor with the material to be deposited and with the plasma needs to be
studies revealed that area-selective (can also be obtained on WO_{x} ) introduced approach and provide insight into how the selective manner. Portfolio of materials that can be deposited by ALD in an area-assisted ALD, as well as the ability to tune the substrate-compatibility of the approach with ozone-based or distinguishing between different oxide starting surfaces. We expect that the compatibility of the approach with ozone-based or plasma-assisted ALD, as well as the ability to tune the substrate-selectivity by selection of the inhibitor molecule, will extend the portfolio of materials that can be deposited by ALD in an area-selective manner.

**METHODS**

**ALD Processes.** The depositions were carried out in an Oxford Instruments FlexAL reactor, which is described in detail in ref 38. In short, it is a vacuum vessel equipped with a remote inductively coupled plasma (ICP) source, a 200 mm substrate table, a turbo-molecular pump, and a loadlock. The SiO_{2} plasma-assisted ALD recipe with BDEAS (H_{2}Si[N(C_{2}H_{5})_{2}]) precursor (also known as SAM.24) and O_{2} plasma pulses, characterized by Dingemans et al.\textsuperscript{44}, was used as the starting point. The recipe was changed to an ABC-type ALD process by adding a step of Hacac dosing before the precursor step. The Hacac has a vapor pressure of 6 Torr at 25 °C, which was the form considered in the DFT calculations. The Hacac inhibitor was kept at room temperature in a stainless steel container and vapor drawn into the chamber using 3 pulses of 5 s each. All experiments were carried out at a substrate temperature of 150 °C.

**Substrate Preparation Methods.** A set of 14 different materials was investigated as starting surfaces in this study. Al_{2}O_{3}, HFO_{2}, TiO_{2}, WO_{x}, CoO_{x}, MoO_{x}, FeO_{x}, ZnO, Pt, Pd, Ru, and SiN_{x} samples were prepared by ALD in our lab using standard ALD recipes. In addition, crystalline Si and Ge substrates were used. All these substrates were cleaned by 5 min O_{2} plasma exposure (8 mTorr O_{2}, 200 W) prior to the deposition, with the exception of SiN_{x}. Because of this O_{2} plasma cleaning step, the Si and Ge substrates are referred to as SiO_{2} and GeO_{2}, respectively, in this article.

**Analytical Methods.** In situ SE was performed using a J.A. Woollam M2000D ellipsometer as the main diagnostic technique to characterize the process and to measure the nucleation curves, using a Cauchy parametrization to model the data. In the case of SiN_{x}, O_{2} plasma oxidation occurs during the SiO_{2} deposition, which was taken into account in the modeling of the data of Figure 2. The nucleation delay was defined as the number of cycles until the first data point that showed a measurable increase in thickness. The dielectric function of a monolayer of adsorbed Hacac molecules was not taken into account, and the same Cauchy parametrization as used to describe the deposited SiO_{2} was employed to model the subcycle SE data of Figures 3 and S4. In these results, the thickness obtained from modeling the data after Hacac exposure is therefore represented as an “apparent thickness”.

XPS measurements were performed using a X-Alpha system from Thermo Scientific. TOF-SIMS analysis was performed at Philips Innovation Laboratories using an Ion-Tof TOF-SIMS IV instrument, which was operated in positive mode to measure Al\textsuperscript{+} (m/z = 27), Si\textsuperscript{+} (m/z = 28), and Ge\textsuperscript{+} (sum of m/z = 67, 72 representing the most abundant isotope of Ge). In addition, TOF-SIMS mapping was performed on patterned GeO_{2}/Al_{2}O_{3} samples by applying burst-alignment with long pulses of 200 ns, resulting in high lateral resolution (∼0.3 μm), but low mass resolution (∼1 m/z). A series of 2, 5, and 10 regular ALD cycles for SiO_{2} deposition was performed on Ge substrates to calibrate the TOF-SIMS signals for thickness quantification. These samples were probed using the high-current bunch mode, which allows for measurement with high mass resolution. Note that the thickness of the deposited SiO_{2} on the GeO_{2} regions is already beyond the linearity limit of TOF-SIMS, meaning that the ratio of the Si\textsuperscript{+} intensity of the GeO_{2} and Al_{2}O_{3} regions in the line scans underestimates the selectivity.

In situ FTIR experiments were carried out in a home-built ALD setup, which is very similar to the FlexAL reactor in terms of the plasma source and pumping system. This home-built setup is equipped with a Bruker Vector FTIR spectrometer with a mid-infrared light source (Globar ∼10 000—50 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) and a liquid N\textsubscript{2} cooled mercury cadmium tellurium detector with a spectral range of 12 000—550 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, a translational and rotational sample manipulator, and KBr windows that can be isolated from the chamber with gate valves. FTIR measurements were performed on Aerosil OX50 SiO_{2} powder that was pellet-pressed on a tungsten mesh. The powder was heated to 150 °C by passing a current through the mesh. To account for the accessible surface area of the powder, the spectra were normalized to the peak intensities of regular saturated TMA or BDEAS adsorption on the same sample before the Hacac dosing.

**Computational Methods.** All electronic structure calculations were performed using the projector-augmented wave function\textsuperscript{45} as implemented in Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP v.5.3.5).\textsuperscript{46} First-principles calculations were carried out using the generalized gradient approximation to the DFT.\textsuperscript{35,46} Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange–correlation functionals\textsuperscript{47} were employed, and the van der Waals interactions were also accounted for on an empirical basis (PBE-D3).\textsuperscript{48} Hydroxylated α-Al_{2}O_{3} and α-SiO_{2} clusters were used as approximations of the amorphous hydroxylated Al_{2}O_{3} and SiO_{2} used in the experiments. Additional computational details including the model system preparation can be found in the Supporting Information and also elsewhere.\textsuperscript{49,50}
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