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Abstract—This paper presents the first printed organic 13-MHz RFID on flexible substrate. The proposed solution includes a planar near field antenna bonded to an RFID tag, which is printed on flexible foil using an organic complementary TFT technology. Thanks to an active envelope detector, ASK modulation with modulation depth as low as 20% can be adopted to increase the available input power for the rectifier. The RFID functionality is demonstrated at the internally generated supply voltage of 24 V, for a reading range of 2-5 cm and a bit-rate up to 50 bit/s. With more than 250 transistors on the same foil, this work represents the most complex circuit ever published in a printed organic complementary TFT technology.

Index Terms—ASK modulation, active detection, mixed analog-digital circuits modulation index, organic circuits, pentacene, polyimide, radio frequency identification, sub-threshold, silent tag, spiral antenna, thin film transistor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Printed organic electronics has gained increasing consideration as a cost-effective alternative to silicon, especially in those applications where cheap or disposable devices are required [1]-[5]. In the roadmap of printed electronics, radio frequency identification (RFID) has an important place due to the huge available market still covered by bar codes. Despite this great interest, state-of-the-art printed organic technologies do not enable the implementation of a complete RFID tag yet. This is mainly due to the complexity of a tag, which includes a near-field antenna, radio frequency (i.e., 13-MHz) detection, mixed analog/digital functionalities and harvesting capability (for passive RFID). Moreover, RFID security typically requires a level of circuit complexity that cannot be achieved nowadays by organic electronics. Recently, several promising results based on lithographic organic processes have been published. Specifically, an inductive-coupled organic RFID operating at 13.56 MHz has been demonstrated using a p-type organic technology on foil [6]. A complementary organic technology has been used for the 13.56-MHz transponder in [7]. A complementary hybrid organic/metal-oxide process has been exploited to demonstrate bidirectional communication in an HF RFID [8], [9]. This last solution adopts passive diode-based envelope detection together with OOK modulation, resulting in limited sensitivity and reduced reading range.

In recent years, a printed complementary organic TFT (C-OTFT) technology has been developed [10], [11] and successfully employed to design digital and analog circuits [12], [13], a 4-bit analog-to-digital converter [14] and a light sensor [4]. This technology has been recently used to explore the feasibility of a RFID tag with active envelope detection, making possible to demodulate ASK PWM-coded signals with modulation depth (h) as low as 25% [15]. Starting from this result, a fully integrated solution for a printed 13.56-MHz RFID tag on flexible substrate has been designed. The RFID has been manufactured using an improved version of the C-OTFT process used in [15] and includes a 13-MHz planar antenna bonded to the printed organic tag. The tag integrates a rectifier for power supply, a receiver with an active envelope detector, and a digital section for code recognition and reply.

The proposed RFID exploits an identification scheme called “silent tag” [16]. Each silent tag is customized with its own
code and answers only to readers sending this code, thus avoiding the need of anti-collision or disabling mechanisms. The main advantage is that a tag search is possible only if a list of the tags that can be offered to the reader (e.g., the tags in the shop) is known in advance (see Fig. 1). In this way, tag security is ensured with no need for encryption, which makes this solution especially suitable for organic printed electronics.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the RFID architecture, fabrication technologies and circuit design. Measurements of both building blocks and overall tag are discussed in Section III. Conclusions are drawn in Section IV.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION: TECHNOLOGY AND DESIGN

The architecture of the proposed RFID tag is shown in Fig. 2. The tag includes a receiver, consisting of an active envelope detector (ED) and clock/data recovery circuitry, a rectifier, and a code recognition unit. Both ED and the rectifier are inductively coupled to the reader by means of a two-coil antenna on a polyimide foil. A PWM coding is adopted with bit “1” and “0” corresponding to a duty cycle of 70% and 30% high, respectively. A bit rate of 50 bit/s has been chosen. The rectifier provides the internal supply voltage, $V_{DD}$, to the other blocks. The ED extracts the ASK envelope from the 13.56-MHz carrier and drives the recovery circuitry. The clock decoder detects the rising edge of the envelope signal, ENV, thus providing a synchronizing clock, CLOCK, to the code recognition unit. Signal CLOCK is properly delayed and then used by the D-flip-flop (D-FF) to extract the received data stream, DATA, by sampling signal ENV. The code recognition unit is designed to receive a sequence of “reset” and “identity” codes and consists of two modules. The reset module (RM) synchronizes all the tags in the reader range with the start of the following identity transmission code. The identity verification module (IVM) compares the received code with the tag identity and in case of code matching enables the response back to the reader by using the modulator (not included in the block diagram for the sake of simplicity). It is driven by a ring oscillator, which is activated by signal MOD.

A. Complementary Organic TFT Technology

The adopted printed complementary organic technology is manufactured on an $11 \times 11$ cm$^2$ flexible foil by CEA-Liten [10], [11]. The TFTs are implemented in a top-gate bottom-contact multi-finger structure with a 20-µm channel length on 125-µm thick polyethylene-naphtalate (PEN) substrate. A simplified cross-section of both p-type and n-type TFTs is shown in Fig. 3. A microphotograph of a multifinger OTFT ($W = 2000$ µm, $L = 20$ µm) is shown in Fig. 4. Since the present printing resolution of metallic inks can barely reach line/spacing resolution of 20 µm as well as the required flatness, a direct patterning approach by means of laser ablation was chosen to pattern the source/drain electrodes. Fig. 5 summarizes main process steps of the adopted printed C-OTFT technology. Gold is sputtered to a thickness of 30 nm on the PEN and then patterned using laser beam to form the
source and drain electrodes as well as the first level of interconnections. Then, the n-type organic semiconductor (Polyera ActivInk®) is patterned by printing methods. The source/drain electrodes and the PEN are cleaned with an O₂ plasma to prepare the surface for the self-assembled monolayer (SAM) deposition and p-type organic semiconductor (Merck Lisicon S1200) printing. The common fluoropolymer dielectric (Merck Lisicon D139, 750 nm thickness) is then screen-printed on top of both semiconductors and then annealed, leaving open areas for via holes. Finally, a silver-ink conductor is screen-printed, forming OTFT gate electrodes and the second level of interconnections. Reliability and performance of TFTs were improved for this work by re-engineering the gate stack, using an adhesion promoter. Firstly, the gate leakage current was reduced, thus improving hard yield and also dielectric robustness during circuits test. Secondly, the gate capacitance was increased. Actually, in a former gate stack the silver-ink was formulated with adhesive to guarantee adhesion on the fluoropolymer dielectric. However, it was found that this approach added a parasitic capacitance in series with the dielectric one [17]. This drawback was overcome with a silver ink that no longer needs adhesive and results in a higher gate capacitance. Measurements performed on a 1-mm³ Metal/Insulator/Metal (MIM) capacitor showed a 23% increase of the capacitance (i.e., 2.15 nF/cm²), which in turn leads to an increase of the OTFT mobility (μSAT) typically by around 80% and 27% for the p-type and n-type transistors, respectively. The process also provides optional carbon-ink resists that are screen-printed after organic semiconductors patterning and before the final screen-printing of the gate layer. Main electrical parameters of both p-type and n-type TFTs are summarized in Table I for channel length of 100 µm and 20 µm. It is worth noting that the adoption of the new silver ink allows an outstanding mobility of 2.7 cm²/V·s to be achieved for 100-µm p-type TFTs. The better performance can be easily highlighted by comparing the output characteristics of both p-type and n-type transistors with standard and new silver ink, as shown in Fig. 6. The OTFT current capability is more than doubled for both 2000/20 p-type and n-type transistors for a gate voltage of 40 V, that is the maximum supply voltage adopted in previous work [15]. Most importantly, the curves at 20-V gate voltage show that both devices can operate in sub-threshold region. Moreover, transistor output impedance is high even for drain voltages as low as 10 V. This feature was extensively used in this work to enable both analog and digital circuit operation at reduced supply voltage.

B. Antenna Fabrication Technology and Design

The 13.56-MHz antenna was fabricated with a semi-additive electroplating process in a roll-to-roll manufacturing mode. A substrate polyimide foil was chosen as highly temperature stable substrate to enable assembly of surface mount devices (SMDs) by soldering. As shown in Fig. 7, the process starts with metallizing the 50-µm thick polyimide film with 500-nm copper in a roll-to-roll sputtering machine. To get good adhesion between substrate and metallization a very thin tie layer of chromium (~7 nm) is applied. Afterwards, a photolithography step is performed, starting with laminating a 18-µm thick dry resist on the substrate foil and its exposure to a broadband UV source through a 9” mask with an exposure field of 200 by 200 mm². Development is done with an alkaline soda solution in a pass-through spray developer line. Subsequently, the copper conductor lines are electroplated in the resist openings to a height of 9 µm. The resist is removed and finally the sputtered copper layer and the chromium tie layer are etched away. Due to the copper etching process, the conductor thickness is slightly decreased, ending up in a total thickness of 8 µm, which corresponds to a sheet resistance of 2.5 mΩ/sq. For closing the antenna coil a conductor bridge was fabricated by screen printing. The coil windings are first insulated by printing and curing a dielectric paste (ESL1901-D). Then, the

![Fig. 6. Output characteristics of OTFTs with standard and new silver ink (W = 2000 µm, L = 20 µm) for VGS = 40 V and VDS = 20 V.](image-url)
bridge is printed with silver paste (ESL1901-S). Curing is done in a belt oven with a peak temperature of 90 °C. The conductive bridge has a sheet resistance of 21 mΩ/sq. A value of 8.1 pF/mm² was measured for the parasitic capacitance between silver bridge and copper coil. A photograph of the fabricated antenna on foil is shown in Fig. 8, whereas its main parameters are detailed in Table II. As highlighted in the photo, the antenna actually consists of two windings. The outer single-ended coil and the inner differential coils with center tap are used by the rectifier and the receiver, respectively, for the coupling with the reader antenna. Two capacitors (C_RECT and C_RX) are adopted to tune the antenna resonance at 13.56 MHz. This two-coil antenna structure highly complies with the proposed RFID architecture (see Fig. 2), which exploits a single-ended rectifier and a differential-like ED to maximize ac-dc conversion efficiency and compensate for the high TFT parasitic capacitances, respectively.

The use of two antennas also gives a degree of freedom in the design of the coil inductances, which can be independently optimized. Since the estimated input capacitance of the rectifier was 70 pF, the single-ended coil inductance and the external capacitance, C_RECT, were set to about 725 nH and 120 pF, respectively, thus maintaining adequate tuning against process tolerances. Given the inductance specification of the outer coil, its geometrical parameters were set by using simple equations for planar inductors [18], [19] (constrained to an area of about 5 × 2.5 cm²). Thanks to the lower input capacitance of the envelope detector with respect to the rectifier one, the differential coil was designed to maximize the inductance value by filling the available internal space, thus achieving a high QL product. Finally, to better estimate the overall antenna performance, which is also affected by a magnetic coupling between the two coils, 2-D electromagnetic simulations were carried out in Momentum MW. Based on these simulations, a value of capacitor C_RX of about 60 pF was required to tune the receiver antenna at 13.56-MHz for a distance of 5 cm from the reader antenna and a 190-pF capacitance on the rectifier coil.

The simulation results were further confirmed by S-parameter measurements of the fabricated samples, shown in Fig. 9. On the basis of the displayed antenna performance, for an equivalent load of 11 kΩ // 190 pF at the rectifier coil, the estimated 13-MHz voltage induced on both antenna coils is about 60 V_pp, provided that the maximum allowable magnetic field of 7.5 A/m is used according to ISO-IEC 14443-2. Moreover, system simulations confirmed that the induced voltage on antenna coils remains quite constant when reducing the reading distance. Indeed, the coupling coefficient increases at lower distances, but at the same time it worsens the impedance matching.
C. Rectifier and Modulator

The rectifier is often the bottleneck of passive RFID tags, especially in printed OTFT technologies whose transistor performance is affected by a high threshold voltage \( V_T \), typically in the order of several volts. Moreover, low carrier mobility and high parasitic capacitances further limit the frequency performance and hence the rectifier sensitivity. An experimental analysis on the rectifying potentiality of our technology in its first generation is carried out in [20] by testing diode-connected structures. This study pointed out the validity of threshold voltage cancellation techniques to improve the rectifier performance, even at high frequencies, when the mobility degradation plays a significant role in OTFTs. The schematic of the rectifier included in the RFID tag is shown in Fig. 10. It employs a 4-stage topology based on the Dickson charge pump [21], [22]. Differently from the previously adopted topology [15] that is a second-order solution [23], first-order threshold voltage compensation was preferred. The circuit uses mainly p-type transistors that perform better than n-type ones with only an n-type TFT first stage to make possible threshold-voltage compensation. Actually, the gate of the p-type (n-type) transistors is not shorted to the drain, but is connected to another node on the chain, which provides a lower (higher) dc voltage with the same ac phase of the drain signal. Specifically, the gates of M1 and M4 are connected to \( V_2 \) and the gates of M2 and M3 to ground and \( V_1 \), respectively, according to the first-order threshold voltage compensation scheme. This compensation technique, together with the multiple-stage approach, allows a reasonable \( \Delta V_{\text{OUT}} \) (i.e., \( \Delta V_{\text{DD}} \)) to be achieved, even at input voltages that are rather small compared with the TFT threshold voltages.

The modulator is implemented with an n-type transistor, which is connected to the rectifier input. The modulator changes the overall load of the rectifier coil according to the bit to be transmitted, thus performing backscattering.

D. Receiver

The key block of the receiver is the envelope detector (ED). Indeed, its performance determines the sensitivity of the overall receiver and the capability of demodulating ASK PWM-coded signals with low \( h \), which is important to preserve high average power at the rectifier input. A simplified schematic of ED is shown in Fig. 11 along with a qualitative sketch of the main waveforms. The core of ED includes the p-type pair, M1-M2, the self-biased load performed by M3 and the low-pass filter \( R_L C_L \) and the comparator, M4-M7. The input pair driven by the 13.56-MHz RF signal produces a pulsed current, \( i_0 \), whose slowly variable component within the RF period is related to the input envelope signal. Specifically, current \( i_0 \) is composed of a high-frequency component, \( i_{\text{RF}} \) (i.e., mainly even harmonics of the RF signal), an average component, \( I_{\text{AV}} \), and the envelope signal, \( i_{\text{ENV}} \). Component \( i_{\text{RF}} \) flows into the high parasitic capacitance, \( C_p \), at the drain node of M1-M2, hence it is filtered out in a large amount. Current \( I_{\text{AV}} \) flows into M3 and produces the average output voltage, \( V_{\text{AV}} \), thanks to the diode connection of M3 through resistance \( R_L \). Provided that \( C_L R_L > C_L g_{m3} \gg T_{\text{BIT}} \), low-pass filter \( R_L C_L \) makes the gate of M3 a virtual ground and envelope signal \( i_{\text{ENV}} \) flows into \( R_L \), thus producing the output voltage, \( V_{\text{OUT}} \), i.e., \( R_L i_{\text{ENV}} \).

The “conversion gain” of the detector is related to \( R_L \) and the non-linear transconductance gain of the input pair. The self-biased load is a robust solution to deal with wide threshold tolerances and large RF input ranges. In our implementation, a resistance \( R_L \) of 40 M\( \Omega \) was integrated. A discrete capacitor of 6 nF was mounted for \( C_L \) on the same foil of the antenna for testing purposes. In comparison with more traditional diode-based ED schemes, the proposed topology shows several advantages.

- It guarantees a high output voltage thanks to the higher conversion gain with respect to traditional solutions (diode-connected rectifiers [8]-[9] or common drain topologies [24]). This avoids further amplification before the comparator, which would entail high ac-coupling capacitors to arrest offset and further current consumption.
- It has high input impedance, thus minimizing the power drawn by the reader.
- It is suitable for ASK signals with low \( h \) thanks to the envelope-signal gain.

It is also worth noting that the differential-like topology of the input transistors allows compensation of the detrimental effect of the gate-drain capacitances. Indeed, a single-ended ED is
not a good solution in this technology, due to the large overlap capacitance. Finally, a simple pseudo-differential comparator, M4-M7, provides at the ED output a quasi-rail-to-rail signal, ENV, which is large enough to drive the digital circuits.

The decoder digital circuits that recover data and clock (see Fig. 2) are implemented with dynamic logic cells to reduce transistor count and current consumption. The schematics of the clock decoder and the D-FF used for data recovery are shown in Figs. 12(a) and 12(b), respectively. The clock decoder exploits a customized topology, which minimizes the transistor count with respect to the traditional D-FF with reset. This simplification is made possible since both inputs R and IN are low before the beginning of a new bit, according to the coding scheme. The delay is implemented by a cascade of four inverters loaded by a capacitance ($C_{\text{DELAY}}$).

E. Code Recognition Unit

The code recognition unit shown in Fig. 2 includes the two modules, RM and IVM, responsible for the tag synchronization and identity recognition, respectively. Both modules were designed using a transmission gate (TG) based approach. Indeed, TG-based logic guarantees presently lower area compared to fully-static implementations (around four times smaller in our technology) and higher robustness compared to dynamic logic and therefore is a good solution for complex digital circuitry. A detailed schematic of the code recognition unit is shown in Fig. 13. In RM, a 2-bit counter adds up the number of zeros in the input code (any “one” in the input resets the counter). After receiving four consecutive zeros (i.e., $r_1r_2=11$ and $r=0$), RM sends the reset signal, RESET, to IVM. This will announce the arrival of a new identity code to IVM by clearing all its FFs and latches. If there is a discrepancy between clock and data (e.g., the data is delayed with respect to the clock), signal “$r$” may go temporarily high, before the counter is reset by the arrival of next “1” in the data flow. Since RESET is used to activate/lock some SR-latches asynchronously, it is important to prevent such temporary wrong activations. The D-latch in RM Logic block eliminates the possibility of sending such a false reset. The identity comparison is performed in the Comparator Logic block through an XOR gate. At each clock, the 2-bit counter and a logic array generate at the output of the Comparator Logic the correct tag identity code corresponding to the bit being received (the logic array is included in the IVM Logic block). In case of un-match the comparator output, Match, goes high and resets the counter. In this case Match remains active till the next RESET is received, resetting Match to zero. Otherwise, the operation will continue till all bits of the identification code are received and successfully compared. When this happens, the counter “Carry” bit generated in IVM Logic will go high and set ID_OK active, thus triggering the reply back by the tag. The output signal, MOD, which activates a ring oscillator, remains high until the next RESET is received. It is worth noting that the tag answers with a message (using the modulator) only after the correct code is received, according to the silent tag identification scheme.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The tag was fabricated on a PEN foil, as shown in Fig. 14. The photo highlights the macro-blocks constituting the circuit that are the rectifier, the receiver, and the code recognition unit. The overall active area of the circuit is 54 cm$^2$. The area
in the right top quarter of the foil was used for other circuitry. Two flexible flat cables were bonded on the top and bottom of the foil using isotropic conductive glue. The flat cables were adopted to interface the tag with the lab instruments by means of a test board and make possible a complete characterization of both the overall circuit and the single macro-blocks before antenna assembling. To allow this test flexibility, the circuit macro-blocks were not directly connected on the PEN foil, but connections were performed by taking advantage of the antenna foil. To complete the tag, the PEN foil was then bonded to the polyimide foil with the antenna, as shown in Fig. 15. The polyimide foil was also used to house the two capacitors for the antenna matching networks (i.e., $C_{RECT}$ and $C_{RX}$), few passive components of the receiver (i.e., bias resistances, $C_L$, and $C_{DELAY}$), and the storage capacitor of the rectifier, $C_{STORAGE}$. The discrete capacitors, $C_{RECT}$, $C_{RX}$, $C_L$, and $C_{DELAY}$, can be directly printed on the RFID foil thanks to
the high-density (i.e., 2.15 nF/cm²) MIM capacitor provided by the adopted C-OTFT technology. As mentioned before, the antenna foil was also used to connect together the tag macro-blocks thus allowing their standalone characterization in the PEN foil. The available 8-µm thick Cu metal was exploited for connections. In the following, experimental results of each macro-block and the complete tag are discussed. Measurements were carried out on a total of 7 RFID samples.

The rectifier was characterized under different amplitudes of the sinusoidal RF input with an equivalent full-tag load of 0.7 MΩ. It generates an output voltage (V_{DD}) of 25 V for a 13.56-MHz input signal, V_{RF}, of 60 V_{PP}. Output voltage measurements as a function of the RF peak voltage are summarized in Fig. 16. Figs. 17 and 18 show the main measured signals of the receiver for a 60-V_{pp} RF input with h of 30% at 30-V and 20-V power supply, respectively. It is worth noting that due to the lower supply voltages and hence the reduced current capability at sub-threshold operation, ED requires an RF input signal larger than the supply voltage. Fig. 19 shows the measurement of the code recognition unit at 20-V supply voltage for a 60-V_{pp}, 30% modulated ASK RF input. Two different identity codes were adopted in this work, with one time programmability enabled by laser trimming. In the reported test, the reset signal code is “10000”, the tag identity code is “0011”, and the un-match code is “1011”. As expected, the measured signal ID_OK goes high only after the sequence “1100” is received (i.e., n=4 in Fig. 19), thus triggering the reply back. Signal MOD is high only after the correct identity code is received. It goes low after subsequent signal RESET goes high (i.e., n=9 in Fig. 19). The functionality of the code recognition unit was successfully verified for several supply voltages, from 40 V to 20 V.

The complete RFID tag together with the flexible antenna was tested thanks to two interface boards, as shown in the measurement setup in Fig. 20. The RFID was successfully
tested with a 60-V_{PP} RF input voltage. For h of 50%, 30%, and 20%, the measured rectifier output, V_{OUT}, was 20 V, 22 V, and 24 V respectively. Little variation was observed for a reading range from 2 cm to 5 cm. The rectifier output and receiver main signals for a 60-V_{PP} RF input are shown in Fig. 21 with and without averaging. The 20% ASK modulation of the input signal can be recognized by looking at the RF noise in signal DATA. As far as the modulator is concerned, the glue attachment affected the functionality of some TFTs since the modulator was placed too close to top flat cable contacts. This drawback prevented from characterizing the transmission link.

Main performance of the proposed organic RFID tag is summarized in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF frequency (f_{RF})</td>
<td>13.56 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth (h)</td>
<td>50–20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>20–24 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading range</td>
<td>2–5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code bits</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. bit rate</td>
<td>50 bit/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna area</td>
<td>15 cm^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit area</td>
<td>54 cm^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSION

The implementation of an integrated 13.56-MHz RFID tag in a printed C-OTFT technology on flexible substrate has been successfully demonstrated for the first time. The circuit is the most complex mixed-signal integrated system ever published in printed C-OTFT technology, with more than 250 organic TFTs on the same foil. Its functionality was verified at a supply voltage as low as 24 V, thus demonstrating the potential of sub-threshold operation for C-OTFTs.

This work advances the state of the art since it demonstrates the implementation of a very complex system on a printed organic technology using several techniques at different levels: system (i.e., secure identification scheme and ASK modulation), technology (i.e., flexible antennas and C-OTFT technology), tag architecture (i.e., three-coil antenna), and circuit (i.e., active envelope detector and sub-threshold operation).
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