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ABSTRACT
With the rise in adoption of massive graph data, it becomes increasingly important to design graph processing algorithms which have predictable behavior as the graph scales. This work presents an initial study of stability in the context of a schema-driven synthetic graph generation. Specifically, we study the design of algorithms which generate high-quality sequences of graph instances. Some desirable features of these sequences include monotonic containment of graph instances as they grow in size and consistency of structural properties across the sequence. Such stability features are important in understanding and explaining the scalability of many graph algorithms which have cross-instance dependencies (e.g., solutions for role detection in dynamic networks and graph query processing). We implement a preliminary approach in the recently proposed open-source synthetic graph generator gMark and demonstrate its viability in generating stable sequences of graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION
Rising adoption of massive graph data (e.g., social networks, WWW, biological data) necessitates the development of algorithms which are able to handle the vast amounts of information stored in these datasets. Synthetic graph generators are a valuable tool for investigating the performance of graph processing algorithms since it allows to generate a sequence (or, a family) of graph instances of increasing sizes. For performance studies to be useful and reproducible, it is important to control how relationships involving specific nodes evolve between graph instances in the family. Ideally, given a particular node, its structural properties should be stable across the entire sequence of generated graph instances. For example, queries which mention constants are common in the design of benchmarks, as they allow fine-tuned control of query selectivity and run-time behavior [4, 5, 8, 9, 12]. As another example, in the study of solutions for role detection in social networks, it is often desirable that structural features of individual nodes (i.e., individual actors in the network) are stable as the network grows in size.

To concretely illustrate stability, consider the graph instances shown in Figure 1. Here, \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), node \( Angela \) is a “leader” with relatively high in-degree. In \( G_2 \), however, \( Angela \) does not appear at all, while in \( G_2' \), she is merely a “follower.”

Figure 1: Node-level changes between instances. In graph instances \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), node \( Angela \) is a “leader” with relatively high in-degree. In \( G_2' \), however, \( Angela \) does not appear at all, while in \( G_2'' \), she is merely a “follower.”

benchmarking of query processing engines), stronger quality guarantees are desired. For example, in addition to graph size, a user-defined graph schema might include the enumeration of node and edge labels along with their proportions in the generated graph instances. Furthermore, in- and out-degree distributions can be defined on top of the constraints on source-target node pairs in a graph. Graph generation approaches that produce graph instances which conform to such extended schema are called schema-driven.

In benchmarking of graph algorithms it is often important to control how relationships involving specific nodes evolve between graph instances in the family. Ideally, given a particular node, its structural properties should be stable across the entire sequence of generated graph instances. For example, queries which mention constants are common in the design of benchmarks, as they allow fine-tuned control of query selectivity and run-time behavior [4, 5, 8, 9, 12]. As another example, in the study of solutions for role detection in social networks, it is often desirable that structural features of individual nodes (i.e., individual actors in the network) are stable as the network grows in size.

To concretely illustrate stability, consider the graph instances shown in Figure 1. Here, \( G_1 \), \( G_2 \), and \( G_2' \) have the same size and are all larger than \( G_1 \). Suppose that a given schema \( S \) models a typical behavior of follows edges in a social network. This schema defines the in-distribution of all edges in the graph to be Zipfian and all nodes to be of type Person. Observe that each of the instances \( G_1, G_2, G_2', \) and \( G_2 \) satisfy \( S \). Suppose, we fix a specific node in \( G \) labeled \( Angela \) and trace its behavior across instances in Figure 1. Specifically, \( Angela \) is a “leader” (followed by many) in \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \), but is not present in \( G_2' \) or is not a leader in \( G_2'' \). Hence, using instance families \{\( G_1, G_2 \)\} and \{\( G_1, G_2' \)\} would lead to inconsistent results when studying the behavior of an
algorithm which depends on Angela, e.g., in a performance study of a query evaluation engine using a benchmark query which specifically mentions Angela.

**State of the art.** The study of solutions for controlled generation of synthetic database instances has a long history in the data management community [2, 10]. In the domain of graph databases, synthetic generation of realistic graphs is currently a topic of intense investigation [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13, 14]. In this context, quality metrics on individual synthetic graphs (with respect to a given real graph or a given schema) have been proposed, e.g., [3, 7, 11, 13, 14]. To our knowledge, however, there has been no prior study of stability across sequences of graphs in synthetic instance generation.

**Contributions.** Motivated by these observations, in this paper we initiate the study of stability in synthetic graph generation. We consider basic properties of instance families which are, to the best of our knowledge, not satisfied by current schema-driven graph generators. We present the preliminary design of a scalable solution for producing instance families which are stable with respect to edge-type degree distributions defined by a given schema (e.g., for the Zipfian distribution in the family \( \{ G_1, G_2 \} \) of Figure 1).

The detailed structure and contributions of this paper are as follows. We define two basic desirable properties of generated graph instance families (§2.1) and design a novel measure of distribution stability for a given family (§2.1). We then present an algorithm for generation of stable instance families and analyze its complexity (§2.2). We implement our approach in the state-of-the-art open-source gMark graph generator [4, 5], and demonstrate that our solution is scalable (§3.1) and produces instance families which are significantly more stable than the original gMark instance generator (§3.2).

2. STABLE GENERATION

2.1 Preliminaries

We study the following problem, on finite directed edge-labeled graphs. Given a finite sequence of positive integers \( n_1, \ldots, n_k \), such that \( n_i < n_{i+1} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), generate a sequence of graphs \( \mathcal{F} = (G_1, \ldots, G_k) \) such that \( \text{nodes}(G_i) = n_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), where \( \text{nodes}(G) \) denotes the node set of graph \( G \) and \( |A| \) denotes the size of set \( A \). If we are additionally given a graph schema \( S \) as input, we further require that each \( G_i \) is a valid instance of \( S \).

In this initial study, we consider the following desirable properties of generated graph sequences:

- **Monotonicity.** It holds that \( \text{edges}(G_i) \subseteq \text{edges}(G_{i+1}) \), for \( 1 \leq i < k \), where \( \text{edges}(G) \) denotes the edge set of graph \( G \).
- **Distribution stability.** If the degree structure of an edge type follows a fixed distribution (e.g., edges labeled follows in a social network have a Zipfian in-distribution), then the position of nodes in the distribution is stable throughout the graph sequence \( \mathcal{F} \).

The degree \( \text{deg}(n) \) of a node needs to be stable in its distribution in all graphs of a given sequence. To capture this, we define the rank of a node \( n \) in graph \( G \) as:

\[
\text{rank}(n, G) = \max_{n \in \text{nodes}(G)} \left( \text{deg}(n) \right)
\]

where the value of \( \text{rank}(n, G) \) ranges from 0 to 1. Letting \( \sigma_n \) denote the standard deviation of the rank of \( n \) over all instances in \( \mathcal{F} \), i.e., the standard deviation of the set \( \{ \text{rank}(n, G) \mid G \in \mathcal{F} \} \), we define the stability of \( n \) in \( \mathcal{F} \):

\[
\text{stability}(n, \mathcal{F}) = 1 - 2\sigma_n.
\]

A stability \( n, \mathcal{F} = 1 \) indicates that the rank of \( n \) never changes, whereas stability \( n, \mathcal{F} = 0 \) means that \( n \) is completely unstable, with respect to degree structure.

2.2 Generation Approach

The original gMark graph generator (gMarkGraphGen) does not satisfy monotonicity of graph generation nor does it guarantee distribution stability; the time complexity of generation is linear in the number of nodes of the graph instance [5]. We next propose an algorithm, MonStaGen, that generates instance families which are both monotonic and stable with respect to edge-type degree distributions defined by a given schema. An analysis of this algorithm, however, shows that the satisfaction of these properties comes at the expense of increased time complexity \( O(n \log n) \), where \( n \) is the number of nodes.

MonStaGen separately calls a procedure (Algorithm 1) for each edge type in a given schema. As a consequence, the generation of subgraphs that correspond to each edge type can be executed in parallel. Consider the graph of a single edge type as a bipartite graph with the two disjoint sets \( S \) and \( T \). Set \( S \) represents all the subject nodes of the edge type, whereas set \( T \) represents all the object nodes of the edge type. Graph generation proceeds by iteratively adding edges from nodes in \( S \) to nodes in \( T \).

Figure 2 shows an example of the generation of one edge-type with five subject nodes (elements of set \( S \)) and three object nodes (elements of set \( T \)). This figure also introduces our concept of interface connections. We call the connections (i.e., edges) that a node can potentially receive the interface connections (ICs) of this node. Whenever a new node is added to the graph, the degree distributions in a given schema determine the number of ICs of this node depending on whether it is a subject (out-degree) or an object (in-degree). Whenever a new edge is added, the participating ICs for its subject and object nodes are closed.

Generated subject nodes, object nodes, and edges are cached for subsequent processing by functions addSubjectNodes and addObjectNodes. Function addEdge decrements the amount of open ICs of the subject node and the object node by one. Observe that, by construction, the generated sequence of graphs satisfies the monotonicity property.

Next, we discuss the immediate challenges that need to be
Person This is because the total number of ICs in the reach a point where new edges cannot be generated anymore. scalable, and the number of cities is fixed. When the num-
edge-type Persons live in a City Subject-to-object scalability mismatch. met during schema-driven stable graph generation.

**Algorithm 1 processEdgeType**

```python
graph.addSubjectNodes(#subjectNodes)
graph.addObjectNodes(#objectNodes)

if edgeType.subjectNodes is scalable xor edgeType.objectNodes is scalable then
    updateICsForNonScalableNodes()
end if

if in- or out-degree distribution is Zipfian then
    updateICsForNodesWithZipfianDistribution()
end if

vector vsrc, vtarg
for subject in graph.subjects do
    for 1:subject.openICs do
        vsrc.add(subject)
    end for
end for

for object in graph.objects do
    for 1:object.openICs do
        vtarg.add(object)
    end for
end for

shuffle(vsrc); shuffle(vtarg)

for i ∈ 1:min(vsrc.length, vtarg.length) do
    with probability p, graph.addEdge(vsrc[i], vtarg[i], edgeType.predicate)
end for

return graph
```

between the connectedness of the new instance with later instances in the sequence, on one hand, and the quality of the degree distributions, on the other.

### 3. EMPIRICAL STUDY

In this section, we report the results of three experimental studies of our approach. In all of the experiments, we investigated the generation of graphs with skewed (Zipfian) degree distributions. We selected Zipfian distribution parameter of 2.5, as it corresponds to structure found in many real-world graphs [6]. The input parameter p to MonStaGen (Algorithm 1) was set to 0.97. All experiments were executed on a 1.4 GHz Intel Core i5 processor with 4 GB RAM, running OS X 10.11.6.

#### 3.1 Run-time

We design two experiments to demonstrate the running time of MonStaGen compared to gMarkGraphGen. As noted in §2.2, the complexity of MonStaGen is slightly higher (O(n log n) vs. O(n)). Figures 3a and 3b show the difference in the running time for both approaches. In our first experiment (Figure 3a), we benchmark the generation of an instance family which consists of a single graph instance of increasing size. Here, gMarkGraphGen slightly outperforms MonStaGen due to increased complexity required by MonStaGen to satisfy the monotonicity and stability properties of instance families.

In our second experiment (Figure 3b), we generate families of increasing size with ten graph instances each \( F = G_1, \ldots, G_{10} \). In MonStaGen, for producing graph \( G_{i+1} \), the previously generated graph \( G_i \) is used, instead of generating the whole graph from scratch. We recall that sequences generated by gMarkGraphGen do not satisfy the monotonicity property.

In Figure 3b, the x-axis corresponds to the total number of nodes in the largest graph \( G_{10} \) in the generated instance. We set the number of nodes in graph \( G_i \) to a fraction \( \frac{x}{10} \), where \( x \) is the total number of nodes in graph \( G_{10} \).

As expected, MonStaGen is slower when generating a single graph, whereas it supersedes gMarkGraphGen when generating multiple graphs. This means that MonStaGen scales with the number of graphs in the sequence, while gMarkGraphGen scales with the number of nodes in the graphs. This behavior is quite interesting and leaves open the user’s choice of which generator to employ in a given application.

#### 3.2 Stability of nodes in degree distribution

We next consider generation of a graph sequence \( F = (G_1, \ldots, G_{10}) \), where the total number of nodes of \( G_i \) is \( i \cdot 1000 \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq 10 \). Figure 3c shows the stability of nodes of a single edge type with Zipfian degree distribution, as noted above, and 0.5n subject nodes, where \( n \) is the total number of nodes in the graph.

The nodes added in the last graph are not taken into account, because they will always have a stability of 1. The total number of subject nodes taken into account is \( 0.5 \cdot (10 - 1) \cdot 1000 = 4500 \). The stability value for all of these nodes, calculated and sorted on such a value, is illustrated in Figure 3c. We can see that the stability of nodes in MonStaGen is significantly higher than gMarkGraphGen. The long tail, which indicates a high number of nodes with a high stability, is the effect of a Zipfian distribution, where a node has a very high probability of having a very low de-
gree. This means that many nodes will have a low degree in all the graphs of the sequence, resulting in a high stability.

If we consider the stability of nodes, we see that the minimal stability in MonStaGen is 0.9020 and the median value is 0.9988. In the graphs generated by gMarkGraphGen, 210 nodes that have a lower stability value than our worst-case 0.9020 and 4393 nodes that have lower stability than our median 0.9988. In other words, with gMarkGraphGen, over 97% of the nodes are more unstable than the median value of those nodes generated with MonStaGen. The inner plot of Figure 3c shows a zoom-in on the 210 most unstable nodes.

4. LOOKING AHEAD

In this preliminary study, we have highlighted the utility of properties, such as stability, characterizing graph sequences rather than individual graphs. We have proposed a first algorithm for generating graph sequences conforming to a schema which satisfy the monotonicity property and are significantly more stable than those generated using gMark, a state-of-the-art synthetic graph generator. To our knowledge, ours is the first schema-driven synthetic graph generator having these properties.

Our study opens up several directions for future work. A major direction is to establish further stability properties occurring in real-world graphs, and extending our graph generation algorithm to capture these (e.g., stability with respect to node-centrality measures). Further, in addition to edge insertion, we plan to consider other natural aspects of graph evolution such as edge deletion, batch updates, and temporal dynamics. Finally, we would like to incorporate our solutions in the open-source gMark framework.¹
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Figure 3: Experimental comparisons of gMarkGraphGen and MonStaGen.