Conjugated Polymers based on Difluorobenzoxadiazole toward practical application of Polymer Solar Cells
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To advance polymer solar cells (PSCs) toward real-world applications, it is crucial to develop materials that are compatible with a low-cost large-scale manufacturing technology. In this context, a practically useful polymer should fulfill several critical requirements: the capability to provide high power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) via low-cost fabrication using environmentally friendly solvents under mild thermal conditions, resulting in an active layer that is thick enough to minimize defects in large-area films. Here, the development of new photovoltaic polymers is reported through rational molecular design to meet these requirements. Benzodithiophene (BDT)-difluorobenzoxadiazole (fBX)-2-decyltetraacryl (DT), a wide-bandgap polymer based on fBX and BDT emerges as the first example that fulfills the qualifications. When blended with a low-cost acceptor (C\textsubscript{60}-fullerene derivative), BDT-fBX-DT produces a PCE of 9.4\% at active layer thickness over 250 nm. BDT-fBX-DT devices can be fabricated from nonhalogenated solvents at low processing temperature. The success of BDT-fBX-DT originates from its appropriate electronic structure and charge transport characteristics, in combination with a favorable face-on orientation of the polymer backbone in blends, and the ability to form proper phase separation morphology with a fibrillar bicontinuous interpenetrating network in bulk-heterojunction films. With these characteristics, BDT-fBX-DT represents a meaningful step toward future everyday applications of polymer solar cells.
few polymers that satisfy part of the aforementioned requirements (Table S2, Supporting Information).\cite{4a,5,7} We note that these four criteria are in our view essential but should not be considered as an exhaustive list, because, e.g., stability and scalability of synthesis are also important.

Here, we thus focus on the development of new polymers that meet the four requirements listed above and elaborate on the underlying principles to achieve this goal. First, replacing the C70 fullerene acceptor by a more cost-efficient C60 fullerene acceptor will generally result in a significant loss of photocurrent because of the reduced absorption of light in the visible region.\cite{8} A possible method to compensate for this loss is to enhance light absorption by increasing the layer thickness and to afford a higher open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) through downshifting the energy levels of the donor polymers.\cite{9} Second, the ability to operate efficiently at large active layer thickness for PSCs is usually controlled by charge transport and BHJ morphology. Therefore, the design of the polymer structure should focus on improving hole mobility, achieving balanced hole/electron transport in polymer:fullerene blends, and forming a proper BHJ morphology. Enhancing coplanarity of the polymer backbone and controlling polymer orientation are generally effective to achieve this goal.\cite{6c,10} For example, our group previously demonstrated a successful example that shows a PCE near 9% at active layer thickness about 500 nm and PCE beyond 8% at layer thickness out to 1000 nm by improving the coplanarity of the polymer backbone.\cite{10a} Third, side chain optimization is important to guarantee efficient processability of the resulting polymers and to obtain a favorable BHJ morphology.\cite{11}

In this contribution, we designed two alternating donor–acceptor conjugated polymers based on 5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole (ffBX) and benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b']dithiophene (BDT) (Figure 1a). ffBX is employed as the acceptor unit to construct the target polymers because replacing sulfur atom in benzothiadiazole by an oxygen atom is known to be effective in downshifting the frontier orbital energy levels without changing the optical bandgap ($E_g$) significantly.\cite{12} Introducing fluorine atoms will further downshift the energy levels but also improve the coplanarity of the polymer chain via weak intramolecular noncovalent interactions (C–H⋯F, S⋯F).\cite{13} During the course of this work, Yan’s group reported a ffBX-based polymer which produced higher $V_{oc}$ as compared to its ffBT counterpart, further inspiring our research.\cite{14} BDT is used as donor unit to construct the target polymers because of its weak electron-donating ability and its planarity, which are also beneficial to obtaining deep-lying energy levels and coplanarity.\cite{15} Furthermore, different side chains attached to flanking thiophene units are used to tune the solubility and processability of the resulting polymers. The polymers bearing 2-octyldodecane (OD) and 2-decyltetradecane (DT) side chains are denoted as BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, respectively. When blended with a C60-based acceptor [6,6]-phenyl-C60-butyl acid methyl ester ([60]PCBM), both polymers afford high PCEs in PSCs for thick ($\approx$250 nm) active layers. Most significantly, a PCE of 9.4% was achieved using BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM blends processed under mild thermal conditions ($\approx$50 °C) from nonhalogenated solvents. Taking the advantageous fabrication conditions (mild temperature,
nonhalogenated solvent, relatively thick active layer, and low-cost acceptor) into account, this result surpasses the highest PCEs reported in the literature for PSCs so far (Table S2, Supporting Information). The results suggest that BDT-ffBX-DT is a promising candidate for roll-to-roll processing. It is worth pointing out that in the past two years important progress has been achieved for fullerene-free PSCs in terms of PCEs.\cite{16} Moreover, several reports show that fullerene-free PSCs have potential cost and processing benefits as compared to polymer:fullerene solar cells,\cite{16,17} representing an alternative avenue to develop new materials for real-world application of PSCs.

BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT were synthesized by Stille polymerization. Detailed synthesis procedures are provided in Scheme S1 in the Supporting Information. The molecular weights of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT were estimated by high-temperature gel-permeation chromatography using 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene as the eluent at 150 °C. The number average molecular weights ($M_n$) are estimated to be 41 and 60 kDa with a polydispersity index (PDI) of 1.3 and 1.6 for BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, respectively (Table 1). The resulting polymers are insoluble in common solvents such as chloroform, chlorobenzene (CB), and o-dichlorobenzene (o-DCB) at room temperature, but they can be easily dissolved just above room temperature (DCB) at room temperature, but they can be easily dissolved in -dichlorobenzene (chloroform, chlorobenzene (CB), and o-dichlorobenzene). The resulting polymers are insoluble in common solvents such as chloroform, chlorobenzene (CB), and o-dichlorobenzene (o-DCB) at room temperature, but they can be easily dissolved just above room temperature (DCB) at room temperature, but they can be easily dissolved in -dichlorobenzene (chloroform, chlorobenzene (CB), and o-dichlorobenzene).

The thermal properties of the polymers were investigated by thermogravimetric analysis and differential scanning calorimetry (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Both polymers exhibit high thermal stability with a 5%-weight-loss temperature up to 390 °C. The polymers did not show discernible melting or crystallization transitions in the scanning range from 40 to 300 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$M_n$ [kDa]</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>λ max [nm]</th>
<th>$\lambda_{onset}$ [nm]</th>
<th>$\lambda_{max}$ [nm]</th>
<th>$\lambda_{max}$ [nm]</th>
<th>$\Delta E_{g, \text{opt}}$ [eV]</th>
<th>HOMO [eV]</th>
<th>LUMO [eV]</th>
<th>$\Delta$HOMO [eV]</th>
<th>$\Delta$LUMO [eV]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-OD</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>−5.58</td>
<td>−3.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-DT</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>−5.58</td>
<td>−3.35</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\Delta$LUMO = HOMO(polymer) − HOMO(60[PCBM]), $\Delta$HOMO = LUMO(polymer) − LUMO(60[PCBM]).

Table 1. Molecular weights, optical properties, and energy levels of the polymers.

Figure 1c shows the UV–vis absorption spectra of the polymers in o-DCB solutions and as thin films. Both polymers exhibit broad absorption profiles with onsets at 717 nm, corresponding to $E_g = 1.73$ eV. The high-energy absorption bands at about 420 nm are attributed to localized electronic transitions of the aromatic rings, while the more intense low-energy absorption bands at about 660 nm are assigned to π–π* transitions with intramolecular charge transfer character between the electron-rich and electron-deficient moieties. BDT-ffBX-OD shows almost the same absorption profile in solution and in films, indicating strong aggregation of BDT-ffBX-DT in solution. The absorption shoulder at ≈660 nm for BDT-ffBX-DT in solution is less intense than that for BDT-ffBX-OD, suggesting a weaker aggregation. Even so, a clear absorption peak corresponding to the ordered packing of polymer chains is observable for BDT-ffBX-DT in the solid state. Thus, the weaker aggregation character in solution endows BDT-ffBX-DT with good processability, while the ordered packing in the solid state guarantees efficient charge transport and domain purity in BHJ films. The temperature-dependent UV–vis spectra (Figure S3, Supporting Information) illustrate that BDT-ffBX-DT is less aggregated than BDT-ffBX-OD at each temperature.

As shown in Figure 1d and Figure S4 in the Supporting Information, cyclic voltammetry measurements were conducted to estimate the energy levels of the polymers. The onsets of both the oxidation and reduction potentials are nearly identical for BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, leading to HOMO levels of −5.58 eV and LUMO levels of −3.35 eV. Clearly, the two polymers bear deep-lying HOMO levels, which are favorable not only for obtaining a high Voc but also for endowing the polymers with good stability against oxidation under ambient conditions.\cite{17} The HOMO and LUMO levels of 60[PCBM] were determined to be −6.02 and −3.90 eV, respectively, under the same measuring conditions. Thus, the HOMO–LUMO offsets (ΔLUMO) between the polymers and 60[PCBM] are 0.55 eV, which is a sufficient driving force for electron transfer at the donor/acceptor heterojunction interfaces.\cite{18} The HOMO–LUMO offsets (ΔHOMO) between the polymers and 60[PCBM] is 0.44 eV, a value that may be inefficient for hole transfer from the photoexcited acceptor to the donor (Table 1 and Table S3, Supporting Information). Under this circumstance, C60-fullerene acceptors may provide comparable or even higher photocurrent than C70-fullerene acceptors in PSCs because the light absorption by C60-fullerene acceptor cannot contribute to photocurrent via hole transfer channel.\cite{19} This effect will be discussed in more detail later.

Photovoltaic properties of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT were evaluated with an indium tin oxide (ITO)/ZnO...
(40 nm)/polymer:[60]PCBM/MoO₃ (12 nm)/Al (100 nm) device configuration under simulated solar 1.5 air mass 1.5 (AM1.5G) illumination (100 mW cm⁻²). Device optimization was performed in terms of polymer:fullerene weight ratio, temperature of polymer:fullerene solutions for spin coating, solvent, and type of cosolvent, thickness of active layer, and thermal annealing (Tables S4–S6, Supporting Information). The current-density–voltage (J–V) curves and external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of the best devices are shown in Figure 2a,b, respectively. The device metrics are summarized in Table 2. Device statistics data with standard deviations and Jsc obtained by integrating the EQE with the AM1.5G spectrum are presented in Table S7 in the Supporting Information. Both polymers show high PCEs with high Voc (>0.9 V) and excellent fill factors (FF) (≥0.69), benefitting from the deep-lying HOMO levels and good charge transport characteristics of the polymers. The high EQE response, with maxima exceeding 70% in a wide spectral range, suggests efficient charge generation and collection in the devices. When processed from a solvent mixture of o-DCB/CB (1/1, v/v), the optimal solar cells of BDT-ffBX-OD exhibited a PCE of 8.9%. A higher PCE of 9.2% was achieved for BDT-ffBX-DT with similar Voc and Jsc but a higher FF of 0.75. Moreover, both polymers are processable from nonhalogenated solvents such as 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene and xylene with 2% volume ratio of diphenyl ether (DPE) that C70-based acceptors may have no advantage when blended with donors that possess deep-lying HOMO levels because the light absorption by C70 acceptor cannot contribute efficiently to photocurrent due to insufficient HOMO–LUMO offsets for hole transfer. We thus fabricated PSCs with [70]PCBM as acceptor based on BDT-ffBX-DT to study this effect because these polymers have very deep-lying HOMO levels. The comparison of J–V curves and EQE spectra for BDT-ffBX-DT mixed with [60]PCBM an [70]PCBM are shown in Figure S5a,b in the Supporting Information. Such mild film deposition conditions are favorable for industrial manufacturing and more compatible with inexpensive and flexible plastic substrates. The superior processability of BDT-ffBX-DT over BDT-ffBX-OD also validates the importance of side chain modification.

Moreover, the high device performance of the BDT-ffBX polymers was obtained using [60]PCBM as acceptor, which is much cheaper than its C70-based analog [70]PCBM that is most widely used to obtain high PCEs due to its favorable light-absorbing ability in visible region. We recently demonstrated that C70-based acceptors may have no advantage when blended with donors that possess deep-lying HOMO levels because the light absorption by C70 acceptor cannot contribute efficiently to photocurrent due to insufficient HOMO–LUMO offsets for hole transfer. [19]© 2017 The Authors. Published by WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Figure 2. a) J–V characteristics of the polymer:[60]PCBM solar cells under AM1.5G illumination (100 mW cm⁻²). b) EQE curves of the corresponding solar cells. c) Current density versus light intensity of the PSCs. A green light-emitting diode (530 nm) driven at 1–1000 mA was used for the measurements. The 1-sun equivalent light intensity is marked by the dashed line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Tsolvent [°C]</th>
<th>Thickness [nm]</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Voc [V]</th>
<th>Jsc [mA cm⁻²]</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-OD</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>o-DCB/CB</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>o-xylene/DPE</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-DT</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>o-DCB/CB</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>o-xylene/DPE</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*α* The temperature of polymer:[60]PCBM solutions for spin coating.
Supporting Information, respectively, with the performance parameters listed in Table S8 in the Supporting Information. Interestingly, [70]PCBM produces a lower PCE than [60]PCBM (7.5% vs 9.2%) due to a lower $J_{sc}$ (11.1 vs 13.1 mA cm$^{-2}$). The reflection spectra of BDT-ffBX-DT-based cells with [60]PCBM and [70]PCBM show a higher optical absorption for the [70]PCBM cell in the region of fullerene absorption, while the absorption in the polymer region is almost identical (Figure S5c, Supporting Information). Because the layer thickness, the mixing ratio, and the energy levels of the two fullerene derivatives are identical in the two blends, the difference in absorption profiles allows to estimate the efficiency of hole transfer from the fullerene to the polymer. Here we subtract the EQE values at 480 nm of the [70]PCBM and [60]PCBM cells to evaluate a relative contribution of [70]PCBM to $J_{sc}$.[19] As shown in Figure S5b in the Supporting Information, this $\Delta$EQE$_{480}$ is only 0.07%, suggesting negligible contribution of light absorbed by [70]PCBM to the photocurrent. On the contrary, there are significant EQE drops in the range of 370–430 and 560–670 nm when [60]PCBM was replaced by [70]PCBM. The drop in the long-wavelength region is observed in numerous BHJ solar cells when [60]PCBM is replaced by [70]PCBM,[20] while the drop in the short-wavelength region is possibly being caused by the competitive light absorbing between BDT-ffBX-DT and [70]PCBM. The reflection spectra (Figure S5c, Supporting Information) indicate that the absorption of [70]PCBM-based cells remains high for wavelengths below 450 nm, while the EQE of the cells is reduced in that region (Figure S5b). This supports the conclusion that photons absorbed by the fullerene do not contribute equally efficient to the photocurrent as photons absorbed by the polymer.

The high device performance of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT-based solar cells rely not only on their high $V_{oc}$ but also on $J_{sc}$ and FF. It is well known that hole mobility and electron mobility are often dominating factors that determine $J_{sc}$ and FF, especially at large active layer thickness.[6,10,106] We thus examined the charge carrier mobility in blend films of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT with the space-charge-limited-current (SCLC) model. The current density versus voltage characteristics of single-carrier devices are shown in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information, and the mobility values acquired from the data fitting are listed in Table S9 in the Supporting Information. For both BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, the devices show similar hole mobility of $\approx 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ under different fabrication conditions. Likewise, all devices show similar electron mobility with a value of $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The ratio between electron mobility and hole mobility is 1.5–1.9, suggesting balanced charge transport in these devices. It has been reported that a balanced electron/hole mobility with absolute mobility over 1.0 $\times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ is prerequisites for efficient thick-film PSCs[108] and accounts for the high EQE and FF of the PSCs based on BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT even at large active layer thickness.

To further understand the device performances, charge recombination was investigated by measuring the $J_{sc}$ as a function of light intensity because bimolecular charge recombination is considered as one of the dominating factor to the FF and $J_{sc}$ of the devices.[21] Generally, $J_{sc}$ shows a power-law dependence on the intensity of incident light ($P_{light}$) ($J_{sc} \propto P_{light}^{\alpha}$), where the power-law component ($\alpha$) should be unity when there is no bimolecular recombination of the charge carriers. The relevant characteristics are plotted in Figure 2c, for light intensities up to 1.5-sun equivalent. It is clear that for both BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, no matter the processing conditions, the fitted $\alpha$ values are almost unity for all cases, suggesting negligible bimolecular recombination in these devices at short circuit. Notably, there is no deviation from the $J_{sc} \propto P_{light}^{\alpha}$ behavior up to the highest light intensity used, which would indicate the onset of bimolecular recombination. The low bimolecular recombination inferred from this analysis is consistent with the high and balance charge mobilities determined by the SCLC method and accounts at least partially for the high FF and EQE response of the resulting PSCs. Nevertheless, we note that a more in-depth analysis of the charge transport and recombination processes under different light intensities will be required to unveil in more details the relative contributions of geminate, surface, and bimolecular recombination in these devices.

Grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) was employed to study the molecular packing and crystallinity for neat polymer films and blend polymer:[60]PCBM films.[22] As shown in Figure 3a,b, both neat BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT films exhibit strong edge-on oriented molecular packing, showing up to fourth order of lamellar peaks in the out-of-plane direction ($q = 0.306$ Å$^{-1}$, $d = 20.5$ Å) and a $\pi-\pi$ stacking peak in the in-plane direction ($q = 1.79$ Å$^{-1}$, $d = 3.51$ Å). In contrast, for all the blend films, the $\pi-\pi$ stacking peaks appeared in the out-of-plane direction ($q = 1.80$ Å$^{-1}$, $d = 3.49$ Å), as shown in Figure 3c–f. This indicates the formation of face-on oriented polymer domains in the blend films, favorable for vertical charge transport, especially important for thick film devices. Moreover, the lamellar peaks of the blend films shifted to a smaller $q$ ($q = 0.276$ Å$^{-1}$, $d = 22.7$ Å), suggesting a slightly enlarged lamellar stacking distance of polymers after mixing with [60]PCBM. The crystallinity of the blend BDT-ffBX-OD:[60]PCBM film is higher than that of the blend BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM film as evidenced by the stronger $\pi-\pi$ stacking peaks (Figure 3g), probably due to the shorter side chains and stronger aggregation characteristic of BDT-ffBX-OD. Importantly, using environmental friendly nonhalogenated solvents resulted in very similar lattice constants and crystallinity results in the blends compared to halogenated solvents (Figure 3b,c,e,f and Table 3), in agreement with their similar device performance.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 4) reveal proper phase separation morphology with fibrillar bicontinuous interpenetrating network for all blends. It is notable that the films processed from o-xylene/DPE exhibit a narrower fiber width compared to the films processed from o-DCB/CB for each polymer, which can be interpreted as the polymer solubility in the high-boiling cosolvent of the o-xylene/DPE mixture is slightly lower than for o-DCB/CB.[23] Besides, the films of BDT-ffBX-OD show narrower fiber width than those of BDT-ffBX-DT when processed from the same solvents, which is consistent with the difference in solubility of the polymers due to different length of their side chain.[24] Nevertheless, all films provide high device performance, which means the fiber width of all four blends is in the proper range for efficient
exciton diffusion and dissociation. The optimized side chain length and o-xylene/DPE solvent/cosolvent system provide the blend film of BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM with an optimal BHJ morphology when processed under mild conditions, which in turn result in high PCEs in devices.

In conclusion, two new semiconducting conjugated polymers (BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT) were designed and synthesized for practical application of PSCs. The two polymers integrate multiple advantages, including high power conversion efficiency without relying on expensive acceptors, allowing relatively thick active layers (≥250 nm), and processability from environmental friendly solvents under mild film-formation conditions (specifically for BDT-ffBX-DT). The maximum PCEs of 8.8% and 9.4% achieved for BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT, respectively, belong to the best results when we take the advantageous fabrication conditions that are needed for practical application into account. Especially, BDT-ffBX-DT is a champion among

![Image](image_url)
recent photovoltaic polymers that can be used in true real-world applications, when considering the comprehensive list of prerequisites these materials must meet. Moreover, the large bandgap of ≈1.7 eV makes BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT promising candidates for use as front cell materials in tandem PSCs. The rational structural design of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT represents a synergistic optimization of electronic structure, polymer backbone conformation, and side chain length. We are confident that it will be fruitful to develop better-performing photovoltaic polymers based on ffBX through extending light absorption range while maintaining other advantages, which are achievable by properly selecting/designing new comonomers for polymerization. Moreover, we hope that these results provide further inspiration for developing practical useful materials for PSCs.

Table 3. Lattice parameters of BDT-ffBX-OD and BDT-ffBX-DT in pure films and in polymer:[60]PCBM blend films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>π–π distance [Å]</th>
<th>Lamellar distance [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-OD</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-OD:[60]PCBM</td>
<td>o-DCB/CB</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-OD:[60]PCBM</td>
<td>o-xylene/DPE</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-DT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM</td>
<td>o-DCB/CB</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM</td>
<td>o-xylene/DPE</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. TEM images of a) BDT-ffBX-OD:[60]PCBM processed from o-DCB/CB (1/1, v/v), b) BDT-ffBX-OD:[60]PCBM processed from o-xylene (2% DPE), c) BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM processed from o-DCB/CB (1/1, v/v); and d) BDT-ffBX-DT:[60]PCBM processed from o-xylene (2% DPE).
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